### Compassionate Migration and Regional Policy in the Americas

**CRN:** 11  
**Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair:** Steven Bender, Seattle University School of Law

**Participants:**  
- Raquel Aldana, McGeorge School of Law  
- Evelyn Cruz, Arizona State Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law  
- Karla McKandies, University of Tennessee, College of Law

**Description:**  
This roundtable brings together contributors and other scholars relevant to a forthcoming interdisciplinary volume to be published in 2017 by Palgrave Macmillan that seeks to envision a transformation in migration policy throughout the Americas. Our foremost concerns are the design, adoption, and implementation of compassionate immigration reform in the United States and improved policymaking relations among the hemispheric nations, especially regarding unauthorized migration.

Rooted in our concern over the ongoing securitization of US migration policies and the humanitarian crises that have ensnared unaccompanied minors and families with children who flee violent conditions in Central America, and the persistent exclusion, disregard for fundamental human rights, and other dehumanization of unauthorized migrants, this roundtable explores what “compassionate migration” entails and which laws, policies, practices, and venues might establish compassion for migrants.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

### Constitutional Theory Development in Asia and in the Americas – Session 1

**CRN:** 1  
**Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc:** Rafael Mario Iorio Filho, Universidade Estácio de Sá e INCT-InEAC

**Description:**  
Societies in Asia and the Americas may seem to have nothing in common given their particularities; however, many countries in these two regions share similar historical and political experiences (e.g., dictatorships, revolutions, democratic mobilizations, civil rights or human rights problems, corruption, etc.) and interact more and more pushed by economic and cultural globalization. Nevertheless these geographically diverse societies, although very different in their current legal and political cultures, may also share constitutional and democratic values. This session intends to bring together scholars engaged in studying the evolvement of constitutional features, either regarding constitutional law or constitutional theory, related to these regional foci.

**Presentations:**  
- Jairo Lima, University of Sao Paulo  
- Rubens Beçak, University of Sao Paulo  
- Experimental Constitutionalism. Successful Implementation of Rulings on Access to Water in Colombia (Constitución experimental. implementación exitosa de sentencias sobre acceso al agua en Colombia)  
- Luisa Fernanda Cano, Universidad de los Andes

The Complexity of the Entrenchment Clause of the U.S. Constitution  
**Ronald Den Otter,** Cal Poly San Luis Obispo

**Stephan Stohler,** SUNY, University at Albany

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

### Constitutionalism, Democracy, and the Rule of Law

**IRC:** 13  
**Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc:** Rolando Garcia Miron, Stanford Law School

**Disc:** Marcus de Castro, University of Brasilia

**Description:**  
This panel examines the role of constitutionalism, democracy, and rule of law in fostering development through original and interesting case studies of different parts of the globe. One paper examines the crisis of constitutionalism and rule of law in Venezuela. Likewise, another paper studies the crisis of the Colombian civil war with the particular development of a
humanitarian bureaucracy. Another paper compares the impact of democracy over development in India and China. There is also an important study of the hopes and illusions of the rule of law in Africa to foster business enterprises. Additionally, there is a study of the rule of law with a focus on the challenges of the International Criminal Court regarding the end of impunity.

**Presentations:**
Constitutions, Constitutionalism, and Rule of Law in Venezuela
Rogelio Perez-Perdomo, Stanford Law School

Hopes and Illusions in the Quantification of Law in Sub-Saharan Africa: the Case of OHADA
Blaise Alfred Ngando, University of Yaoundé 2 - Soa

Sangchul Park, Stanford University Law School

Limits of Democracy in Promoting Development: Comparing India and China
Pritam Baruah, Jindal Global Law School

The Humanitarian State: Bureaucracy and Social Policy in Colombia
Juan Pablo Vera Lugo, Universidad Javeriana

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism  
**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy, and Revolutions

**Couples, Children & Parentage: Old Concepts, New Perspectives**
CRN: 7  
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Disc:** Libby Adler, Northeastern University School of Law  

**Chair/Disc:** Aníbal Rosario Lebrón, Howard University School of Law

**Description:**
“Marriage equality” brought a rethinking of old institutions in Family Law such as couples, children, and parentage. In the midst of Obergefell, a re-examination of the strategies used, of the new and old figures, and the treatment of other “non-traditional families” is in order. The papers in this panel take such a task by arguing that it is a mistake to abandon equitable parenthood doctrines in spite of the increased availability to same-sex parents of formal legal parental status; that courts should follow an Obergefell-esque analysis of parenthood that will unveil the current unconstitutional gendered framework of legal fatherhood; that the law should provide committed couples who live separately rights such as hospital visitation, family leave, and healthcare decision-making powers; and that children have served both as subjects and objects for the LGBT rights movement as child protection rhetoric was reframed to create safe spaces for queer youth and advance the movement’s goals.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Presentations:**
Constitutionalizing Fatherhood
Dara Purvis, Penn State Dickinson School of Law

Living Apart Together, Women, and Family Law
Cynthia Grant Bowman, Cornell Law School

Whither the Functional Parent? Revisiting the Need for Equitable Parenthood Doctrines in Light of the Increasing Availability to Same-Sex Parents of Avenues to Obtaining Formal Legal Parent Status
Jessica Feinberg, Mercer University School of Law

**Criminalization, Incarceration, and Knowledge**
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

**Facilitator(s):** Adelina Iftene, Osgoode Hall Law School

**Description:** This session explores different contemporary societies’ “knowledge” of domestic and transnational crime and the practices and outcomes of incarceration.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
Constructing Masculine Identity and Performance in the Carceral Social Order
Patrick Lopez-Aguado, Santa Clara University

Transnationalizing Criminalization Theory
Ely Aaronson, University of Haifa

**Discrimination in Criminal Justice System, and by Race and Nationality**
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Robin Stryker, University of Arizona

**Disc:** Pedro Nicoli, Unidersidade Federale de Minas Gerais
Tuesday 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

**Description:**
This session is on the discrimination in criminal justice system, and discrimination by race and nationality (citizenship). The panelists will discuss on the separate but equal doctrine, migrants and citizenship, discrimination in criminal justice system, i.e., courts, police, and prison.

**Primary Keyword:** Discrimination  
**Secondary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity

**Presentations:**
(Mis)comprehension in the Courtroom: Court Reporters and African American English  
**Jessica Kalbfeld,** New York University  
**Taylor Jones,** University of Pennsylvania  
**Robin Clark,** University of Pennsylvania  
**Ryan Hancock,** Philadelphia Lawyers for Social Equity  

Oliari and Others v. Italy: Using European Consensus to Build a Separate but Equal Doctrine  
**Nazim Ziyadov,** Antalya International University

**Bernadette Rainey,** Cardiff Law School

Title IX Implementation Challenges at the Local Level: Bridging the Criminal Justice System, Student Development, and Societal Expectations.  
**Elizabeth Palley,** Adelphi University  
**Joseph DeGearo,** Adelphi University  

Who are the Prisoners?  
**António Dores,** ISCTE-IUL

---

**Early Career Regulatory Governance Panel**

**CRN:** 5  
**Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** **Russell Mills,** Bowling Green State University  
**Disc.:** **Justin Rex,** Bowling Green State University

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
Regulating Nurses and Doctors: A study of the disciplinary tribunals of self-regulating health professional institutions in Ontario, Canada  
**Kerri Scheer,** University of Toronto

---

The Regulatory Force of Administrative Architecture: Aggregated Decision-Making in Welfare Programs

**Jennifer Raso,** University of Toronto, Faculty of Law

The role of crisis in lawmaking in China: Evidence from public health incidents  
**Annemieke van den Dool,** University of Amsterdam

The Shift From Safety to Access: Regulatory Intermediaries in the Global Regulation of Clinical Trials  
**Gabrielle Goldstein,** University of California, Berkeley

**Food and International Law: Critical Perspectives**  
**CRN:** 23  
**Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** **Carmen Gonzalez,** Seattle University School of Law

**Description:**
Food is essential to life. Global food systems influence and are the product of social, political, economic, cultural, and also legal disciplines and developments. When we talk about ‘food law,’ what often comes up is food safety regulations, labelling of food, trade in agricultural products. Much of the law related to food is focused on the national or regional level. However, if food is conceived in its socio-economic complexity, it is possible to realize that there are broader and perhaps less immediately evident connections between law and what we eat. Rather than discussing finance law, trade law, investment law, criminal law, intellectual property law, environmental law, etc., as distinct areas of law, in this panel we seek to study how these fields of international law relate to food. The underlying contention that this session brings to the fore is that international law is very much implicated in creating and sustaining inequalities through global food systems.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics  
**Secondary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Presentations:**
An International Law Approach to Food Regime Theory  
**Anne Saab,** Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies

Enabling foreign investors in agriculture and food: the role of the international investment regime  
**Nicolas Marcelo Perrone,** Durham Law School

International Trade and Investment Law, Food Sovereignty, and Peacebuilding in Colombia  
**Marco Alberto Velázquez Ruiz,** Instituto Pensar - Pontificia Universidad Javeriana
Land Grabbing in the International Criminal Law System: Requirements and Redefinition of Global Food Chains
Tomaso Ferrando, Warwick University School of Law

World Hunger, the ‘Global’ Food Crisis, and (International) Law
Anna Chadwick, European University Institute

**Gender Identities: Beyond the Binary**
RCSL: Gender, Law and Society
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Rosemary Auchmuty, University of Reading

**Disc:** Adam Romero, UCLA School of Law, Williams Institute

**Description:**
The papers in this session will explore gender identities from a socio-legal perspective, questioning norms in patriarchal contexts.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality
**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Gender Identity at the United Nations: The Power and Potential of International Human Rights Law on Gender Variance
  Sandra Duffy, University College Cork
- Prisoners of Paradox: Trans Subjects, Punishment, and Care in the Canadian Prison
  William Hebert, University of Toronto
- Social Exclusion and Discrimination of Transgender Community in Pre-employment Relationships in Georgia
  Lika Jalagania, NGO “Human Rights Education and Monitoring Center” (EMC)
- The Cisgender Labyrinth: the Exclusion of Travestis and Transsexuals and Bridging Micropolitics in Brazil
  Gustavo Mariano, Federal University of Goiás
- The tortuous path for changing name and gender: the challenges faced by transgender people in Brazilian courts
  Matheus Caetano Tocantins, Universidade de São Paulo
  Maria Cecília de Araujo Asperti, USP/DIREITO GV
  Marina Gonçalves Garrote, Universidade de São Paulo

**Hard Bargains: The Coercive Power of Drug Laws in Federal Court**
CRN: 27
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Author:** Mona Lynch

**Chair:** Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley

**Readers:**
Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law
Jonathan Glater, University of California, Irvine School of Law
Issa Kohler-Hausmann, Yale Law School
Karin Martin, John Jay College of Criminal Justice, CUNY
Keramet Reiter, University of California, Irvine
Susan Silbey, MIT

**Description:**
The convergence of tough-on-crime politics, stiffer sentencing laws, and jurisdictional expansion in the 1970s and 1980s increased the powers of federal prosecutors in unprecedented ways. In Hard Bargains (Russell Sage Foundation, November 2016), author Mona Lynch investigates how prosecutors use punitive federal drug laws to coerce guilty pleas and obtain long prison sentences for defendants as a matter of routine. Lynch goes behind the scenes in three federal court districts to find that federal prosecutors wield their considerable discretion differently in each district, but with such force in all to overwhelm defendants’ ability to assert their rights. For drug defendants with prior convictions, the stakes are even higher. Lynch finds that prosecutors frequently use the threat of even more extreme sentences, including life in prison for those eligible, to compel defendants to plead guilty rather than go to trial. Lynch also shows that the highly discretionary ways in which federal prosecutors work with local law enforcement have led to significant racial disparities in federal drug caseloads. A case study of the social, structural, and organizational forces that endow the power to punish in American criminal justice, Hard Bargains reveals the complex legal landscape in which federal mass incarceration has been made possible.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control
**Secondary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**How Rights and Law Shape the Public-Private Dichotomy**
CRN: 18
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Brian Gran, Case Western Reserve University
Tuesday  8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

**Description:**
This examines issues surrounding rights, law, and the public-private dichotomy. With a basis in important research on law and society questions, it considers three questions: (1) What is the impact of “law” on the boundary separating the public and private sectors? (2) How does “law” designate which actors and institutions belong to the public and private sectors? Which actors and institutions belong to the public sector and to the private sector? (3) Is the public-private dichotomy adequate for socio-legal analyses of law and its influences? If not, what alternatives to the public-private dichotomy can we offer? The presentations span global questions surrounding public-private factors shaping human rights experiences of migrants, including government surveillance of them and services provided to young migrants, protections of individuals who work in private domains, and whether rights institutions can intervene into private domains to advance young people’s rights.

**Primary Keyword:** Public-Private Dichotomy

**Presentations:**
- Domestic Worker Rights Challenging the Public-Private Dichotomy in Work & Family
  - **Mary Romero,** Arizona State University
- How Independent Children’s Rights Institutions Intervene into Private Domains
  - **Brian Gran,** Case Western Reserve University
- Local laws/foreign bodies: Postmortem care for undocumented migrants in the United States
  - **Gabriella Soto,** University of Arizona
  - **Raquel Rubio-Goldsmith,** Binational Migration Institute, University of Arizona
- Truly Unaccompanied: Central American Child Migrants and Their Migration Strategies
  - **Angel Escamilla Garcia,** Northwestern University

**Indigeneity and Critical Race Research**
CRN: 12
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**
This panel helps bring together papers that explore indigenous identity and race research.

**Primary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**Presentations:**
- Black Ships, White Land: The Black Star Line, Western Imperialism, and Spatial Escapism
  - **Sam C. Tenorio,** Northwestern University
- Building a Legal Wall: How U.S. Sex Trafficking Law and Policies Effectively Exclude Native Experiences
  - **Jane Eggers,** Capital Defense
- April Petillo, Kansas State University
- Citizenship in the Unincorporated Territories: Different but Equal?
  - **Ross Dardani,** University of Connecticut
- Terror-Firma: Race, Land, and Indigeneity in the United States
  - **Jeremiah Chin,** Arizona State University
  - **Bryan Brayboy,** Arizona State University
  - **Nicholas Bustamante,** Arizona State University

**Informal Dispute Resolution Mechanisms: Negotiation Ethics in Divorce Disputes, Mediation Styles in Business Disputes, Ombudsman and Procedural Justice, and Child Support Cases and Justice**
CRN: 10
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Facilitators:** **Shahla Ali,** University of Hong Kong, Faculty of Law
**Hiroharu Saito,** The University of Tokyo

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution

**Secondary Keyword:** Disputes, Mediation, and Negotiation

**Description:**
This session is on the informal dispute resolution mechanisms, including negotiation and mediation, ombudsman. Specifically, Professor Saito discusses lawyer’s ethics in divorce custody negotiations. Professor Creutzfeldt discusses on the procedural justice and legal consciousness based upon her empirical study on ombudsman system. Professor Ali discusses on the question of balancing the scales of procedural and substantive justice in the context of judicial mediation. Professor Almeida talks about how mediation, as a model of conflict resolution, is translated and incorporated to the Brazilian legal culture.
The aim of this session is to shed new lights on the various forms of informal dispute resolution mechanisms with empirical data.

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution

**Secondary Keyword:** Disputes, Mediation, and Negotiation

**Presentations:**
- An Empirical Study of Japanese Attorneys’ Negotiation Ethics in Divorce Settlement: Client, Fairness, and Child’s Right
  - **Hiroyasu Saito,** The University of Tokyo
Institutional Dimensions of Immigrant Integration: Cities, States, National, Global

CRN: 2
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Terraza (3rd Floor)
Chair: Ming Chen, University of Colorado Law School
Disc: Doris Marie Provine, Arizona State University

Description:
Social scientists from a National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine panel on the integration of immigrants into American society note that ‘immigrant integration is proceeding steadily, but unevenly.’ Traditionally, the United States has done little to help immigrants integrate into society. That laissez faire attitude is changing in contexts where government is taking up a more active role, with varying results. This panel presents multiple sites of institutional integration ranging from cities, to states, to the national government, and beyond. It also considers multiple measures and dimensions of integrations: social, economic, political, and legal. Across the papers, the authors investigate the role institutions play integrating immigrants at different levels of government and across different subject areas. They shine light on immigrant integration policies overlooked in the midst of a national preoccupation with immigration enforcement to the exclusion of all else.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Legal Structure, Legal Institutions

Presentations:
- Citizenship and Naturalization among Immigrant Members of the US Military: Meanings and Mechanisms
  Sofya Aptekar, University of Massachusetts Boston
- Convergences and Differences among Undocumented Youth: Preliminary Thoughts Regarding Policy Design
  Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine
  Jennifer Chacon, UC Irvine School of Law
  Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law
- Degrees of Stuckness: Latino Undocumented Young Adults in Welcoming and Hostile State Contexts
  Edelina Burciaga, University of Colorado, Denver

Significance of the Federal in Immigrant Integration
Ming Chen, University of Colorado Law School

Subfederal Integration and Restriction: a Look Inside the Blackbox of State Governance
Deep Gulasekaram, Santa Clara University
Karthick Ramakrishnan, UC Riverside

Peter Markowitz, Cardozo School of Law

Language in the Legal Process
CRN: 16
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair: Janet Ainsworth, Seattle University
Disc: Margaret van Naerssen, Immaculata University

Description:
From a legal action’s initiation through the end of its consequences, whether criminal punishment or civil remedies, opportunities for misunderstanding are plentiful. This panel will present problem situations beginning with police interrogation, and continuing through questioning at trial and instructing the jury. Critical analysis will be presented of situations in which it appears that actors within the legal system attempt to leverage misunderstanding to gain a litigation advantage.

Primary Keyword: Language And Discourse

Presentations:
- “As Heretofore Enjoyed”: the Illinois Jury and the Writing of Unwritten Law
  Marianne Constable, UC Berkeley
- An Analysis of Language Access Policies in Prisons: Bridging Languages and Cultures in Multilingual Correctional Facilities
  Aida Martinez-Gomez, John Jay College of Criminal Justice
- Does Police Interrogation Pose Special Risks for Women who have Experienced Domestic Violence?
  Janet Ainsworth, Seattle University
- The Pragmatic and Legal Import of Clarification in Context
  Mel Greenlee, California Appellate Project
Law and the Economic State of Exception, 1
IRC: 2
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)
Chair/Disc: Jose Atiles, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez

Description: This paper session explores the intertwined relations between law, economy and the state of exception in the contemporary liberal-democracies. Drawing from cases of study and historical experiences, the session will discuss the uses of the state of exception as economic policies. Furthermore, this session aims to bring together not only scholars who are analyzing the uses of the state of exception, but also scholars who are analyzing the socio-political and economic effects of such practices. Experiences, such as the uses of the state of exception as a strategy to tackle economic crisis; the analysis of the intimate relationship between neoliberalism and exceptionality in both the Global North and South; the exclusive inclusion of workers within the Labor laws and regulations; the uses of the state of exception as a strategy to promote economic-neoliberal-development; and the systematic uses of exceptional laws to remove communities from their lands are some of the examples of the cases and exercises of economic-legal power this session aims to discuss.

Primary Keyword: Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law
Secondary Keyword: International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

Presentations:
- Economic Exceptionalism and Transplanted Organ: understanding medical power as corporate power
  Ciara Kierans, The University of Liverpool
- Rule of Law and the Exception in Contemporary Mexico, 2006-2016
  Maria Victoria Crespo, Universidad Autónica del Estado de Morelos-Universidad Nacional de San Martín

Legal Geography Session I: Making up Legal Spaces
CRN: 35
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3
Chair/Disc: Tugba Basaran, CCLS Paris/Princeton U (2016-17)

Description: This panel engages with municipal planning laws and regulations as sites of power and politics. Whether among developers and First Nations of Canada or Jewish and Arab Citizens of Israel, competing claims over (land) resources amount to social and political struggles. This panel seeks to expose the various interests at stake in processes, conflicts and negotiations over planning laws and regulations, as to empirically underline and conceptualize the multifaceted interactions between space, law and politics. This panel includes papers on consultation mechanisms with First Nations in Canada, visualisation of underground gas pipelines through land use planning laws as a form of risk management and safety in Australia; colonial remnants of land regulations to explain domination and marginalization, expropriations and evictions in Israel; and noise as pollution, as a register of the environment and its conceptual implications in the United States.

Primary Keyword: Geographies of Law

Presentations:
- Pipeline risk and regulation on the Australian urban-rural fringe. An invisible legal geography
  Brad Jessup, University of Melbourne - Melbourne Law School
- “The Architecture of Exclusion”, Geography of Power
  Hadeel Abu Hussein, National University of Ireland

Migration, Trafficking, Sex Work: Troubling Conflations
CRN: 6
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc: Emily Symons, University of Ottawa

Description: This panel challenges assumptions of victimization that conflate trafficking, migrant sex work, and sex tourism. Papers featured in this panel critically examine issues including the interplay between anti-terror and anti-trafficking; historical border control strategy; sex tourism, sexuality, and mobility.

Primary Keyword: Sex Work

Presentations:
- From sex tourist to sex migrant: displacement and belonging amongst North American men in Costa Rica
  Megan Rivers-Moore, Carleton University
- Sex work versus trafficking: An exploration of Indian legal discourse
  Sanman Kaur Grewal, University of California, Irvine
- ‘With That Class of Alien There Can Be No Sympathy Whatever’ – Controlling Trafficking and Migration of Women in England, 1900s-1920s
  Laura Lammansniemi, Anglia Ruskin University
Mobilizing Law and Governing the Commons
IRC: 40
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Sumudu Atapattu, University of Wisconsin Law School

Description:
This IRC brings together group of socio-legal scholars interested in governance, legal mobilization, and climate change. We are interested in how legal actors and legal institutions perform in their effort to address challenging environmental problems on a global scale, both in an effort to mitigate the causes of climate change as well as to adapt to its consequences. We hope to foster a more robust dialogue between those studying legal mobilization and governance in the context of climate change policy in regional, national and transnational settings.

Primary Keyword: Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

Presentations:
Climate Change, Rights and International Courts
Rachel Cichowski, University of Washington

Governing the Fields: Participation and Accountability in the Regulation of Agricultural Pollution in the US
Anna-Maria Marshall, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

Leveraging Private Legal Power: The Development of Litigation Mobilization Strategies at the EPA
Quinn Mulroy, Northwestern University

Transnational and national in environmental governance: adaptation to climate extremes and the case of Norfolk VA.
Susan Sterett, Virginia Tech NCR

“Litigating Coal: Toward Understanding a Major Strategic Litigation Campaign”
Charles Epp, University of Kansas

“Living on a radioactive mine dump versus living without shelter: A rights-based examination of the clash between environmental and housing rights in Tudor Shaft informal settlement, Johannesburg”
Jackie Dugard, University of the Witwatersrand

Nation v. State: Diaspora, Citizenship, Indigeneity, and Colonialism in Search for a New International Legal Order
CRN: 33
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chairs: Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz
Chulwoo Lee, Yonsei University

Disc.: Rob Leflar, University of Arkansas School of Law

Description:
The panel’s purpose is to stimulate a rethinking of the concept of nation, which has been suppressed under the dominant international legal discourse predicated on the belief in congruence between nation and state. The urge for the rethinking comes from the advent of the Fourth World Approaches to International Law (FWAIL), which is addressed in this panel by Hiroshi Fukurai who originally presented this theoretical approach at the Inaugural Asian Law and Society Association (ALSA) Conference in Singapore in September 2016. The FWAIL enterprise criticizes the now familiar Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAIL) for its adherence to the dominant notion of nation-state, which allows little imagination of the nation as a cultural community of indigenous people separate from the state as a territorially bounded unit organized by political power. In this talk, the panel introduces a number of different attempts to decouple the nation and the state in challenge to the hegemonic notion. The kind of multiculturalism advocated by Kymlicka is one of those approaches, with its concept of societal culture and its culture-oriented notion of nation. Another is the concept of nation employed by practitioners of diaspora engagement policies who conceive of a “transnational nation” whose boundaries expand beyond territorial borders. Similar but more extreme forms of transnational nation-building are seen in homeland nationalisms that promote ethno-national unity across state borders. The panel identifies commonalities and differences between those differing approaches that advocate the decoupling of nation and state. This will help to show FWAIL’s position in a broad map of discourses on the state-nation nexus and to distinguish it from various other attempts to decouple the nation and state. The panel brings into light some of the major responses from the advocates of the Westphalian international order and the dominant notion of citizenship qua state membership and discuss the possibility and limitations of each of the differing, and often contrasting, approaches in promoting the interests of groups underrepresented in the hegemonic nation-state system.

Primary Keyword: Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples
Secondary Keyword: International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics
Tuesday 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Presentations:
Nation v. State: Multiculturalism, Diaspora Engagement and Other Approaches for Decoupling the Nation and the State  
Chulwoo Lee, Yonsei University

Oxymora as Cognitive Bridges: The Curious Case of the ‘Nation State’  
Rostam J. Neuwirth, University of Macau, Faculty of Law

“Nation and State” Challenges in Hong Kong and Macau: Transition on the Fringes of the Chinese State  
Denis De Castro Halis, University of Macau, Faculty of Law

“Nation vs. State: Fourth World Approaches to International Law (FWAIL)  
Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz

New Studies and Perspectives on Familiar Movements and Protests in the United States
CRN: 21  
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m  
Paper Session

Room: Conquista (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Michael Yarbrough, John Jay College (CUNY)

Description:
This panel features new studies and perspectives on social movements that are familiar and well known in the United States - labor, sexuality and gender, immigration, civil rights, and the Christian right.

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:
Intersectional Coalitions: The Paradoxes of Rights-Based Movement Building in LGBTQ and Immigrant Communities  
Erin Adam, University of Washington

Old Rights, New Movements: Collective Rights and the Contemporary US Labor Movement  
Jessica Garrick, University of Michigan

Paving the Way to Worker Power: a case study in the use of legal tactics within radical labor organizing  
Zoë West, University of Oxford

Support Structures and Legal Mobilization: Lessons in Movement Building and Efficacy from New Christian Law Schools & Training Programs  
Amanda Hollis-Brusky, Pomona College
Joshua Wilson, University of Denver

Heidi Reynolds-Stenson, University of Arizona
Jennifer Earl, University of Arizona

Roles of Lawyers and Their Effectiveness
CRN: 28  
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m  
Paper Session

Room: Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Description:
This session explores the many roles of lawyers in society.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors

Presentations:
Access to justice and control the production of future lawyers: legal aid between two logics  
Pablo Fuenzalida, University of Bristol

Examining the Effectiveness of Holistic Defense in Practice  
Brian Ostrom, National Center for State Courts
Matthew Kleiman, National Center for State Courts
Cynthia Lee, National Center State Courts

Negotiation Under Fire: Public Defenders and Plea Bargaining  
Thea Johnson, University of Maine School of Law

Sexist Medicine?: The Helms and Hyde Amendments
CRN: 29  
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m  
Salon Section

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Chair: Michele Goodwin, UC Irvine School of Law

Description:
The Helms and Hyde Amendments have shaped modern medicine for poor women and abroad. Both legislative acts limit the funds that can be used for reproductive healthcare domestically and abroad. This session explores the impact of this type of rule making, examining who benefits and who is harmed by these laws.

Primary Keyword: Class and Inequality
Secondary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:
Gender, Disability, and Informed Consent in the Struggle for Trans Medicaid Access  
Gabriel Arkles, Northeastern University School of Law
Rights Subtracted When the Pregnancy Test Reads Plus: Pregnancy Exceptionalism and the Law  
Grace Howard, Rutgers University

**Sexual crimes, Gender-Based Violence, and the Justice System**
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5

**Facilitators:**  
Rachel Brydolf-Horwitz, University of British Columbia  
Pamela Neumann, Tulane University

**Description:**  
This panel explores how victims of sexual crimes are treated in different legal systems.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**  
Beyond the Digital/Corporeal Divide: Sexualized Violence Online, Legal Invisibility, and the Specter of the Liberal Subject  
Rachel Brydolf-Horwitz, University of British Columbia

The Limits of the Law: Gender-Based Violence and Contentious Politics in Nicaragua  
Pamela Neumann, Tulane University

**Social Dominance and Law: A Global Perspective**
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair:** H. Timothy Lovelace Jr., Indiana University Maurer School of Law

**Disc:** Imogen Goold, University of Oxford

**Primary Keyword:** Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law

**Participants:**  
Sahar Aziz, Texas A& University School of Law  
Olivia Joanna Gall Sonabend, CElICH-UNAM  
Tanya Hernandez, Fordham Law School  
Xuan Thao Nguyen, Indiana University McKinney School of Law  
Carla Pratt, Pennsylvania State University  
Carlton Waterhouse, Indiana University  
Adrien Wing, University of Iowa

**Description:**  
Social dominance theory provides an insightful investigation into social inequality across human societies. As a multilevel integrative theory of intergroup relations, social dominance theory combines broad social analysis with examinations of human psychology. The theory maintains that “human societies tend to organize as group-based social hierarchies in which at least one group enjoys greater social status and power than other groups.” The theory has significant ramifications for the study of law. Most importantly, the theory links ideology, institutions, and individuals together in a comprehensive exploration of dominance across societies. One key feature of the theory is elaborating how institutions function as hierarchy enhancing or hierarchy attenuating. Both historically and today, legal systems play a critical role in maintaining social dominance within some societies and in undermining and diminishing social dominance in others-effectively erecting walls and maintaining borders in some cases and building bridges in others. This session will explore the sociolegal factors driving these differences across societies globally and the best mechanisms to investigate them. The roundtable should provide an examination that explores the issue from multiple perspectives of critical legal analysis including race, class, gender, sexual identity, and religion.

**The Conditions and Consequences of Law’s Power**
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m  
Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc:** Toby Goldbach, Cornell Law School

**Description:**  
This panel explores contemporary strategies for effecting social change by mobilizing or reforming different aspects of the Canadian legal and regulatory structures.

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Movements, Social Issues, and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**  
Cause Lawyering in Canada: Preliminary Findings from an Empirical Study  
Basil Alexander, Queen’s University

Governing through ‘Honesty and Integrity’: Analysis of Municipal Licensing Tribunal decisions Across 3 Canadian Cities  
David Galano, University of Toronto

Reforming the Reform: An analysis of external oversight reforms in the context of the Canadian criminal justice system  
Jihyun Kwon, University of Toronto
The EU Migration Crisis and the Obligations of its Members
CRN: 2
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Panagiotis Loukinas, Queen’s University Belfast

**Description:**
The EU Migration Crisis and the Obligations of its Members

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
A tale of non-state actors and human rights at sea: Maritime migration crisis and commercial vessels’ obligations
Barbara Stepień, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas (Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México)

Breaking down Borders and Encouraging Protection: How International Organisations can regulate state sovereignty by adopting mechanisms which encourage states to provide refugees protection under international law.
Maria O’Sullivan, Monash University

Humanitarianism and EU Border Security: A false distinction between the ‘good’ and the ‘bad’ drone
Panagiotis Loukinas, Queen’s University Belfast

The Intersection of Law and Gender/Sexuality in Contemporary China
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc:** Wenjie Liao, North Carolina State University

**Description:**
Feminist jurisprudence has dissected the gendered nature of legal process while legal consciousness scholars have illustrated how the construction of gender/sexuality (along with other basic social categories) is intersected with the construction of legality. This panel assembles work that shed light on how these processes simultaneously happen in the context of an effective and efficient authoritarian regime. Recent research has demonstrated how authoritarian systems increasingly borrow legitimacy from legal institutions and yet questions remain as how this institutional trend is integrated into local social processes and consciousness. Using China as an example, papers in the panel explore how law matters for the (re)formation of gender/sexuality and vice versa in a society categorized by long-standing patriarchal culture, (relatively) recent revolutionary disruption, and an increasingly strong-handed leadership.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
An Intersectional Analysis of Legal Consciousness of Intellectual Property Rights in Two Chinese Markets
Lillian Hsiao-Ling Su, University of Wisconsin-Madison

China’s Legal Protection on Female Worker’s Labor Right
Youyi Wang, Fujian academy of social science

“Made in Impact Litigation” – Queer Mobilization Before the Law
Di Wang, Department of Sociology at University of Wisconsin-Madison

The Justice Collaboratory: Expanding the Horizons of Research for Criminal Justice Transformation
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** Tom Tyler, Yale Law School

**Description:**
Tom Tyler, co-founder of the Justice Collaboratory at Yale Law School has developed a core theory of procedural justice that now underpins the national agenda around police reform efforts. The papers presented in this salon represent exciting new horizons that push the boundaries of this work, applying procedural justice theory to our empirical understanding of criminal justice transformation efforts for the 21st century.

The overarching goal of the Justice Collaboratory is to foster a sustained joint effort of scholars and researchers of diverse theoretical and methodological orientations at Yale University and elsewhere to work on issues related to institutional reform and policy innovation and advancement. We produce and support the work of scholars who seek to develop theory and empirical research relevant to procedural justice, police legitimacy, social network analysis, restorative justice, democratic participation, and the philosophical determinants of punishment.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Presentations:**
Bad Apples or Bad Networks? The Influence of Ego-Network Structure and Homophily on Police Officer Misconduct in Chicago
Andrew Papachristos, Yale University
The Law of the Land and the Land of the Law (El derecho de la tierra y la tierra del derecho)
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Joxerramon Bengoetxea, University of the Basque Country and Oñati Institute

**Disc:** Lucero Ibarra Rojas, CIDE
Mariana Valverde, University of Toronto

**Participants:**
Monica N. Acosta García, Universidad del Rosario/Universidad del País Vasco
Joxerramon Bengoetxea, University of the Basque Country and Oñati Institute

**Description:**
This session discusses the relationship between Law and Land in several possible ways: the Law of the Land speaks of jurisdiction and also the issues of extra-territoriality. It also speaks of sovereignty and of the law that goes beyond the land in new forms like cybernetic expressions, internet. In a wider sense it speaks of engrained cultural norms that diasporic peoples and migrants take with them and that clash with the law of the land where they land. The Land of the Law speaks of the multiple ways the law regulates the land, as regards its conceptualisation, property regimes, exploitation, preservation in conservationist and environmentalist spirit. The Land of the Law is regulated and compartmentalized, but can the same land be subject to different laws?

Contributions are welcome from different cultures. The sessions will be in English and Spanish, a special call is made to scholars interested in indigenous peoples and their relation to their land

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law
Description:
Over the past two decades, sex offender registries have ballooned in scope even as incarceration rates began to plateau and even decline slightly. A new social movement emerged demanding new laws and policies that in name targeted “human trafficking” but have had the effect of cracking down on garden variety prostitution. And states have continued to enforce HIV-specific criminal laws that criminalize a range of low or no-risk sexual behaviors for people living with HIV. Taking inspiration from the recently published anthology, “The War on Sex,” this panel brings together experts analyzing new and ongoing efforts to criminalize sexuality.

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
Actuarial Risk Assessment and the Legal Construction of the Sexually Violent Predator
Stefan Vogler, Northwestern University

Control to Treat: An Exploration of Black Sexual Criminality, Sickness, and HIV Criminal Law Since the AIDS Epidemic
Kenneth Pass, Northwestern University

Litigating the War on Sex? Invisible Walls, Closed Borders, and Legal Mobilization Challenging Restrictions for Registered Sex Offenders
Edith Kinney, San José State University

One Million and Counting? How Policy Levers will Impact the Future of Sex Offender Registries in the United States.
Trevor Hoppe, University at Albany, SUNY
Kyle Maksuta, University at Albany, SUNY
Bryan Sykes, University of California, Irvine

Revenge Porn and the Third Turn to the State
Andrew Gilden, Willamette University College of Law

Up, Out or Away? Career (Im)mobilities and the Eroding of Lawyer’s Professional Identity in Comparative Perspective
RCCL: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair: Ulrike Schultz, FernUniversität in Hagen

Disc.: Hilary Sommerlad, University of Leeds

Description:
Several sweeping transformations are changing the face of the legal professions in Western liberal states: the globalization of legal services to corporate clients (Dezalay and Garth 1996, 2002, 2011; Rajagopal 2003; Merry 2006; Halliday and Garth 2009), the massive entry of women (Schultz and Shaw 2003, Kay, Alaric and Adjei 2015), the fragmentation and pauperization of a fraction of the profession (Sommerlad 2002), the restructuration of legal practices (Epstein et al. 1995). In this context, career paths are changing, as is the relationship that lawyers develop to their professional identity.

However there is to date scarce research on how these various phenomena intersect and reframe lawyers’ professional ethos. This panel proposes to explore how these processes are changing the career paths of both women and men, and their relationship to their professional identity, commitment and job satisfaction. What are the biographical “turning points” (Hughes 1996) for male and female lawyers in such professional contexts and when and how do their careers start to differentiate from each other?

We will thus investigate how gender discrimination shapes career dynamics, including entry and exit from the profession, how family dynamics influence professional strategies and, conversely, how professional turning points impact on conjugal and childbearing calendars. Our aim is to delineate the contours of emerging processes, and to compare them on the basis of quantitative survey data and/or qualitative studies, in countries characterized by different gender regimes and organization of legal practice, such as France, Germany, Switzerland, the US and Canada.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors

Secondary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
Law as Gendered Labour: Gender, Alienation and Coping Strategies in European Legal Markets.
Isabel Boni le Goff, University of Lausanne

Gregoire Mallard, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies

Nicky Le Feuvre, University of Lausanne
Eleonore Lepinard, University of Lausanne

Opting Out, Opting In, or Shifting Sideways?: Gender and Atypical Careers among Lawyers
Fiona Kay, Queen’s University

Role Transitions and Trajectories of Professional Careers among Women and Men Lawyers in German Cities
Gabriele Plickert, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

“Modern Slaves”? The Effect of Legal Practice Settings on the Perception of Discrimination and Job Satisfaction of French and Swiss Lawyers.
Isabel Boni le Goff, University of Lausanne

Gregoire Mallard, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies

Nicky Le Feuvre, University of Lausanne
Eleonore Lepinard, University of Lausanne

[The rest of the text is not completely visible in the image provided.]
Comparing Legal Professions 30 years after Lawyers in Society: ‘Lawyers in Society 30 Years On’

**RCSL Working Group:** Comparative Studies of Legal Professions

**Tuesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**

**Room:** Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Facilitators:** Rick Abel, UCLA School of Law
Ole Hammerslev, University of Southern Denmark

**Description:**
The research strands which Abel & Lewis’ Lawyers in Society identified have been paradigmatic for later studies. As a product of an epoch of counter-hegemonic political engagement and critical scholarship, it captured many of the dimensions of these upheavals and their impact on the profession and contributed to the processes which were beginning to deconstruct the classical tenets of the professional model. The period since 1988 has seen an acceleration of these processes. Most striking is the transformation of the world order: globalisation, the reconfiguration of nation states and the hegemony of neoliberal discourses. The impact on national professions of these developments has been dramatic, furthering the transformation of e.g. professional rationales and working practices. There is an urgent need to revisit the role of legal professions and to explore comparatively the impact of these transformations. The IRC sessions Comparing legal professions 30 years on will do that.

**Primary Keyword:** Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
**Secondary Keyword:** Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers

**Presentations:**
- Present and Future: A Revised Sociological Portrait of the Indian Legal Profession
  - **Swethaa S. Ballakrishnen**, New York University Abu Dhabi
- The American Legal Profession in the New Millennium: Out of Many, One?
  - **Ann Southworth**, University of California, Irvine
  - **Scott Cummings**, University of California, Los Angeles
- Carroll Seron, University of California, Irvine
- Steven Boutcher, University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- Anna Raup-Kounovsky, University of California, Irvine
- **Rebecca Sandefur**, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
- ‘Encircled’ Profession: The Social Portrait of Russian Attorneys
- **Ekaterina Moiseeva**, European University at St. Petersburg

A Disability Perspective on Legal Competence, Capacity, Autonomy, and Decision-Making

**CRN:** 40

**Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**

**Room:** Caza A (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc:** Anne Bloom, University of California Irvine School of Law

**Description:**
Issues concerning legal competence, capacity, autonomy, and decision-making stand at the center of the disability rights agenda. Legal capacity laws and denial of legal competence manifest the ultimate denial of disabled people’s rights and voice. Recently, these practices are increasingly challenged and new alternatives begin to emerge. Thus, Article 12 to the CRPD mandates that “persons with disabilities have the right to recognition everywhere as persons before the law”; that they should “enjoy legal capacity on an equal basis with others in all aspects of life”; with the support they may require. The panel will present a variety of contexts in which such issues arise, including competency to stand trial in United States immigration removal proceedings, new developments in legal capacity law in France and the international arena, autonomy in assisted suicide cases in Canada, and the challenges of disabled people participation in individual and collective decision making processes.

**Primary Keyword:** Disabilities
**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities, Courts And Trials

**Presentations:**
- Care, Coercion, and Consent: What Challenges for the Law?
  - **Jean-Philippe Cobbaut**, Catholic University of Lille
- Consenting to Involuntary Treatment: A Comparative Analysis of Use and Materialization of Judicial and Clinical Constraint in France and in the US (New York)
  - **Tonya Tartour**, Centre de Sociologie des Organisations, Sciences Po
- Disability and Social Autonomy in the Context of Assisted Suicide
  - **Jonas-Sebastien Beaudry**, University of British Columbia
- Mental capacity law and human rights: a sociological perspective from a French reform example
  - **Benoit Eyraud**, Université de Lyon - CNRS
Abortion Rights Lawfare in Latin America and Beyond: II
IRC: 36
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair: Paola Bergallo, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

Disc.: Isabel Cristina Jaramillo Sierra, Universidad de Los Andes

Description:
The arrival of sexual and reproductive rights on public agendas implies a new moment in the dynamics between law and society. One of the most controversial issues in Latin America is abortion, where both those opposing abortion rights and those favoring its legalization or decriminalization have made recourse to the national and regional courts to try and influence public opinion and policy. The double panel will analyze the strategic uses of law and the role of courts in expanding or restricting access to legal, safe and free abortion services. Studies of strategic litigation in this field are not new, but to date there have been few studies of the strategies of prochoice and antiabortion groups within and beyond the courts. The panels focus on two dimensions: (1) the role that legal, social, moral and religious framings play in the dynamics between pro and anti reproductive rights groups in the struggles over abortion; (2) the concrete impacts of court decisions on public policies

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization
Secondary Keyword: Feminist Jurisprudence

Presentations:
Abortion Lawfare in Argentina: Tracing the Emergence of a New Feminist Legal Support Structure
Paola Bergallo, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

Discursive Continuities and Ruptures over the Total Criminalization of Abortion in El Salvador: An Analysis of Parliamentary and Supreme Court Discourses.
María Angélica Peñas Defago, National University of Córdoba, Argentina

Feminist Disputes over Abortion Regulation in Argentina. Emerging Juridical Matrix during the National Constitutional Reform (1994)
Maria Eugenia Monte, CIJS-UNC/CONICET

Beyond Violence: A Look into Gender Violence and Equality
CRN: 7
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

Chair: Srimati Basu, University of Kentucky

Disc.: Elizabeth MacDowell, William S. Boyd School of Law, UNLV

Description:
While feminist critiques and analyses of domestic violence have profoundly shaped the nature of global laws, violence remains ubiquitous because of piecemeal and narrow processes through which it is defined and addressed. This group of papers launches new perspectives on refining and analyzing domestic violence data, pointing to areas where the gendered specificity of violence goes unmarked and where forms of violence are affected by social and religious practices. The papers span concerns with global gender data and its failure to account for systemic gender violence, the gendered map of gun violence in the US, the ways in which Christian Churches negotiate cases of domestic violence, and the culpability of State actors in the judiciary and law enforcement in exacerbating family violence.

Primary Keyword: Violence
Secondary Keyword: Feminist Jurisprudence

Presentations:
Feminist Legal Theory and Domestic Violence in the Christian Church
Roslyn Satchel, Pepperdine University
Tanya Asim Cooper, Pepperdine University

Firearms in the Family
Carolyn Ramsey, University of Colorado Law School
Borders’ Control and the Ordinary Circumvention of the Law
CRN: 2
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)
Chair: Catherine Benoit, Connecticut College
Disc.: Maria Joao Guia, Coimbra University

Description:
This session aims at critically engaging with law and society scholarship by challenging the Ordinary Circumvention of the Law at the Border. It encourages collective reflections on the effects of borders’ controls (EU-Turkey agreement, anti-migrants walls, pushing back operations...) on migrants’ access to fundamental rights, by shedding light to the common non respect of the Law (Geneva convention, living conditions in detention centers, legal duration of migrants’ detention...). The session welcomes presentations who contribute to better understand mobility regimes by the prism of their contradictory nexus with legal patterns. It is particularly interested in the ordinary interpretation and circumvention of the Law by border officers, NGO’s professionals and volunteers, and inhabitants of border territories. It’s also open to explore tensions, limitations, and opportunities of this ordinary non-respect of the Law within migrants’ struggles and protests at the Border.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Social Movements, Social Issues, and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:
“Illegal” Migration is Speech
Daniel Morales, DePaul University College of Law

Politics of Crimmigration as a Controlling Tool Towards Immigrants
Maria Joao Guia, Coimbra University

The Enacted Citizenship of Irregular Migrants in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights
Alan Desmond, University College Cork / University of Leicester

The Ethics of Immigration Control
Sarah Song, University of California, Berkeley

The Ordinary Circumvention of the Law: Discretion and Everyday life at the EU Border
Annalisa Lendaro, CNRS (French National Center for Scientific Research)

“Seeking” a Birth Declaratory Judgment on the Maroni River: a “Tactic” to Circumvent Border Reinforcement in Postcolonial French Guiana?
Catherine Benoit, Connecticut College

Civil Litigation and Judicial Policy
CRN: 10
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair: Shozo Ota, The University of Tokyo School of Law
Disc. Kota Fukui, Osaka University

Description:
This session is on civil litigation as a dispute resolution mechanism and as a legal policy making mechanism. Professor Kai Kuang and Professor Jize Jiang explore the role of both legal and extra-legal determinants in shaping Chinese civil judges’ decision-making. Professor Ota reports his empirical study on the impacts of court decision on a compensation dispute arising out of the super-aging society of Japan. Professor Spire The study will be based on a nationally representative sample of 3,500 people in France who have been asked questions about legal conflicts and disputes they had with their bosses or their employees at work, with their neighbours or commercial companies. Professor Maria Cecília de Araujo Asperti and Professor Daniela Monteiro Gabbay discuss the issue of representation and empowerment of one-shooters in grand scale mass litigation cases with great social, political and economic impact.

Primary Keyword: Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution
Secondary Keyword: Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

Presentations:
Are the haves getting even more ahead than ever?
The (mis)representation of one-shooters in repeated litigation in Brazilian courts
Maria Cecilia de Araujo Asperti, Universidade de São Paulo (USP) / DIREITO GV
Susana Henriques da Costa, USP
Daniela Monteiro Gabbay, FGV

Senility and Family Responsibility: Judicial Policy in the Super-Aging Society
Shozo Ota, The University of Tokyo School of Law
The Legal/Extra-Legal Controversy: Civil Judicial Decision-Making in China  
Kai Kuang, Law School, Central South University  
Jize Jiang, University of Illinois at Chicago

Comparative History of Legal Cultures (Private Law)  
IRC: 41  
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  
Room: Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
Chair: Andrés Botero Bernal, Industrial University of Santander  
Disc.: Dong Jiang, Renmin University of China  
Description: This session, sponsored by the International Research Collaborative on Comparative Legal History, will compare the history of different legal cultures in the field of private law.  
Primary Keyword: Legal History  
Presentations:  
A Comparison of the Ideas of Justice in the Republic and Mencius  
Chi-Shing Chen, National ChengChi University  
A Missing Bell in Law, Métis History, and How Both Exist in Canadian Society  
Signa Daum Shanks, Osgoode Hall Law School  
Domestic Abuse and the Law in Guatemala, 1964-1996  
John Wertheimer, Davidson College

Constitution and the Judiciary  
RCSL Working Group: Sociology of Constitution  
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  
Room: Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
Chair: Francesco Bilancia, University of Chieti-Pescara  
Disc.: Pedro Fortes, FGV Law School  
Description: The work of judges, in particular of constitutional courts, cannot be simply regarded as an instrument of formal defense of constitutional texts, but at closer sight it appears vital for politically adjusting them to a changing reality.  
Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism  
Secondary Keyword: Judges and Judging  
Presentations:  
“Living Well” Practices: Challenges for Democracy and Law in Latin America (Prácticas del Buen vivir: desafíos para la democracia y el derecho en América Latina)  
Sandra Milena Gómez Santamaria, University of Antioquia, Faculty of Law and Political Sciences
American Colonialism and Constitutional Redemption

Seth Davis, UC Irvine School of Law

Fearing the "Nicas": Perceptions of Immigrants, Legal Attitudes, and Crime Control Policy in Costa Rica

Mary Fran Malone, University of New Hampshire

Federalism vs Federalismo: Not all Roads Lead to Rome

Fernanda Duarte, UNESA e INCT/InEAC/PROPPI/UFF

Rafael Mario Lorio Filho, Universidade Estácio de Sá e INCT-InEAC

Legal Culture and Legal Equality in Brazil

Bruno Silva, UNESA

CRN Handbook Feedback Session

Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Professional Development Panel

Room: Conquista (2nd Floor)
Chair: Nancy Marder, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

Participants:
Vanessa Barker, Stockholm University
Ruben Garcia, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation

Description:
Join the CRN Coordinating Committee members as they describe the new handbook and get feedback from CRN organizers and LSA members who want to organize a new CRN. The goal of this panel is to get feedback, particularly from CRN organizers, as to any changes that still need to be made to the handbook in order to make it a more useful organizing tool.

CRN33 Thematic Session: Walls, Borders, and Bridges: Law and Society in an Inter-connected World and Asia

CRN: 33
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)
Chair: Setsuo Miyazawa, UC Hastings School of Law; Aoyama Gakuin University Law School
Disc.: Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago, Law School

Description:
This is a thematic session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society to align with the theme of the International Meeting on Law and Society 2017. It will be chaired by Professor Setsuo Miyazawa, the co-organiser and the leader of CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. The papers in this session explore different issues concerning “Walls, Borders, and Bridges: Law and Society in an Inter-Connected World and Asia” from different perspectives, such as the difficulties that people in Taiwan face in joining the global human rights networks, carbon dioxide capture and storage technology and related legal issues, the “post-War” reparation program of the Democratic Party of Japan when it was the ruling party in Japan, and the role of Japanese jurist and legal scholar Okamatsu Santarō in “the publication and codification of customary law in colonial Taiwan”. Two papers explore the issue of lay participation in sex crime trials in South Korea and Japan respectively.

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Human Rights, International Human Rights

Presentations:

Jury Trial in Sex Crime Trials in South Korea

Yong Chul Park, Sogang University Law School

Okamatsu Santarō and the Codification of ‘Old Customs’ in Colonial Taiwan: A New Approach to Colonial Legal Knowledge Formation

James Gerien-Chen, Columbia University

Sex Crime Lay Judge Trials in Japan

Mari Hiyayama, Hakuoh University

The Importance of Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage (CCS) Technology in a Carbon Constrained World and Its Legal Issues

Moon-Hyun Koh, Soongsil University

The “Post-War” Reparation Program of Japan’s Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ) Governments 2009-2012

Ethan Hee-Seok Shin, Yonsei University

Walls, Borders & Bridges between UN Human Right Conventions and Taiwan People

Amy Huey-Ling Shee, National Chung Cheng University

Diffused Present and Entangled Pasts: Law and Its Consequences in South Asia

CRN: 22
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m

Room: Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair: Shanthi Senthe, Thompson Rivers University

Description:
This panel is part of a larger IRC project and will bring together legal scholars on South Asia to collaborate on the theme of Legal Institutions within a contextualized historical framework that emphasizes trajectories of continuity and change from the colonial to post-colonial as well as of similitude and divergence across the
South Asian region. In its framing of both themes and methodology, the IRC makes a conscious departure from conventional single country studies that, while valuable in their own right, do not directly speak to each other. This panel will seek to articulate new approaches to South Asian legal scholarship that will at the same time make valuable and rigorous contributions to law and society work and comparative law in general. It will structure scholarly analysis around mainstream public-legal institutions, particularly courts and lawyers, to provide a more holistic view of the legal system and public-legal institutions.

**Primary Keyword:** South Asia, South Asian Studies, South Asian Law and Society  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Structure, Legal Institutions

**Presentations:**  
Judging the Postcolony: Historicizing the Judiciary in South Asia  
*Cynthia Farid*, University of Wisconsin Law school  
The Law’s Gatekeepers: Investigating the Role of Lawyers and the Judiciary in Adjudicating Fundamental Rights Petitions in Sri Lanka  
*Dinesha Samararatne*, University of Colombo, Sri Lanka  
Transaction Cost of Justice Delivery: a Closer look at the Formality in Legal Institutions in South Asia  
*Yugank Goyal*, University Hamburg  
What’s in it for the Litigant? A Study of Access to Justice and the Legal Complex in Pakistan  
*Maryam Khan*, Institute of Development & Economic Alternatives (IDEAS)

**Discrimination and Exclusion in the Criminal Justice System, and Life behind Bars**  
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m  
Salon Session  
**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 7

**Description:**  
This panel brings together scholars exploring issues within the criminal justice system.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice  
**Presentations:**  
Rethinking Impunity: Prejudice as a Source for Discrimination or Exclusion in the Criminal Justice System  
*Maria Mercedes Gomez*, Saint Mary’s University  
There’s No Time for Access to Justice...” Bureaucracy, Standardisation and Defendant Marginalisation in Summary Criminal Proceedings.  
*Lucy Welsh*, University of Sussex

**Documenting the Prison: Conditions of Confinement, Human Rights, and Transparency**  
CRN: 27  
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m  
Roundtable Session  
**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)  
**Chair:** Gillian Balfour, Trent University  
**Participants:**  
*Bree Carlton*, Monash University  
*Erika Meiners*, Northeastern Illinois University  
*Dawn Moore*, Carleton University  
*Debra Parkes*, Peter A. Allard School of Law, University of British Columbia  
*Sarah Turnbull*, Birbeck, University of London

**Description:**  
This roundtable examines the challenges and necessity of systematically documenting conditions of confinement and the violation of human rights inside various penal spaces, such as solitary confinement, and immigration detention. We explore different strategies of knowing the prison, such as access to information requests and community-based interviews with formerly incarcerated men and women. We consider the empirical, political, and theoretical strengths and limitations of these inquiries: the drift towards a phenomenology of the prison; the strengths and limitations of penal reform; and the complexity of abolitionist activism in a rights-based legally inscribed process.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control  
**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Economic and Social Rights in Comparative Perspective**  
CRN: 47  
Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)  
**Chair:** Ana Maria Sanchez Rodriguez, Univ. of Massachusetts Boston

**Description:**  
This panel examines national implementation of economic and social rights utilizing a comparative analysis. Papers examine methods and means of domestic implementation in two or more states highlighting differences between and similarities within those states. The authors draw conclusions about the processes and outcomes of economic and social rights realization within those states and utilize these conclusions to develop generalizable recommendations about the national implementation of these rights. The papers address diverse issues of economic and social rights domestic implementation including constitutional recognition, justiciability, and norm expansion.
Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
  Elizabeth Boyle, University of Minnesota
- Defining “International Students”: The First Step Towards Understanding Economic and Social Rights of the “In-Between” Population of Student-Migrants
  Elena Taborda, University of Massachusetts Boston
- Guidance and Counseling Unit in Nigerian Hospitals as a Tool in Curbing the Incessant Rate of Abortion in Nigeria: Findings from a Case Study Research
  Ganiat Olatokun, University of Ilorin
- Human Dignity as a Constitutional Source for Social Rights: Comparing Germany and Israel
  Michal Kramer, Freie Universität Berlin
- Avishai Benish, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Emotion in the Courtroom
IRC: 12
Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 8
Chair: Emily White, New York University School of Law

Description:
Emotion is increasingly seen as an important subject of study in the courtroom; having significant potential impact on the conduct of legal proceedings, legal judgements, and justice generally. This panel, examining the role of emotion variously from psychological and sociological perspectives, will focus on the impact of visual evidence (gruesome photos and citizen videography) and the effects of emotion suppression on jurors, and the performance and management of emotion by lawyers in the courtroom.

Primary Keyword: Law and Psychology
Secondary Keyword: Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

Presentations:
- The Effects of Emotion Suppression on Jurors’ Memory and Expression of Racial Bias
  Liana Peter-Hagene, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale
- Jessica Salerno, Arizona State University
- Viewing Gruesome Photographs in Black-and-White Rather than Color Decreases Mock Jurors’ Disgust Reaction and Conviction Rate
  Jessica Salerno, Arizona State University

Financial Regulation: Changes and Interconnections
CRN: 5
Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair: Adam Feibelman, Tulane Law School
Participants:
- John Crawford, University of California Hastings College of the Law
- Tim Samples, University of Georgia
- David Zaring, The Wharton School

Description:
Financial regulation might be the most globalized and inter-connected form of regulation there is. In this roundtable, we explore various aspects of that interconnection. The roundtable will consider broad themes, including the emerging process of creating global regulations for banks. It will also take on more targeted developments, such as emerging trends on capital flows and sovereign debt in Latin America. Prescriptions will be considered, including prescriptions as to how regulators ought to think about banking oversight in a world with permeable borders to how they ought to think about who deserves to be considered a financial institution and why.

Primary Keyword: Regulation, Reform, and Governance

Food Labor Justice: Law Reform and Food Systems
Approaches to Farmworker Protection
CRN: 26
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Roundtable Session

Room: Colonia (2nd Floor)
Chair: Francine Lipman, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Participants:
- Uche Ewelukwa, University of Arkansas School of Law
- Stephanie Tai, University of Wisconsin Law School
- Gerald Torres, Cornell Law School
- Sarah Paoletti, University of Pennsylvania Law School

Description:
Waged farmworkers are a large, deeply disadvantaged population holding the key to difficult social problems such as child labor. More than 15 percent of the world’s workers (650 million people), are waged agricultural workers, or farmworkers.

Despite their number, farmworkers are invisible in most international governance. Influenced by powerful agricultural interests, civil society and government ignore farmworkers. Corporate social responsibility in agriculture is unusually weak.
As a result, farmworkers bear the brunt of low food prices with little social protection. Vulnerable communities are overrepresented: racial minorities, indigenous people, migrants, trafficked people, and children. Agriculture has the highest incidence of child trafficking. Locally, Central Americans labor on southern Mexican farms, and Mexicans migrate to work on farms in the north.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Getting a Tenure Track Job in Undergraduate Legal Studies**

**Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**

**Professional Development Panel**

**Room:** Clascio (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Renee Cramer, Drake University

**Participants:**
- Matthew Canfield, New York University, Department of Anthropology
- Joanna Grisinger, Northwestern University
- Lauren McCarthy, University of Massachusetts Amherst
- Mark Miller, Clark University
- Michael Yarbrough, John Jay College (CUNY)

**Description:**
This roundtable will offer perspectives from hiring committees at a range of institutions, as well as from folks recently on the job market. We will discuss what particular things search committees look for, in teaching-focused programs with an interest or specialization in Legal Studies.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students

**Impunity & Punishment**

**CRN: 27**

**Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** Jennifer Carlson, University of Arizona

**Disc:** Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine

**Description:**
As decades of socio-legal scholarship reveal, how and who societies punish reveals a great deal about social mechanisms of social exclusion and social inequality. But as punishment pushes out some members of society in both subtle and blunt ways, it also pulls others in. The goal of this panel is to examine punishment’s sociolegal doppelganger – impunity – and to interrogate the interface of law, punishment and impunity. It draws on a variety of cases, across borders and in different historical moments, to examine the relationship between punishment and impunity and how this relationship becomes productive of social identities and communities. How and when is impunity achieved through punishment (think, e.g.: the token punishments too often doled out to convicted rapists of privileged backgrounds), versus punishment through impunity (think: the moral outrage waged against those deemed legally innocent but socially condemned)? How do law and sociological practices – understood broadly, from legal consciousness to criminal law – produce both zones of criminality as well as arenas of impunity? How is the line between punishment and impunity negotiated in ways that not only punish and exonerate but also help to constitute and consolidate communities, particularly along the lines of race and nation? Recognizing that the determinants and consequences of the impunity-punishment nexus vary across social space, papers in this panel entertain arenas as distinct as markets-in-the-making, extra-legal penal spectacles, nation-making practices, and criminal procedure proper.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

**Presentations:**
- Impunity at the Heart of Anglo-American Legal Tradition
  - Jessica Cobb, UCLA Law

  - Angela Fillingim, University of California, Irvine

- The Spectacular Politics of Peculiar Institutions: Racial Visuality in the Deaths of Sam Hose, Emmett Till, and Michael Brown
  - Jennifer Carlson, University of Arizona
  - Michelle S. Phelps, University of Minnesota

**Inclusion/Exclusion in Theory and Practice: Paradoxes and Continuums Over Social, Juridical, and Political Discourses (CLSA)**

**CRN: 28**

**Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Umut Özu, Carleton University

**Description:**
Spanning a series of contemporary issues from the marginalization of women in Canada’s federal government to the regulatory consequences of consent law on BDSM communities, this panel engages with current practices of inclusion/exclusion as they pertain to both Canada and beyond. Tackling domains of ongoing exclusion, this interdisciplinary
panel examines how acts of inclusion/exclusion are not a simple polemic. Conceptualizing inclusion/exclusion as a continuum, this panel comments on the arbitrariness of exclusion and how acts of inclusion have a secondary exclusionary effect, such as restricting alternative conceptions of death. Drawing these theoretical conceptions back to grounded practices such as forced migration or the use of the word feminism in international agreements/procedures, this panel asserts practices of inclusion/exclusion exist in/between social, juridical, and political discourses, rendering them a fruitful interdisciplinary conception both in theory and practice.

**Primary Keyword:** Canadian Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- Crisis and Survival: evolving grounds of forced migration in the context of domains of exclusion in Venezuela and Colombia  
  **Jay Ramasubramanyam**, Carleton University
- Dominating Dominants: Using Foucault to Examine the (Re)Construction of Social and Legal Consent Discourses  
  **Lauren Menzie**, Carleton University
- Exclusion in Practice: Canadian Federal Representation and the Perpetual Marginalization of Women  
  **Gillian Harris**, Carleton University
- Feminist Discourse within the Political Domain: Is it enough?  
  **Joshua Mann**, Carleton University
- The Grammar of (Governing) Death: Physician-Assisted Death in the Canadian Juridico-Politico Context  
  **Garrett Lecoq**, Carleton University

**International Justice, International Human Rights, and International Standard for Humane Treatment**

**Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m**

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**
This panel explores human rights in an international context.

**Presentations:**
- Avoiding International Human Rights Law in the Pursuit of Peace  
  **Chris Kendall**, University of Puget Sound
- Implementing international minimum standards for prisons: Prisoner and staff perceptions of humane treatment in two prison systems in the Dominican Republic  
  **Jennifer Peirce**, CUNY Graduate Center & John Jay College
- The International Criminal Court and ‘Ending Impunity’  
  **Satwant Kaur**, University of Warwick
- The Rise of Militarized Zero Tolerance Policing and Gang Violence in the US and Central America  
  **Danielle Mackey**, Freelance journalist

**Law and the Economic State of Exception, 2**

**Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m**

**Room:** Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Viviana Tacha Gutierrez, Centro de Estudios para la Justicia Social Tierra Digna

**Description:**
This paper session explores the intertwined relations between law, economy and the state of exception in the contemporary liberal-democracies. Drawing from cases of study and historical experiences, the session will discuss the uses of the state of exception as economic policies. Furthermore, this session aims to bring together not only scholars who are analyzing the uses of the state of exception, but also scholars who are analyzing the socio-political and economic effects of such practices. Experiences, such as the uses of the state of exception as a strategy to tackle economic crisis; the analysis of the intimate relationship between neoliberalism and exceptionality in both the Global North and South; the exclusive inclusion of workers within the Labor laws and regulations; the uses of the state of exception as a strategy to promote economic-neoliberal-development; and the systematic uses of exceptional laws to remove communities from their lands are some of the examples of the cases and exercises of economic-legal power this session aims to discuss.

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

**Secondary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

**Presentations:**
- Everyday Evictions, Welfare, Dispossession and Profit in the UK  
  **Vickie Cooper**, The Open University
- Exploitation and coercion in immigration detention: Spaces of exception?  
  **Katie Bales**, Bristol University
- Framing the Housing Question in Economic States of Exception: Interrogating the Power and Paradoxes of Housing Microfinance Delivery in Central America  
  **Sarah Sharma**, Queen’s University
- Governing Access to “Safe” and “Affordable” Housing for Nairobi’s Urban Refugees  
  **Ali Bhagat**, Queen’s University
- Susanne Soederberg, Queen’s University
Unpacking Legacies of Colonialism in Labour Law: the Case of Waste Pickers’ Claims for Labour Recognition

Marisa Natalia Fassi, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba Argentina

**Law, Techno-Science & Society: Research at the Intersection of STS & Socio-legal Studies**

Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

*Room:* Caza B (3rd Floor)

*Chair:* Allison Fish, Indiana University & University of Queensland

*Participants:*
  - Andrea Ballestero, Rice University
  - Lotta Björlund Larsen, Linköping University
  - Simon Cole, University of California, Irvine
  - Leo Coleman, Hunter College/CUNY
  - Vivette Garcia Deister, UNAM
  - Kathryn Henne, University of Waterloo;
  - Australian National University
  - Anna Kirkland, University of Michigan

*Description:*
The complex inter-relationships between law, techno-science, and society are central to the shaping of everyday life. As such, these entanglements have held the sustained interest of researchers from the interdisciplinary fields of both Socio-legal Studies and Science & Technology Studies (STS). This roundtable brings together speakers working at this intersection whose research covers a range of topics (from the evidentiary value of forensic science to the regulation of doping, to health, environment, and tax policy issues), and is situated in a variety of geographic locations (including the US, India, Costa Rica, Chile, Sweden, and Mexico).

The goal is to create an open dialog on how socio-legal scholarship and STS are being brought into productive conversation and how both fields might inform theory and/or method. In addition, the roundtable will assess whether there would be interest in the creation of a stand-alone Collaborative Research Network on this topic.

*Primary Keyword:* Social Theory and Law

*Legal Mobilization for Sexuality Claims in Latin America: Cases and Perspectives of the Road Towards Inclusion**

CRN: 21
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

*Chair:* Julieta Lemaître, Universidad de los Andes

*Description:*
LGBT movements in Latin America have recently won important fights such as same-sex marriage, gender identity regulations, and prohibitions on discrimination. This panel analyzes five of such fights. Panelists will study the recognition of same-sex marriage in Mexico and Colombia, analyzing the appropriation of constitutional law by the LGBT and the conservative movements, and studying the interaction between LGBT and feminist claims in family law. They will also explore discrimination cases, dissecting the way in which gay and lesbian activists have used the Inter-American System of Human Rights to legitimate their claims and potentiate their domestic struggles, and the interaction between social and legal tactics. They will finally address the legal mobilization campaign of the Colombian trans movement towards the liberalization of the legal regulation of gender identity, focusing on the mechanisms through which support structures for legal mobilization were built.

*Primary Keyword:* Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

*Secondary Keyword:* Gender and Sexuality

*Presentations:*
  - Sergio Urrego Case Study: Social and Legal Mobilization
  - Viviana Bohórquez-Monsalve, Universidad de los Andes
  - Sexualidad al amparo. Discurcos de protección legal de la diversidad sexual ante la Corte Interamericana de Derechos Humanos
  - Jaime Andrés Contreras, Universidad de los Andes
  - Support Structures for Legal Mobilization: the Case of the Colombian Trans Movement
  - Emilio Lehoucq, Universidad de los Andes
  - Where are the feminists? Same-Sex Marriage and Family Law in Mexico
  - Alma Beltran y Puga, Universidad de los Andes

*LSA Business Meeting*
Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Business Meeting

*Room:* Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

*Participants:*
  - Susan Olson, Law and Society Association
  - Valerie Hans, Cornell Law School
  - Kim Lane Scheppel, Princeton University
  - John Francis, The University of Utah

*New Corporeal Humanisms: Law & Social Change II**

CRN: 9
Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Imperio A (2nd Floor)
Chair: Michael Thomson, University of Leeds

Description:
These sessions explore the emergence of a new humanist discourse in feminist theory. In contrast to the (religious and secular) humanisms of the twenty-first-century, these twenty-first-century humanisms begin with the body and its vulnerability. An ontology shaped by political aspirations and theory, these models centre corporeality and our shared vulnerability to suffering and harm as a way of figuring a new ethic of responsibility. Theories of vulnerability, precarity, capability, corporeal altruism, social flesh and so forth, provide a means of articulating the responsibilities of the state to its citizens, distant (and not so distant) others, and non-human beings. These sessions address how the new corporeal humanisms have been responded to in legal studies. They seek to test the utility of these theories for legal projects for social change. Further, across a number of different substantive areas of concern these papers seek to test their theoretical and practical limits.

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law
Secondary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:
- Conceptualizing and Responding to Child-to-Parent Violence: Lessons from (and for) the New Corporeal Humanisms.
  Sam Lewis, University of Leeds
- From ‘Being Vulnerable’ to ‘at Risk of Harm’: Creating Empowering Practice in Adult Safeguarding
  Amanda Keeling, University of Leeds
- Negotiating the Borderlands of Legal Capacity: Reflections on Vulnerability, Capability and Research with Intellectually Disabled Adults
  Rosie Harding, University of Birmingham
- The ‘Paradigm Shift’ of the UNCRPD and the Spaces of Mental Capacity Law
  Beverley Clough, University of Leeds

Queer and the Inter/national
CRN: 23
Tuesday Session II, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair: Bérénice K. Schramm, SOAS University of London

Participants:
- Grietje Baars, City University London
- Maria Federica Moscati, University of Sussex
- Rahul Rao, SOAS, University of London
- Sophie Rigney, Melbourne Law School
- Amr Shalakany, American University in Cairo
- Ralph Wilde, UCL

Description:
The Queer project in (international) law has always focused on disrupting while bridging identity and disciplinary boundaries, shedding light on the interconnected-ness of patterns of domination and invisibilization engendered by legal technologies and narratives at various levels. Bringing together 8 scholars working around the inexhaustible and interchangeable notions of body and territory, the roundtable will weave together reflections about how (international) law is (re-)produced through the othering, in social medias or policy-making or even litigation strategy, of certain bodies, identities and conceptions of sovereignty, here (in Mexico), there (in Canada, Italy, Egypt, India, Nepal and Australia) and globally. Reclaiming the emancipatory potential of said othering (through and/or in spite of law) as a better future in the making and as a juster global rooted in the local is the red thread of this unique roundtable, showcasing the everlasting topicality of Queer legal studies.

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

After 40 Years: A Roundtable Discussion
CRN: 12
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair(s): Mario Barnes, University of California-Irvine
Angela Onwuachi-Willig, University of California, Berkeley School of Law

Participant(s):
- Mario Barnes, University of California-Irvine
- Trina Jones, Duke University School of Law
- Osagie Obasogie, University of California, Berkeley
- Angela Onwuachi-Willig, UC Berkeley School of Law

Description:
Forty years ago, the U.S. Supreme Court decided Washington v. Davis, the case that established the intent standard in equal protection law. The Court declared that facially neutral practices that had negative, disproportionate effects on racial minorities were insufficient to prove a violation of the Constitution’s equal protection mandate. Rather, proof of discriminatory intent was needed. Over the years, social psychologists have exposed the faults in the Court’s theory about the operation of discrimination, particular through their research on implicit bias, the non- prejudices and stereotypes that individuals non-consciously make about others. In this roundtable discussion, Professors Mario Barnes, Wendy Greene, Trina Jones, Melissa Murray, Angela Onwuachi-Willig, and Catherine Smith take this 40th anniversary opportunity to reflect upon Washington v. Davis and the inequities perpetuated or ignored as a result of the case’s intent standard.
Tuesday 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.

**Rights, Politics and Protection: Global Forced Displacement**
CRN: 11
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Steven Bender, Seattle University School of Law

**Disc.:** Michael Addoney, University of Energy and Natural Resources

**Description:**
In 2015, the United Nations High Commission for Refugee recorded high trends of global forced displacement. Some 65.3 million people (i.e., one person in 113) were displaced from their homes due to violent conflict, persecution and human rights violation in 2015. For those able to cross an international border (i.e., refugees and asylum seekers) their survival hangs on geopolitical narratives of terrorism, boundaries and global security. Those incapable of leaving (i.e., internally displaced persons) are faced with unprecedented exposure to real violence on a daily basis. While others linger within the space of being irregular, undocumented and stateless migrants, some, especially children and women are challenged with rebuilding their lives over a long period of time after the conflict ends. This Panel discusses the diverse nature of forced displacement in Africa and the Americas pertinent to children’s rights, refugee integration, state responsibility and migrants’ protection at sea.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** War and Armed Conflict

**Presentations:**

- A Migration Story from the Sugar Fields of Southwest Guatemala  
  Blake Nordahl, McGeorge Law School

- Bridging the Integration Gap: A Practical Proposal for Teaching Refugees about U.S. Law and Justice  
  Megan Ballard, Gonzaga School of Law

- Deadly Voyage: African Migrants Crossing the Mediterranean  
  Veronica Fynn Bruye, Australian National University

- Internal Refugees in the Lake Chad Basin and the Displacement of International Law  
  Jerusa Ali, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

- The Challenges and Opportunities for the Implementation of the Rights of Children in Displaced Situations: The Case of Refugee Children in Ethiopia  
  Kebede Brook, University of Gondar

**Sexual Victimization during Incarceration: Assessing Reporting, Investigation and Case Outcomes**
IRC: 31
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5

**Facilitators:** Hannah Brenner, California Western School of Law  
Sheryl Kubiak, Michigan State University

**Description:**
Sexual victimization is underreported and if reported, few cases are investigated or prosecuted. Although these gaps exist in the community, there is scant research on similar gaps for those sexually victimized during incarceration. Although prison processes are more regulatory than legal, the parallel phases of reporting, investigation and substantiation of an allegation mirror community processes. Reports of victimization result in decisions regarding the merit of the report, whether to investigate, and if the allegation is warranted. In this saloon we use litigation files from a class action on behalf of 809 incarcerated women sexually victimized by staff, to stimulate discussion on the following: Who reports victimization to staff and what factors predict reporting? What allegations of staff sexual misconduct are substantiated and what factors predict? What are standards of evidence in prison investigations per policy, and do investigations actually reflect evidentiary standards?

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control  
**Secondary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**

- Predictors of Outcomes in Prison-based Sexual Assault Internal Affairs Investigations  
  Cristy Cummings, Michigan State University

- Hannah Brenner, California Western School of Law  
  Deborah Bybee, Michigan State University

- Rebecca Campbell, Michigan State University  
  Kathleen Darcy, Michigan State Univ. College of Law

- Gina Fedock, University of Chicago  
  Sheryl Kubiak, Michigan State University

- Prisons, Sexual Abuse, and Preponderance of the evidence: Exploring Issues with Internal Investigations  
  Kathleen Darcy, Michigan State Univ. College of Law

- Hannah Brenner, California Western School of Law  
  Cristy Cummings, Michigan State University

- Sheryl Kubiak, Michigan State University

- Staff Sexual Misconduct in Women’s Prisons: Predictors of Reporting  
  Gina Fedock, University of Chicago

- Hannah Brenner, California Western School of Law

- Deborah Bybee, Michigan State University
Susanna Blumenthal - “Law and the Modern Mind: Consciousness and Responsibility in American Legal Culture”
CRN: 44
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Imperio B (2nd Floor)

Author: Susanna Blumenthal, University of Minnesota

Readers:
Binyamin Blum, Hebrew University
Hendrik Hartog, Princeton University
Nomi Maya Stolzenberg, USC Law School
Martha Umphrey, Amherst College

Description:
In postrevolutionary America, the autonomous individual was both the linchpin of the new nation and a perpetual threat to the founders’ vision of ordered liberty. Conceiving of self-government as a psychological as well as a political project, jurists built a republic of laws upon the Enlightenment science of the mind with the aim of producing a responsible citizenry. Susanna Blumenthal’s Law and the Modern Mind (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2016) probes the assumptions and consequences of this endeavor, revealing how ideas of human consciousness, agency, and accountability have shaped American jurisprudence. This author-meets-readers panel will situate Blumenthal’s book within the wider fields of Anglophone legal history, the history of the legal profession, forensic science, law and religion, and law and social theory.

Focusing on everyday adjudication, Blumenthal shows that sanity was regularly disputed in courtroom contests about civil as well as criminal matters. Litigants presented conflicting religious, philosophical, and medical understandings of the self, intensifying fears of a populace maddened by too much liberty. Judges struggled to reconcile common sense notions of rationality and moral agency with a new medical psychology which suggested that deviant behavior might result from disease rather than conscious choice. They faced serious conundrums as they attempted to apportion legal responsibility in terms of mental capacity, seeking to protect the helpless from imposition while ensuring the security of business transactions in a developing capitalist economy that was plagued by panics and depressions. Defining the threshold of competence was especially vexing in litigation within the family circle, which raised uncomfortable questions about the relationship between love and consent as well as the obligations of kinship and marriage. This body of law and practice coalesced into a jurisprudence of insanity that illuminates the positions of other categories of persons to whom the insane were compared, particularly children, married women, and slaves. Over time, the latitude accorded to the eccentric was enlarged as jurists came to recognize the diversity of beliefs that could be held by otherwise reasonable persons. In calling attention to the problematic relationship between consciousness and liability, Law and the Modern Mind casts new light on the meanings of freedom and the constitution of the self in the formative era of American law.

The questions about personhood animating Blumenthal’s study are fundamental to socio-legal studies. To place the mind “in issue” was also to raise an array of issues about gender roles, race relations, generational equity, and distributive justice. The proposed panel of prominent scholars is ideally suited to respond to the book’s arguments and facilitate a wide-ranging interdisciplinary conversation about the law’s ways of conceiving, producing, and regulating responsible selves.

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Terrorism and Security
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Anna Hood, University of Auckland

Description:
In this panel, scholars from a number of different jurisdictions will explore contemporary issues related to the theories, doctrines and technologies of security.

Primary Keyword: Terrorism, National Security

Presentations:
Defining Terrorism: What Qualifies as an Act of Terrorism and Who Gets Labeled a Terrorist?
Zach Sommers, Northwestern University

Does Broadening Security Trigger Arbitrariness or Accommodating State Space? : The Notion of Security at Stake or a Step Forward?
Kyungeun Park, University of Warwick

Informing on Oneself and Others: New Uses of Denunciation and Confession in Security
Karine Cote-Boucher, Universite de Montreal
Anthony Amicelle, Universite de Montréal

Terror from Above: New Technologies for an American Tradition
Andrew Roesch-Knapp, University of California, Irvine
Towards a Taxonomy of the Exception: Lessons from Israel’s Legal Doctrines on Torture
Irit Blass, Tel Aviv University

**The Black Box of Expertise in Transnational Dispute Settlement**
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Pola Cebulak, iCourts, University of Copenhagen

**Disc.:** Pascale Cornut St-Pierre, Faculty of Law (Civil Law Section), University of Ottawa

**Description:**
The panel will address the role of expertise across different regimes of international law, such as European Union law, international criminal law, WTO law and transnational investment law and Arbitration. Instead of focusing on the scientific quality of the expertise or on the role of experts as translators between the local and international levels, we will focus on the strategic deployment of expertise as a “black box” in transnational dispute settlement. For the purposes of this inquiry expertise is understood broadly – not only as reliance on evidence from non-legal experts, but also as deployment of concepts and techniques that aim at bringing a decision away from the realm of law and politics by suggesting a more objectivized decision-making instead. The panel participants will highlight the commonalities and differences in the strategies of not only de-legalization, but also de-politicization of dispute settlement through expertise based on concrete case studies contextualized in the domains of trade, investment, rule of law and international criminal law. The thrust and an ultimate added value of the project of this panel is thus expected to demonstrate how we should understand changes in transnational dispute settlement as a whole, rather than in particular sectorial regimes. Operating within the rubric of efficiency, technicization and objectivity, how does expertise, when centralized as part of transnational dispute settlement, obscures, challenge, or assist in achieving judicial function or the exercise of global governance?

**Primary Keyword:** Disputes, Mediation, and Negotiation

**Secondary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational

**Presentations:**
- Contesting Expert Governance
  - Marija Bartl, University of Amsterdam

  Discourses on Constitutionalism and the Rule of Law in the European Union. The Emperor’s New Clothes?
  - Pola Cebulak, iCourts, University of Copenhagen

  New generation of model investment agreements: announcing the change of social norms of the investment arbitration through political statements
  - Anna Aseeva, University of La Rochelle

  Retooling “Expertise”: Local Constructions of International Criminal Law Content in the Trial of Hisséne Habré
  - Kerstin Carlson, University of Copenhagen

  The Inter-‘play’ between International Humanitarian Law and International Human Rights Law - Lex Specialis as Secret Agent, art 31(3)(c) VCLT as Double Agent, Human Rights as window dressing, Victims as Themselves
  - Ka Lok Yip, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies

**The Complex Development of Colonial Identities:**
Subjectivity, Race, Religion, and Consumption.
CRN: 39
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 9

**Chair:** Rolando Garcia Miron, Stanford Law School

**Disc.:** Jedidiah Kroncke, FGV Sao Paulo

**Description:**
This panel investigates the colonial subjects and the transformations on their identities resulting from the colonial experience. The existential questions are beyond the national identity and the fights for independence. Subjectivity is affected by racial discrimination, religious disputes, and the objectification of the colonial subject treated as mere means of labor and consumption of goods for the empire. Papers focus on various struggles for recognition of the colonial subject, such as racial relations in Brazil and the U.S., evangelism in Nigeria, imperialism in Canada and consumerism in Mexico.

**Primary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Secondary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**Presentations:**
- Law and racial discrimination: role and efficacy of law as regulator of race relations in contemporary Brazil.
  - Gislene Santos, Universidade de Sao Paulo

  “Subject to the Kingdom of Great Britain”:
  Subjecthood, Sovereignty, and the Creation of Empire in Canada’s Maritime Provinces.
  - Robert Hamilton, University of Victoria (Canada)

**The Meaning of Childhood in Central America**
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Jorge Cuéllar, Yale University
Description:
Since 2014, the positioning of Central American youth and childhood at the core of debates around migration in the U.S. has focused our attention to the daily lives of young people in the so-called “Northern Triangle”: El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras. The concern around youth, in such countries whose age averages in the early to mid-twentieths, is of critical import to governments, supranational organizations, NGOs, and activists alike as they are the basis for policy development and funding towards remediying the problem. The surge of unaccompanied children from Central America to the United States has, to us as scholars, effectively raised awareness of the inhumanity of borders and detention, illuminated the deep reactionary nationalisms in the U.S., and forced us to acknowledge the unprecedented violence and injustice of the carceral regime. This panel reflects on, from a variety of disciplinary perspectives, the construction of childhood in Central America.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Family, Youth, and Children

Presentations:
Borrando la inocencia de un niño: The Dehumanization Rhetoric of Central American Youth Seeking Refuge in the United States
Maria Vargas, University of Maryland

Certain Histories, Uncertain Lives: Imagining Alternative Futures for Unaccompanied Minors
Karla Cativo, University of California, Santa Cruz

The Digital War for Central America
Daniel Alvarenga, Ind. Scholar/SalvaCultura.com

The War Tax: Salvadoran Childhood in the Epoch of Governmental Precarcification
Jorge Cuéllar, Yale University

The Old Voter Suppression and the Cycles of American Electoral History
CRN: 44
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable

Room: Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair(s): Luis Fuentes-Rohwer, Indiana University

Participant(s):
Guy-UrIEL Charles, Duke University Law School
Atiba Ellis, West Virginia University
Luis Fuentes-Rohwer, Indiana University
Joshua Sellers, University of Oklahoma

Description:
In recent years, and especially in the wake of the Shelby County decision, we have witnessed a retrenchment on voting rights laws across the states. This retrenchment has had a pernicious effect on the voting rights of voters of color. But this is not a new phenomenon. The history of the right to vote in the United States is not a whiggish history of inevitable progress. Rather, it is a story of ebbs and flows, successes and failures, of constant attention and continuous political struggle. It is a story that highlights the underappreciated role of social movements, the limited role played by the US Supreme Court, and the limits of constitutional norms, even explicit ones. This roundtable will discuss this history and place modern attempts to curtail the right to vote in their proper historical context.

Primary Keyword: Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions
Secondary Keyword: Race and Ethnicity

Visions of Incipient Transnational Labor Governance
CRN: 8
IRC: 43
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s): Frank Munger, New York Law School

Disc.: Lance Compa, Cornell University

Description:
These papers present alternative models of transnational labor governance, filling the gap created by the rise in power of the MNC and the decline in regulatory effectiveness of national collective action and regulation. The presenters not only imagine plausible futures, but also investigate steps that might get us from here to there. One paper examines the EU social model, and urges that reflexive labor law is the only viable means to move forwards towards an enhanced, better-integrated social Europe. Another presents a case study of the impact of the nascent TPP, a 12-country mega-trade agreement, on labor policy and practices in Vietnam. Two other papers analyze the possibilities that the TPP and similar agreements might be used to further a progressive labor agenda. The final paper considers the role of the ILO and non-state organizations and actors in producing transnational governance spaces through increasingly complex reliance on international labor law.

Primary Keyword: Labor and Employment
Secondary Keyword: Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

Presentations:
Labour Standards Enforcement Within and Between Party States Under the Trans-Pacific Partnership: A Case Study of Vietnam
John Howe, Melbourne Law School
Ingrid Landau, Melbourne Law School
Soft methods and regional solutions combating global tendencies in order to preserve or improve existing standards

Csilla Lehoczy Kollonay, Central European University

Supply Chains, Private International Law, Regionalization of Markets in Goods, Services and Labor, and Mega-FTAs: Can We Reverse the Cumulative Diminishment of Workers’ Rights and Workers’ Power

Marley Weiss, Univ. of Maryland Carey School of Law

The European Social Model and Reflexive Labour Law

Ralf Rogowski, University of Warwick

Towards a transnational labour governance: The role and shape of a progressive trade agenda

Michele Rioux, UQAM

Sylvain Zini, UQAM

Transnational Futures of International Labor Law

Adelle Blackett, Faculty of Law, McGill University

When Disease Knows No Boundaries: Zika and Public Health Challenges of the 21st Century

CRN: 29
Tuesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 6

Chair/Disc.: Michele Goodwin, UC Irvine School of Law

Description:
This panel considers the contours, limits, and reach of a disease across borders, identities, and political systems. Does feminism yield a transferable or recognizable jurisprudential methodology or a political ideology? Are its contours versatile or its公安部 constrained around autonomy or other limiting conceptual walls?

Presentations:

- Being Relational in Chinese Society - from the perspective of Chinese women’s choices in family formation
  Qian Liu, University of Victoria

- Hillary and the Conceptual Walls of a Liberal Feminist: A Global Feminist Challenge
  Patricia S. Mann, Independent Scholar

- Re-Creating and Re-Membering Feminism in Religion: Reflections on Islam and Mormonism
  Aline Longstaff, BYU Law School Recent Graduate

- Tearing Down the Walls of Feminist Judgments: A Study on Judicial Decision Making
  Aníbal Rosario Lebrón, Howard University School of Law

A Comparative Perspective on Global Pension Reform

CRN: 8
IRC: 43
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Room: Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Pablo Arellano Ortiz, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso

Description:
In the past few decades countries all around the world reformed their pension systems in order to better fit them to the rise in life expectancy, to ensure decent pension and in order to broaden pension coverage. Nonetheless, past reforms are not necessarily accommodated to new phenomena such as migration and the sharing economy. Moreover, new knowledge regarding past reforms and savers’ behavior highlights the need to reevaluate past pension reforms. The current panel seeks to reevaluate past reforms through a comparative perspective and to offer new solutions which are based on new knowledge.

Primary Keyword: Labor and Employment  
Secondary Keyword: Regulation, Reform, and Governance

Presentations:
Chilean Pension Funds at the Crossroads: Social Movements versus Reform Options  
Pablo Arellano Ortiz, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso

Pension and Migration: The U.S., Sweden, and Israel  
Lilach Lurie, Tel Aviv University

What Were they Thinking?  
Scott Donald, UNSW Australia

A new (Ethnographic) Agenda for Rule-of-Law Inquiry  
CRN: 3  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

Room: Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair: Tamir Moustafa, Simon Fraser University

Disc.: Martin Krygier, University of New South Wales

Description:
Crossing sovereign borders to meet interlocutors in the field and scaling disciplinary barriers to communicate with one another, the speakers in this session develop theoretically informed critiques of the rule of law through ethnographic forays. From Islamic law and authoritarian legality in Somalia to the legal technicalities of contemporary Egypt, from India’s preventive detention regime to the planetary jurisdiction of the USA PATRIOT Act, they track the rule of law not to make the idea look foolish but to challenge the notion that the rule of law’s unprecedented global salience can be made intelligible from behind the walls of intellectual convention, or within the confines of the usual cases. Along the way they map undocumented terrain, expose hidden assumptions, and chart their methodological courses. This is work that sets a new agenda for study of the rule of law: an agenda that both raises and goes to the heart of the question, why we should care about the rule of law anyway?

Primary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:
Law, Technicalities, and Judicial Strategy in Post-Revolutionary Egypt  
Jeffrey Sachs, Simon Fraser University

Planetary Jurisdiction, Rule of Law, and Ethnographic Sensibilities  
Jothie Rajah, American Bar Foundation

Postcolonial Crisis, Authoritarian Legality, and the Islamic Rule of Law in Somalia  
Mark Fathi Massoud, University of California, Santa Cruz

Rule of Law from the Margins  
Nick Cheesman, Australian National University

Rule of Law, Policing and Violence in India  
Jinee Lokaneeta, Drew University

Authorship in Intellectual Property  
CRN: 14  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

Room: Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: John Tehranian, Southwestern Law School

Description:
What constitutes authorship? Copyright law responds with the concepts of originality and fixation. Law and society scholarship can elucidate these concepts through critical doctrinal analysis combined with empirical studies of how works are created and produced for mass dissemination. These four papers apply a diverse set of methodologies to answer the foundational issue of understanding what constitutes authorship.

Primary Keyword:  
Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage

Presentations:
Books Off the Shelf & the Problem of Copyright Term Extension: An Empirical Analysis of Books Written by Nobel Prize Authors in Literature  
Carlos Delvasto, College of Law University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign

Runhua Wang, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Performing as Belonging: Sinhala Singers and Covering in Sri Lanka
Gowri Nanayakkara, Canterbury Christ Church University

Rethinking Authorship: Performer Rights and Copyright’s Problematic Creation-Fixation Convergence
John Tehranian, Southwestern Law School

Building Bridges: Competing and Complementary Notions of Rights in Contemporary Islamic Contexts
CRN: 30
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1
Facilitator(s): Erin Stiles, University of Nevada, Reno

Description:
This salon session considers how differing discourses of "rights" are used in contemporary Islamic contexts. Together, the papers focus on the intersection of and competition between differing conceptions of rights, such as those derived from Islamic law, custom and local norms, or international norms of human rights and women's rights. Together, the papers propose that such interrelationships have the potential to be a productive force in enacting or responding to social change. In her paper, Hind Ahmed Zaki argues that political polarization in Tunisia, including the secular/Islamic divide, aids women in attaining more formal rights, given their ability to maneuver political divides and make use of critical openings in the political system during periods of transition. Adnan Zulfiqar's paper explores how the intersection of international human rights discourse and Islamic law feature prominently in contemporary Shi'i revolutionary thought, in the period he describes as the "Arab Aut"

Primary Keyword: Islam, Islamic Studies
Secondary Keyword: Rights and Identities

Presentations:
The Arab Autumn: Shi‘i Jurists, Revolution and the Discourse on Rights
Adnan Zulfiqar, University of Pennsylvania

Who is an Heir? Conceptualizing Rights to Land and Property in Inheritance Disputes on the Swahili Coast
Erin Stiles, University of Nevada, Reno

Building Critical Approaches to Law and Security Studies
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Ramzi Kassem, CUNY School of Law

Description:
Much of the scholarly work on law and security shares a variety of assumptions that are rarely interrogated. Often, debates about national security leave under-examined both the relationship of "national security" to U.S. power as well as the foundational question of whether legal arrangements should be expected to facilitate such power. Also, many scholars write from the perspective of the U.S. government, without questioning whether a U.S.-centric frame of reference is appropriate or whether the United States should maintain a dominant position in global security structures. Finally, the experiences of minorities who tend to be most directly affected are often excluded. Using and being informed by critical approaches to the study of rights discourses, racial formation, and/ or international law, these papers help frame a critical alternative that questions and re-orient discourse in the law and security field.

Primary Keyword: Terrorism, National Security
Secondary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
"Terrorists" and "Criminal Aliens" in the U.S. National Security Complex
Alejandra Marchevsky, California State University Los Angeles

Jeanne Theoharis, Brooklyn College of CUNY
Building the Surveillance State and Terrorizing Citizens
Megan Francis, University of Washington

Implicit and Explicit Bias in the Denial of Citizenship: Broken Bridges on the American Muslim Path to Citizenship
Nermeen Arastu, CUNY School of Law

National Security Law and its Racial Occlusions
Darryl Li, University of Chicago

The ‘Muslim Informant’ Experience: Towards a Framework for Addressing Coerced Intelligence
Diaa Shamas, Stanford Law School

Business Lawyers, Human Rights Lawyers, and Litigation Insurance in Comparative Context
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio D (2nd Floor)
**Chair/Disc.: Faina Milman-Sivan, University of Haifa**

**Description:**
Employment law, labor dispute resolution and the role of practicing lawyer in work relations of business are very important topics of law and society, especially in this period of economic depression and new trade protectionism. This panel is going to discuss about the legal and cultural walls and borders related to labor issues.

**Primary Keyword:** Labor and Employment  
**Secondary Keyword:** Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution

**Presentations:**
- Between the Wound and the Scar: Notes on the Recent Warzone Worker Insurance Litigation  
  **Mateo Taussig-Rubbo**, SUNY Buffalo Law School
  **Héléna Yazdanpanah**, University of Lille 2, CERAPS
- La práctica del derecho en los defensores de derechos humanos en México (Español) (The practice of law on human rights defenders in México)  
  **Irán Guerrero**, Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales

**Capturing Complexity: Methodological Dilemmas of a 21st Century Socio-Legal Scholar (and How We Might Address Them)**
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Chair:** Devyani Prabhat, University of Bristol Law School

**Disc./Facilitator:** Bronwen Morgan, UNSW School of Law

**Description:**
Inherently dynamic, frequently unsettling, often dangerous, and deeply inequitable, social life in our financially, politically, technologically, and environmentally interlinked world is increasingly complex. Social problems and everyday existence are progressively framed by, and governed through, multi-layered, intersecting regulatory discourses and frameworks. With the potential both to help and harm, include and exclude, regulatory responses to social complexity raise significant political, personal, and socio-legal challenges. Orthodox socio-legal methodologies may not be adequate for understanding these new complexities. We may need to rethink how we conceptualise and investigate the impact of law and legality on the everyday lives of particular social groups and individuals. This panel explores the different meanings and effects of complexity, identifies some of the social and legal issues raised, and asks how we might methodologically capture complexity and respond.

**Primary Keyword:** Methodology, Socio-legal Methodology  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Presentations:**
- “Status, safety and storytelling”: Negotiating the value of LLM education in a globalised legal world  
  **Emma Oakley**, University of Birmingham  
  **Emily Carroll**, University of Birmingham

**Challenging Immigration Detention**
CRN: 2  
Tuesday Session III, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Matthew Flynn, Georgia Southern University  
Michael Flynn, Global Detention Project

**Disc.:** Denise Gilman, University of Texas Law School Immigration Clinic

**Description:**
Governments across the world have increasingly relied on the detention of immigrants as a means to control the movement of undocumented migrants and asylum seekers. But detention is fraught with numerous social problems and gross injustices. Not only do states often ignore their international human rights obligations during detention operations and devote massive public finances to detention operations, there are also grievous repercussions on people’s well-being, families, and communities. A chorus of immigrant-rights activists, lawyers, and public intellectuals has risen to contest the unjust detention of non-violent people and have demanded that basic human rights of immigrants and their families be respected. Such efforts face bureaucratic inertia, moneymen, and entrenched racism. This panel outlines the key issues, challenges, and limited successes in changing immigration detention practices throughout the world.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- Can Effective Inspection Efforts Provide Legitimacy to Immigration Detention Regimes?  
  **Hindpal Bhui**, HM Inspectorate of Prisons
Tuesday 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Carrots and Sticks: International Relations and the Global Spread of Immigration Detention
Michael Flynn, Global Detention Project

Not Just a Matter of State: The Role Played by International Organizations and Advocacy Groups in Immigration Detention and its Implications on State Responsibility
Niels Frenzen, USC, Gould School of Law

The Political Economy of Immigration Detention: Globalization, National Diversity, and Economic Actors
Matthew Flynn, Georgia Southern University

Turning Detention Centers Inside Out: The Infiltrations of the National Immigrant Youth Alliance
Michael Young, University of Texas at Austin

Citizenship, Globalization, and Intercultural Issues
CRN: 2
Tuesday Session III, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

Facilitator(s): David Moffette, University of Ottawa

Description: Citizenship, Globalization, and Intercultural issues (Salon Session)

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
- Intercultural management of international migration: Luisa G. Morales, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México
- Philosophical and Legal Justification of Citizenship Concept in Time of Globalization and Migration: Iryna Sofinska, Ukrainian Catholic University

Citizenship, Identity and (Counter-)Racism in Japan
CRN: 33
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Conquista (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Craig Martin, Washburn University School of Law

Description: This is a session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore the issues of citizenship, identity and (counter-)racism in Japan. In her paper, Junko Kotani analyses the constitutional problems in Japan’s legal structure to counter racist speech. Hye Won Um’s paper investigates the issue of Japanese war orphans, particularly the role of cause lawyers in these war orphans’ movement. Hideki Tarumoto, in his paper, studies the issue of migrant acceptance in Japan. Insil Kang’s paper analyses the issues of nationality and identity concerning Japanese women who married Korean men during the colonial era.

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

Secondary Keyword: Discrimination

Presentations:
- Considering a Mechanism of Migrant Acceptance from a Japanese Case: Hideki Tarumoto, Hokkaido University
- Countering Racist Speech in Japan after the Enactment of Unfair Discriminatory Speech and Behavior Act of 2016: Junko Kotani, Shizuoka University
- Litigation, Administrative Relief, and Political Settlement for Japanese War Orphans: Opportunities and Limits of Cause Lawyering in the War Orphans’ Movement: Hye Won Um, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Department of Political Science

Comparative History of Legal Cultures (Public Law)
IRC: 41
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair: Dong Jiang, Renmin University of China

Disc.: José Reinaldo de Lima Lopes, Faculty of Law, University of São Paulo

Description: This session, sponsored by the International Research Collaborative on Comparative Legal History, will compare the history of different legal cultures in the field of public law.

Primary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
- Culture, the rule of law and the discourse of destiny: disrupted: Andra le Roux-Kemp, Sch. of Law, City University of Hong Kong
Comparative Perspectives on Taxation

CRN: 31
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Leo Martinez, UC Hastings College of the Law

Description:
The papers in this panel explore tax law and policy in a variety of national tax contexts, including thinking about value added tax, tax incentives, and other issues in tax law.

Presentations:
- Impuesto predial: la historia de un enredo institucional
  Rocio del Pilar Peña Huertas, Universidad del Rosario
- María Monica Parada Hernández, Universidad del Rosario
- Luis Enrique Ruiz González, Universidad del Rosario
- Ricardo Daniel Alvarez Morales, Universidad del Rosario

- Tax Incentives in China: Useful Development Tool or Unnecessary Windfall?
  Yan Xu, CUHK

- Tax Law catching up to technological transformations: how should downloading of non-customizable software be understood for taxation purposes in Brazil?
  Tathiane Piscitelli, FGV Sao Paulo Law School, Brazil

- Uncooperative compliance. The Swedish example of a failing cooperative compliance project.
  Lotta Björklund Larsen, Dept. of Thematic Studies, Linköping University

Comparing Legal Professions 30 Years after Lawyers in Society: Lawyers in Society 30 Years on II

IRC: 37
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Tibor, Reforma Tower (19th floor)

Facilitator(s):
- Ole Hammerslev, University of Southern Denmark, Department of Law
- Hilary Sommerlad, University of Leeds

Description:
The research strands which Abel & Lewis' Lawyers in Society identified have been paradigmatic for later studies. As a product of an epoch of counter-hegemonic political engagement and critical scholarship, it captured many of the dimensions of these upheavals and their impact on the profession and contributed to the processes which were beginning to deconstruct the basic tenets of the classical professional model. The period since 1988 has seen an acceleration of these processes. Most striking is the transformation of the world order: globalisation, the reconfiguration of nation states and the hegemony of neoliberal discourses. The impact on national professions of these developments has been dramatic, furthering the transformation of e.g. professional rationales and working practices. There is an urgent need to revisit the role of legal professions and to explore comparatively the impact of these transformations. The IRC sessions Comparing legal professions 30 years on will do that.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers

Presentations:
- Lawyers in Society Project: Mexico’s report
  Luis F Perez-Hurtado, CEEAD Mexico
- Sandra Escamilla Cerón, CEEAD México
- Juan Garza Onofre, CEEAD México

- Lawyers in South Africa
  Jonathan Klaaren, University of the Witwatersrand

- The Australian Legal Profession: Globalised and Magnified
  Margaret Thornton, Australian National University
- Asmi Wood, Australian National University

Constitutional Theory Development in Asia and in the Americas - Session 1

CRN: 1
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Rafael Mario Lorio Filho, Universidade Estácio de Sá e INCT-InEAC

Description:
Societies in Asia and the Americas may seem to have nothing in common given their particularities; however, many countries in these two regions share similar
historical and political experiences (e.g. dictatorships, revolutions, democratic mobilizations, civil rights or human rights problems, corruption etc.) and interact more and more pushed by economic and cultural globalization. Nevertheless these geographically diverse societies, although very different in their current legal and political cultures, may also share constitutional and democratic values. This session intends to bring together scholars engaged in studying the evolvement of constitutional features, either regarding constitutional law or constitutional theory, related to these regional foci.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**
- Brazilian Supreme Court and U.S. Supreme Court: when history makes a difference.  
  **Ronaldo Lucas da Silva**, Estácio de Sá University  
- Constitutional Amendment as a Political Weapon: The Mexican Case  
  **Roberto Mancilla**, Movimiento Ciudadano  
- Constitutional Jurisdiction, Judicial Review and the fallacious idea that the US law is imported literally by Brazilian legal system.  
  **Caio Sousa**, UNESA  
- Friend of the Court. A comparison between the North American model and the Brazilian model. Popular legitimacy or just procedure?  
  **Berky Pimentel da Silva**, Universidade Estácio de Sá  
- Judicial Approaches to Separation of Powers in India  
  **Shubhankar Dam**, City Univ.of Hong Kong School of Law  
- Unamendability in Taiwan  
  **Hsiang-Yang Hsieh**, Formosa Transnational, Attorneys at Law

**Contesting Water Abjection: Comparative Application of the Human Right to Water and Sanitation**

CRN: 47  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Camila Gianella**, University of Bergen and Chr Michelsen Institute

**Description:**  
This panel will explore the role of the human rights discourse in seeking justice for those denied the human right to water and sanitation. The panel will look at the case studies from India, Palestine, The Roma in Sweden, Flint, and Washington, DC, USA.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**
- Assessing the Opportunities and Potential Pitfalls of a Human Right to Water and Sanitation Discourse: The Case of Palest
  **Stephen Gasteyer**, Michigan State University  
  Natasha Ryan, Södertörn University  
- Let Justice Roll Down: Gaps in the US Legal Infrastructure for Water and Sanitation Equality  
  **Martha Davis**, Northeastern University School of Law  
- Michigan’s Exceptional Water Crisis: Framing the Human Right to Water through Political Access  
  **Jennifer Carrera**, Michigan State University

**Courting Death: The Supreme Court and Capital Punishment**

Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Authors:** **Carol Steiker**, Harvard Law School  
**Jordan Steiker**, University of Texas School of Law

**Chair:** **Carol Steiker**, Harvard Law School

**Readers:**
- **Jeffrey Fagan**, Columbia Law School  
- **Brandon Garrett**, University of Virginia Law School  
- **Corinna Lain**, University of Richmond School of Law  
- **Mona Lynch**, University of California, Irvine  
- **Evan Mandery**, John Jay College of Criminal Justice  
- **Tracey Meares**, Yale Law School

**Description:**  
The United States is thought to be unique among Western democracies in its retention of the death penalty. But what is most distinctive about the American death penalty is the attempt to reform state death penalty practices through federal constitutional law. In _Courting Death: The Supreme Court and Capital Punishment_ (The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, November 7, 2016), Carol & Jordan Steiker trace the distinctive history of top-down judicial regulation of capital punishment under the Constitution. This history reveals that the American experiment with constitutional regulation has failed, unable to address the concerns that brought the Court into the death penalty fray and unable to forthrightly address the American death penalty's original sin-racial discrimination stemming from capital punishment's long
and ignoble entanglement with the practice of slavery. This panel will address the regulation of the death penalty in the U.S. through multiple lenses-historical, sociological, empirical, and comparative-bringing together scholars with different methodologies to assess the past and the future of the American death penalty in world context.

Discussion of the book’s themes will be of interest to a sociological audience by addressing such topics as the relationship between social movements and legal change, the interplay between constitutional/legal discourse and social/political discourse, and the enduring legal consequences for American capital punishment of the race-based practices of chattel slavery and lynching. The book connects to the theme of the conference through its direct engagement with the issue of American “exceptionalism” with regard to the death penalty, its account of the significance of other nations’ law, practices, and opinion for American constitutional decisionmaking, and in its assessment of the global consequences of the constitutional abolition that the authors predict.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Deportability and the Spectrum of Gender-Based Violence across North America**

**CRN:** 2  
**IRC:** 4  
**Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Duque (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Rupaleem Bhuyan, Univ. of Toronto**

**Description:**
This session involves four paper presentations that are part of an Interdisciplinary Research Collaborative (IRC). This IRC seeks to expand socio-legal knowledge of migration, deportability and gender-based violence across North America. In recent years, Central Americans who transit through or reside in Mexico have increasingly become targets of surveillance and regulation by the state, but also quasi-state actors (i.e. social workers and public health officials). Increased border controls and transnational enforcement, however, infringe upon the basic rights of many migrants, while producing gendered forms of precarity that intersect with violence against women.

During this session, each paper will examine: 1) the legal context impacting immigrants with a temporary or precarious status, 2) gendered dimensions of deportability, 3) forms of gender-based violence that are associated with deportability, and 4) forms of governmentality that discipline non-citizens.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Deportabilidad y vida cotidiana de mujeres guatemaltecas inmigrantes en Mexico  
  **Martha Luz Rojas Wiesner,** El Colegio de la Frontera Sur

- Gendered Assemblages of Solidarity and Security along the Central American Migrant Trail  
  **John Doering-White,** University of Michigan

- The Symbolic Violence of Tolerance: The Regulation of Sex Work among Central American (Im)migrant Women in Chiapas and Quintana Roo, Mexico  
  **Margarita Pintin-Perez,** El Colegio de la Frontera Sur

- Transit to Undocumented Migration in Mexico: Gender, Nationality and Agency?  
  **Tania Cruz Salazar,** El Colegio de la Frontera Sur

**Engines of Anxiety: Academic Rankings, Reputation, and Accountability**

**CRN:** 6  
**Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.**  
**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Author(s): Wendy Espeland,** Northwestern University

**Chair(s): Kathleen Hall,** University of Pennsylvania Graduate School of Education

**Reader(s):**
- **Rick Abel,** UCLA School of Law  
- **Bryant Garth,** University of California Irvine  
- **Kathleen Hall,** U of Penn Graduate School of Education  
- **Carroll Seron,** University of California, Irvine  
- **Carole Silver,** Northwestern University Law School

**Description:**
Engines of Anxiety investigates how rankings, such as those published annually by the U.S. News & World Report, permeate every aspect of legal education. Based on over 200 interviews as well as other qualitative and quantitative evidence, the authors show how rankings shape where students apply to law school, who gets admitted, how resources are distributed, how administrators do their jobs and the where and which jobs graduates get. They argue that rankings are just one example of the global proliferation of performance metrics that profoundly shape how organizations and individuals make decisions, mobilize resources and understand their worlds. Metrics such as rankings are less neutral
representations than interventions, ones that mediate and reinforce inequality in powerful ways. They argue that ethical dimensions of metrics are crucial aspects that need to be disclosed and debated.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students  
**Secondary Keyword:** Lawyers, and other Professional Legal Actors

**Faultlines between Economic and Political Actors: Corporations, States and the Public Interest**  
**CRN:** 36  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Farnush Ghadery, King’s College London

**Description:**  
Why and how can it be that reading early 20th century polemics against the abstraction of the corporation as an allegedly 'private' legal person ring as fresh and as relevant still today as they did then? Can it be that several efforts of unpacking the 'corporation', the 'firm' and the 'market/state' dualism (incl. legal realism, critical legal studies, evolutionary economics, stakeholder theory, varieties of capitalism, or corporate social responsibility) have led nowhere? What is the impact and where are the sites of intervention for critical approaches to corporate law and economic governance? How relevant is the transnational, post-Washington Consensus context for new efforts of unpacking the power of 'private' economic actors in TLOs such as transnational corporate governance, investment arbitration, mining and company, labour and trade law. We will explore the new (and the old) faultlines of public/private market regulation from critical and transnational perspectives.

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, Indigenous, and Non-State Law, Private Ordering

**Presentations:**  
- Competition and Cooperation in International Commercial Arbitration - The Birth of a Transnational Legal Profession  
  **Florian Grisel,** CNRS/King’s College London
- Environmental Democracy: Not Just for the Environment  
  **Emily Barritt,** King’s College London
- Governance for What and for Whom? Ambiguities and Contradictions of the Transnational Resource Governance Regime  
  **Liliane Mouan,** King’s College London

---

**From the Local to the Global: A Call to Action against Gender-Based Violence by State Actors**  
**CRN:** 17  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** Gina Fedock, University of Chicago

**Participant(s):**  
- **Gillian Balfour,** Trent University  
- **Hannah Brenner,** California Western School of Law  
- **Sheryl Kubiat,** Michigan State University  
- **Linda Kjaer Minke,** University of Southern Denmark

**Description:**  
This roundtable is an opportunity for dialogue about gender-based violence perpetrated by state actors. Specifically, this roundtable will begin by using women’s prisons as an example of a type of state institution and sexual misconduct by correctional officers as an example of a form of gender-based violence. Further conversation will include a discussion of the following points regarding sexual abuse by state actors: (1) similarities and differences across settings; (2) commonalities and nuances in dynamics across country; (3) state and national legal remedies; and (4) successes and failures of applying international human rights laws, treaties, standards, and principles. Goals of this roundtable are to discuss and potentially produce international collaborations toward an end of creating global strategies for prevention and intervention. Members of this roundtable will present on their research and practice in the areas of gender, justice, and human rights.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Gender, Sexuality, and Technology**  
**CRN:** 17, 37  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Kim Barker, University of Stirling

**Description:**  
Technology has a tendency to disrupt established social norms—and the capacity of law to respond to them.
The papers on this panel examine how constructions of gender, sexuality, and legal remedy are challenged in an era of revenge porn, sexting, and the state-sponsored surveillance of pornography habits.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Legal Responses to Online Revenge Pornography: A Postmodern Feminist Perspective  
  **Ksenia Bakina,** Queen Mary University of London
- Liability of Intermediaries in ‘ Revenge Porn’ Cases: Responding to Technology-and Gender-Based Harm  
  **Tsachi Keren-Paz,** Keele University, School of Law
- Strange Bedfellows: National Security, Citizenship and Pornography  
  **Chelsea Moore,** University of Washington

**Gringo Gulch: Sex, Tourism, and Social Mobility in Costa Rica**

**CRN: 6**

Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Author(s):** Megan Rivers-Moore, Carleton University

**Chair:** Chris Bruckert, University of Ottawa

**Reader(s):**
- Chris Bruckert, University of Ottawa
- Kate Hardy, University of Leeds
- Tuulia Law, Carleton University
- Erin Sanders, University of Kent

**Description:**
*Gringo Gulch* (University of Chicago Press, 2016) considers the meanings that are made from participation in the sex industry, exploring how the purchase and sale of sex in San José, Costa Rica allows certain kinds of social and economic mobility for those involved. Focusing on the experiences of sex tourists, sex workers, and state employees, the book considers how the geopolitics of transnational tourism are played out in the embodied labour of sex workers and how the specific configurations of the sex industry in San José are tied to a variety of local, national, and global processes that reflect and produce particular intersections of gender, race, sexuality, and class. *Gringo Gulch* argues that the flourishing sex industry in San José must be understood as a deeply neoliberal phenomenon, one that is defined by neoliberal cultural and economic policies and their impact on how the sex industry is regulated by the state.

**Primary Keyword:** Sex Work

**Histories and Futures: Perspectives on Law and Emotion**

**IRC: 12**

Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Paper Session

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Dermot Feenan,** Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London

**Description:**
Much recent scholarship in law and emotion focuses on contemporary issues. Understanding of those issues is enhanced through deeper historical exploration of the relationship between emotion and law. This panel will examine, with resonance for those contemporary issues and potential developments in law, a range of issues in ancient and modern history: the legal protection of religious feelings since the late 18th century, affect in legal proceedings in classical Athens, emotions in Blackstone’s Commentaries, and the relevance of fear of Nature for environmental law.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**
- Blackstone’s Tears: Mourning, Melancholia and the Legal Profession  
  **Kathryn Temple,** Georgetown University
- Moral Emotions in Athenian Political Trials  
  **Susan Lape,** University of Southern California
- Religious Feelings in a Legal Setting  
  **Ute Frevert,** MPI for Human Development, Center for the History of Emotions

**Institutionalizing Equality**

Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Donal Coffey,** Max-Planck-Institute for European Legal History

**Description:**
One underlying theme of the papers in this panel is: how to translate the principle of equality into law and practice?

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Human Rights of Equality in the Provision of Public Services: The Case of the Health System of Senators in Brazil  
  **Felipe Albuquerque,** Brazil University
- Revenge: Law, Feelings, and the State  
  **Felipe Albuquerque,** Brazil University
Law and Analysis Public Policies: What’s the News?? A Teaching Experience and a Methodology for Research
Ana Claudia Farranta, Universidade de Brasilia - Faculdade de Direito - FD/UnB
Juliana Miranda, University of Brasilia
Paulo Fernando Soares Pereira, Universidade de Brasilia - Faculdade de Direito - FD/UnB

John-Paul (JP) Anderson, University of Washington

Paid Like a Girl: Exploring the Wage Disparity Between the Genders in Athletics
Maggie Borski, Temple University Beasley School of Law

International Arbitration and Dispute Resolution in a Global Economy
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Chair/Disc.: Larissa Boratti, University College London

Description:
This panel explores the relevance of arbitration for the global economy. The essays investigate socio-legal aspects of this important mechanism for alternative dispute resolution, combining rigorous theoretical analyses and case studies from countries such as Brazil and China.

Primary Keyword: Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

Secondary Keyword: Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution

Presentations:
Tietie Zhang, University of Sheffield School of Law

Sociology of Arbitration Revisited – a Methodological Perspective
Joanna Jemielniak, iCourts, University of Copenhagen
Michal Kaczmarsczyk, University of Gdansk

Chair(s):
Javier Causo, University Diego Portales
Francisca Pou Gimenez, ITAM

Participant(s):
Ana Micaela Alterio, ITAM
Roberto Gargarella, Universidad Tocuato di Tella
Daniela Salazar Marin, Universidad San Francisco de Quito

Description:
Latin American countries transitioned to democracy through processes that generally included the enactment of new constitutions and a renewed confidence in their capacity to trigger social transformation. Latin American constitutionalism has become in its turn an extraordinarily dynamic field of social and legal research. The participants will advance points of general evaluation about regional constitutionalism with a view on sorting out the main strands of interaction between law and society, once enough time has passed for the main weaknesses and strengths of the different constitutional projects to manifest themselves. What should we learn of the rich comparative experience we now have? Have those processes met the goals they pursued? Are they perhaps successful for other reasons? Have they served change, social justice, and inclusion for disadvantaged groups? Should we conceive them as decoupled from the struggle for social progress? If not, what kind of constitutional moves should we favor in the times ahead?

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism
Secondary Keyword: Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

Law and Corporate Power
IRC: 2
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Conmitucion C (2nd Floor)

Description:
This paper session addresses the connection between corporations, law and power. It partly aims to study how corporations are constituted through the logic of legal, political and/or economic exceptionality, and how this provides the context for impunity for the crimes and harms of the corporation. That is, in this session, participants would show how corporations are serious offender of human rights, international and local laws and the alternatives we have to abolish such exceptional institutions. This paper session will also create the room for a dialogue among scholars working on specific experiences and areas such as: heath and safety crimes; state-corporate crimes; the crimes of financial institutions, economic crisis and accountability; corporations and war; international law and corporate
impunity; social and political movements against corporations; solidarity between Global South and Global North in the mobilization against corporate criminals; and transnational legal mobilizations against corporate power.

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate Law, Securities and Transactions  
**Secondary Keyword:** Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

**Presentations:**
- Shaking the Legal Foundations of Corporate Power  
  **David Whyte,** University of Liverpool  
  The legal origins of “Too Big to Fail”: how the law created exceptionalism to the concentration of corporate power  
- Iagé Miola, Brazilian Center for Analysis and Planning (CEBRAP)  
  The New Corporate Personhood: Morality, Authenticity, and the Ideology of Entrepreneurship  
- **Mark Suchman,** Brown University  
  “It’s not me, it’s the corporation”: Corporate power and the corporate accountability illusion  
- **Grietje Baars,** City University London

**Lay Persons as Decision Makers and Monitors**  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 7

**Chair/Disc.: Marie Comiskey,** University of Toronto

**Description:**  
This panel focuses on lay people as they make legal decisions in criminal cases, mediation courts, and police oversight bodies. The first paper studies Chinese mediation boards, both traditional and criminal. The second paper provides an overview of the existing studies exploring how jurors address defendants’ intellectual disability. The third paper focuses on the Police Oversight Board in Northern Ireland. Finally, the fourth paper uses a mock trial experiment to assess how felons, if given a chance, would decide criminal cases.

**Primary Keyword:**  
Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

**Presentations:**
- China: Powerhouse and Resistor of Restorative Justice Reform  
  **Yan Zhang,** School of Regulation and Global Governance (RegNet), The Australian National University

**New Books in the Field: Citizenship and Migration**  
CRN: 2  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Participant(s):**  
- M. Isabel Medina, Loyola Univ New Orleans College of Law  
- Jaeun Kim, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor  
- Deborah A. Boehm, University of Nevada, Reno  
- Anthea Vogl, University of Technology Sydney  
- Doris Marie Provine, Arizona State University

**Description:**  
Please join CRN 2 as they present a panel of authors discussing recent works.


Deborah A. Boehm, *Returned: Going and Coming in an Age of Deportation U Cal Press* (2016) – follows transnational Mexicans in deportation


Doris Marie Provine, *Policing Immigrants: Local Law Enforcement on the Frontlines, U Chicago Press* (2016) – on how police and sheriffs in the US are responding to demands to become more engaged in federal immigration enforcement.

**Organizational Forms, Objects and Institutions**  
CRN: 3  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Matthew Canfield,** New York University,
Tuesday 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Description:
This Ethnography, Law & Society CRN-sponsored panel includes papers that examine pro bono legal services, contract negotiation, and legal proceedings in distinct cultural contexts.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
- Ephemeral Collectivity of Political Captives: Courtroom As a Space of Action in Turkey
  Serra Hakyemez, Brandeis University
- Negotiating Loyalty in Business: A Case Study from the French Defense Industry
  Alina Surubaru, Université de Bordeaux
- Organizational Culture, Pro Bono and Access to Civil Justice
  Atinuke (Tinu) Adediran, Northwestern University
- Something Is Wrong With “Real Policing,” If It Is Just For Men
  Camila Gripp, The New School

Policing the Boundaries: Critical and Comparative Perspectives on the Study of Race and Law Enforcement
CRN: 12
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Luis Daniel Gascon, University of San Francisco

Disc.: Aaron Rousell, Washington State University

Description:
Today police are at the center of public debates about race and justice in urban U.S. cities and in large cities around the world. Our panel will critically assess this juncture by drawing upon a variety of qualitative methods including ethnographic fieldwork, doctrinal and documentary analyses, and interviews. We examine: the role of redistricting reform in producing emergent racial inequalities in police responses to calls for service in a Midwestern city; violent and abusive encounters between police and citizens, which render certain bodies disposable in South L.A., California and Sao Paulo, Brazil; the rationale of the objective reasonableness standard in cases of police use of force, which raises questions about the potential for implicit bias and cognitive stereotypes to shape an officer’s interpretation of reasonableness; and the ways advocacy organizations use race-based and race-blind strategies to address bias in law enforcement in France and the United States.

Primary Keyword: Policing, Law Enforcement
Secondary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
  Nicole Arlette Hirsch, Harvard University/University of San Francisco
- Scaring Blacks Straight: The Impact of Fatal Police Stops on the Legal Consciousness and Risk Avoidance of African American College Students
  Jyleesa Hampton, University of Kansas
- Workload Pressures and the Construction of Deservingness in Police Response to Calls for Service
  Daanika Gordon, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- “There are no rights this side of town”: Examining police violation of rights in São Paulo’s periphery and South L.A.
  Sebastian Scofsky, University of Florida

Political Imprisonment and the Politics of Prison
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Dee Smythe, University of Cape Town

Description:
This panel considers the nature and rationale of political imprisonment and the politics of prison policies and practices.

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

Presentations:
- A critical approach to the language of human rights in spaces of confinement and security based on an empirical research on youth imprisonment
  Nilay Kavur, University of Kent
- Contrasting the Exceptional: Punishing Murder in Norway and California
  Valerie King, University of California, Irvine
- Night and Fog: The Logic of Political Incarceration
  Padraic Kenney, Indiana University
- Should the Death Penalty Be Categorized as Torture?
  The Emerging International Law Norm Against Death Sentences and Executions
  John Bessler, University of Baltimore School of Law
The Politics of Criminal Records: Risk, Rights, and Justice in Political Debate

**Marco Brydolf-Horwitz**, University of Washington

**Publishing in the Law & Society Review**

Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Professional Development Panel

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Presenter(s):**
- **Susan Sterrett**, Virginia Tech NCR
- **Jeannine Bell**, Indiana Univ. Maurer Sch. of Law, Bloomington
- **Margot Young**, University of British Columbia

**Description:**
Susan Sterrett, Jeannine Bell, and Margot Young, the co-editors of the *Law and Society Review*, will speak about their editing philosophy and experiences from their first year of reviewing and selecting manuscripts for the journal. New Review initiatives, such as the LSR Blog and YouTube author videos, will be introduced and discussed.

**Re-conceptualizing Human Rights in Natural Resource Governance**

**CRN:** 23
**IRC:** 10
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Disc.:**
- **Ileana Porras**, Univ. of Miami School of Law
- **Usha Natarajan**, The American University in Cairo

**Chair/Dis.:** **Karen Engle**, Univ. of Texas at Austin

**Description:**
This panel showcases how scholars are re-conceptualizing human rights in order to promote more equitable and just outcomes in extractive economies as well how scholars are re-conceptualizing the procedural and substantive governance of natural resources for more just and equitable outcomes for communities affected by extraction. Atapattu examines the gross violations of rights by multinational mining companies and considers whether an environmental justice framework presents ways of curbing these abuses. Dulitzky examines enforced disappearances of activists resisting extractive industries from an economic, social and cultural rights (ESCR) perspective and suggests that ESCR can be both a preventive measure against and a proper and required remedy for enforced disappearances. Dehm draws attention to various transnational sites where decisions over resource extraction and governance, as well as struggles over authority, take place. Finally, Valencia examines participatory environmental monitoring committees of mining, examining particularly the participation (or lack thereof) of indigenous and peasant women.

**Primary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- Authority over Resources and Responsibility for Human Rights in the Transnational Governance of Resource Extraction
  - **Julia Dehm**, University of Texas at Austin
- Participatory Mechanisms of Environmental Governance: Assessing their Contribution to Enhance Representational Equality and Reduce Human Rights Impacts of Mining Extraction in Peru
  - **Aureli Valencia**, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú
- Economic, Social and Cultural Rights Approaches to Enforced Disappearances
  - **Ariel Dulitzky**, University of Texas at Austin School of Law
- Extractive Industries and Inequality: Intersections of environmental law, human rights and environmental justice
  - **Sumudu Atapattu**, University of Wisconsin Law School

**Rights and Colonial Violence**

**CRN:** 23
**IRC:** 10
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Dis.:** **Mark Harris**, University of British Columbia

**Description:**
This panel explores contemporary and historical questions around rights, crime and violence in the settler states.

**Primary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- From Evidence to Memory: British Archive Law and the Forgetting of Late Colonial Violence
  - **Ray Thornton**, Princeton University
- Reparations and Racial Violence in Settler Colonialism
  - **Carmela Murdocka**, York University
- Trespassing: Settler Violence in the Time of Reconciliation (Canada)
  - **Leslie Thielen-Wilson**, Nipissing University
Rights and Violence: Migration, Deportation, Trafficking and Legal Frameworks
CRN: 2
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Cesar Garcia Hernandez, University of Denver

Description:
Rights and Violence: Migration, Deportation, Trafficking and Legal Frameworks

Presentations:
Human Rights Protection of Central American Irregular Immigrants in Transit Through Mexico.
Perla Guarneros-Sánchez, La Trobe University

Ordinary Mexicans and the perpetration of atrocity against migrants in transit in Mexico in the context of the 'war on drugs'
Javier Trevino-Range, Drug Policy Program, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas (CIDE)

Towards a New Research Agenda of Return Migration: Removals, Deportation and Immigration Policies in the Obama Administration
Paola Suarez, UC Berkeley

Socio-Legal Landscape of Disasters in Japan
CRN: 33
IRC: 15
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)
Chair(s): Takayuki Li, Senshu University
Disc.: Hiroshi Fukurai, UC Santa Cruz

Description:
There are many earthquake zones around the world. Japan is frequently hit by earthquakes due to its location near the boundaries of major tectonic plates. The East Japan Great Earthquake and Tsunami in 2011 brought multiple dangers that were large in scale. The earthquake was big, the tsunami which followed was relatively high, and radioactive material was dispersed due to the Fukushima nuclear power plant accident.

The disaster brought by the tsunami and other factors caused great loss of life and property. Around twenty thousand persons were left dead or missing, and three hundred thousand buildings were completely or partially destroyed. The power of the tsunami was so massive that even big ships were thrown on to the land. The recovery is obviously slow and there are many evacuees who are living outside and inside the affected areas, and some of them face the risk of radiation exposure. Furthermore, another large earthquake happened in Kumamoto in 2016.

It is important to note that whilst the trigger was a natural earthquake, the damage has been escalated by human errors and social conditions. This session calls into question the treatments of disasters in Japan from the socio-legal perspective.

Primary Keyword: Disasters, Large-scale Accidents, Mass Atrocities
Secondary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

Presentations:
Bridging the Cross-Cultural Gap in Safety Assurance: A Thinking Framework for Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Disaster
Taiji Sugimoto, Institute of Professional Engineers, Japan

Juridification of Disasters: Case Analysis of the Fukushima Nuclear Disaster
Senshu Yoshii, National Inst. of Technology, Miyakonojo College

Loss of Community: the Fukushima Nuclear Disaster as “A New Species of Trouble”
Eri Osaka, Toyo University

Setting up user-friendly dispute resolution process just after earthquake: lessons and challenges of the special mediation program for the Kumamoto Earthquakes of 2016
Hideaki Irie, Kyushu University

“Rough Justice” and the Categorization of Disaster Victims – Comparing “9/11” and “3/11”
Moritz Baelz, Goethe University Frankfurt

Strong Chief Justices, Weak Judges: Comparative Perspectives on Judicial Empowerment
CRN: 20
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair(s): Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago, Law School
Disc.: Julio Rios-Figueroa, CIDE, Mexico City
**Description:**
This panel will examine the role of court presidents across different judicial systems and political contexts. The global expansion of judicial power has seen a concomitant expansion of court presidents’ powers and political influence. But to date there are no systematic efforts to compare or theorize the new roles of these judicial leaders and their effect on judicial empowerment. Under what conditions do chief justices protect judicial autonomy, or undermine it through clientelist networks? Is it possible to have a strong, autonomous chief justice within a weak judiciary? What is the role, if any, of professional judicial/legal norms in shaping the behavior of chief justices? This panel will seek to answer these questions through analysis of Venezuela, Ukraine, Kazakhstan, Czech Republic and Slovakia, and Anglophone sub-Saharan Africa. The papers will jointly provide a theoretically grounded foundation for systematic analyses of the role of chief justices in comparative perspective.

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging  
**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**
- Between Loyalty and Power: Chief Justices and Judicial Clientelism in Venezuela  
  **Raúl Sánchez Urribarri**, La Trobe University
- Between Neopatrimonialism and Modernization: Assessing Judicial Clientelism in Kazakhstan  
  **Alexei Trochev**, Nazarbayev University
- From Transmission Belts to (Semi)Autonomous Actors – Chief Justices in the Czech Republic & Slovakia  
  **David Kosar**, Masaryk University
- Strategic Chief Justices and Judicial (Dis)empowerment in Anglophone sub-Saharan Africa  
  **Rachel Ellett**, Beloit College

**The Law of Citizenship, Money and Talent: Critical Perspectives on Investment Migration**

**CRN:** 2  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5

**Facilitator(s):** Suryapratim Roy, Trinity College Dublin

**Description:**
Undoubtedly, migration and mobility are among the primary challenges facing the global legal order. A recent controversy in this regard has been investment migration and the sale of citizenship by an increasing number of States. Some European parliamentarians have declared that citizenship cannot have a price tag, and notable scholars have characterised the sale of citizenship as special treatment accorded to ‘olympic citizens’. This panel seeks to provide theoretical and empirical nuance to this controversy. The first paper draws on qualitative fieldwork to specify the properties of citizenship as a commodity, and identify the internal and external determinants of its value. Analysing the construction of South American citizenship in the nineteenth century, the second paper demonstrates the mediating role of capital and property. The final paper argues that there might be nothing unique about citizenships that are sold as against other forms of citizenship that are conferred.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

**Presentations:**
- Citizenship’s Hypocrisy, Randomness, and Price  
  **Dimitry Kochenov**, University of Groningen
- Ius Pecuniae in the Legal History of South America and Mexico  
  **Diego Acosta**, Bristol

**The Securitization of Political Change in the Global South**

**CRN:** 23  
Tuesday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 6

**Facilitator(s):** Gavin Sullivan, University of Kent

**Description:**
The panel will address the securitization of political change in the global south. ‘Securitization’ is the process whereby an object (traditionally the body of the people, but potentially any public good or section of the populace) is placed in relation to an existential threat. This legitimates extraordinary political responses that often include extensive or intensive violence. Once securitized, political change is understood in the realm of emergency politics, where issues can be dealt with without customary restrictions or processes. The panel will engage with diverse sites and modes of securitization, examining the production of securitized spaces of political change, the securitization of transitional justice and the effects of securitization on the dispossessed and silenced.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization  
**Secondary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**Presentations:**
- Poverty and Security in Contemporary Colombia  
  **Johanna del Pilar Cortes-Nieto**, University of Warwick
Tuesday June 20, Session 4
2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

Buying a Bride

Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Imperio C (2nd Floor)

Author: Marcia Zug, University of South Carolina School of Law

Chair: Ronald Den Otter, Cal Poly San Luis Obispo

Reader(s):
- Gabriel Chin, UC Davis School of Law
- Jane Lilly Lopez, UC San Diego
- Stephen Simon, University of Richmond
- Marjorie Zatz, University of California, Merced

Description:
Marcia Zug’s *Buying A Bride* is not only the first book-length treatment of the history of mail-order marriage, but it makes a powerful case for the reexamination of a practice that remains poorly understood. The book would appeal to a wide range of law and society scholars, like those studying family law, immigration law, critical race theory, feminist theory, constitutional law, and to those interested more generally in the relationship between the state and intimate relationships. As this year’s conference theme is ”Walls, Borders and Bridges,” with a focus on inclusion and exclusion, and the issue of marital immigration could not be more timely or relevant. U.S. immigration law long demonstrated that family-based immigration and particularly marital immigration is not only welcomed, but also actively desired. Zug’s book reveals how this welcome can quickly turn hostile when the arriving immigrant or their American sponsor is seen as threatening the social order. Why the practice of mail-order marriage changed from a respected institution into a threatening one is the central question that *Buying A Bride* tries to answer. Ultimately, Zug concludes that this practice contributes to both acceptance and belonging for those that are involved in it.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Discrimination

China’s Legal Profession: Between Constraints and Possibilities
CRN: 33
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Matthew Erie, University of Oxford

Disc.: Ethan Michelson, Indiana University

Description:
Over the past three and a half decades, lawyers have occupied a vexed space in China’s development. On the one hand, lawyers in China design institutions for investment to facilitate economic growth. On the other hand, China’s one-party rule has limited lawyers’ ability to engage in public interest lawyering. In recent years, an authoritarian turn has heightened this tension with limitations on lawyers’ work in certain legal domains, whereas in commercial areas of law, political campaigns have, ironically, created new markets for lawyers. This panel of experts examines the current state of this question through a number of empirical cases across the spectrum from public interest to corporate law. On one side of the spectrum, Sida Liu observes cross-case lawyering as putting pressure on the government that has, in retaliation, cracked down on such forms of civic engagement. Tobias Smith examines one dimension of this evolving relationship between lawyers and regime through the case of capital defense lawyering. Rachel Stern’s analysis operates within a parallel space, among whom she calls “red lawyers,” those with close ties to the government and whom generate novel identities given their multiple responsibilities to client and state. John Bliss further examines the theme of identify formation by investigating lawyers at the international corporate end of the lawyering spectrum in China; Bliss finds that Chinese and U.S. lawyers’ identity formation is inflected with cultural expectations. Together, this panel presents the state-of-the-field in the study of the emerging-and fraught-profession of China’s lawyers.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

Presentations:
- Becoming Lawyers: Mapping Professional Identity
  John Bliss, Harvard Law School
- Formation Among Elite Lawyers in the U.S. and China
- Capital Offenses and Capital Professions: China’s National Death Penalty Review and the Beijing Criminal Defense Bar
  Tobias Smith, University of California, Berkeley
China’s Red Lawyers
Rachel Stern, University of California, Berkeley

The Ecology of Activism: Lawyer Mobilization in China
Sida Liu, University of Toronto

**Comparative Perspectives on Taxation II**
CRN: 31
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Kerry Ryan, Saint Louis University School of Law

**Description:**
This panel brings together tax scholars from four different countries working in the areas of international and comparative tax law. The papers examine a variety of topics in tax law and policy.

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies

**Presentations:**
- China’s Evolving Tax Treaty Policies
  Li Jin, Jinan University
- The Development of Private Rulings in Hungary
  Borbála Kolozs, Corvinus University Budapest
- The Tax Deductibility of Gifts to Charities: A USA v Australia Comparison
  Fiona Martin, University of New South Wales, Australia
- Tim Todd, Liberty University, USA
- The Tax Haven Development Trap
  Adam Rosenzweig, Washington University in Saint Louis

**Constitutional Amendment and Democracy**
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Raúl Mejía Garza, Supreme Court of Mexico/Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas

**Disc.:** David Landau, Florida State Univ. College of Law

**Description:**
Donald Lutz famously asserted that "constitutional amendment is a critical device that can be used as a window into the reality of political systems". Yet, such device is often overlooked by constitutional law scholars. Understanding how constitutional texts are entrenched, how they change and the effects these changes have on the day-to-day functioning of constitutional orders is fundamental not only to formally understand such orders but also to understand how governments and even nations understand their own constitutions and the functions they attribute to such texts. Put differently, constitutional amendment is a window to understanding the complexities of how democratic systems work. The papers of this session will offer both analytical questions regarding the different types of constitutional change and the limits of amendment devices, and practical questions such as the effects frequent constitutional amendments have in the judiciary.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**
- Amendment and Revision in the Unmaking of Constitutions
  Richard Albert, Boston College Law School
- Constitutional Amendment, People and Territory
  Oran Doyle, Trinity College Dublin
- Contributing to abusive constitutionalism: how and why the Supreme Court has incentivized constitutional hyper-reformism in Mexico
  Mariana Velasco Rivera, Yale Law School
- Judicial Review in Scenarios of Constitutional Hyper-Reformism: Unexpected Lessons from Mexico and Brazil
  Francisca Pou Gimenez, ITAM
- Andrea Pozas-Loyo, IJ UNAM

**Corruption in South Asia, Past and Present**
CRN: 22, 44
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Jayanth Krishnan, Indiana University, Bloomington

**Description:**
The anti-corruption movement has gained significant momentum in India since 2011. This panel situates the current discussion within the broader historical context by exploring corruption and law in India over the past four centuries. Its speakers examine corruption at the level of discourse and practice, investigating the varied narratives and configurations of power and authority associated with corruption. How have conceptions of corruption shifted over time, region, and in different social and professional worlds? How have both corruption and anti-corruption been used to critique the state? How may perceptions
and practices of corruption look similar or different in India today as compared with the past? The panel will explore notions of the fake and the false; deception and dissimulation; competing models of legitimacy; and protest and resistance.

**Primary Keyword:** South Asia, South Asian Studies, South Asian Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- Corruption and Forensic Experts in late colonial India
  - Mitra Sharafi, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Gifts, Bribes, or the Cost of Doing Business? Regulating Rishvat in Early Colonial India
  - Nicholas Abbott, University of Wisconsin–Madison
- Philatelic Fraud and the Materiality of Law: Policing Stamped Paper in British India
  - Elizabeth Lhost, University of Chicago
- The Spectacle of Silence: “Evidence”, Morality and the Extrajudicial in Police Raids in Sonagachhi, India
  - Simanti Dasgupta, University of Dayton
- Victims Define Corruption: Cases from Colonial India, ca. 1820
  - James Jaffe, University of Wisconsin

**Crime and Legal Culture**
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**
This session will feature a discussion about the intersection between crime and legal culture

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Presentations:**
- A Brief Genealogy of Criminal Nationalism
  - Trevor Gardner, NYU Law School
- A Qualitative Examination of (Non)compliance in High-Violence Communities
  - Kelsie Chesnut, University of California, Irvine
  - Melissa Barragan, University of California, Irvine
- Disqualified Bodies: A Socio-Legal Analysis of the Organ Trade in Cairo, Egypt
  - Sean Columb, University of Liverpool

Victim Impact Statements and Expressive Punishment in the Age off Social Media

**Erin Sheley,** University of Calgary Faculty of Law

**Crime, Rights, and Justice in Immigration Law and Practice**
CRN: 2
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley

**Disc.:**
- Lesley Jacobs, York University
  - Steven Maynard-Moody, University of Kansas

**Description:**
Crime, Rights, and Justice in Immigration Law and Practice—the intimate relationship between criminal processes and immigration enforcement—crimmigration—has become a focus of scholarship and critical concern. How do punitive policies toward immigrants become established? This panel relies on historical analysis, theoretical critique, and a natural experiment to explore this issue.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Deportation Deadline
  - Andrew Kim, Syracuse University College of Law
- How Do Criminal Courts Respond in Times of Crisis? Evidence from 9/11
  - Ellen Dinsmore, University of Wisconsin-Madison
  - Michael Massoglia

University of Wisconsin-Madison

**Michael Light,** Purdue University

- Human Rights Immigration Detention Campaigns: a Decolonial Analysis
  - Danielle Aldawood, Arizona State University

Institutionalizing Crimmigration: The Penal Control of Immigrants as Myth and Ceremony

**Jize Jiang,** University of Illinois at Chicago

- What is a Crime in Canadian Immigration Law?
  - Analyzing removal order decisions on grounds of criminality
  - Joao Velloso, University of Ottawa
Defense Against the Dark Arts: Legal Theories to Protect Citizens of the Surveillance State
CRN: 37
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Room: Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair: Aaron Smyth, University of California, Berkeley
Disc.: Anne Boustead, Cybersecurity Project, Belfer Center, Harvard Kennedy School

Description:
If any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic (to quote Arthur C. Clarke), then most of us are simple Muggles living in a high-tech world of invisible data transfers and privacy violations. On this panel, legal wizards contemplate innovative incantations—including a "rough edges" defense; an "expressive harm" doctrine; a "heckler's veto"; and "expanded curtilage"—that might protect the everyday citizen from the consequences of an ever-expanding surveillance society.

Primary Keyword: Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law
Secondary Keyword: Policing, Law Enforcement

Presentations:
- An Expressive Theory of Privacy Intrusion
  Craig Konnoth, University of Pennsylvania Law School
- Recording as Heckling
  Scott Skinner-Thompson, New York Univ. School of Law
- The Contribution of International Human Rights Law to the Protection of Privacy
  Diego Garcia Ricci, University of Toronto
- The "Rough Edges" Defense: Tolerating Deviance in the Age of Technological Surveillance
  Lisa Lucile Owens, Columbia University

Designing Class Equality
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session
Room: Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Description:
The relationship between local communities and the institutions that govern them is the subject of this panel. Municipal governments, relocation agencies, courts, and other agencies must negotiate a complex scene in which often-marginalized local communities may or may not play a role. The four papers on this panel promise insights into the conditions that encourage community action and the legal standards that should apply.

Primary Keyword: Class and Inequality

Presentations:
- Broke People, Broken Rules: Fraud Enforcement and the Production of the Welfare Rule Violator
  Spencer Headworth, Purdue University
- Police Union Contracts: A Source of Inequality and Accountability?
  Theresa Rocha Beardall, Cornell University
- The Case for Universal Housing Vouchers
  Anthony Sierzega, Temple University Beasley School of Law
- The United Kingdom’s New Retirement Savings Program
  Jennifer Brown, American University
  John Turner, Pension Policy Center
- Translating Inequality: Tracing the Birth of Affirmative Action Policy in the US
  Tamar Hofnung, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Economic and Social Rights for Policy-Making
CRN: 47
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session
Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1
Chair(s): LaDawn Haglund, Arizona State University
Disc.: Cynthia Epstein, Graduate Center CUNY

Description:
This panel examines the challenges to realizing economic and social rights in policy-making. The authors address specific economic and social rights in context, exploring how different policies can hinder or promote the realization of economic and social rights. The authors address issues of policy-making at the local, national and international level and explore the influence of such policies upon the local and national arena. Papers examine a broad range of economic and social rights including health, food, social security and property rights.

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
- Identity in law: contradictions between drugs for neglected and non-neglected diseases
  Marcos Vinicio Chein Feres, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora/PQ2CNPq
- Lorena Abbas da Silva, Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora
- The Right to Adequate Food and the Promotion of Unhealthy Food and Beverages
  Katharina O Cathaoir, University of Copenhagen
Ethnographies of Advice: Between Market, Law and the Declining Welfare State
CRN: 3
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Terraza (3rd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Insa Koch, London School of Economics

Description:
Across the Euro-American world, conditions of continuing economic crisis are reshaping assumptions about, and legal frameworks of, who receives assistance and who funds and arranges it. As the state’s role is being eroded, new actors and social movements are stepping in to supplement traditional arrangements of advice. This panel ethnographically investigates the roles assumed by bureaucrats, intermediaries and self-help groups, who are increasingly important in the advice encounter between vulnerable citizens, the market and the state. Particular attention will be given to the areas of housing, debt and immigration advice (both within and beyond particular institutional settings), as well as law courts where litigants are engaging in self-representation in light of on-going cuts to the legal aid budget. While the panel’s main focus is on the UK, it relies on cross-national comparisons to develop a critical perspective on the 'state of the welfare state' in the contemporary moment.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice
Secondary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:
"Ser Cañeros o negociar?" Legal activism in Madrid
Ana Gutiérrrez Garza, London School of Economics

The changing roles of state, charity and commerce in resourcing social welfare legal advice: the case of debt advice in the UK
Ryan Davey, London School of Economics and Political Science

What "Good" is Free Legal Advice? Ethnographic Insights into the Provision of Legal advice and Legal Aid in the Swiss Asylum System
Tobias Eule, University of Bern

“Payments” or Profit: Debt Advice in the UK
Deborah James, LSE

Fascism and the Global Order
CRN: 23
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Christopher Tomlins, UC Berkeley

Description:
The purpose of this roundtable is to take on the mainstream story of international law’s ‘evolution’, narrated in the post-1945 context as a journey away from fascism. ‘First we had the Holocaust, enslavement of POWs, expansionism, flagrant aggression’, that story tells us. ‘Now we have human rights, international criminal law, the Geneva Conventions, Article 2(4)...’ In different ways, the work of all six panellists puts pressure on any taken-for-granted opposition between fascism and international law. The aim of the conversation is to generate a new set of questions about the nature of the international order, about international law’s role in producing and intensifying its violent, hierarchical and expansionist tendencies, and about fascism’s legacies of racism, statism and of the fetishisation of the ‘natural’.

Primary Keyword: International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics
Secondary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
Ashes to Ashes: a Tale of Fascism and Environmentalism in International Law
Yoriko Otomo, SOAS, University of London
Scott Newton, SOAS, University of London

Azikiwe, International Law and the ‘Crucification of Ethiopia’.
Christopher Gevers, University of KwaZulu-Natal

Boomeranging Imperialism? Race, Accumulation and the Construction of Fascist International Law
Robert Knox, University of Liverpool

Exploring (M)otherness through International Law: Lessons from the ‘Mothers of the Fatherland’?
Reut Yael Paz, Law Faculty, Justus-Liebig University Gießen

Outlawing the State, but not the Market: Criminal Trials and the Political Economy of the last Argentine Dictatorship (1976-1983)
Hannah Franzki, Bremen University

The Fascist Doctrine of International Law
Rose Parfitt, Melbourne Law School / Kent Law School

Gender, Sexuality and Law
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Chris Bruckert, University of Ottawa
**Description:**
This panel explores contemporary issues around sex, gender, and constructions of wrongdoing in these domains in Canada.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Criminal charges for HIV non-disclosure and/or exposure: impacts on the lives of people living with HIV in Canada  
  *Alexander McClelland,* Concordia University
- Disciplinary Responses to Sexual Assault in Canadian Universities  
  *Lise Gotell,* University of Alberta
- Sexual Violence in Canadian University Sport: Masculinities, Law, and Institutional Negligence  
  *Curtis Fogel,* Brock University

**Gender, Violence and the Law**
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair:** *Alejandra Armesto,* FLACSO

**Disc.:** *Avi Boukli,* The Open University

**Description:**
This is an international panel of six presenters from five countries, discussing realities and consequences of violence against women and policies to work upon structural inequalities against women as well as empirical analysis of crossing boundaries of a gender- or race-related stereotype in court procedure.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Presentations:**
- Affirmative Consent Within and Outside of Rape Law  
  *Luis Chiesa,* University at Buffalo School of Law
- Femicide in Mexico, a Current Review  
  *Ivonne Ortúñio,* Centro de Investigaciones y Estudios Superiores en Antropología Social CIESAS
- The Boundaries of Gender-Related Criminal Offenses: Exploring the Criminalization of Women as Victim or as Complainant  
  *Hayden McGuire,* The University of British Columbia
- Urgent protective measures for victims of domestic violence in Brazil – the importance of prevention through Maria da Penha Law  
  *Stephanie Gagliardi Amantini,* University of São Paulo
  *Maria Cecilia de Araujo Aspert,* Universidade de São Paulo

**Gendered Views of Judges, Courts and Lawyers**
CRN: 32  
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions  
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Chair:** *Josephine Dowuni,* Howard University

**Disc.:** *Sara Kimble,* DePaul University

**Description:**
This session deals with a gendered view of legal proceedings and actors: the role of professionals in court as perceived by the media, the influence of the type of court where judges practice on their identity, the influence of judges’ gender on the appointment of counsel in multi-district litigation cases, capital defenders doing gender and a political project against discrimination in the legal profession.

**Primary Keyword:** Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Judging

**Presentations:**
- Capital Defenders “Doing” Gender: How Capital Defense Work Defies and Reifies Traditional Gender Norms  
  *Maya Barak,* American University
  *Jonathan Gould,* American University
- Effervescent, comic, dramatic, sensitive, sensible and proudly camp; ‘Judge Rinder’ and the formations of judicial authority in England in the 21st century  
  *Leslie Moran,* Birkbeck College

**Global Legal Studies**
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Professional Development Panel

**Room:** Clasico (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** *Jean Carmalt,* John Jay College of Criminal Justice

**Participant(s):**
- *Daniel Breen,* Brandeis University
  *Annie Bunting,* York University
  *Eve Darian-Smith,* University of California Santa Barbara
- *Michael Musheno,* University of Oregon
  *Jamie Rowen,* University of Massachusetts, Amherst

**Description:**
How do institutions in North America devise programs and curricula that understand legal studies as a global phenomenon? This round table will invite conversation around a variety of legal studies programs and approaches.
Tuesday  2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Immigration Lawyers, Bureaucratic Agents and Professional Identities**
CRN: 2  
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Alisha Kirchoff, Indiana Univ. - Bloomington  
**Description:**  
Immigration Lawyers, Bureaucratic Agents and Professional Identities  
**Presentations:**  
Establishing Moral Authority through Criminalization: How Border Patrol agents Legitimize Immigration Control  
*Irene Vega,* UCLA  
In, Out, Up and Down: Group Status, Income Changes, and the Immigrant Lawyer Experience in the U.S. 1950-2010  
*Alisha Kirchoff,* Indiana University - Bloomington  
*Vitor Martins Dias,* Indiana University-Bloomington  
Lawyers in UK Refugee Tribunals  
*Jessica Hambly,* University of Bristol Law  
Looking at the Immigrant Lawyer from a Comparative Perspective: Income Disadvantage and Elite Immigration in the U.S. (2000-2014)  
*Vitor Martins Dias,* Indiana University-Bloomington  
**Interdisciplinary Human Rights Research Methods: Do interdisciplinary methods and community-based approaches create better evidence and improve advocacy?**  
CRN: 33  
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Roundtable Session  
**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)  
**Chair:** Margaret Satterthwaite, New York University School of Law  
**Participant(s):**  
*Malcolm Langford,* University of Oslo  
*Enrique Piraces,* Carnegie Mellon Univ./Open Technology Institute  
*Cesar Rodriguez-Garavito,* University of los Andes  
*Brian Root,* Human Rights Watch  
*Margaret Satterthwaite,* New York Univ. School of Law  
**Description:**  
This roundtable will explore the challenges and opportunities of advocacy-oriented human rights research across disciplines. The human rights field is using more systematic, scientific, and quantitative methods from many disciplines. While interviewing witnesses and survivors will always be a core tool of human rights practitioners, many forces are pressuring human rights advocates to develop new and interdisciplinary methods. These forces include advocacy demands for better information, critiques of existing methods from governments, and the increasing use of data-driven decision tools. To support practitioners using these new methods, a variety of initiatives have arisen that are seeking to increase interdisciplinary cooperation in the human rights field. This roundtable will bring together participants who are leading these initiatives to discuss the challenges and opportunities presented by this move towards more “rigorous” evidence. Can new methods be employed in a feasible manner?  
**Primary Keyword:** Methodology, Socio-legal Methodology  
**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights  
**International Criminal Court and Local Knowledge of African Societies**  
CRN: 33  
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Tom Gerald Daly, Edinburgh Centre for Constitutional Law  
**Description:**  
This panel focus on the criminal justice in the background of relations and interactions among international law, foreign law, state law and customary law of Africa. We hope to push forward the paradigm shift in the law and society scholarship by making use of multi-cultural approach and narrative perspective in the field of judicial sociology.  
**Primary Keyword:**  
Africa, African Studies, African Law and Society  
**Presentations:**  
Despair and optimism: Reflections on my experience of researching and publishing sexuality in Ethiopia  
*Getnet Tadele Tefera,* Addis Ababa University  
On Narrative, Trauma and Testimony at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda and the Rwandan Gacaca Courts  
*Julia Viebach,* University of Oxford  
Where is Africa on the Law and Society Agenda?  
*Dee Smythe,* University of Cape Town
**Intersections of Law & Religion**
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Moiread Enright, University of Birmingham

**Description:**
This panel offers an examination of the ways legal and religious perspectives, principles and commitments may inform and affect each other.

**Primary Keyword:** Religion and Law, Religious Studies

**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Theófilo de Aquino, Fundação Getúlio Vargas

- Public Servants’ Freedom of Headscarf in Turkey: Recognition of an Identity or Consolidation of the Hegemony?
- Mehmet Murat Öngel, TOBB ETU

- The Reach of Religious Liberty: Three Legal Interest Groups and the Defense of an American Ideal
- Aynur Demirli, Hacettepe University

- Daniel Bennett, John Brown University

**JASL Sponsored Session: Looking Back and Forward to Socio-Legal Studies: Perspectives from Japan**
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Kota Fukui, Osaka University

**Disc.:** Amy Huey-Ling Shee, National Chung Cheng University

**Description:**
This panel session is related to the 70th Anniversary of JASL (Japanese Association of Sociology of Law). Japanese socio-legal academia has a long history of discussion on the gap between the traditional society and culture and the global modern law, since the transplantation of the Western law in Meiji era. Such discussions were taking the issues on harmonization between traditional Japanese/Asian societies and modernized Western law seriously since before World War II. After the World War II, in 1947, the JASL was established by the leading scholars of law at the time, like Izutaro Suehiro, Takeyoshi Kawashima, and Michitaka Kaino etc. Based on and developing the socio-legal discussion in Japan since before the World War II, the JASL has been discussing actively the issues on the modernization of law, the transformation of legal structure, the judicial reform, changing structure of legal profession, access to justice, alternative dispute resolution, lay participation to criminal justice, aging society and so on. The JASL also raises new discussions on the disaster law, legal development support in the developing countries, collaborated with scholars in the world. So this panel session holds paper presentations issued on the recent discussion of the JASL and a discussion by the scholar who is not a Japanese legal academic. It holds paper presentations as below:

**Primary Keyword:**
East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:**
Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

**Presentations:**
- An Overview of Quantitative Socio-Legal Studies in Japan - Interdisciplinary Endeavor
  - Akira Fujimoto, Nagoya University

- As a Witness of Law and Social Change in Postwar Japan: Trajectory of the Japanese Socio-legal Studies and Current Issues
  - Iwao Sato, The University of Tokyo

- Historical Background of Socio-Legal Studies in Japan and the JASL
  - Hiroshi Takahashi, Kobe University

- Rethink the Concept of Property Right towards a Sustainable Society
  - Yoshiki Kurumisawa, Waseda University

- Socio-Legal Study of Disasters from the Japanese Experience
  - Takayuki Iii, Senshu University

- Transformation of the legal professional market in Japan: competition between "BENGOSHI" and other law-related professionals
  - Kota Fukui, Osaka University

**Judicial Activism as Bridge for Socio-economic Rights in Africa**
CRN: 33
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Abdulwahab Olasupo Egbeiwole, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin

**Disc.:** Jerusa Ali, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

- Imam Ibrahim, University of Ilorin, Nigeria
Tuesday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Participant(s):**
- Mariam Abdulraheem-Mustapha, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin
- Michael Addaney, University of Energy and Natural Resources
- Ngozi Chuma-ume, Imo State University
- Veronica Fynn Bruy, Australian National University
- Etudaiye Muhtar, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin
- Olanike Odewale, American University of Nigeria, Yola, Adamawa, Nigeria

**Description:**
This session is designed to critically analyze the various positions in different member States with respect to socio-economic rights, articulate the roles the Judiciary in different jurisdictions, identify the various constraints and challenges being encountered in different States within AU, make recommendations on how the existing gulf may be breached with responsible and responsive judicial activism as against the present disposition of restraints. It is not in contention that the judicial powers are vested in the courts to resolve disputes in all its ramifications, thus the socio-economic rights cannot and must not be an exception. In spite of these restraining laws, the Judiciary should still be in a position to put things in order as their appears to be enough to with its enabling powers to ensure this. The session is also expected to provide possible legal reform options on the need basis for each member state.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Judicial Emotions**

IRC: 12
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Dermot Feenan, Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London

**Description:**
It is sometimes thought that emotion should have no role in judging. It is argued that emotions are inimical to law’s reason, objectivity, and impartiality. Yet, scholars increasingly identify the place and importance of emotion in judging; for instance, questioning the traditional myth of dispassionate judging and advocating emotion regulation as a valuable tool for judges. This panel examines emotion and judging from a range of conceptual and theoretical perspectives; exploring, variously, whether there is a general set of emotions that judges should draw from; the place and role of compassion in judging; the relationship between virtue-based ethics and emotional regulation, and; the place of affect in citizens’ feelings about their involvement in judicial proceedings.

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Presentations:**
- Cautious Affection, Wild Emotions: The Rhetorical Construction of Democratic Empathy in Classical Athenian Law
- Emiliano Buis, Universidad de Buenos Aires
- Emotions and the Judicial Role: The Question of the General Set
- Emily White, New York University School of Law
- Judges and the education of desire: Brazilian legal cases
- Ana Carolina Faria Silvestre, Faculdade de Direito do Sul de Minas
- Too Emotional? Compassion and Judging
- Dermot Feenan, Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London

**Justice for Human Rights Violations, New Latin American Dilemmas in Transitional Justice Processes**

Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Barbara Frey, University of Minnesota

**Disc.:** Lisa Hilbink, University of Minnesota

**Description:**
Processes of transitional justice have been a response to massive violations of human rights. The use of these mechanisms has grown over the last forty years. They are diverse and various combinations of them can be tracked in the different stages of these processes. How to reduce impunity, how much punishment or forgiveness is necessary, are questions that have received different responses in different contexts and moments. Resolving these questions and develop such processes pose varied challenges. The cases that compose the panel respond to different moments of the processes of transitional justice as well as to different concerns regarding the challenges of the transitional justice at this moment in Latin America: from search, systematization and analysis of information on these violations, to the implications of peace treaties and the uses of domestic tribunals to prosecute corporate responsibilities or transnational human rights violations.

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Secondary Keyword:** Violence

**Presentations:**
- Accountability for Transnational Crimes in South America: The Pioneering Operation Condor Trial
- Francesca Lessa, University of Oxford
Archimedes Lever: Using domestic judicial mechanisms to hold businesses accountable for international human rights violations in dictatorships and civil conflicts
Leigh Payne, University of Oxford
Gabriel Pereira, University of Oxford/ National University of Tucuman

Localized Patterns of Disappearances in Mexico
Barbara Frey, University of Minnesota

The justice question in the Colombian Peace Process
Nelson Camilo Sanchez, Universidad Nacional de Colombia

Understanding human rights violations in Mexico. The case of disappearances
Karina Mariela Ansolabehere, FLACSO-Mexico
Alvaro Martos, FLACSO-Mexico

**Labor Rights and Gender Equality in East Asia**
CRN: 33
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Leon Wolff, Queensland University of Technology**

**Description:**
This is a panel organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore the issues of labor rights and gender equality in the context of employment in East Asian countries, namely Japan, Cambodia and China. Toshiyuki Kusumoto proposes a social security law policy to improve the non-regular employment problem in Japan. Anna Salmivaara’s paper analyses garment workers’ labor movement in Cambodia. Desai Shan investigates the challenges faced by seafarers in claiming workplace injury compensation in China. Yuri Nabeshima analyses the issue of gender equality in employment in Japan. Naoko Yoshida explores the career path of female senior police officers in Japan and her analysis includes a comparative study with the situation in the Philippines and South Africa.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
**Secondary Keyword:** Labor and Employment

**Presentations:**
Japan’s unique legal gradualism in Equal Employment
Yuri Nabeshima, University of Sao Paulo

The challenges for seafarers in claiming workplace injury compensation in China
Desai Shan, Cardiff University

The Non-regular Employment Problem and Social Security Law Policy in Japan, Focusing on the
Relationship between Employers’ Share of Social Insurance Premiums and Corporate Behavior
Toshiyuki Kusumoto, University of Tokyo

**Legal Metrics and Indicators 1**
CRN: 54
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s): David Restrepo Amariles, HEC Paris**
**Disc.: Pedro Fortes, FGV Law School
Mathias Siems, Durham University**

**Description:**
The emergence of transnational legal metrics and indicators, as well as other technical standards, has made a notable impact on decision-making processes regarding law in society, and has become an area of socio-legal inquiry of contemporary relevance. This panel investigates current developments in the field with the aim of renewing theoretical inquiry about their legitimacy as well as their role in global governance and development policy. The panel is conceived of as a meeting point between jurisprudence, economics, social sciences and development studies.

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law
**Secondary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Presentations:**
Democracy, Metrics and Parametrics in Contemporary Legal Analysis: The Example of Retirement Pension Rights
Marcus de Castro, University of Brasilia
Adriano Cardoso Henrique, University of Brasilia

Measuring Natural Resource Governance in Africa: The Role and Limitations of International Standards and Indicators
Sara Ghebremusse, Osgoode Hall Law School

Providing legal guidance to free negotiation: recent debates on labor regulation and collective bargaining in Brazil.
Bruna V. De Carvalho Kerth, University of Brasilia

Taking (Technical) Norms Seriously
Arnaud Van Waeyenberge, HEC Paris

The impact of OECD recommendations and the Doing Business reports in the Colombian legal system: the challenge of ensuring regulatory quality and performance within a culture of non-compliance with rules
Bernardo Carvajal, Universidad Externado de Colombia
Methodological and Ethical Challenges of Exploring Deportability and Gender Based Violence
CRN: 2
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Imperio A (2nd Floor)
Chair(s): Martha Luz Rojas Wiesner, Ecosur
Disc.: Mimi Kim, University of California, Berkeley

Participant(s):
Rupaleem Bhuyan, University of Toronto
Martha Luz Rojas Wiesner, Ecosur
Patricia Zamudio, Centro de Investigaciones y Estudios Superiores en Antropología Social (CIESAS)

Description:
In this roundtable discussion, university-based researchers will discuss methodological strategies to examine social inequalities, human struggle, and agency in the context of forced migration. We will specifically address the following questions:

a) What epistemologies (or ways of knowing) are visible or prioritized in your research; how is this shaped by discipline, but also the population or site of study?

b) What ethical dilemmas have emerged in your research when documenting inequalities and forms of gender-based violence?

c) What institutional practices shape research process with regard to representation of marginalized populations, dissemination of research findings, and advocacy goals of university-led research?

Primary Keyword: Violence
Secondary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

New Constitutionalism? Answers to illiberal democracy in Central and Eastern Europe
CRN: 20
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3
Chair/Disc.: Michal Stambulski, Centre for Legal Education and Social Theory

Description:
Over the past 30 years we have witnessed an unprecedented increase of the judiciary at the expense of representational power in Central and Eastern Europe. This fact is the consequence of the prevalence of a liberal-democratic vision of social order. But liberal type of social and political theory and practice is in crisis. Every new theoretical proposal, from communitarians to supporters of agonistic democracy, starts with a critique of the liberal democracy project. Especially it lack of legitimization and non-democratic character. The emerging in CEE illiberal democracy, with its populism and nationalism, presents itself as a political answer to this crisis. Thou highlighting the role of the sovereign, collective subject (“the people,""the nation") it wants to fill the legitimacy gap of state power, even at the expense of individual rights. In our panel we would like to examine, in the scope of constitutionalism and social theory, answers to the challenge of illiberal democracies.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism
Secondary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Penal Sub-phenomena in the United States: Theorizing Penal Change from the Ground Up
CRN: 27
IRC: 39
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio B (2nd Floor)
Chair(s): Heather Schoenfeld, Northwestern University
Disc.: Lisa Miller, Rutgers University

Description:
The greatest effort and success in the penal-state genre of recent punishment and society scholarship in the United States has been to explain the overall development of state prison systems and populations during the last quarter of the twentieth century. The same magnitude of energy and attention, however, has not been turned to addressing more specific developments during that period, such as the devolution of particular penal practices (commutation, for instance) or the emergence of new penal techniques or instruments (such as life imprisonment without parole or drug courts). Yet, the features of the penal state and the elements of penal change that matter for the development of particular penal instruments might diverge in significant ways from those that matter for larger scale change. This panel brings together a selection of state-level investigations of significant changes to particular practices and policies between the 1960s to the present -what one might call 'penal sub-phe
**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**

- Life Swings the Pendulum or Shifts the Plates: The Legislative Hearings at Florida State Prison, 1972
  **Christopher Seeds**, New York University

- New York Criminal Justice: Substantive Reform—or Not?
  **William Martin**, SUNY-Binghamton

- Silencing the Cell Block: Civil Liberties Lawyers, Due Process Protections, and the Suppression of Inmate Organizing in North Carolina and the Nation
  **Amanda Hughett**, American Bar Foundation/Duke University

  **Heather Schoenfeld**, Northwestern University
  **Michael Campbell**, University of Missouri, St. Louis
  **Paige Vaughn**, University of Missouri, St. Louis

**Power, Law, and Vulnerabilities across Borders: Vulnerable Populations in the Americas and Eastern Europe**

**CRN:** 27

**Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.**

**IRC:** 9

**Paper Session**

- **Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
- **Chair:** **Anton Symkovych**, University of Johannesburg
- **Disc.:** **Rafael Costa**, Escola Superior do Ministério Público

**Description:**

This session constitutes a platform for the members of the IRC 09 to present and discuss their current research, including joint presentations of cross-cultural studies, encompassing comparative study of power relations during the investigative process in Brazil, US, and Germany; legal safeguards in disciplinary proceedings in the US and Argentinian prisons; policing through violence in Brazil; as well as ‘failed’ and aspiring masculinities of vulnerable men in Ukraine. The participants will also reflect on the methodological peculiarities (including advantages and challenges) of research conducted by foreigners in third countries, with a specific focus on acceptance by research participants from vulnerable populations.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Presentations:**

- Policing through violence: An analysis of killings by police officers in the State of São Paulo, Brazil
  **Giane Silvestre**, Federal University of São Carlos

- Prison Disciplinary Proceedings in Argentina and the US
  **Agustina Gil Belloni**, University of Buenos Aires (UBA)

- The Investigative Stage of Criminal Procedure and It’s Impacts on Trials: An Analysis of Power Relations Between Prosecutors, Police and Judges in Brazil, Germany and U.S.
  **Rafael Costa**, Escola Superior do Ministério Público
  **Christopher Matera**, UC Berkeley

**Reading Raised Right: Fatherhood in American Conservatism**

**Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.**

**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Author(s):** **Jeffrey Dudas**, University of Connecticut

**Chair(s):** **Claire Rasmussen**, University of Delaware

**Reader(s):**

- **Renee Cramer**, Drake University
  **Amanda Hollis-Brusky**, Pomona College
  **Anna Kirkland**, University of Michigan
  **Anna-María Marshall**, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign
  **Michael McCann**, University of Washington
  **Joshua Wilson**, University of Denver

**Description:**

This AMR session will discussed Jeffrey Dudas’s *Raised Right: Fatherhood in Modern American Conservatism*, a probing look at the narratives of disappointed and disappointing fathers that haunt the conservative imaginary. Coming at a time of a conservative crisis of identity, Dudas’s text probes the myth-making at the heart of conservative intellectual leaders and how their conservatism is built on ideals of leadership deeply embedded in a struggle over the meaning of masculinity and citizenship in times of social change. The discussants include scholars of social movements, conservatism, feminist thought, and sociolegal scholarship, disentangling Dudas’s text and its relevant for making sense of the conservative movement of the last decade, and the decades to come.

**Primary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Secondary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law
Reimagining Rights and Subverting Property over Natural Resources
CRN: 23
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session
Room: Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair: Daniel Brinks, University of Texas at Austin
Disc.: Jackie Dugard, University of the Witwatersrand

Description:
This panel explores how community and indigenous contestation reimagines or subverts state and corporate property claims over territory and resources. Chandra considers how community struggles over the Indian Forest Rights Act have created new practices of property and claims of belonging. Hong explores how Kachin activists in northern Myanmar are addressing institutionalized inequality and intensified capital-intensive development through the assertion of semi-autonomous law. Merino examines what possibilities counter-mapping by Amazonian indigenous peoples in Peru present for the protection of their territories. McCreary and Milligan show how Indigenous resistance to pipeline development in North America articulates a sovereign territorial politics against state governance frameworks. Finally, Tantrigoda presents an alternative conception of rights proposed by Gujjar tribes in the Himalayas confronting the “slow violence” of climate change.

Primary Keyword: Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change
Secondary Keyword: Human Rights, International Human Rights

Presentations:
Forest Rights: Notes on an Alternative Political Agenda for Property
Rajshree Chandra, University of Delhi

Indigenous Alternative Law-Making in Myanmar: Governing Land and Natural Resources through Self-Determination
Emily Hong, Cornell University

North American Pipeline Resistance and Indigeneity in the Anthropocene: Indigenous Resistance to Developments in Resource Extraction Governance
Richard Milligan, Georgia State University
Tyler McCreary, University of British Columbia

The Right to Transform the state: Cartographic Struggles and the Making of a New Territorial Governance in Peru
Roger Merino, Universidad del Pacifico (Lima, Perú)

Remediying Relational Inequality
CRN: 7
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session
Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4
Chair: Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota
Disc.: Stephanie Bornstein, Univ. of Florida Levin College of the Law

Description:
This panel addresses the role of legal actors – both institutional and individual – and legal regimes in both creating and remediying multiple forms of inequality, including gender inequality. Panelists discuss the ways in which legal actors both private – universities and trust companies – and public – cities and courts – have the capacity to either facilitate or fix structural inequality through rule creation and targeted litigation. Some of these actors are proactively taking on the responsibility of making various forms of relationship fair, equitable, and free from both economic and physical violence. Other actors are capitalizing on rules that facilitate institutional profit at the expense of relational equity. In all these scenarios, women are particularly likely to be vulnerable parties just as gender is likely to be a relevant factor.

Primary Keyword: Class and Inequality
Secondary Keyword: Feminist Jurisprudence

Presentations:

On Title IX and the Meaning of Gender Justice
Rana Jaleel, University of California, Davis

Trusting Marriage
Allison Tait, University of Richmond School of Law

Rights, Regulation, and Religion: The Administration of Islam and Religious Freedom in South and Southeast Asia
CRN: 30
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session
Room: Caza C (3rd Floor)
Chair/Dis.: Matthew Nelson, SOAS (University of London)

Description:
This panel interrogates how state-based regulatory mechanisms activate, channel, and transform religious disputes. We move beyond-and question-the conventional and sharp distinction between ‘bureaucratic’ and ‘judicial’ processes to examine their interaction in the management of religious disputes. Bhat charts the ways in which new institutional models in India have expanded the regulatory-cum-adjudicatory power of the state even as they foster new forms of Muslim negotiation.
Focusing on the Indian case of Vishwa Lochan Madan (2014), regarding Muslim Personal Law, Redding critiques the Court’s failure to distinguish state and non-state forms of ‘judicial’ power. Nelson and Shah examine the role that variable notions of administrative ‘reasonableness’ play in the adjudication of disputes regarding religious freedom rights in Malaysia. Neo argues that religious regulation pressing for ‘religious harmony’ in Singapore often frustrates the politicization of Muslim religious groups.

**Primary Keyword:** Religion and Law, Religious Studies

**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**

- Operationalizing Human Rights: Religious Freedom and Administrative “Reasonableness” In Malaysia And Beyond
  - **Matthew Nelson,** SOAS (University of London)
  - **Dian Shah,** University of Malaya

- Regulating Minority Educational Rights in India: The Role of ‘Hybrid’ Institutions
  - **M. Mohsin Bhat,** Yale Law School

- “Vishwa Lochan Madan v. Union of India” and the Uncertain Boundaries of Muslim Personal Law (and Islamic Constitutionalism) in India
  - **Jeff Redding,** Saint Louis University School of Law

**The "13th" and Interdisciplinary Approaches to the Examination of State Power through Law: A Discussion with and Lessons from the Work of Millennial Scholars at the University of California, Irvine**

Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** James Pratt Jr., University of California, Irvine

**Participant(s):**

- **Miles Davison,** University of California, Irvine
- **James Pratt Jr.**, University of California, Irvine
- **Josiah Sweeting,** University of California, Irvine

**Description:**

This session centers on the recent documentary "13th" and the ways interdisciplinary work of millennial scholars furthers a critique of State Power. Our work adds to a growing body scholarship examining State sanctioned harms and responses. By using clips of “13th,” we will discuss: 1) systematic gaps in State Power in the Southern Black Belt and how they may influence high levels of violence within the region, particularly in Albany, Ga., former Murder Capital of America, 2) implicit bias in police, State sanctioned actors who enforce State Power, and how they contribute to negative outcomes that unequally impact people of color, 3) responses to the State through the Black Lives Matter and the Albany movements and 4) restorative justice in the educational system and its role in the mitigation of growing racial disparities in disciplinary practices, and finally, 5) how this work stretches beyond the American context by examining democracy and State repression in Latin America.

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Secondary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity

**The Impact of Gender, Identity, and Human Rights in Times of Conflict and Revolution for Arabs throughout the Diaspora**

IRC: 23

Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Karla McKanders, University of Tennessee, College of Law

**Participant(s):**

- Mutaz Qafisheh, Hebron University
- Louise Cainkar, Marquette University
- Fatima Sadiqi, University of Fez
- Halla Shaab, Birzeit University
- Valorie Vojdik, University of Tennessee College of Law
- Moha Ennaji, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University

**Description:**

This panel discussion seeks to raise consciousness at the intersection of gender, identity and human rights as it relates to Arabs at home and in the Diaspora with our foremost concern being helping to transform the structural, social, and cultural conditions that impede access to human rights. The scholars from various disciplines will work together to explore the interconnection between gender and international human rights challenges for individuals of Arab descent throughout the Diaspora. The context of revolution and the destabilizing impact of armed conflicts in the region will be used to critique and examine the utility of human rights law to address contemporary human rights issues through extra-legal strategies.

In engaging in this discourse, the interdisciplinary scholars' approaches gender, identity and human rights as the orientation and policy strategy for diagnosing, countering, and preventing dehumanization and for promoting human dignity and justice. Each scholar discusses their research, grounded in theory and practice, in varied social, political and legal contexts, where the politics of representation impact experiences and on the ground movements. The scholars’ collaboration provides diverse interdisciplinary perspectives of as their work is grounded as academics with an activist perspective and connection with communities of concern. As interdisciplinary scholars, this volume is rooted in our concern over the revolution and armed conflicts in the region’s destabilizing impact has on
the implementation of human rights for individuals of Arab descent globally. As international scholars, professors, and policy experts, the authors provide fresh discussions and critical diagnoses of past and present human rights violations and identify opportunities to advance human rights discourses as it relates to Arab individuals.

Transborder Lives: Intimate Dispatches from around the World
CRN: 2
Tuesday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Sabrina Balgamwalla, University of North Dakota
School of Law

Description:
Transborder Lives: intimate dispatches from around the world

Primary Keyword:
Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
Feminist Solidarity To Survive Beyond Borders: Social Services and Support for Woman Refugees in Turkey
Eylem Umit Atligan, Ankara University Law Faculty

Fences, Borders, and Belonging in Neoliberal South Africa
Matthew Nesvet, University of California, Davis

Forms of Border Life Spaces: Capturing the Legal Intricacies of Migrant Lives
Veronica Corcodel, Sciences Po Paris

Mixed-Status Families and Citizenship in the Contemporary Migration Experience
Heide Castaneda, University of South Florida

Socio-legal research on life-world of migrant care workers in Italy: quality of life and citizenship
Masao Kotani, Ochanomizu University (Tokyo)

Tuesday June 20, Plenary Sessions
4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

Panel 1: Geography of Walls, Borders & Bridges
Tuesday Session 5, 4:45 PM - 6:30 PM
Plenary Sessions

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair: Laura E. Gomez, UCLA

Description:
The current international context forces us to grapple anew with the geographic dimension of legal phenomena. The panel prioritizes the study of the movement of people across and within national borders. But the panel will also focus on other questions that begin to emerge with force. How will transnational networks – such as in the world of NGOs – react to the rise of nationalism? In the domain of legal culture, will US law continue to serve as a model of the rule of law for Latin American elites? Will the dichotomy between free movement of capital and free movement of labor change as the Trump administration imposes limits to capital flows? What are the contrasts and the similarities between the European and the North American towards nationalism and populism? More generally, what conceptual categories must we use to reflect about the relation between society, space and law in this new era?

Presenter(s):
Claudio Lomnitz, Columbia University
Saskia Sassen, Columbia University
Mariana Valverde, University of Toronto
Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine
Vincenzo Ferrari, IISL

Panel 2: Constitutionalism 100 Years After the Mexican Constitution
Tuesday Session 5, 4:45 PM - 6:30 PM
Plenary Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)

Chair: Francisca Pou, Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México (ITAM)

Description:
Description: This panel examines the legacy of the Mexican Constitution as it turns 100, in the context of contemporary
constitutionalism more generally. Adopted towards the close of the Mexican Revolution, the 1917 Constitution was the first in the world to include socio-economic rights, and served as an influence on the Weimar Constitution of 1919 and Russian Constitution of 1918, among others. The panel will reflect on themes such as what impact has the Constitution had in Mexican history, and on other constitutional processes? How have socio-economic rights evolved more generally in this period? What is the place of Latin American constitutionalism in international constitutionalism, and how has this role changed over the past century? Whither constitutionalism as Europe and the US turn inward?

**Presenter(s):**
- Roberto Gargarella, Universidad Tocuato di Tella
- Héctor Fix-Fierro, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas UNAM
- Siri Gloppen, University of Bergen/CMI - Centre on Law & Social Transformation
- Sarah Biddulph, Melbourne Law School
- Mark Tushnet, Harvard Law School

---

**Panel 3: Law in a Time of Populism: Brexit, Colombia, and the U.S. Elections**

**Tuesday Session 5, 4:45 PM - 6:30 PM**

**Plenary Session**

**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Marta Bucholc, University of Bonn/University of Warsaw

**Description:**
Description: The beginning of the Trump era poses huge analytical challenges for socio-legal studies. We need new tools and new lines of research to make sense of this time, not only to illuminate social sciences at large regarding new political-legal situations, but also to explore legal issues in their specific social context. This panel focuses on Brexit, the US elections, and the Colombian referendum as lenses through which to examine how current populist trends challenge settled understandings about the role of law, social movements, and legality in the contemporary world.

**Presenter(s):**
- Cesar Rodriguez-Garavito, University of Los Andes
- Jeffrey Dudas, University of Connecticut
- Paul Craig, St John’s College, Oxford
- Kim Lane Schepple, Princeton University
- Nelson Camilo Sanchez, University of Colombia/Dejusticia
Wednesday June 21, Session 1
8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

(Re)defining Harm and Victimization
CRN: 7
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Phyllis Goldfarb, George Washington University Law School

Disc.: Andrea Freeman, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa
William S. Richardson School of Law

Description:
This panel address various questions and challenges that arise from law’s regulation of gender and sexual behavior. These questions include the criminalization of sexual conduct and the enforcement of laws regarding human trafficking, sex work, sexual misconduct, and transgender rights. Panelists will consider the effect of laws and enforcement regimes on a host of critical issues and themes such as: 1) narratives of victimization and trauma, 2) understandings of women’s agency and gender exploitation, 3) moralism and gender essentialism, 4) coercion and consent, 5) harm and volition, 6) structures of race and class, and 7) patriarchy and subordination. In the process, the panel will shed light on the importance of legal framing, the limits of legal reform and social change, the role of power dynamics and political realities, and the profound need to shape legal processes that can recognize and protect real people, in all their variation and complexity, from exploitation and harm.

Primary Keyword: Feminist Jurisprudence
Secondary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
Disentangling Exploitation and Morality
Cynthia Godsoe, Brooklyn Law School

Radical Feminist Harms on Sex Workers
I. India Thusi, University of Witwatersrand and The Opportunity Agenda

Theorizing the Victim-Agent: A Response to the ‘Ideal’ Victim of Trafficking
Jing Geng, Católica Global School of Law

Transgender Rights in Transnational Context
Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota
Neha Jain, University of Minnesota Law School

Advancing Economic, Social and Cultural Rights through the Courts
CRN: 47
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session
Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

Chair(s): Ben Warwick, University of Birmingham

Disc.: Liam Thornton, University College Dublin

Description:
Courts and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights have long had a tense relationship. Dogged by questions of the appropriate judicial role and of the remedies that courts can offer, much scholarship has addressed the ‘gateway’ issue of whether these rights can be adjudicated upon. Against this backdrop, this panel form part of a new generation of scholarship which is able to move beyond such questions and examine the modalities and potential of the judicial enforcement of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. The papers address a number of systems from the Inter-American, to the Brazilian, to the Nigerian.

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
Courts and healthcare in Brazil: policy strategies to comply with judicial decisions.
Natalia Pires de Vasconcelos, Yale University

The Concept of Vulnerability in the Inter-American Human Rights System
Angeliki Papantoniou, Queen Mary University London

Bridging Strategies to A Low-Carbon Future
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Shelley Welton, University of South Carolina School of Law

Description:
This panel considers governance strategies capable of bridging the gap between the current energy mix and potential low-carbon energy futures. To that end, it draws together scholars working predominantly in energy, climate change, and transnational business law. The papers in this panel ask how those working in the climate law field can build effective bridges between private and public energy markets and public policy goals, participation and technocratic expertise, sovereigns and investors, and the local and the global. There is particular emphasis on the benefits and challenges of engaging citizens and finding synergies among seemingly disparate interests in order to advance the enormous societal and economic projects of decarbonization and development.
**Primary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Presentations:**
- Public Values and Energy Markets
  - **Shelley Welton,** University of South Carolina School of Law
- The Contested Spaces of Law within Natural Resource Governance: The Shift To Investor-Community Relations
  - **Fenner Stewart,** University of Calgary
- “Glocalism” and the Shift to Interdisciplinary Thought in the Age of Climate Change
  - **Ann Eisenberg,** University of South Carolina School of Law

**Comparative History of Legal Education**
IRC: 41
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Andrés Botero Bernal,** Industrial University of Santander

**Disc.:** **Joshua Tate,** SMU Dedman School of Law

**Description:**
This session, sponsored by the International Research Collaborative on Comparative Legal History, will examine the history of legal education from a comparative perspective.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**
- Early Modern Women and the Study of Law: The First ‘Legal Primer’ for Women (1751)
  - **Laura Beck Varela,** Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
- Legal Education in China: What We Need to Learn from the Last Three Decades
  - **Dong Jiang,** Renmin University of China
- Legal Education in the U.S. & Saudi Arabia: A comparative & Historical Study
  - **Michael Hoeflich,** University of Kansas School of Law
- No Wall for Mosquitoes: Enduring Problems of Borders, Public Health, and Education
  - **Polly Price,** Emory Law School

**Comparing Legal Categories Through the Lens of Same Sex Relationships and Transgender Identities**
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Michael Boucai,** SUNY Buffalo Law School

**Disc.:** **Susan Appleton,** Washington University School of Law

**Description:**
How are legal categories altered when they are applied to same sex relationships – for example, the meaning of “consent” in sexual assault cases or “the future” in dissolution of marriage? What do we learn by comparing the political backlash to marriage equality in the U.S. and three Latin American countries? Or by historical comparison of sodomy law in Egypt under the British colonial regime, Islamic law, and present-day law?

How do law and politics construct transgender identities – in Australia? In the U.S.? These diverse studies from around the globe present provocative evidence and analysis to address traditional questions of law in action while also raising intriguing new questions of law and sexuality.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Comparative Law as Archeology: Sodomy, Islamic Law and the Human Rights Advocate
  - **Amr Shalakany,** American University in Cairo
- The Heart of the Matter: A Queer Feminist Critique of the Criminalisation of Fraudulent Consent
  - **Sharon Cowan,** University of Edinburgh
- The Scientific Gaze in Contemporary American Transgender Politics: The Role of Scientific and Medical Discourses and Institutions and the Law in Constructing Transgender Political Identity
  - **Joseph Wuest,** University of Pennsylvania
- Unpacking the Backlash to Marriage Equality in Three Latin American Countries
  - **Jason Pierceson,** University of Illinois Springfield
- **Adriana Piatti-Crocker,** University of Illinois Springfield
- ‘Dysphoric Subjects’: The Narration and Construction of Transgender Identity in Australian Courts
  - **Katherine Fallah,** University of Technology Sydney
- “It’s not really a prevailing view or right. Nobody sees it like that yet”: civil partnership dissolution and the payment of future-based financial awards
  - **Charlotte Bendall,** University of Essex
Counter-Colonial Drug Policy and Laws: A View From the South
CRN:23
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session
Room: Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair(s): Nayeli Urquiza, Kent Law School
Disc.: Kojo Koram, University of Essex

Description:
At the turn of the twentieth century and the demise of colonialism and slavery, foreign drug merchants were represented as predators, “vipers”, and “snakes”, infesting the country with substances analogized with “venom.” Anti-drug rhetoric and laws reversed the roles of the coloniser and colonised, erasing centuries of oppression and dispossession, turning immigrants and racial others into demonized criminals who use drugs to ‘enslave’ white people with their drugs. The ‘evil’ nature of the illicit drug trade was coupled in the 1970s to the ‘war on drugs’ rhetoric, when President Richard Nixon told the US Congress in 1971 that drug addiction had “assumed the dimensions of a national emergency” and in the 1980s, President Ronald Reagan framed illicit drug trade as an issue of national security against external threats. Based on claim that drugs flow up-wards from the Global South, the war on drugs spread outside of the US through foreign aid mechanisms to South America, South-East Asia, Asia, etc. Meanwhile, the international drug control treaties mapped a new order of social control, where the boundaries between regulation and criminalisation coincided not only with the licit routes of commerce in global capitalism but also along historical structures of discrimination and oppression. In reproducing this hegemonic discourse about criminality from the South, i.e. the control of borders and unruly subjects, academic work risks not only reproducing the language of control and colonial criminologies that reproduced the imperialist ideology that has shaped drug policy over the twentieth century. Echoing Biko Agozino’s invitation to challenge our received notions about crime through a counter-colonial criminology, this stream invites contributions that can counter the colonial and imperialist discourses of the war on drugs, deconstructing its necropolitical, patriarchal, colonialist, and racist past and its present effects on the South. This includes engagements with the increasing precarity of historically marginalized populations, subject to civil and human rights violations in the context of the war on drugs, and critical analysis on international and national drug laws and policies, the reproduction of colonial power through academic discourse and research (i.e. criminology, sociology, economic, international law, criminal law, politics, international crime control, security studies), the militarization of the police function, the prison industrial complex, carceral governance, among other interrelated topics.

Primary Keyword: Regulation, Reform, and Governance
Secondary Keyword: Violence

Presentations:
- Biopolitics and necropolitics: an unfinished dialogue of Gewalt
- German Sandoval, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas UNAM
- Decolonizing Vulnerability: Vulnerable Insecurity and Subaltern Precarity in the War on Drugs in Mexico
- Nayeli Urquiza, Kent Law School
- Necropolitical Wars and the Spatial Dimensions of Law
- Ariadna Estévez, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
- The Birth of Drug Prohibition and the (re)Generation of Universalism in The First Half of the ‘American Century’
- Kojo Koram, University of Essex

Crime, Criminal justice, and Victim’s studies
CRN: 31
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session
Room: Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Description:
This panel explores different issues and perspectives related to crime, criminal justice, and victims’ studies.

Primary Keyword:
Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

Presentations:
- Teaching Undergraduates About Wrongful Convictions
  Kimberly Baker, University of Northern Iowa
- The individual perspective and beyond: is “reasons for action” a suitable model for criminal action?
  Fanny Elisabeth Rollet, Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, Ecole Normale Supérieure (de la rue d’Ulm) Paris
- The Welfarization of Criminal Justice? Poverty, Punishment, and Rehabilitation in the Criminal Court
  Katherine Hood, UC Berkeley
- “Trust Me; we’re working On Things”: Community Practitioners and Lower Criminal Courts
  Marianne Quirouette, University of Toronto

Critical Tax Theory
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session
Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Jennifer Bird-Pollan, University of Kentucky College of Law
**Description:**
This panel examines the evolution of legal institutions in Latin America in the 20th century. It draws together Brazil, Chile, Colombia, and Mexico, looking past the “exceptionalism” of their national histories or how they are taken as “exceptions” to in comparison to Europe or the United States - on account of their experiences with authoritarianism and extreme violence. Indeed, scholars often categorize the region’s constitutions as shams, its political institutions as corrupt, and the laws as ineffectual. These papers depart from this premise by interrogating the rise of new governing norms as well as how legal institutions grappled with welfare provision in highly stratified societies. The papers highlight how these legal experiments were in dialogue with changing norms of jurisprudence and constitutionalism worldwide. Taking into account legal theory and practice, the panel grapples with how social and political forces impact law, and vice versa, in Latin America.

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**

- Social Security versus Social Assistance: The Making of Mexico’s Welfare State, 1931-1943
  - **Sara Hidalgo,** Columbia University

- Taming the Prince: The Colombian Constitutional Court and Rights Review of Executive Emergency Powers
  - **Andrea Katz,** Yale University

- The “Social Function” of Lawyers: Professional Crisis and the Origins of the Chilean Bar Association and the Chilean Legal Aid System, 1915-1932
  - **Marianne Gonzalez Le Saux,** Columbia University

**Federalism and Legal Change in Latin America: Grappling with Women’s Rights**

**IRC:** 31

**Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Catalina Smulovitz, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

**Alba Ruibal,** Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Argentina

**Disc.:** Catalina Smulovitz, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella
Wednesday 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

**Description:**
Papers in this Panel analyze variations in the enactment and enforcement of women’s rights across subnational units in Latin American federations, as well as the impact of federalism in the configuration of opportunities and obstacles for women’s movements to influence legal change in the region. The purpose of the Panel is to advance and discuss theoretical insights grounded on empirical research about the socio-legal factors and dynamics that affect the disparate protection of women’s rights at the subnational level. Papers focus on reproductive rights and violence against women in two of the four Latin American federations: Argentina and Mexico.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization  
**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Before and after CEDAW: a sub-national legal cartography of women’s rights in Argentina
  - **Violeta Canaves**, Yale Law School / Universidad Nacional del Litoral

- Federalism and gender violence law in Mexico
  - **Caroline Beer**, University of Vermont

- Protecting Women from Gender Violence in Mexico (2010-2014). The implementation of a federal program (PAIMEF) by subnational women’s agencies
  - **Sonia Frias**, National Autonomous University of Mexico

- Protection of Rights in the Argentinean Provinces: What rights, who pays for them and how can they be accessed?
  - **Catalina Smulovitz**, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

- Spreading Mexico’s Abortion Backlash for Electoral Support? The Role of Elite Interests and Electoral Competition in Subnational Policy Diffusion
  - **Camilla Reuterswaerd**, University of Wisconsin-Madison

- The right to choose and the right to life: an argument in favor of femocracy.
  - **Gisela Zaremberg**, Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales

- Alvaro Fernando Guzmán Lucero, Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales

**First Do No Harm: A Multi-Level Approach to Understanding Medical Harm**

**CRN:** 9  
**Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair:** Mary Nell Trautner, University at Buffalo, SUNY

**Description:**
This panel aims to explore medical harm from individual, interactional, and institutional perspectives by addressing how various stakeholders prevent, inflict, and make sense of harm. Each presenter offers a distinct perspective on the relationship between law and medical harm by addressing how law is mobilized to rectify medical harm, how law constrains professionals from inflicting harm, how law is used to justify organizational rituals, and how law interacts with other institutions such as science. Collectively, authors will demonstrate how people reframe personal problems as legal problems in the digital age, identify limitations to professional licensing systems, highlight how the government legitimizes harm to immigrant detainees, analyze how institutions conceive of medical harm, and reveal how organizational actors justify organizational rituals by citing the potential for harm.

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine  
**Secondary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
- Let the Consumer Beware: Maintenance of Licensure and Certification in the United States
  - **Ruth Horowitz**, New York University

- Permanent Injury Beyond Medical Intervention: Disguising Death in U.S. Immigrant Detention
  - **Kay Varela**, Texas A&M University

- John Eason, Texas A&M University

- Tiffany Amoretti Young, Texas A&M University

- Beatriz Aldana-Marquez, Texas A&M University

- Rethinking the Disputing Pyramid
  - **Mary Nell Trautner**, University at Buffalo, SUNY

- The Electronic Fetal Monitor: Legal Defense or Organizational Ritual?
  - **Louise Roth**, University of Arizona

**From Ferguson to Abolition: Police, Prisons, and the Struggles Ahead**

**Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** George Ciccariello-Maher, Drexel University

**Participant(s):**
- Andrew Dils, Loyola Marymount University
- Mariame Kaba, Project NIA
- Lester Spence, Johns Hopkins University

**Description:**
Despite the suggestion by some that the 2008 election of Barack Obama heralded a long sought-after “postracial” society in the
United States, the years since have seen the exact opposite. While the hopes of many Black Americans were raised by Obama’s election, so too did a resurgent white anxiety and racist nativism, leading to more discussion of the importance of race, not less. Mass mobilizations, grassroots struggles, and even insurgent moments like those in Ferguson and Baltimore have shifted the nationwide debate, and this new terrain of struggle for liberation raises important strategic questions moving forward: how to analytically interpret policing and incarceration, and how to link this interpretation with a struggle to abolish both; how to speak and struggle jointly around race, class, and gender; and how to unify rebelliousness in the streets with long-term change. This roundtable brings together some of today’s most influential voices to chart a path forward.

**Primary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**Human Rights and Criminal Justice**

Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Description:**
This session will feature presentations dealing with the intersection between human rights and criminal justice

**Primary Keyword:**
Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Presentations:**
Cross-National Measures of Punitiveness

*Jacqueline Scott,* John Jay College of Criminal Justice / City University of New York

Victims’ rights and the criminal justice system in Mexico: Exclusion, marginalization or spotlight?

*Ximena Medellin,* Centro de Investigación y Docencia Economías

**Indigenous Law Regimes, Colonialism, and Justice in Conflict Zones**

Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**
This panel explores a variety of ways that law and justice appear in different contexts.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
Cashless Welfare Transfers and Australia’s First Peoples – Redemptive or Repressive Violence?

*Shelley Bielefeld,* The Australian National University

**Institutions, Markets, and Regulation - Housing, Environment, and Land**

IRC: 13

Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Disc.:** Pritam Baruah, Jindal GLobal Law School

**Chair/Disc.:** Mariana Mota Prado, University of Toronto

**Description:**
This panel comprises the stream on Institutions, Markets, and Regulation of the IRC Law and Development with a particular focus on housing rights, environmental policy, and land use. One paper presents a case study of inclusionary housing rights based on the Chilean experience. Another paper examines the Brazilian case of implementation of the program ‘My life, my house’ from a policy perspective, critically assessing the strengths and weaknesses for protection of housing rights. Another paper focuses on the development of environmental policies at the Andean countries. The final paper examines land use for agricultural purposes from the perspective of investment and legal indicators.

**Primary Keyword:** Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism

**Secondary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Presentations:**
Exploring new legal tools to promote the right to housing in the context of globalization

*Camilla Fernandes Moreira,* Universidade de Brasilia

Greening the State in the Andean Region: Towards convergence or divergence?

*Everaldo Lamprea,* Los Andes Law School

The Challenges of the Regulatory State for the Promotion of Inclusionary Housing: A Case Study of Chile

*Diego Gil Mc Cawley,* Stanford Law School
**Intellectual Property Design**
CRN: 14
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Caza C (3rd Floor)

*Chair(s):* Shubha Ghosh, Syracuse

*Disc.:* Gerardo Con Díaz, University of California, Davis

*Description:* How can Law and Society methods aid in the design of intellectual property laws? These four papers address this question through studies of university intellectual property, mechanisms of commodification, software patenting, and fashion design.

*Primary Keyword:* Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage

*Presentations:*
  **Brad Sherman,** University of Queensland
- **Materializing the Immaterial: Intellectual Property Law as a Mechanism for Commodification**
  **Alexandra George,** University of New South Wales
- **Principles for Intellectual Property Design**
  **John Golden,** The University of Texas School of Law
- **The New Rules of University System: Academic Capitalism and Hypercitizen Education**
  Andrea Pitasi, Gabriele d’Annunzio University
  **Emilia Ferone,** Gabriele d’Annunzio University
- **The Past and Future of Software Patenting**
  **Gerardo Con Díaz,** University of California, Davis

**International Institutions, International Relations, and International Conflicts**
CRN: 43
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

*Disc.:* Matt Craven, SOAS

*Description:* This paper session is on the international institutions, international relations, and international conflicts. The panelists discuss on the World Bank as a legal actor, international institutions, interstate war, role of federalism in international relations, and cyber war. Various methodologies will be employed to shed lights on the sociology of international law.

*Primary Keyword:* International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

*Secondary Keyword:* Human Rights, International Human Rights

*Presentations:*
- **Emergent International Humanitarian Law in the Context of Cyber War**
  **Ivory Mills,** Northwestern University School of Law
- **Inclusionary Governance for International Institutions: Towards a model for citizens’ involvement**
  **Marjolein Schaap-Rubio Imbers,** Erasmus School of Law, Erasmus University Rotterdam
- **Internal Borders and External Connections: The Role of Federalism in International Relations**
  **Edward Rubin,** Vanderbilt
- **The Decline of Interstate War and the Juridification of International Affairs: a statistical analysis**
  **Joseph Conti,** University of Wisconsin, Madison
- **The many faces of the World Bank as a legal actor**
  **Philipp Dann,** Humboldt University Berlin

**Intersections of Domestic and International Frameworks in Immigration Law**
CRN: 2
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

*Chair:* Regina Jefferies, University of Minnesota School of Law

*Description:* Intersections of Domestic and International Frameworks in Immigration Law

*Primary Keyword:* Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

*Presentations:*
- **Realising IDP Return: Challenges in law and implementation**
  **Ben Hudson,** University of Bristol
- **The Case for Judicial Enforcement of the Fundamental Obligation of Non-Refoulement in the United States**
  **Regina Jefferies,** University of Minnesota School of Law
- **What Happens When Countries Legalize Intra-Regional Migrants and Give Them Rights?: The Case of Mercosur’s Residency Agreements**
  **Deisy Del Real,** University of California, Los Angeles
**Investigating Access to Justice in Family Court**  
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Daanika Gordon, University of Wisconsin-Madison**

**Description:**
This session presents papers exploring research on access to justice in family court, including papers examining litigant perspectives on attorney representation, to what extent family courts are “problem solving” verses adversarial, how legal actors seek to produce justice when they handle cases in a morally ambiguous area of law, and how litigants and legal actors’ perceptions of parenthood are reflected in and shaped by child support proceedings. The empirical findings are from a team-based, multi-year, NSF-funded, qualitative study examining questions of access to justice for low-income parents defending themselves in family court. The interdisciplinary study team primarily examined cases involving government attorneys representing state child support agencies in their pursuit of unrepresented, low-income fathers of color for unpaid child support. Our findings are drawn from over a hundred hours of ethnographic observations of child support enforcement hearings, individual and group interviews with 114 judges, family court commissioners, and child support lawyers, and longitudinal, in-depth interviews with 40 fathers who are litigants in these cases.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
- Family Court as Problem Solving?  
  **David Pate**, University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee
- Litigant Perspectives on Attorney Representation  
  **Chloe Haimson**, University of Wisconsin - Madison
- Producing Justice in a Morally Ambiguous Legal Terrain: Three Typologies of Legal Actors  
  **Tonya Brito**, University of Wisconsin Law School
- The Price of Parenthood  
  **Rachel Johnson**, University of Wisconsin - Madison

**Law in the Media**  
CRN: 45

RCSL Working Group: Law and Popular Culture  
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Stefan Machura, Bangor University**  
**Peter Robson, University of Strathclyde**

**Description:**
Series of papers, partially from authors included in edited collection ‘A Transnational Study of Law and Justice on TV’ (2016)

**Primary Keyword:** Popular Culture, Media, and the Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

**Presentations:**
- An Empirical and Narrative Look at Legal and Law-Related Shows on U.S. Television.  
  **Christine Corcos**, LSU Law Center
- Law and Justice on Italian Television  
  **Ferdinando Spina**, University of Salento
- Law and Justice on TV - a British perspective  
  **Peter Robson**, University of Strathclyde
- No Lawyers in the Living Room – Past, Present and Future of Israeli “TV Justice”  
  **Itay Ravid**, Stanford Law School
- The Nominees or the System: Judicial Nominations in the Elite and Popular Press in Israel  
  **Bryna Bogoch**, Bar Ilan University
- **Yifat Holzman-Gazit**, College of Management, School of Law

**Law, Social Movements, and Legal Change**  
CRN: 21  
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Clasico (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Brandon M. Finlay, Indiana University, Bloomington**

**Description:**
Social Movements and the law have long been an intellectual intersection allowing scholars to unpack both social order and social change. This session highlights the framing processes necessary for social movement action as well as the how challenging the law, often leads to social change.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- “Legalism from Below” in the Post-Ferguson Context: Grassroots Governance, Police Liability Insurance, and the Limits of Linguistic Capital  
  **Stephen Wulff**, University of Minnesota
- Building a New Consumer Movement  
  **Mark Bartholomew**, University at Buffalo School of Law
Traversing Borders to Contest Migrant (Il)legality: Undocumented Immigrant Youth Organizing and Partnerships with Members of the Deported Diaspora
Kevin Escudero, Brown University

¿El derecho al cannabis? Limites del litigio estratégico por un cambio social en México
Luis Rivera Velez, Sciences Po

**Law, Social Movements, and Radical Imagination**

**CRN: 12**
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Amna Akbar, Ohio State University

**Participant(s):**
- Jordan Camp, Brown University
- Christina Heatherton, Trinity College
- Rachel Herzing, OSF
- K-Sue Park, Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid
- Dean Spade, Seattle University School of Law

**Description:**
In the session, social-movement-focused scholars respond to two provocative, insightful new books: Jordan T. Camp’s *Incarcerating the Crisis: Freedom Struggles and the Rise of the Neoliberal State*; and Jordan T. Camp & Christina Heatherton’s *Policing the Planet: Why the Policing Crisis Led to Black Lives Matter*. We will discuss the role of the contemporary movements for social change—the Movement for Black Lives and beyond—with a focus on exploring emergent demands for the abolition of immigration enforcement, policing and imprisonment. These demands challenge conventional legal rights and equality strategies and open up compelling conversations about what the relationship between law and social justice might mean. We will talk about the possibilities and limits of building cross-movement collaborations, and raise the question of what it means for US-based social movements to wage anti-capitalist anti-imperialist battles in the legal field and beyond.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization
**Secondary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**Legal Geography Session II: Legal Geography of Urban Spaces I**

**CRN: 35**
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

**Chair/Disc.:** Antonio Azuela, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico

**Description:**
Papers in this panel address a variety of issues related to urban life as both the context and the outcome of legal practices that are at the center of contemporary societies. Whereas one of the four papers offer a general discussion about the relationship between democracy at different scales (that of the nation state and that of the city) the other three papers are the result of empirical research in Latin American cities. These papers deal with three issues: violence in Rio de Janeiro, social exclusion in tourist cities, and territorial trajectories of young people after a period of incarceration. Despite the thematic diversity, all four papers explore the subjective dimension of spatialized legal practices. Thus, debates in this panel will address central problems for the law and geography movement.

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law

**Presentations:**
- Denunciation, Crime, and Punishment: the cycle of violence in the city of Rio de Janeiro
  - Maria Isabel MacDowell Couto, Diretoria de Análise de Políticas Públicas da Fundação Getulio vargas (FGV/DAPP)
  - Roberta Novis, FGV
  - Marco Aurelio Ruediger, Fundação Getulio Vargas
- Postcards of exclusion and places off the map: a legal geography of tourist cities
  - Lucas Konzen, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul

**Money Norms, Money Forms and Social Governance**

**Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**
Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Julia Tomassetti, City University of Hong Kong, School of Law

**Disc.:** Camilo Leslie, SUNY Buffalo Law School

**Description:**
Money norms, money forms and social governance

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**
- Communitarian Entrepreneurship? Indigenous Governance, Impact Hubs, and Legal Challenges for Social Enterprise Development in Oaxaca, Mexico
  - John Dale, George Mason University
- Sean Doody, George Mason University
- David Kyle, University of California, Davis
Corporate Social Responsibility, Trade and Investment Agreements and Global Governance: Conflict, Concession, Confusion

**Uche Ewelukwa**, University of Arkansas School of Law

Money Norms

**Julia Lee**, Penn State University School of Law

Policy Solutions to Mitigate the Negative Effects of Currency Manipulation on the U.S. Economy

**Joseph Baker**, Beasley School of Law, Temple University

---

**New Corporeal Humanisms: Law & Social Change I**

**CRN: 9**

Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s): Rosie Harding**, University of Birmingham

**Disc.:** Michael Thomson, University of Leeds

**Description:**
These sessions explore the emergence of a new humanist discourse in feminist theory. In contrast to the (religious and secular) humanisms of the twentieth-century, these twenty-first-century humanisms begin with the body and its vulnerability. An ontology shaped by political aspirations and theory, these models centre corporeality and our shared vulnerability to suffering and harm as a way of figuring a new ethic of responsibility. Theories of vulnerability, precarity, capability, corporeal altruism, social flesh and so forth, provide a means of articulating the responsibilities of the state to its citizens, distant (and not so distant) others, and non-human beings. These sessions address how the new corporeal humanisms have been responded to in legal studies. They seek to test the utility of these theories for legal projects for social change. Further, across a number of different substantive areas of concern these papers seek to test their theoretical and practical limits.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Secondary Keyword:** Health and Medicine

**Presentations:**
- Becoming Bodies Within the Boundaries of Law - Mapping the Legal Shape of the Body
  **Camilla Jydebjerg**, University College Zealand
- Corporeality, Abstraction and Rejection in the Convention on the Rights for Persons with Disabilities
  **Mitchell Travis**, University of Leeds
- Donor Sibs and Lateral Kinships – Family Rhizomes and the Materiality of Kin
  **Stu Marvel**, Emory Law School
- Embodied Integrity, Shaping Surgeries, and the Profoundly Disabled Child
  **Michael Thomson**, University of Leeds
- Modalities of Materiality: A Feminist Decolonial Approach to Bodies of Law and Science
  **Laura Foster**, Indiana University

---

**Oñati Sessions - Current Discussions on the Sociology of Law I**

**RCSL Working Group: Sociology of Constitution**

Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

**Room:** Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s): Masayuki Murayama**, Meiji University

**Disc.:** Vincenzo Ferrari, IISL

**Description:**
The Oñati International Institute for the Sociology of Law (IISL) was created in 1989 by the collaboration of the Basque Country government and the Research Committee on Sociology of Law (RCSL) of the International Sociological Association (ISA). Since 1990, its Master Program in Sociology of Law has been characterized by the diversity and constant flow of people and interests. This has brought the world to Oñati and has also taken Oñati’s influence to the world.

The Oñati Sessions aim to open a new window of interaction between the IISL and the socio-legal community in general. The papers in this session introduce us to different influences of globalization in local contexts, showcasing the richness of the research currently carried by the Oñati community.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, Indigenous, and Non-State Law, Private Ordering

**Presentations:**
- Building a Bridge Between Men and Women in Advertising: Abel’s “Third Way”
  **Linda della Ragione**, University of San Sebastian, Spain
- Globalization of Small Law Firms: Boutique Globalization and Liquidity in Legal Profession
  **Murat Burak Aydin**, Izmir University of Economics
- Infranormativity. A spatial approach to normativity
  **Marisela Lopez Zaldivar**, Universidad Iberoamericana

Monica N. Acosta García, Universidad del Rosario/ Universidad del País Vasco

New Borders of Humanitarian Action? The Case of Restoring Family Links among Migrants

Arianna Jacqmin, Università degli Studi di Milano

Ownership of Social Movements
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Chair(s): Yolanda King, Northern Illinois Univ. College of Law

Participant(s):
- Deidre Keller, Ohio Northern University Pettit College of Law
- Yolanda King, Northern Illinois University College of Law

Description:
Contemporary American society has been wrought with public discontent on a number of social concerns. From the Tea Party Movement, to Occupy Wall Street, to Black Lives Matter, citizens have coalesced into larger social movements to pursue societal change on matters including populism, conservative activism, and black liberation. Such social movements are comprised of loose networks of national and local assemblages that determine their own agendas without central governance or directives. From this perspective, a decentralized approach allows for nimbleness in dealing with local concerns that large, unwieldy corporate structures do not typically provide. On the other hand, such an approach makes it difficult, if not impossible, to identify “official” leadership or messaging. In a society that is also driven by financial incentives that stem from controlling such messaging, these models may not allow such groups to pursue ownership through traditional means, including intellectual property ownership.

This session will discuss the benefits and drawbacks of ownership in, and the potential privatizing of, social movements in the United States.

Primary Keyword: Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage
Secondary Keyword: Culture, and Cultural Rights

Peace, Conflict, and Humanitarian Law
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Chair/Disc.: Jonathan Miller, Southwestern Law School

Description:
This panel presents a range of analyses of concepts and case studies tracing connections between peace, conflict, and humanitarian law.

Primary Keyword: War, and Armed Conflict

Presentations:
Humanitarianism as Sociology of Law: An Inventory
Kristin Sandvik, University of Oslo

State Encounter with Almajiranci in Northern Nigeria: Security Challenges, Reforms and Limit of Legislation
Sulaiman Khalid, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto -Nigeria

Performing Copyright: Intellectual Property Negotiations in the Dramatic Arts
CRN: 1
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s): Ann Goldberg, History Department, UC Riverside

Disc.: Isabella Alexander, Law Faculty, University of Technology (Sydney)

Description:
Copyright is both a bridge and a wall. It serves as the grounding for the cultural infrastructure of modern societies by providing incentives for the creation and dissemination of knowledge. However, copyright simultaneously erects walls—barriers against the use of existing works without authorization with gates for rent-seeking. Many of the most salient legal, social, and economic issues involved in copyright are particularly well articulated in the history of dramatic copyright. In 1856 Congress passed a statute extending federal copyright protection to theatrical productions. Yet drama’s inclusion in the copyright system proved hardly straightforward. As a dynamic creative community, theater had its own hierarchies, guild norms, and social networks. Authorship was defined in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries through a complex meshwork of collaboration between dramatists, actors, stage managers, and impresarios. Courts had to address forms of collaboration far afield from the simple model of authorship. A plethora of associations existed, such as the Bureau of New Plays, with extra-official enforcement mechanisms. Dramatic copyright proved to be a particularly fraught bundle of rights to enforce. Roving stock companies relocating from one jurisdiction to another and a web of plagiarists prompted the invention of multiple policing mechanisms against infringement. And the assertion of drama’s artistic significance often clashed with its frantic need to ensure the economic foundation for an especially risky and expensive to produce form of cultural
production. Not surprisingly, the contractual legal landscape of drama had a complex relationship to copyright-creating a legal drama of their own.

**Primary Keyword:** Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage

**Presentations:**
- Joint Authorship in Dramatic Collaborations from the 19th Century to the Present
  - Mary LaFrance, William S. Boyd School of Law, UNLV
- Networking Piracy, Criminalizing Infringement: Dramatic Works in Late 19th Century United States
  - Steven Wilf, Law School–University of Connecticut
- Performing Copyright: Intellectual Property Negotiations in the Dramatic Arts
  - Brent Salter, Yale
- The Body of Jesus Christ Superstar
  - Derek Miller, Harvard University

**Policies on Class and Inequality**
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Walter Allen, University of California, Los Angeles

**Disc.:** Kaimipono Wenger, Thomas Jefferson Law School

**Description:**
The relationship between local communities and the institutions that govern them is the subject of this panel. Municipal governments, relocation agencies, courts, and other agencies must negotiate a complex scene in which often-marginalized local communities may or may not play a role. The four papers on this panel promise insights into the conditions that encourage community action and the legal standards that should apply.

**Primary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**Presentations:**
- Cultural Resources and Criminal Punishment
  - Matthew Clair, Harvard University
- My Land, Your Land: Post-Disaster Resettlement Policy and Social Vulnerabilities
  - Chien-yu Liu, Georgetown University Law Center
- Paternalism, Representation, and the Shaping of Local Politics: The Function of Municipal Government in Communities
  - Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas
  - Nicole Humphrey, University of Kansas

**Shadow Security: Everyday Crime Control in an Advantaged Community**

Devon Magliozzi, Stanford University

**Race, Rights, and Belonging: Immigrant Integration and Exclusion in Practice**

**CRN:** 2

Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Duque (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Doris Marie Provine, Arizona State University

**Description:**
This panel investigates the practices by which non-citizens are integrated or excluded from social membership, and by extension, political and social rights. Drawing from distinct case studies, they also call attention the importance of local context and time-specific dynamics in shaping integrative or exclusionary outcomes. Together, these works consider the variability of the immigrant experience, and the various dimensions along which immigrants can experience exclusion or inclusion.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Gendered Experiences of Violence and Victimization among Mexican immigrants in South Philadelphia
  - Amada Armenta, University of Pennsylvania
- How Immigrant Rights Became Civil Rights: Black-Latino Coalitions, Migration, and the Struggle for Justice in the Deep South
  - Jennifer Jones, University of Notre Dame
  - Hana Brown, Wake Forest University
- “If You’re Watching This”: (In-/Hyper-) Visibility in Settling and Unsettling State Enforcement and the Undocumented Youth Contentious Challenge
  - Luisa Heredia, Sarah Lawrence College
- “Save Our Senior Non-Citizens”: Extending Old Age Assistance to Immigrants, 1935-1971
  - Cybelle Fox, UC Berkeley

**Roundtable Discussion of Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions Project**

**CRN:** 7, 31, 38

Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Bridget Crawford, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University
Wednesday 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

**Participant(s):**
Nicole Appleberry, University of Michigan Law School  
Linda Beale, Wayne State University School of Law  
David Cruz, USC Gould School of Law  
Francine Lipman, University of Nevada, Las Vegas  
Ann Murphy, Gonzaga University School of Law  
Elaine Wilson, West Virginia University College of Law

**Description:**
Participants in this roundtable are contributors to *Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Tax Opinions* (forthcoming, Cambridge University Press, 2017). Participants will discuss their general involvement in the project as well as the specific ways that feminist theory can be brought to bear not only on questions of equal protection or constitutional analysis, but also statutory interpretation. Participants will consider ways that the dominant mode of analyzing tax law as supposedly neutral and objective has the effect of treating people as the sum of their financial transactions, without recognition of lines of difference other than those relating to class. The discussion will locate the Feminist Judgments work in the larger critical academic project, discuss how feminist judgments might be used in the classroom, and how tax cases can generate new ways of thinking about feminist theory as a transformative tool.

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Socio-cultural Perspectives and Possibilities in the Study of Law**
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Keramet Reiter, University of California, Irvine

**Participant(s):**
Kristen Maziaraki, University of California, Irvine  
Linette Park, University of California, Irvine  
Amanda Petersen, University of California, Irvine  
James Pratt Jr., University of California, Irvine  
Kasey Ragan, University of California, Irvine

**Description:**
Although culture is clearly a pervasive force in society’s understanding of and attitudes toward law, cultural studies are rarely integrated into socio-legal projects in a meaningful way. At this roundtable, we invite discussion on ways to open up socio-legal research to the integration of cultural studies, as well as considerations of how to broaden the concept of culture within legal studies. We anticipate bringing up topics such as using culture as a framework for studying law, defining and measuring culture in innovative ways, conceptualizing culture as a mechanism for social movements, and imagining how culture can be infused into interdisciplinary research on law. In addition, our roundtable will explore the different ways that attendees can draw on cultural studies to enrich their work. The ultimate goal of this roundtable is to discuss how our scholarship can speak to policy while also acknowledging and leveraging the force that culture presents in the study of law and society.

**Primary Keyword:** Popular Culture, Media, and the Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Movements, Social Issues, and Legal Mobilization

**State Power and Reproductive Decision Making**
CRN: 7  
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Aziza Ahmed, Northeastern University School of Law  
Jamie Abrams, University of Louisville

**Description:**
This session, sponsored by the FLT-CRN, will survey contemporary legal challenges as they affect reproductive decision making. Study topics include the valuation of surrogacy contracts, multimedia informed consent for IVF, the ongoing criminalization of pregnancy in Tennessee, and coercion in the healthcare setting.

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine

**Presentations:**
Birth Conflicts: Leveraging State Power to Coerce  
Health Care Decision-Making  
Elizabeth Kukura, Temple University School of Law  
Criminalizing Pregnancy  
Wendy Bach, University of TN College of Law  
Evaluating IVF Patients’ Reactions to Multimedia Informed Consent: A Comparison Across Clinics  
Jody Madeira, Indiana University Maurer School of Law  
Valuation of Gestational Labor in Contracts for Surrogate Motherhood  
Hillary Berk, University of California, Irvine

**The Psychological Underpinnings of Intellectual Property Law**
IRC: 29  
Wednesday Session I, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/American Bar Foundation
**Participant(s):**
- Linda Demaine, ASU
- Aaron Fellmeth, Arizona State University College of Law
- Gregory Mandel, Temple University
- Sarah Polcz, Stanford Law School
- Judy Zaichkowsky, Simon Fraser University

**Description:**
Participants will discuss the current and potential roles of psychological research in the U.S. intellectual property law system. At the heart of IP law are legal assumptions about psychological motivations for creativity and productivity, and about how existing ideas and expressions affect the creation of future ideas and expressions. Some IP-related psychological issues apply to all or most areas of IP law, whereas others apply only to the specific areas of copyright, patent, trademark, and trade secret. Researchers have devoted more attention to certain of these areas given their evident psychological ties -- namely, trademark as well as the role of creativity in copyright and patent law. More recent efforts are underway to build on this knowledge to provide a more expansive view of the psychology of IP law. The discussion will touch on these and other important issues within the realm of psychology and IP law.

**Primary Keyword:** Law and Psychology  
**Secondary Keyword:** Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage

---

**Wednesday June 21, Session 2  
10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**

**Borders and Borderless of Labor Market and the Responsive Law**

**Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 AM - 11:45 AM**
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Board Room 1, Reforma Tower (19 th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Judy Kent, University of Kent**

**Description:**
This panel focuses on the relationship between law and labor market, and try to sketch out a kind of responsive law which reflect the factors of migration, ethnic, race as well as changes in social organization and social structure.

**Primary Keyword:** Labor and Employment

**Presentations:**
- Juridification of Labor: government rationale in the construction of the Colombian labor law (1929-1950)  
  **David Orrego,** Universidad de los Andes
- Labor Market Segmentation and the Production of Ethnic and Race Ideologies in the Arizona Copper Industry  
  **Carly Regina,** University of Pennsylvania
- Migrant Domestic Workers’ Unfreedom in Canada and UK: The Consequences of Technologies of Legal Governance  
  **Judy Kent,** University of Kent
- Restructuring work among corporate law associates: Implications for jobs and opportunity structures within organizations  
  **Christine Riordan**, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

**Class Actions in Context: How Culture, Economics and Politics Shape Collective Litigation**

**Wednesday 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**
**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Author(s):** Deborah Hensler, Stanford Law School
- Ianika Tzankova, Tilburg University

**Chair(s):** Deborah Hensler, Stanford Law School

**Reader(s):**
- Manuel Gomez, Florida International University College of Law
- Axel Halfmeier, Leuphana Law School
- Stefaan Voet, Leuven Center for Public Law

**Description:**
In recent years collective litigation procedures have spread across the globe, accompanied by hot controversy and normative debate. Yet virtually nothing is known about how these procedures operate in practice. Based on extensive documentary and interview research, this volume presents the results of the first comparative investigation of class actions and group litigation ‘in action’, in the Americas, Europe, Asia and the Middle East.

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution  
**Secondary Keyword:** Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

**Comparative Jurisdictions and Lay Participation**

**CRN: 4**  
**IRC: 18**  
**Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Mar Jimeno-Bulnes, Universidad de Burgos**

**Description:**
This panel will examine lay participation in juries and mixed courts in several countries, including Canada, France, Belgium, Argentina, Great Britain, and the United States, with comments
about lay participation in Spain. It seems fitting for our first ever LSA Annual Meeting in Latin America that we explore lay participation across borders and oceans. We will explore an array of jury practices, such as jury instructions and reasoned verdicts, and how juries are understood in popular culture through an examination of their depiction in films. We will also consider the different ways to involve lay participants in the justice system such as by serving on traditional juries or mixed courts. We hope that such a broad approach will allow us to see what different systems have in common and where their paths diverge. In the words of the conference theme, we hope to discover “bridges” and “walls” in the “interconnected world” of lay participation in the justice system.

**Primary Keyword:** Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation  
**Secondary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Presentations:**

- American and British Jury Films and the Role of the Hold-Out Juror  
  **Nancy Marder,** IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

- Juries and Lay Participation Worldwide: The Criminal Jury in France and Belgium  
  **Claire Germain,** Levin College of Law, University of Florida

- Jury instructions in Canada and the United States: A Spotlight on Forensic Science Instructions and Death Penalty Instructions  
  **Marie Comiskey,** University of Toronto

- Ten Years of Mixed Tribunals in Argentina  
  **Maria Ines Bergoglio,** National University of Cordoba, Argentina

- The remote defendant and jury verdicts: does appearance by video create prejudice?  
  **David Tait,** University of Western Sydney

- Meredith Rossner, London School of Economics and Political Science

**Constitutional Courts and Democratic Conflict Solving:**

**A Discussion on the Book Constitutional Courts as Mediators by Julio Ríos-Figueroa**

Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Author(s):** Julio Ríos-Figueroa, CIDE, Mexico City  
**Chair(s):** David Klein, Eastern Michigan University  
**Reader(s):**  
Daniel Brinks, University of Texas at Austin

---

**Description:**

This panel gathers together different perspectives on the criminalisation and enforcement of corporate and financial obligations. It elaborates a regulatory architecture of leniency and punitiveness, of too much discretion and too little transparency, of stricter standards for imposing criminal liability standing in distinction to increasingly influential procedures for settling corporate prosecutions altogether. It explores the potential role and operationalization of soft-law risk-management tools for supervising financial institutions, while also noting the public demand for more severe punishment of so-called white-collar criminals and ‘near’ criminals since the financial crisis. In elaborating the contours of these seeming contradictions, it teases out the complex interaction of social and political forces of governance and law, interpenetrating
Crime, Media, and Communications
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

Facilitator(s): Gabriel Ferreyra, Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi
Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law

Description: This session will feature a discussion about the interplay between crime, media, and communications.

Primary Keyword: Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

Presentations:
America’s Heroin and Overdose Epidemics: A Preventable Crisis Turned Dreadful and How to Reverse it in an Inter-Connected World.
Gabriel Ferreyra, Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi

Devils, Brainwashed cultists, and Common Criminals: Shifting Understandings of the Manson Murders In and Out of the Parole Hearing Room
Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law

Criminal Sentencing, and Life behind Bars
CRN: 23
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) Table 5

Description: This panel explores the variety of ways that individuals and institutions deal with those behind bars.

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

Presentations:
Disrupting Sentencing
Tamara Lave, University of Miami

Technologies of punishment Mapping prison use and discourse in arraignment courts in the United States and Latin America.
Andres Rengifo, Rutgers University
Layda Negrete, Mexico Evalua
Gabriela Ortiz, AG Research
Ana Clara Piechestein, Rutgers University
Karime Rios, ICESI University
Mariano Sicardi, Universidad Nacional de José C. Paz
Alberto Toledo, Instituto de Justicia Procesal Penal

Ukrainian response to Sykes: Prisoner hierarchy, its power, dynamics, and legitimacy
Anton Symkovych, University of Johannesburg

Young Adults Are Different: Toward a Third Track of Adjudication and Sentencing?
Alessandro Corda, University of Minnesota Law School

CRN 23 Special Session 2: Lemaitre
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Terraza (3rd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Rose Parfitt, Melbourne Law School / Kent Law School
Luis Eslava, Kent Law School

Participant(s): Julieta Lemaitre, Universidad de los Andes

Description: Please join CRN 23 as they discuss the work of Julieta Lemaitre.
Cultural Metaphor and Public Speech  
CRN: 16  
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Mel Greenlee, California Appellate Project

Description:
Public speech and the information it conveys are vital for a well-functioning democracy. This session explores some obstacles to the dissemination of ideas and information in various contexts. Among the obstacles to be discussed are inadequate efforts by government to reach an appropriate level of transparency, the aversion toward obscene speech, tensions between the goals of expression and concern about political unrest, and competing visions of who the insiders and outsiders are in a society. Each of these impedes a society's ability to transmit information.

Primary Keyword: Language And Discourse

Presentations:

Fleeting Fucks: Lenny Bruce and the Disempowerment of Bad Words  
Richard Peltz-Steele, University of Massachusetts

Practice Diffusion and Law Adoption: A Language-Based View of Brazilian Law on Access of Public Information - Exploring the Paradox between Legal Formalism (Law-in-Books) and Realism (Law-in-Use), and its Consequences on Accountability, Compliance and Transparency in Public Management  
Samir Adamoglu de Oliveira, DADM-UFPB / PMDA-UP  
Carolina Marcelino, Universidade Positivo

The Wall Border Temptation. Contradictions of Globalization Model and the Free Movement of Person (La tentación del muro fronterizo. Contradiciones del modelo globalizador y la libre circulación de personas)  
Miguel Angel Virgilio Aguilar Dorado, UNAM

Us and Them: Discourses on Political Protests and Demonstrations in the Journals of Brazilian National Congress (2013-2016)  
Raquel Sirotti, Max-Planck Institute for European Legal History

Cutting Edge Issues in Critical Race Theory  
CRN: 12  
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Conquista (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Andrea Freeman, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa  
William S. Richardson School of Law

Description:
Cutting Edge Issues in Critical Race Theory

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:

Food Oppression: Obesity Diagnoses as Tools to Increase Surveillance of Black Women  
Andrea Freeman, University of Hawai‘i at Mānoa  
William S. Richardson School of Law

Law and the Conundrum of Higher Education Quality  
Jonathan Glater, University of California, Irvine School of Law

Nomos and Narrative Revisited: History, Narrative Traditions and Contemporary Juvenile Justice Policy  
Jamila Loud, University of Maryland College Park

North Carolina SB 873: Access to Affordable College or Pathway to HBCU Bankruptcy?  
Matthew Shaw, American Bar Foundation

Race, Representation, and Tokenization: Asian Americans on Primetime Television  
Meera Deo, Thomas Jefferson School of Law

To Reuse, Reduce, and Recycle Youth: Ecological Preservation and the Commodification of Youth in the Design of Juvenile Detention Centers  
Akhila Ananth, California State University, Los Angeles

Diversity, Retrenchment and the Law in the Age of Trump  
(Special panel organized by the LSA Diversity Committee)  
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Professional Development Panel

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Mario Barnes, University of California-Irvine

Participant(s):

Aziza Ahmed, Northeastern University School of Law  
Sahar Aziz, Texas A& University School of Law  
Rabia Belt, Stanford Law School  
Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota  
Adrienne Davis, Washington University  
Laura Gomez, UCLA

Description:
Diversity has been a hallowed value in higher education for several decades. In the last year those who value diversity have witnessed contrasting signals about the nation’s future. In June, the Supreme Court reaffirmed that institutions of higher education have a compelling interest in pursuing the educational benefits that flow to all students from student body diversity.
Yet, the presidential election was partly a backlash against such thinking: counties that diversified more rapidly in the past decade (even if the change was minimal) were more likely to vote for Donald Trump. A large majority of those who voted for Trump were also nostalgic for an America resembling that of the 1950s.

It is not surprising that a time of deep, structural changes has coincided with an increase in scapegoating. In such an environment, this session seeks to answer the following related questions: (1) how should educators navigate the need for cultural competency versus its rejection by a sizable part of the populace? and (2) how can we prepare for an administration in which various heads of federal agencies will devalue diversity initiatives?

**Primary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity  
**Secondary Keyword:** Discrimination

### Entrepreneurship Initiatives in Poor Communities: Economic Inclusion or Exclusion?

Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Alicia Plerhoples, Georgetown University Law Center

**Participant(s):**
- Alina Ball, UC Hastings  
- Priya Baskaran, West Virginia University College of Law  
- Laura Coordes, Arizona State University Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law  
- Scott Cummings, University of California, Los Angeles  
- Joan Heminway, The University of Tennessee  
- Lynnise Pantin, Boston College Law School  
- Omari Simmons, Wake Forest University School of Law

**Description:**
This roundtable addresses whether governmental and non-governmental initiatives that encourage entrepreneurship in low-income communities are effective means of including these communities in the wealth creation that is more typical of middle- and high-income communities. Participants will reflect on entrepreneurship contexts relevant to urban and rural low-income communities in the United States, including small business incubators, public-private partnerships, university entrepreneurship initiatives, municipal bankruptcies, and securities crowdfunding. Participants also will discuss: (i) whether start-up and peer-to-peer business models foster a business culture that prioritizes hourly employment over salaried jobs that carry employee benefits (contributing to exclusion of low-income workers from participation in wealth creation); (ii) whether social entrepreneurship provides a more inclusive option for low-income founders; and (iii) whether internet financings democratize capital.

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society  
**Secondary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

### Experts as Intermediaries between Transnational Law Regimes and Local Communities

**IRC:** 7  
**Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Phillip Paiement, Tilburg Law School

**Disc.:** Manoj Dias-Abey, Queen’s University, Canada

**Description:**
When transnational law and regulation regimes manifest in local contexts, how do they interact with local communities? What impacts do experts have as intermediaries or translators in these interactions? What types of experts do transnational regimes or local communities deploy when conflicts arise? The papers in this session will address each of these questions. Building on previous research on the role of social scientists as intermediaries between the World Bank, multinational mining corporations, and local communities, this panel seeks to exchange perspectives on the diverse roles that different types of experts can play. The session seeks to build a multi-faceted account of the complex and influential roles that different kinds of experts play in the various fields of global governance. These papers provide a glimpse into the diverse forms of regulatory intermediaries and translators that frequent the sites of interaction between local communities and transnational regimes.

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
- Between Firms, Communities, and Regulators: Social Consulting Firms As Regulatory Intermediaries
- David Szablowski, York University
  
  Global Rules for Global Cities? ISO 37120:2014 and the Transnationalization of Municipal Regulation
  
  Rebecca Schmidt, SUNY Buffalo; York University (Canada)
  
  Taking Community Interest into Account in Land Investment Contract: A View from Private Law
  
  Naoyuki Okano, Nagoya University
  
  Translating the Rule of Law: Between Victor’s Justice and Village Justice
  
  Maj Grasten, Copenhagen Business School
  
  Transnational Audits: the New Compliance Technology for Local Land and Labor Laws?
  
  Phillip Paiement, Tilburg Law School
  
  Who Says it is a Crisis; and if it is so, What does it Mean? Interrogating the Expert Framing of the Zika Outbreak in Brazil
  
  Mariana Prandini Assis, The New School for Social Research
Exploring Legal Responses to Sexual Violence: A Comparative View
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) Table 6
Chair(s): M. Isabel Medina, Loyola University New Orleans College of Law
Disc.: Jill Engle, Penn State Law

Description:
How do states understand and construct cases of sexual violence? How are gender norms, cultural ideas of masculinity, and even gun control policies linked to legal efforts to stop violence against women? Can international human rights laws strengthen legal efforts to protect women from violence? What happens to children who are conceived out of wartime sexual violence? These questions and others will be explored through case studies from Colombia, Mexico, Turkey, and the United States.

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
Born of War in Colombia: Configuring Children
Conceived of Wartime Sexual Violence during the Armed Conflict
Tatiana Sanchez Parra, University of Essex

Discursive and institutional politics of “Woman” making and women killing in Femicidal State: Cases of Turkey and Mexico
Sumru Atuk, The Graduate Center, CUNY

Feminicidio and Criminalization: Transnational Gendered Lawmaking
Paulina Garcia Del Moral, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
Guatemala: The Unintended Development Footprint of Climate Finance
Patricia Ferreira, Centre for International Governance Innovation in Canada

Regulating Deforestation in Protected Areas
Maria Antonia Tigre, Cyrus R. Vance Center for International Justice

The Central American Experience with Investor-Protection Mechanisms in Free-Trade Agreements
José Roberto Juárez Jr., University of Denver Sturm College of Law

Intellectual Property Exhaustion
CRN: 47
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Shubha Ghosh, Syracuse

Description:
When does control over use by intellectual property owners terminate? The conventional analysis focuses on the first sale of the protected work. These five papers expand the boundaries of this conventional answer through analysis of patented methods, the territoriality principle, distribution of educational materials, genetic resources, and international trade.

Primary Keyword: Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage

Presentations:
Patent Exhaustion Doctrine and Its Application to Method Inventions
Lung-Sheng Chen, National Chung Hsing University

The Tenacity of Territoriality in International Intellectual Property Law
Emmanuel Kolawole Oke, University of Edinburgh
Trade Marks’ Protection and External Parallel Importation: The Future of International Exhaustion

Jasem Tarawneh, University of Manchester

Walls of Knowledge & Epistemic Bridges: Are there justifiable downstream limits to the right to control traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources within the drug discovery process?

Peter Harrison, York Law School, University of York

**Intellectual Property**
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

**Chair(s):** Diogo Coutinho, University of Sao Paulo - Faculty of Law

**Disc.:** Julia Tomassetti, City University of Hong Kong, School of Law

**Description:** Intellectual property, regulation, and social media

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law
**Secondary Keyword:** Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage

**Presentations:**
Digital Platforms in the Creative Industry: Innovation v. Consumer Welfare?
Florence Thépot, University of Glasgow

Organizational form and taxes: an empirical analysis of startups
Ana Laura Javaroni Patton, Law Faculty of Ribeirao Preto University of Sao Paulo
Guilherme Adolfo dos Santos Mendes, Law Faculty of Ribeirao Preto - University of Sao Paulo

**Judicial Behavior – Selection, Operating Environment and Choice**
CRN: 43
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza A (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Archie Zariski, Athabasca University

**Disc.:** Heather Roberts, ANU

**Description:** This session considers how the judicial role and the behavior of judges is influenced by factors that include the selection of judges, the support they receive when appointed and the political and other pressures that may impact on the way that judges behave. In this context the barriers to judicial appointment and the impact of the environment in which judges can operate are explored to consider what factors influence judicial behavior.

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Presentations:**
Contemporary Challenges Facing the Australian Judiciary
Suzanne Le Mire, University of Adelaide
Andrew Lynch, UNSW
Brian Opeskin, UTS
Gabrielle Appleby, University of New South Wales

Interest Groups, Constituent Interests, and Questioning U.S. Supreme Court Nominees
Amanda Bryan, Loyola University Chicago
Maron Sorenson, Bowdoin College

The Mechanics of Merit Selection: Choosing State Judges with the Aid of a Commission
Greg Goelzhauser, Utah State University

The Significance of the South African Retired Chief Justice Ngcobo’s Dissenting Opinions: Will They Matter in Future Adjudication?
Ntombizozuko Dyani-Mhango, University of the Witwatersrand

Values in the Supreme Court: Bridges and Borders
Rachel Cahill-O’Callaghan, Cardiff Law School

**Law & Society Tax Scholarship, Illustrative Examples**
CRN: 31
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Neil Buchanan, The George Washington University Law School

**Description:**
This panel includes four leading tax law scholars working in various areas, and embodies four distinct approaches to research in law, society, and taxation. The panel illustrates the different approaches scholars take to tax law research and serves as a model for those new to thinking about tax in the law and society context, as well as for new tax law scholars.

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies
Legal Pluralism Studies: Conversations with Latin America. Theoretical Approaches, Key Topics, Emergent Debates
IRC: 8
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio A (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Giselle Corradi, Ghent University

Disc.: Rachel Sieder, CIESAS

Description:
Latin America has a long and rich tradition of legal pluralism studies. Historically these were focused on the legal systems of the regions of indigenous populations, and subsequently on analysis of processes of constitutional recognition through multicultural reforms. In recent decades legal pluralism scholars in Latin America have made innovative contributions to theoretical and methodological debates in the field of legal pluralism studies, focusing on such topics as the nature of the state and globalization, violence and illegality, indigenous and afrodescendant peoples’ rights and natural resources extraction, and gender. Many of these topics are a focus for scholars of legal pluralism in other regions of the world, yet there is still insufficient exchange between different regions. This panel aims to bring into conversation representatives of well-established networks of legal pluralism scholars in Latin America and elsewhere in the world. It will address key theoretical debates

Primary Keyword: Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:
Anthropological roots of (global) legal pluralism
Bertram Turner, Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology

Keebet von Benda-Beckmann, Max Planck Institute

Counterhegemonic use of law without epistemological and methodological subversion? Legal pluralism and the ecology of knowledges in the experience of the legal struggle of Cherán, Mexico
Orlando Aragón Andrade, ENES/UNAM

Legal pluralities: the need of an intercultural interpretation of the human right to water
Lieselotte Viane, Centre for Social Studies (CES), University of Coimbra, Portugal

Neoliberal Violence, Legal Pluralism, and Gender Justice in Mexico
María Teresa Sierra, CIESAS-México

The Legalization of Exclusion. Community Participation and Black Economic Empowerment in South Africa’s Mining Sector
Janine Ubink, University of California Irvine

Wednesday, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.

Presentations:
Financing Reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples in Canada: Implications for Tax Law
Lisa Phillips, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

Ajay Mehrotra, American Bar Foundation/ Northwestern Law

To What Extent Does Enforcement Crowd Out Voluntary Tax Compliance?
Leandra Lederman, Indiana University Maurer School of Law

Why Aren’t Things Better Than This? Class Relations Within the Top One Percent in Jane Austen’s Pride and Prejudice
Daniel Shaviro, NYU Law School

Legal Geography Session III: New Directions in Legal Geography
CRN: 35
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: David Delaney, Amherst College

Description:
This panel seeks to build on the work initiated in The Expanding Spaces of Law (Braverman et al., 2014) to further enrich the set of theoretical and methodological resources associated with critical legal geography. Once the space question has been raised in legal scholarship it remains to be seen what this includes and entails for both theory and practice. The papers critically examine such second order aspects of social space as distance, mobilities, materiality and scale.

Primary Keyword: Geographies of Law
Secondary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Presentations:
A Spatial Reading of Whole Woman’s Health v. Hellerstedt: Recognizing the Tyranny of Distance
Lisa Pruitt, UC Davis School of Law

Michele Statz, University of Washington

Conceptualizing the ‘International’
Tugba Basaran, CCLS Paris/Princeton U (2016-17)

The Geographies of Legal Mobilities
Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar, Law School, University of Haifa
Women’s rights in (inter)action: how patriarchal and egalitarian gender norms intertwine before, during and after marital disputes at formal and informal forums in Maputo

Giselle Corradi, Ghent University

Life of the Law - Building Successful Partnerships Media, Scholarship and Advocacy
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Public Outreach Session

Room: Colonia (2nd Floor)

Facilitator(s): Osagie Obasogie, University of California, Berkeley

Participant(s):
  Nancy Mullane, Life of the Law
  Anthony Gannon, Life of the Law

Description:
Bridging three distinct worlds of journalism, scholarship and advocacy, the podcast Life of the Law advances the research of scholars in the Law and Society Association by connecting reporters, editors and producers with scholars to produce engaging, critically curious stories about the law in the lives of Americans.

Throughout 2016, Life of the Law partnered with scholars to produce a 10 part series of reports examining funding, politics and the public’s fair access to state courts. The series was funded by an advocacy foundation.

What is the model for journalists and scholars to produce feature reports, or for scholars in academia to accept funding to conduct research from institutions or foundations with a point of view?

Life of the Law’s Advisory Board Member and LSA Scholar, Osagie Obasogie, will chair a roundtable discussion with Life of the Law’s Executive Producer and Senior Producer, in considering the ethical and professional guidelines at issue. Life of the Law would like to invite scholars with the Law and Society Association to join in discussing this intersection of the dissemination of legal research.

Monitoring and Law Enforcement in the Diversification of Societies
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio B (2nd Floor)

Chair: Susan Sterett, Virginia Tech NCR

Disc.: Sabrina Balgamwalla, University of North Dakota School of Law

Description:
Law enforcement should be unified and equal, but has to face the walls and borders of different communities and areas. How can we keep policing in a good and right way? How can we stop violence by law enforcement as well as the violence against law enforcement? This panel is going to wait your opinions and suggestions.

Primary Keyword: Policing, Law Enforcement

Presentations:
Bypassing the Blue Wall with Simulation: An Agent-Based Model of Skin-Color Bias (Colorism) and Local Policing Outcomes
Henry Smart III, Virginia Tech

Campus Officers, Off-Campus Policing: How University Policing Strategies affect Surrounding Neighborhoods and Students of Color at Majority White Colleges in Urban Areas
Julian Thompson, University of Chicago
Robert Eschmann, University of Chicago

Countering Violent Extremism in the 21st Century
Matthew Howell, Temple University

Policing and Compliance: The Imprisoner’s Dilemma
Zachary O’Keeffe, University of Michigan
Christopher Sullivan, Louisiana State University

“They complain about nothing”: Seeing and Not-Seeing with Body Worn Cameras
Katrin Roots, York University
Mariful Alam, York University
Amanda Glasbeek, York University

Myth of Mob Rule: Violent Crime and Democratic Politics
by Lisa Miller
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Author(s): Lisa Miller, Rutgers University

Chair(s): Vanessa Barker, Stockholm University

Reader(s):
  Charles Epp, University of Kansas
  Megan Francis, University of Washington
  Insa Koch, London School of Economics
  Naomi Murakawa, Princeton University
  Tim Newburn, London School of Economics
  Richard Sparks, University of Edinburgh

Description:
This cross disciplinary panel takes up Lisa Miller’s new book
The Myth of Mob Rule: Violent Crime and Democratic Politics (Oxford University Press 2016). We analyze, discuss, appreciate and challenge Miller’s central claims about American state failure in terms of crime, politics and race in America from legal, anthropological, sociological, critical race, criminological and international perspectives. Panelists include junior and senior colleagues from American, British and Swedish institutions. Miller argues that United States has high levels of both crime and punishment in part because it has failed, in racially stratified ways, to produce fundamental collective goods. It is a consequence of a democratic deficit, she argues, rather than a democratic surplus. This AMR panel has relevance for the LSA international meeting particularly because it dissects the often misunderstood features of American democracy and explains how these features shape law, public policy, crime and race relations in the US in contrast to the UK, the Netherlands, and other democratic societies. Miller’s analysis has generated heated discussions around race and crime and would further provoke conversation and debate at the LSA.

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Secondary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Observation and Practice – Towards a Responsive Sociology of Law**

*Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m. Paper Session*

**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Alfons Bora, Bielefeld University**

**Description:** Legal sociology shows weak responsibility between legal theory and practice. It creates walls and borders by providing contested self-images: engaged social science copes with the robustness of jurisprudence, which does not easily allow for “social-scientification”; empirical legal research lags behind sophisticated sociological theory; sociological jurisprudence focuses on systems theory, while suffering from practical abstinence and gaining only weak acceptance due to its self-immunisation against practice. A sociological theory that reflects the complexity of modern law has been developing in Niklas Luhmann’s work. However, his thinking has been pervaded by a kind of hypothermia with respect to a reflective theory of practice. In other words, the relation between the autonomy of science and practice has not yet been clarified in his sociological theory of law. The aim of this session is to scrutinize the theoretical potential of systems theory regarding this question of responsivity.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Presentations:**

- Correcting Society by a Corrected Sociology of Law?
  - Marc Mölders, Bielefeld University

- Second Order Self-Actualization and its Societal Framing: A Concept Proposal for a Reflective and Responsive Sociology of Law
  - Pierre Guibentif, ISCTE-IUL-Dinâmia’CET

- Sociology of Normative Orders: On the New Global Legal Regime of Transitional Justice
  - Fatima Kastner, Käte Hamburger Kolleg “Law as Culture”, Centre for Advanced Study, University of Bonn

**Oñati Sessions - Current Discussions on the Sociology of Law II**

**RCSL Working Group: Sociology of Constitution**

*Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m. Paper Session*

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Masayuki Murayama, Meiji University

**Disc.:** Vincenzo Ferrari, IISL

**Description:** The Oñati International Institute for the Sociology of Law (IISL) was created in 1989 by the collaboration of the Basque Country government and the Research Committee on Sociology of Law (RCSL) of the International Sociological Association (ISA). Since 1990, its Master Program in Sociology of Law has been characterized by the diversity and constant flow of people and interests. This has brought the world to Oñati and has also taken Oñati’s influence to the world.

The Oñati Sessions aim to open a new window of interaction between the IISL and the socio-legal community in general. The papers in this session introduce us to different influences of globalization in local contexts, showcasing the richness of the research currently carried by the Oñati community.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**

- The Discursive Construction on Children. Analysis of Socio Legal Discourse on Children and Adolescents in Argentina
  - Mariana Brizuela Ambrosius, UNC

- The Growing Divide Between Students and Institutions in Legal Education
  - Patara McKeen, International Institute for the Sociology of Law
Karolina Kocemba, Ofati International Institute for the Sociology of Law, Centre for Legal Educ. and Social Theory
Eleonora Maresca, Ofati Institute
Ben Semple, Ofati International Institute for the Sociology of Law

The Indigenous Community Movement and Law Reform in Indonesia
Yance Arizona, Epistema Institute and Van Vollenhoven Institute, Leiden University

**Peña-Rodriguez and the Rule of Jury Secrecy: Talk Inside and Outside of Deliberations**

CRN: 4
IRC: 18, 29
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/American Bar Foundation
Mary Rose, University of Texas

**Disc.:** Meredith Rountree, Northwestern Law

**Description:**
In most common law countries, jurors may not disclose what happened during deliberations. In contrast, in the U.S., no prohibition bars post-deliberation disclosures by jurors (e.g., to the media or attorneys). When post-trial disclosures suggest misbehavior occurring during deliberations, should the court consider a potential exception to the general confidential nature of deliberations? This term the U.S. Supreme Court heard oral argument in Peña-Rodriguez v. Colorado, presenting the question “whether a no-impeachment rule constitutionally may bar evidence of racial bias offered” to prove a violation of the right to an impartial jury. Considering Peña-Rodriguez, this session examines alternative approaches to understanding juror reasoning and promoting jury functioning and legitimacy, including jury selection, the analysis of actual jury deliberations, post-trial juror interviews, and the requirement in some countries (Spain and Russia) that juries provide reasons for their verdicts.

**Primary Keyword:** Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

**Presentations:**
Detecting Racial/Ethnic Bias in Jury Deliberations
Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/American Bar Foundation
Mary Rose, University of Texas

Erasing bias in jury deliberations: how jurors do(n’t) talk about race in U.S. criminal trials
Katherine Culver, University of Pennsylvania

Wednesday 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.

Examining Jurors: Using Conversation Analysis to Explore the Influence of Race on Prosecutor Speech in North Carolina Capital Jury Selection
Catherine Grosso, Michigan State Univ. College of Law
Barbara O’Brien, Michigan State Univ. College of Law

Patterned variability: What deliberations reveal (and cannot reveal) about “pain and suffering” damage decisions
Mary Rose, University of Texas
Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/American Bar Foundation

The Absence of Expressed Verdict Reasons, the Rule of Secrecy, and Verdict Review in the Classic Trial by Jury of Argentina.
Andrés Harfuch, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
Lilián Andrea Ortiz, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados

**Policies and Policing: Regulating Sex Work**

CRN: 6
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Chris Bruckert, University of Ottawa

**Description:**
This international panel examines the regulation of populations, behaviours, and spaces with respect to the sex industry. It features analyses of laws, policies, policing, gentrification, and their effects sex providers and consumers.

**Primary Keyword:** Sex Work

**Presentations:**
Legal and Illegal Prostitution in the US: The Two Faces of Neoliberalism
Barb Brents, University of Nevada - Las Vegas
Kathryn Korgan, University of Nevada - Las Vegas
Jennifer Reed, University of Nevada - Las Vegas
Jason Scott, University of Nevada - Las Vegas

Ordinary Criminals: Examining the Legal Knowledge of Sex Worker Clients Within an Online Community
Andrea Sterling, University of Toronto
Emily Van der Meulen, Ryerson University

Policing the Buying and Selling of Sexual Services: Place Matters
Frances M Shaver, Concordia University

The Effects of Sex Work Laws on Stigmatization of Sex Workers
Dana Hayward, Yale University
Wednesday, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.

**Prison Logics, Rules, and Administration: Past and Present**
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Facilitator(s):**
- Danielle Rudes, George Mason University
- Ashley Rubin, University of Toronto
- Jay Borchert, Manhattan College

**Description:**
This panel considers the making, breaking, and implementation of formal and informal prison policies and rules.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Code Grey: Communicating Rules/Policy within Six U.S. Prisons
  - Danielle Rudes, George Mason University
  - Brandy Blasko, Sam Houston State University
  - L. Caitlin Kaneske, George Mason University
  - Shannon Magnuson, George Mason University
- Contesting (Organizational) Deviance: Administrators’ Techniques of Neutralization at Eastern State Penitentiary, 1829–1879
  - Ashley Rubin, University of Toronto
- Prison Work as an Extension of Faith-based Altruism, Or Not
  - Jay Borchert, Manhattan College

**Punishment and Pardon in Context**
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Description:**
This session features a discussion about punishment and pardon in the United States and beyond.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Presentations:**
- Clemency, Pardon and Life Without Parole in the United States
  - Daniel Pascoe, City University of Hong Kong
- Embodying the Prison Experience in a Time of Penal Crisis: Coping, Subjectivities and Resistance in Women’s Prisons
  - Anastasia Chamberlen, University of Warwick
- Locked in with No End in Sight: Indeterminate Sentencing as a Project of 20th Century Prison Reform
  - Elizabeth Wilhelm, University of Kansas
- Mercy and the Exercise of Justice: Perspectives From Within the System
  - Alexandra Cox, SUNY New Paltz
  - Dwayne Betts, Yale University
- Redefining Life Sentences: How Legislators, Governors, and Parole Boards Have Increased the Wait for Parole in U.S. Prisons
  - Nazgol Ghandnoosh, The Sentencing Project

**Social Movements and Legal Change: Mobilizing Women’s Rights in Latin America**
IRC: 31
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):**
- Catalina Smulovitz, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella
- Alba Rubial, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Argentina

**Disc.: Paola Bergallo, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella**

**Description:**
Papers in this Panel address legal mobilization processes and the determinants of legal change in the field of women’s rights in Latin America. They focus on the work of social movements as well as on the role of state institutions and elite networks in processes of legal reform in this area of rights in the region. Participants of the panel intend to advance and discuss new analytical and empirical insights regarding factors leading to changes in women’s rights (advancement, reversals and backlash processes). The diversity of topics to be covered (violence against women, legislative quota laws and abortion rights) as well as the geographical span (it includes several countries in the region, Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Mexico and Peru) allow for a wide comparative discussion regarding the relationship between legal change and legal mobilization.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Abortion Politics and Transnational Policy Networks in Latin America
  - Jordi Diez, University of Guelph
- Killing Two Birds With One Stone: Fighting Femicide and Improving Prosecutorial Accountability Through Legal Mobilization
  - Veronica Michel, John Jay College of Criminal Justice
The Abortion Rights Movement in Argentina. Strategies for Legislative Change, Regulation and Direct Action
Cora Fernandez Anderson, Mount Holyoke College
Alba Ruibal, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Argentina

When Quotas Go to Court: Institutionalizing Electoral Justice with a Gender Perspective in Latin America
Jennifer Piscopo, Occidental College

Social Theory Applied to the Global Economy
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair(s): Germán André Doederlein Schwartz,
La Salle Canoas, Fmu São Paulo
Disc.: Pedro Fortes, FGV Law School

Description:
This panel explores theoretical insights from leading social and economic thinkers to reflect on the global economy. Karl Polanyi’s sociology of economics inspires the examination of the artificial division between the economy and the society. How does financial activity fit within contemporary societies and developed capitalism? Polanyi’s ideas may be contrasted with Hayek’s dream and the neo-liberal reasoning. Moreover, we may discuss the possibility of economic self-determination, using Polanyi’s innovative contribution for social theory regarding the fact that the global economic order is embedded in society. Additionally, theoretical insights on globalisation are the point of departure for the study of global corporate lawyers, examining how neo-liberal values and rationality are internalised and incorporated into their mindset. Finally, Beck’s ‘risk society’ invites a reflection on the reflexivity of the ‘dynamic policy’.

Primary Keyword: Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law
Secondary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Presentations:
Aligning Competing Risks? Global Food Security as a New Approach to Risk Regulation and Food Policy
Antoine Bernard de Raymond, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique

No Borders, No Money? The Financialization of (Economy) and the Privatization (of Law)
Ivan Pupolizio, University of Bari “Aldo Moro”

Revisiting the Contours of Economic Self-determination in the Context of Peacebuilding
Lisa Mardikian, University Portsmouth

Young Business Lawyers on the Move: Reflections about the Impact of Globalization on Individuals’ Professional Pathways
Susana Santos, ISCTE-IUL

Socio-Legal Ambassador from Japan - Honoring Professor Setsuo Miyazawa
CRN: 33
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Imperio C (2nd Floor)
Chair(s): Mari Hiyama, Hakuoh University
Disc.: Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley
Setsuo Miyazawa, University of California Hastings School of Law; Aoyama Gakuin University Law School

Participant(s):
Kay-Wah Chan, Macquarie University
Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz
Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago, Law School
David S Law, Washington University
Chulwoo Lee, Yonsei University
 Yong Chul Park, Sogang University Law School

Description:
It is no doubt that Professor Setsuo Miyazawa (Professor at UC Hastings College of the Law) has been a bridge between Japan and other countries for scholars especially in socio-legal field and law practitioners. He has been a full-time faculty member at Hokkaido University, Kobe University, Waseda University, Omiya Law School, and Aoyama Gakuin University. His contributions to the scholarship of law and society especially in the context of legal system in Japan have been praised world widely. His wide and deep research interest and works cover many fields such as legal education, legal professions, legal ethics, legal reforms, penal populism, policing & Criminal Justice, lay participation and so on. He has published or edited more than dozen books in Japanese and English. He has been very active in the LSA, serving on its Board of Trustees twice. He co-founded the Collaborate Research Network 33 in East Asian Law and Society in the LSA in 2008. He has explored and expanded research networks, and in 2015, he co-founded the Section on East Asian Law and Society in the Association of American Law Schools (AALS) and the Asian Law and Society Association (ALSA) in 2016. He received the International Scholarship Prize from LSA in 2014.

In May 2017, the Festschrift to honor Professor Miyazawa’s contributions to the Socio-Legal academia and his 70th birthday, The Legal Process of Modern Japan- Its Structures and Movements (Gendai-Nihon No Houkatei- Sono Kouzou To Doukou) (Shinzansya Publisher 2017) was published. Dozens of papers were contributed from not only Japan but also other...
countries, which shows how Professor Miyazawa is admired and respected by many scholars and practitioners, and how is a great mentor for younger generation.

This roundtable aims to honor Professor Miyazawa’s great contributions to socio-legal academia and his leadership and devotion for the networks between Japan and other countries. We expect many “fans” of Professor Miyazawa to join us, and we appreciate the floor’s active participation to discuss Professor Miyazawa’s works in order to celebrate and honor him.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Structure, Legal Institutions, The Multiple Legal and Social Facets of Mass Immigration

### Detention in the United States

**CRN:** 2  
**Wednesday Session:** 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)  
**Chair:** Denise Gilman, Univ. of Texas Law School Immigration Clinic  
**Disc.:** Jose Guevara, Comision Mexicana Para la Promocion y Defensa de los Derechos Humanos

**Description:**  
This panel will explore the impenetrable walls of immigration detention in the United States from multiple perspectives. The session will consider and critique immigration detention as a built environment and will analyze the role that money plays both in promoting expansive detention generally and in impeding the release of individual migrants who cannot afford bond. The panel will focus in on the problematic situation of vulnerable groups in immigration detention. It will address the challenges raised in representing detained migrants who present mental competency considerations or mental health concerns. The session will also take up the detention of children in the United States, offering empirical insights into the stealthy but persistent growth of family detention and considering the reality of indefinite detention for some unaccompanied minors.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**  
- Detention in the Best Interests? Indefinite confinement of immigrant children in the United States  
  **Elissa Steglich,** University of Texas School of Law  
- Detention, Inc.  
  **Denise Gilman,** University of Texas Law School Immigration Clinic  
- Deterrence, Detention, and Due Process: Lessons from the Detention of Women and Children in the United States  
  **Ingrid Eagly,** UCLA School of Law  
- The Marginal Role of Design in Immigrant Detention  
  **Sarah Lopez,** University of Texas, Austin  
- Why Appointed Counsel Will Never Be Enough  
  **Erica Schommer,** St. Mary’s University School of Law

**The Myth of the Litigious Society: Why We Don’t Sue by David Engel**  
**Wednesday Session:** 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
**Author:** David Engel, University at Buffalo, SUNY, School of Law  
**Chair:** Lynette Chua, National University of Singapore

**Reader(s):**  
- **Renee Cramer,** Drake University  
- **Bryant Garth,** University of California Irvine  
- **Baker Tom,** University of Pennsylvania  
- **Yoshitaka Wada,** Waseda Law School

**Description:**  
What kind of a society are we? Are we the hyper-litigious people portrayed in the media and condemned by politicians and pundits? Or are we law-averse, more inclined to suffer wrongs in silence than to complain? Surprisingly, study after study demonstrates that injury victims in America seldom consult lawyers and rarely bring lawsuits, even when they are seriously harmed by the negligent acts of others.

The myth of the litigious injury victim, carefully cultivated and widely believed to be true, has obscured a vitally important question-why do most Americans refuse to press claims when their rights have been violated? The answer lies in the disabling impact of painful and traumatic injuries, in the naturalization of harms that are actually preventable, in cultural norms that make negligent harms appear unavoidable, and in the pervasive tendency to blame victims for their own misfortune. Pain tends to disrupt injury victims’ thoughts, impair their ability to communicate, and undermine their ability to pursue a remedy. But the absence of claims in injury cases goes far beyond the impact of physical and psychological disability. Research in many fields has established that injury victims rarely weigh the advantages and disadvantages of rights enforcement in a balanced, rational manner. Social scientists-and a growing number of lawyers-now recognize that irrational and mostly nonconscious thinking tends to dominate the decisions we make in our lives. Drawing on numerous case studies and examples-including accidents associated with chairs, stairs, automobiles, and computer keyboards-this book aims to explain...
the non-litigious American. It shows how our physical, cultural, and social surroundings lead us most often to accept actionable injuries as “natural,” unavoidable, or the fault of the victim, even when the harm could easily be prevented.

Our tort law system is designed not only to compensate injury victims but also to deter future harm, spread the cost of injuries, and provide a sense of justice. This book argues that the reluctance of injury victims to bring claims defeats the very purposes that tort law is meant to serve and obliges us to develop new solutions to a social problem that is all but invisible to most Americans.

Primary Keyword: Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Cultures

The Role of Court Systems in International Law Context
CRN: 43
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)
Chair: Michael Waibel, University of Cambridge
Disc.: Peter Reich, Whittier Law School

Description:
This session is on the role of court systems in international law context. The panelists will discuss the role of domestic courts in international climate commitments, the integrating role of international courts in the Central America and Caribbean countries, the conciliatory role of International Criminal Court, and the role of International Court in the Colombian Peace Proposal.

Primary Keyword: International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics
Secondary Keyword: Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

Presentations:
- International climate commitments and domestic courts
  Jennifer Huang, Center for Climate and Energy Solutions
- Regional Integration through Law and International Courts – the Central American and Caribbean Cases
  Salvatore Caserta, Center of Excellence for International Courts
- Where the Rubber Would Have Hit the Road: The Amnesty Provisions of the Colombian Peace Proposal and the International Criminal Court
  Marika Giles Samson, McGill University

What We Owe Immigrant Workers: Around the World
CRN: 2
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair: Shannon Gleeson, Cornell University

Description:
What We Owe Immigrant Workers: Around the World

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
- Calcifying Wage Theft: The Socio-Legal Conditions of Hostile Privatism and ‘Subordinate Inclusion’ of Migrant Day Laborers in Metropolitan Denver
  Daniel Olmos, University of Denver
- Immigration policy and law in Brazil: a historical state of exception for immigrant workers
  Cynthia Carneiro, Ribeirao Preto Sch. of Law/Univ. of Sao Paulo
- Manufacturing Citizenship: Permanent Residence Status Claims by Temporary Foreign Workers
  Vasanthi Venkatesh, University of California, Berkeley
- Migrants’ right to labor: possibilities and limits in Argentina’s law. El derecho de las personas migrantes a trabajar: posibilidades y limites desde el derecho argentino
  Silvana Begala, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba

Women/Gender in the Legal Profession
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Wednesday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio D (2nd Floor)
Chair: Carole Silver, Northwestern University Law School
Disc.: Joyce Sterling, Univ. of Denver Sturm College of Law

Description:
This panel explores the relationship between gender and the legal profession in comparative perspective. The papers range in focus, all with an eye on the comparative relationship between gender, professional status, and comparative institutional structures.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
- Transnational Alliances during Political Crises: The Case of European Female Lawyers, 1928-1948
  Sara Kimble, DePaul University
Gender Disparities in Compensation in Large Law Firms
Cynthia Epstein, Graduate Center CUNY

Making a Living in the Market for Legal Services: Working Arrangements and Job Satisfaction in the Notarial Profession in Gatineau (Quebec)
Julie Paquin, University of Ottawa

Women in Law
Deborah Rhode, Stanford Law School

**Wednesday June 21, Session 3**
12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.

**Abortion Rights Lawfare in Latin America and Beyond: I**
IRC: 36
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
**Chair:** Isabel Cristina Jaramillo Sierra, Universidad de Los Andes
**Disc.:** Alicia Ely Yamin, Harvard University

**Description:**
The arrival of sexual and reproductive rights on public agendas implies a new moment in the dynamics between law and society. Despite advances to guarantee sexual and reproductive rights, these have frequently provoked tensions between those favoring and those opposing their recognition, battles which are increasingly played out in the courts. One of the most controversial issues in Latin America is abortion, where both those opposing abortion rights and those favoring its liberalization have made recourse to the national and regional courts to try and influence public opinion and policy. The double panel will analyze the strategic uses of law and the role of courts with a focus on two dimensions: (1) the role that legal, social, moral and religious framings play in the dynamics between pro and anti sexual and reproductive rights groups in the struggles over legal, safe and free abortion; (2) the concrete impacts of court decisions on public policies.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
Abortion after rape in Sudan
Liv Tonnesen, CMI

Abortion battles before the Supreme Court in Mexico: Between the right to health and subnational autonomy
Yacotzin Bravo Espinosa, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana
Rachel Sieder, CIESAS

Abortion Rights Lawfare
Siri Gloppen, Univ. of Bergen/CMI, Centre on Law & Social Transformation

Pro-choice and pro-life movements disputing the State in Brazil: battlegrounds, weapons, opportunities and frames
Marta Machado, FGV Sao Paulo Law School

**CFP: Governing the Anthropocene: Transnational Perspectives**
CRN: 5
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)
**Chair/Disc.:** Fleur Johns, University of New South Wales

**Description:**
In August 2016, the International Union of Geological Sciences formally recognized a new age of geological time—the Anthropocene. With this announcement, scientists acknowledged humans’ impact on the planet now outweighs all other geological forces. The specter of global warming and planetary devastation that bespeaks this new timescale challenges the anthropocentric interpretative horizons prevalent not only in the social sciences, but in law as well. Since the Anthropocene unsettles the long-held distinction between nature and society, this panel considers the merit of this framework for the field of Law and Society. It is concerned about the extent to which modes of transnational governance are equipped to manage-or even contribute to—the challenges the Anthropocene makes clear. What new assemblages of law, power and authority are reconstituted in this planetary transformation? What methodological approaches to socio-legal scholarship does the Anthropocene necessitate?

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance
**Secondary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Presentations:**
Agroecology in the Anthropocene: Traditional Technologies in the Making of Biocultural Futures
Rosemary Coombe, York University

Matthew Canfield, New York University, Dept. of Anthropology

Extinction by Regulatory Regime: The Anthropocene at Sea
Jen Telesca, Pratt Institute
Law, Environment and Everyday Life: Towards a Generative Eco-Sociality
Bronwen Morgan, UNSW School of Law

Moving Towards Ecological Regulation: the Problems and Possibilities of Criminalisation of Corporate Conduct
Fiona Haines, University of Melbourne
Christine Parker, Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne

The Embeddedness of Agricultural Land
Lauren Suert, University of Wisconsin - Madison

**Constructed Precariousness: A Critical Perspective on International Migration Law and Policy**
CRN: 23
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza A (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Usha Natarajan**, The American University in Cairo

**Description:**
Focusing on labor, the environment, development, and refugee law, this panel will address the question of how does law generate the precariousness and vulnerabilities of migrant groups around the world. For example, we will discuss how the emerging discourse about “climate migrants” may create fictitious narrative which producing arbitrary distinctions between those whose movement has been triggered by climate, and other socio-economic migrants; examine the way in which law contributes to the hyper-dependence of migrant laborers; but also how new proposal to advance economic self-reliance among refugees may itself be a form of exclusion. The latter, when coupled with migrant-deterrence mechanisms, reflect a global international migration law regime that still requires conceptual articulation.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Hyper-Dependence and Hyper-Precarity in Migrant Work Relations: Towards Exit and Voice
  Mimi Zou, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
- Interrogating Precariousness: Space and Hierarchy in Contemporary Refugee Law
  Anne Neylon, University of Liverpool
- The Refugee-Entrepreneur: A Critical Introduction
  Itamar Mann, University of Haifa, Faculty of Law

**Criminal Defense in China: The Politics of Lawyers at Work**
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Author(s):** Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation
Sida Liu, University of Toronto

**Chair(s):** Tom Ginsburg, Univ. of Chicago, Law School

**Reader(s):**
- Nick Cheesman, Australian National University
- Charles Epp, University of Kansas
- Martin Krygier, University of New South Wales
- Rachel Stern, University of California, Berkeley

**Description:**
Criminal Defense in China studies empirically the everyday work and political mobilization of defense lawyers in China. It builds upon 329 interviews across China, and other social science methods, to investigate and analyze the interweaving of politics and practice in five segments of the practicing criminal defense bar in China from 2005 to 2015. This book is the first to examine everyday criminal defense work in China as a political project. The authors engage extensive scholarship on lawyers and political liberalism across the world, from 17th-century Europe to late 20th-century Korea and Taiwan, drawing on theoretical propositions from this body of theory to examine the strategies and constraints of lawyer mobilization in China. The book brings a fresh perspective through its focus on everyday work and ordinary lawyering in an authoritarian context and raises searching questions about law and lawyers, and politics and society, in China’s uncertain futures.

**Primary Keyword:** Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors

**Secondary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

**Critical Geographies, Visualities, and Histories of International Law**
CRN: 23
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Umut Özsu**, Carleton University

**Description:**
How are spatial imaginaries of international law tied to ways of seeing and to perceptions of violence, legality, and suffering? The papers on this panel offer historically informed analyses that draw on critical geographies, genealogical approaches, critical visual studies, and science and technology studies. They ask questions about perspectives, about the production
and dissemination of visual images, about the delimitations between different spaces, and about the connections between seeing, imagining, and law. Papers inquire into international law’s spatial imaginaries of the state and of economic sanctions, about the rationalities and effects of using GIS and satellite imaging technology as means of knowledge production, the effects of the aerial viewpoint on practices of bombing and recognizing civilians, images of victims, and the conceptualization of island and desert places in which nuclear tests were conducted.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Secondary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- Crafting Civilians: Visualities of Aerial Warfare and the Recognition of Civilians, Christiane Wilke, Carleton University
- Re-territorialising International Law: Scaling Legal Geographies, Henry Jones, Durham University
- Telescopic Developments: Taking the Long View on Informal Settlements, Ruth Buchanan, Osgoode Hall Law School
- The ‘Here’ in ‘Nowhere’: Nuclear Testing and the Geographic Imaginaries of International Law, Cait Storr, Melbourne Law School

**Economic and Social Rights in a Neoliberal World I**

**Room:** Duque (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Ben Warwick, University of Birmingham

**Description:**
IRC 22 on Economic and Social Rights in a Neoliberal World is undertaking an interdisciplinary research project on the interpretation and implementation of economic and social rights in the context of global neoliberalism. Although largely ignored for several decades after the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948, economic and social rights have gained growing support since the end of the Cold War. Paradoxically, over the same period, neoliberal ideology and policy, which directly conflict with economic and social rights, have become entrenched in most countries as well as in inter-governmental organizations, such as the World Bank and the WTO. The objective of this IRC is to examine whether economic and social rights can be effective in contesting neoliberal ideology and policy and if so, when, where, how and to what extent? To answer these questions, the IRC brings together human rights scholars and practitioners to compare cases from around the world.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**
- Do Metrics Matter? Visibilizing Economic and Social Rights in post-Revolution Egypt, Heba Khalil, Univ. of Illinois
- Allison Corkery, Center for Economic and Social Rights
- Nuclear Disaster Management and Human Rights: Human Rights Guidelines to Protect Nuclear Disasters’ Affected Persons, Tokuko Munesue, Kanazawa University
- Reinterpreting Equality and Nondiscrimination to Promote Economic and Social Rights, Gillian MacNaughton, Univ. of Mass. Boston
- SDG 5: Bringing front and center the rights of women and girls with disabilities, Ana Maria Sanchez Rodriguez, Univ. of Mass. Boston

**Enforcing Rights Across Borders: The Case of Mexican Migrants**

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Shannon Gleson, Cornell University**

**Xóchitl Bada, University of Illinois at Chicago**

**Participant(s):**
- Amparo Marroquin Parduco, Univ. de Centroamérica José Simón Cañas
- Emily Norman, Instituto para las Mujeres en la Migracion
- Dolores Paris Pombo, COLEF
- Jose Luis Rocha Gomez, Universidad Centroamericana
- Adelina Vasquez Cedillo, Centro de los Derechos del Migrante
- Jillian Wagman, Instituto para las Mujeres en la Migracion
- Karen Mercado, Be Foundation
- Fabienne Venet, Instituto de Estudios y Divulgación sobre Migración, A.C.
- Alejandra Ancheita, PRODESC
- Rachel Micah Jones, CDM
**Description:**
The aim of this proposal is to bridge the work on immigrant advocacy in the United States with a transnational perspective that interrogates the role of migrant civil society on both sides of the border. We link this further to the strategies of both U.S. enforcement agencies and sending states invested in protecting the rights of migrants, and returnees. We are currently preparing an edited volume on these themes, with contributions from U.S., Mexican, and Canadian researchers. Papers address different sub-populations of the Mexican immigrant diaspora in the United States, and the various rights arenas and The LSA 2017 meeting provides an opportunity to further broaden the discussion to include academic and practitioner perspectives from the northern and southern regions of Mexico, and Central America.

**Environment Regulation Models**
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Sumudu Atapattu, University of Wisconsin Law School

**Disc.:** Stephanie Tai, University of Wisconsin Law School

**Description:**
What is the best model for environmental regulation? What is the role of litigation on environmental issues and how can law provide a better standard for environmental protection?

**Primary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
- Business & Climate Change: Opportunities and Threats to Climate Adjudication in the Paris Agreement
  **Basil Ugochukwu,** Centre for International Governance Innovation
- Mexican Upstream Oil Reform: Domestic and International Influences
  **Nicole Weygandt,** Cornell University
- Public Spaces, Private Trademarks: Delaware North Co. v. The National Park Service
  **Emily Erickson,** California State University, Fullerton
- The Application of the Theory of Depecage in Upstream Oil and Gas Contracts
  **Sahar Karimi,** University of Calgary, Faculty of Law
- The Promise and Perils of Inclusivity: What the Paris Agreement Means for Environmental Integrity and Energy Equality
  **Cinnamon Carlarne,** Michael E. Moritz College of Law, The Ohio State University

**Evidence, Science and Risk**
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Kathy Mack, Law School, Flinders Univ.

**Description:**
This panel explores the operation of ideas of proof and evidence in a range of contemporary contexts.

**Primary Keyword:** Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

**Secondary Keyword:** Canadian Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- Common Knowledge in a Changing World: The Tacit Allocation of Burdens of Proof in Canadian Cases Involving the Niqab
  **Dana Phillips,** Osgoode Hall Law School
- Excluding Experts: The Contradictory Notion of “General Acceptance” of Novel Scientific Evidence
  **David Isaac,** University of Western Ontario
- Hard Cases, Great Cases, and Bad Social Science: The Use of Social Science Evidence in Culturally Contentious Litigation
  **Yvonne Zylan,** Hamilton College
- Using Secret Evidence in Canadian Courts: A Comparative Study
  **Daniel Alati,** Ryerson University, Toronto

**Gender and Careers in the Legal Academy - An International Comparative Research Project**
CRN: 32
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Margaret Thornton, Australian National University

**Disc.:** Rania Maktabi, Østfold University College

**Description:**
The past fifteen years have shown an increase in empirical research and resulting publications on the professional situation of women in the field of law. The lives and careers of women in legal practice and the judiciary along with various related gender issues have been documented in many countries. Amongst these in particular the question whether the presence of women in this field has made and will continue to make a difference to its workings.
What yet needs and deserves a closer look is the situation of women and gender in general in the legal academy. An international network of scholars (as yet informal) has now been set up for the purpose of a comparative historical study of women in the academy. They will focus on two aspects: the story of the pioneers, their biographies and autobiographies on one hand, and careers and organizational and cultural issues on the other.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students

**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Career Outsiders in the Legal Academy
  - **Carole Silver,** Northwestern University Law School
- The First Woman Law Professors and the Historical Development in China
  - **Xiaoran Liu,** China University of Political Science and Law
- Women and the Legal Academy in Ghana
  - **Josephine Dawuni,** Howard University
- Women in the Legal Academy in Germany - Still an endangered species
  - **Ulrike Schultz,** FernUniversität in Hagen
- Women Law Professors in the Philippines Then, Now and Six Decades in Between
  - **Emily Sanchez Salcedo,** De La Salle University

**Global Pro Bono: Diffusion, Contestation, Learned Lessons**

**CRN: 36**

Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.

Roundtable Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Louise Trubek, University of Wisconsin

**Disc.:** Sara Dezalay, Cardiff School of Law and Politics

**Participant(s):**
- **Daniel Eduardo Bonilla Maldonado,** Univ. de los Andes
- **Scott Cummings,** University of California, Los Angeles
- **Fabio de Sa e Silva,** Inst. of Applied Economic Research; Harvard Law School’s Center on the Legal Profession
- **Jayanth Krishnan,** Indiana University, Bloomington
- **Jeremy Perelman,** Sciences Po École de droit

**Description:**

This roundtable will explore the legal profession and its role in society at a time when lawyers are participating in—and thus constituting—a global arena of practices and institutions. Greater integration of economies and changes in capitalist development have presented lawyers with new challenges and opportunities. What are these challenges and opportunities and how are lawyers dealing with them? Are some kinds of practice gaining traction, while others lose ground? Do North/South asymmetries affect these transformations? Are legal practices and organizational forms from the North being transplanted to the South? Or are there barriers, which have impeded straightforward processes of transfer and led to hybridisms? What explains the observed outcomes and how are these impacting law practice and lawyers’ professionalism? The roundtable will address these and similar questions through the lenses of pro bono—or private lawyers providing free of charge legal work to those in need

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Indigenous Legal Arguments and Euro-American Law in Transnational Historical Perspective**

**CRN:** 44

Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.

Paper Session

**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Christopher Tomlins, University of California, Berkeley

**Description:**

Scholars usually frame the legal encounter between the indigenous peoples of North America and European colonizers as a story of imposition, in which Europeans deployed law as a technology of dispossession as they constructed sharp boundaries to separate Native from non-Native. Ranging across Mexico, Canada, and the United States, these papers relate a different aspect of this history. They emphasize how indigenous peoples constructed their own legal arguments against colonization in dialogue with colonizing legal systems. In particular, these authors stress how Natives creatively repurposed aspects of Euro-American law in combination with indigenous legal traditions to resist the borders of exclusion thrust on them by Euro-Americans. This outcome simultaneously expanded the influence of colonial legal systems within indigenous societies while also undermining Euro-American legal attacks on Native autonomy and self-governance.

**Primary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples

**Secondary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**
- Blood & Property: Laws of Murder and Robbery in the Early Southeastern Borderlands
  - **Nancy O. Gallman,** University of California, Davis
- Corporate Citizenship: Indigenous Legal Culture on Colonial Urban Outskirts, New Spain
  - **Laurent Corbeil,** Univ. of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
From the Doctrine of Discovery to the Animas River Release: “Open Veins” of Colonization in Navajo Nation
Karen Nielsen, Arizona State University

The Failure of Federal Sovereignty: Native Peoples, Criminal Jurisdiction, and Legal Pluralism in the Early American Borderlands
Gregory Ablavsky, Stanford Law School

The Indigenous Rights Movement in British Columbia, 1900-1927
Craig Yirush, UCLA

**Involving Undergrads in Research**

Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Professional Development Panel

**Room:** Clasico (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Jinee Lokaneeta, Drew University

**Participant(s):**

Jennifer Carlson, University of Arizona
Haley Duschinski, Ohio University
Shannon Portillo, University of Kansas
Danielle Rudes, George Mason University
Lori Sexton, University of Missouri, Kansas City
Mary Nell Trautner, University at Buffalo, SUNY

**Description:**

This roundtable offers a range of ways that professors can, and do, involve undergraduates in research projects – from research labs to Honors Theses, to bringing undergraduates in to research relationships with our work.

**Law and Policy in Latin America**

IRC: 13
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Mariana Mota Prado, University of Toronto

**Disc.:** Pedro Fortes, FGV Law School
Rogelio Perez-Perdomo, Stanford University Law School

**Description:**

In 2016, Pedro Fortes, Larissa Boratti, Andrés Palacios, and Tom Gerald Daly edited the book *Law and Policy in Latin America: Transforming Courts, Institutions, and Rights* (Palgrave Macmillan, St. Antony’s Series, 2016), a collection of 19 chapters focusing on applied studies with policy analysis of contemporary legal regional issues. In this panel, themes of the book are revisited. Rolando Garcia Miron presents the chapter co-written with Diego Gil Mc Cawley and Lawrence Friedman on media representations of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. Catherine Arias presents her chapter on financial regulation of derivatives. Andrés Palacios revisits Latin American competition law, emphasising a strong regulatory culture in contrast to US antitrust. Larissa Boratti reexamines Brazilian environmental law through the perspective of various regulatory models. Finally, in a new paper, Tom Gerald Daly examines the impeachment of Dilma Roussef as a case study of democratic decay in Brazil.

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**

- Antitrust vs. Regulation: Bridging Different Approaches to Market Power and Competition in the Americas
  Andrés Palacios Lleras, Estudios Palacios Lleras // Universidad de los Andes

- Legal Reform Proposals in Brazil’s Environmental Assessment Regime: An Analysis in Light of Regulatory Models
  Larissa Boratti, University College London

- Media Representations of the Inter-American System of Human Rights
  Rolando Garcia Miron, Stanford Law School
  Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School
  Diego Gil Mc Cawley, Stanford Law School

- Multiple Strategies of Financial Regulation adopted in Colombian Securities Market: The case of OTC Derivatives
  Ligia Catherine Arias Barrera, Univ. Externado de Colombia

- Public Law at the Centre of Democratic Decay in Brazil
  Tom Gerald Daly, Edinburgh Centre for Constitutional Law

**Law and Society in China: Theory and Empirical Research**

CRN: 33
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Disc.:** Jianyong Li, Shanghai University

**Chair/Disc.:** Weidong Ji, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

**Description:**

Law and society research in China has been developing rapidly in the early 21st century. Many legal theorists and empirical researchers have joined the “law and social sciences” movement in recent years and produced a large number of sociolegal scholarship. This session consists of both theoretical agendas and empirical projects on law and society in China. It is a general overview of this growing field with contributions from scholars across different parts of China and taking vastly different approaches to law and society.
Wednesday 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- How Does Confucianism Treat Legal Discussions: Another Normative Discourse Theory of Law Apart From Habermas  
  *Yang Fan*, Jilin University School of Law
- Legal Irritants Between the World Society and its Oriental Rival: CSR’s Transfer to China SOEs  
  *Mianzhi Cao*, Shanghai Jiao Tong University  
  *Ying Wu*, Shanghai Jiao Tong University
- The Formation of Political-Legal System in Contemporary China  
  *Meng Hou*, Peking University
- The Legal Empirical Research in China: Retrospect and Prospect  
  *Weimin Zuo*, Sichuan University

**Legal Structure, Institutions, and Discourse**
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Karen Ann Faulk**, Colegio de Mexico

**Description:**
This panel presents an examination of law from diverse lenses, including law as institution, system, and symbol.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Structure, Legal Institutions

**Presentations:**
- Creating Compliance: Understanding the Endogeneity of Law through the Symbolic Interactionist Process of Meaning Making  
  *Aminta Moses*, Indiana University
- Streamlined Forensic Reporting and Legal Autopoiesis  
  *Karen Richmond*, University of Strathclyde
- The Dance of Policy Argumentation: Framing Marriage for Different Policy Venues  
  *Shauna Fisher*, West Virginia University
- The Effect of Local Laws and Programs on Shelter Dog Live Release Rates  
  *Lisa Milot*, University of Georgia School of Law  
  *Jessie Dyer*, University of Georgia

**Legal Transformations and Radical Politics on the Left and the Right**
CRN: 21
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** Jeffrey Dudas, University of Connecticut

**Disc.: Sue Davis**, La Universidad Modelo/Univ. of Delaware

**Description:**
This panel will address the potential for various forms of sociolegal transformation in the context of growing political polarization and radicalization on both the left and the right. Papers employing a range of critical and empirical methods will focus on the relationship between race and violent coercion in U.S. constitutionalism and state building; the use of despair as a militant point of radical legal and political transformation in Black movements; the rise of the alt-right in the U.S. and its connection to global radical right movements; and the state’s uneven application of terrorism “enhancements” to punish radical environmental and pro-life activists; and the implications of all of these developments for sociolegal movements on the right and the left moving forward. Discussant will offer comments in both English and Spanish.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Alt-Right, Same as the Old Right? Shifting Terrains of Politics on the Radical Right  
  *Claire Rasmussen*, University of Delaware
- Race, Violence and the Failure of the American Constitution  
  *Lisa Miller*, Rutgers University
- Terrorizing Radical Activism: Implications of the Green Scare for Radical Movements on the Political Left and Right.  
  *Susan Burgess*, Ohio University
- The Use of Despair for Black Radical Politics  
  *Kirstine Taylor*, Ohio University

**Life of the Law - Pitching Scholarship to Media (A Workshop)**
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Public Outreach Session

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Nancy Mullane, Life of the Law  
*Anthony Gannon*, Life of the Law
**Description:**
You’ve just completed years of research and now it’s time to get your findings disseminated!
Join us for a special workshop on best practices for reaching out to media to get your scholarship in the news.

Meet one-on-one and in small group setting with professional, award-winning producers of Life of the Law.

Come prepared to pitch your exciting scholarship for a possible story and they’ll be ready to listen and provide individual feedback.

**Litigation Tactics and Social Movements**  
**CRN: 21**  
**Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: George Lovell, University of Washington**

**Description:**
This panel features recent studies that examine the relationship between social movements and litigation, a major area of research in law and social movements scholarship. The papers include studies from Brazil, Taiwan and the United States about the use of litigation by movement actors or their opponents and the impact of litigation outcomes for movements.

**Primary Keyword:**
Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Lawyering Beyond Walls: Movements to “Stop Solitary” in the United States  
  *Hope Metcalf, Yale Law School*
- Losing is Losing: How Movement Opponents Respond to Litigation and Legislative Losses  
  *Alexander Lovell, University of Utah*
- The State Against Citizens: Blame-shifting Litigation and the Judicialization of Politics in Taiwan  
  *Yuru Fu, National Taiwan University*

**Living With Deportability**  
**CRN: 2**  
**Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair:** Koen Van Aeken, Tilburg University

**Disc.: Annie Bunting, York University**

**Description:**
As nations take increasingly strong measures to discourage migration without invitation, agencies at all levels have become involved. This panel considers immigration policies and practices that are developing in locales as diverse as a refugee camp in Zambia, the legislature of Mexico, an EU agency on migration, the US Supreme Court, and within the social movements of immigrants themselves.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Crisis, migration and the re-bordering of Europe  
  *Giuseppe Campesi, Università di Bari*
- Leyes y programas para la población inmigrante en México y el papel de las ONGs como actores de la política migratoria  
  *Ana Pardo, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México*
- Reticence and Resistance: The Everyday Politics of Immigrant Life in the Deportation Nation  
  *Greg Prieto, University of San Diego*
- Walls and Bridges in Conflicting Perceptions of Undocumented, Predominately Mexican, Noncitizens Presented to the U.S. Supremem Court in U.S. v. Texas  
  *Janet Calvo, CUNY School of Law*

**LSA Awards Ceremony**  
**Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.**  
**Business Meeting**

**Room:** Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Participant(s):**
- *Susan Olson,* Law and Society Association  
  *Valerie Hans,* Cornell Law School  
- *Tamir Moustafa,* Simon Fraser University  
- *Jamillah Bowman Williams,* Georgetown Law Center  
- *Victoria Woeste,* American Bar Foundation  
- *Laura Nielsen,* American Bar Foundation/Northwestern  
- *Hiroshi Fukurai,* University of California Santa Cruz  
- *Michael Musheno,* University of Oregon  
- *Mona Lynch,* University of California, Irvine

**Description:**
Join LSA President Valerie Hans, Executive Officer Susan Olson, and members of the prize committees as they honor the 2017 prize winners.
Mexico’s 1917 Constitution at 100 Years
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Colonia (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Kif Augustine, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University

Participant(s):
- Peter Reich, Whittier Law School
- Alberto Abad Suarez Avila, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas UNAM

Description:
This roundtable celebrates the first centennial of Mexico’s 1917 Constitution. Constitutional law scholars, historians, and lawyers will discuss the development of constitutional law and theory in Mexico, particularly given that the 1917 Constitution marked a turn to law after the fratricide and horrific violence of the 1910 Revolution, a civil war in which at least a million people died. The discussion will be conducted primarily in Spanish.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

New Books in South Asian Legal Studies
CRN: 22
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

Participant(s):
- Harshan Kumarasingham, University of Edinburgh
- Nayanika Mathur, University of Cambridge
- Simanti Dasgupta, University of Dayton

Description:
This CRN 22-sponsored panel features six new books in the vibrant field of South Asian legal studies. Taking advantage of the flexible format of “New Books” panels, the session will not follow the Author-metts-Reader model in which commentators discuss each book and authors respond. Instead, each author will speak both about his or her own book and at least one other. Authors will speak for about ten minutes each on themes, issues, or approaches that connect or contrast their own book with at least one other book on the panel. This format will create both a sense of the specific challenges and decisions that went into the making of each book, and an appreciation for how the interdisciplinary field of South Asian legal studies is developing more generally. For instance, authors may explore methodological challenges (ethnography, archival research); the legal pluralist spectrum from state law, to quasi- and non-state dispute resolution; colonial-to-post-colonial continuities and transitions; and issues surrounding the intersection of law and science, corruption, and bureaucracy. While most of the books focus on India, the panel offers a broader view of the South Asian region by including work on Ceylon/Sri Lanka.

New developments in Legal Mobilization
CRN: 21
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Karina Mariela Ansolabehere, FLACSO-Mexico

Description:
The papers on this panel will address a variety of new issues in legal mobilization. They include 1) the role of quasi-legal and non-judicial agencies, whose decisions have impacted how rights are understood and implemented; 2) legal mobilization in developing countries (including comparative studies); 3) the impact of counter-mobilizing groups, whose aim is to offset or disrupt rights claimants; 4) compliance and rule of law issues (beyond human rights); 5) the constitutive impacts of legal norms on movement strategies; 6) resource leveraging by groups in developing countries, where access to traditional mobilization resources is weak. The papers aim to bring to light new understandings of how movements mobilize the law to improve governance and rights. The study of legal mobilization is rapidly moving beyond the original scholarship, in which researchers focused on organized movements in developed states. The aim of the movements was often to use the courts to extend existing rights.

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization
Secondary Keyword: Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

Presentations:
- Is Legal Mobilization for the Birds? Legal Opportunity Structures and Environmental Nongovernmental Organisations in the United Kingdom, France, Finland and Italy
  Lisa Vanhala, University College London

  Ligia Tavera Fenollosa, FLACSO/Mexico

- Social Movements and Constitutional Politics in Latin America: Legal Mobilization and Counter-Mobilization in the Field of Abortion Rights
  Alba Ruibal, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Argentina

- Suing for Paradise: Environmental Movements, Legal Mobilization, and Compliance in Latin America
  Mark Aspinwall, CIDE
**On Discrimination by Gender and Sexuality**  
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.  
Paper Session  
*Room:* Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
*Chair:* Aresha Martinez-Cardoso, Univ. of Michigan Ann Arbor  
*Description:* This session is on the discrimination by gender and sexuality. The panelists will discuss on the pay inequality, the rules of professional conducts for lawyers, sexual violence, gender regulation in public restrooms, and the issues in municipal education.  
*Primary Keyword:* Discrimination  
*Secondary Keyword:* Gender and Sexuality  
*Presentations:*  
- Bathrooms that Matter: Gender Regulation in Public Restrooms and Trans* Rights  
  **F Renz,** City, University of London  
- How Law’s Disciplinary Walls Resist Gender Pay Equality in Public Companies  
  **Roseanne Russell,** Cardiff University  
- See No Evil: Cultural Competency in a Post-Model Rule 8.4(G) World  
  **Latonia Keith,** Concordia University School of Law  
- Sexual Violence in US K-12 Schools: Looking for Legal Remedies  
  **Nan Stein,** Wellesley College  

**Out with the Old and In with the New? The Evolution of Existing Regulatory Models**  
CRN: 5  
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.  
Paper Session  
*Room:* Imperio D (2nd Floor)  
*Chair/Disc.:* Aleksandra Jordanoska, School of Law, University of Manchester  
*Description:* Out with the Old and In with the New? The Evolution of Existing Regulatory Models  
*Primary Keyword:* Regulation, Reform, and Governance  
*Presentations:*  
- A Comparative Analysis on Regulatory Independence in Middle Income Countries  
  **Asli Unan,** Max Planck Institute for the Study of Societies  
- İşik Özel, Sabanci University

**Regulating New Technologies: Historical and Contemporary Cases**  
CRN: 37  
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.  
Paper Session  
*Room:* Imperio C (2nd Floor)  
*Chair/Disc.:* Jeffrey Omari, UC Santa Cruz/American Bar Foundation  
*Description:* Technological innovation almost always outpaces legal regulation. These case studies examine the challenges legislators and regulators face as they balance the positive aspects of technology against the inevitable consequences.  
*Primary Keyword:* Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law  
*Secondary Keyword:* Regulation, Reform, and Governance  
*Presentations:*  
- Before the lab. Safety regulation of science and technology in French and American nano laboratories  
  **Jerome Pelisse,** Sciences Po  
- Developing an international framework for the law relating to Artificial Intelligence  
  **Hironao Kaneko,** Tokyo Institute of Technology  
  **Catherine Easton,** Lancaster University  
- Exploring the Risk-Regulation Nexus in the Drone Context  
  **Hillary Farber,** University of Massachusetts School of Law  
  **Joe Hamm,** Michigan State University  
  **Adam Zwickle,** Michigan State University  
- Legislando la metropolis eléctrica: Tecnología y vida cotidiana en la Ciudad de México, 1900-1920s.  
  **Diana Montano,** Washington University in St. Louis

**Rethinking Equality Law Regimes**  
CRN: 12  
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.  
Paper Session  
*Presentations:*  
- Regulatory Capitalism the Politics of Bilateral Air Service Agreements  
  **Russell Mills,** Bowling Green State University  
- Rethinking Stakeholder Participation in Regulatory Governance: A Literature Review and Proposed Theoretical Model  
  **Mercy DeManno,** Duke University, Sanford School of Public Policy
Wednesday 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.

Room: Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Olatunde Johnson, Columbia Law School

Description:
American civil rights and equality law regimes are under strain from adverse judicial decisions and resistance to their normative goals. The adequacy of rights-based frameworks is challenged by enduring and shifting forms of inequality and exclusion. Growing economic inequality threatens the liberal, formalist assumptions underlying civil rights law, as well as the efficacy of identity-based interventions. At the same time, persistent racial segregation, incarceration, and police violence against citizens reveal the stickiness of racial subordination. This panel brings together scholars across substantive areas such as health, disability, housing, family law and education who are rethinking the traditional rights regimes and categories therein and advancing new frameworks for achieving racial, ethnic, gender, and economic inclusion.

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
- Blackness as Disability
  Kimani Paul-Emile, Fordham University Law School
- Can Systems Thinking Improve Enforcement Against Racial Discrimination?
  Elise Boddie, Rutgers Law School
- Moore Kinship: Reimagining Family, Race, and Equality
  Robin Lenhardt, Fordham Law
- Regulatory Innovation in Equality Law
  Olatunde Johnson, Columbia Law School

Social and Legal Systems
RCSL Working Group: Social and Legal Systems
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair: Germano André Doederlein Schwartz,
La Salle Canoas, Fmu São Paulo
Disc.: Lucas Konzen, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul

Description:
This session is organized by the ISA-RCSL Working Group “Social and Legal Systems”. It aims at discussing the theoretical background of Sociology of Law in an international perspective. We bring together papers that focus on different theoretical approaches to law.

Primary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Presentations:
- Comparative sociology of legal knowledge production: Outline of a research field with a focus on Germany
  Christian Boulanger, Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin
  Institutional Theory of Law and Society
  Joxerramon Bengoetxea, University of the Basque Country and Oñati Institute

Law and Development vs. Legal Evolution: Systems Theory and the Dynamics of Legal Change within the Center and Periphery of Modernity
Lasha Bregvdaze, Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University
Towards a “Glocal” Jurisprudence: Building Bridges or Walls in an Inter-Connected World
Imer Flores, UNAM

Social Capital, Worth, and Acceptance: Morality, Identity, Family, and Legality
CRN: 2
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Irene Vega, UCLA

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
- Documented Love: The Immigration Marriage Fraud Amendments of 1986 and the Regulation of International Marriage
  Jane Lilly Lopez, UC San Diego
- Immigrant Detention and Social Capital: Divergent Experiences Among African, Latino, and Transgender Detainees in the US
  Rocio Rosales, University of California, Irvine
  Tania DoCarmo, University of California, Irvine
  Daniel Millan Ovando, University of California, Irvine
- Immigrant Youth and Legal Social Identity: Navigating Meritocracy and Deferred Action
  Dylan Farrell-Bryan, University of Pennsylvania
- The Conditionality of Conduct: The Gain and Loss of Contemporary British Citizenship
  Devyani Prabhat, University of Bristol Law School
The legal frames of social representations: negotiating Eritrea's reality through the lenses of refugee status determination in Switzerland
Damian Rosset, University of Neuchatel

**Surveillance and Response in Infectious Disease Threats**
CRN: 9
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**
Emerging and re-emerging infectious disease threats such as Ebola and Zika continue to threaten instability in spite of medical and technological advances. They present real and serious governance challenges at local, state and inter-state level. Infectious diseases have the capacity to disrupt and re-order prevailing systems of surveillance and disease control. Outbreaks generate controversies and frictions that prompt questions about the operational assumptions through which health and populations are understood and governed. Law and other governance configurations play crucial roles at all stages of both the disease cycle and public health efforts and are frequently caught up in the production or reaction to disease related issues. This panel seeks to provoke an interdisciplinary discussion on law and governance dimensions within the public health infrastructure dealing with key controversies in quantification, surveillance, preparedness and response.

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine

**Presentations:**
- Instituting Surveillance during the 2014 Ebola Outbreak
  Gearoid O’Cuinn, Lancaster University
- Perception, Conflict and Response in Quarantine Regulatory Change
  Dorit Reiss, UC Hastings College of the Law
- The Perfect Epidemiological Surveillance System
  Rene Najera, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health
- Vaccination as a Human Right
  Amanda Naprawa, Berkeley Wellness, UC Berkeley
- What WHO Knows: The Politics of Information Gathering in Disease Surveillance
  Carol Heimer, Northwestern University & American Bar Foundation
- Zika virus and NGOs in Brazil: challenges to law and public healthcare system.
  Mauricio Palma Resende, University of Brasilia (UnB)

**The Politics of Human Rights: Democracy, International Relations and Economic Development**
CRN: 43
Wednesday Session 3, 12:00 p.m. - 1:45 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Ariel Dulitzky, Univ. of Texas at Austin School of Law
**Disc.:** Mark Berlin, Marquette University

**Description:**
This session is on the politics and human rights in International relations. The panelists will discuss on the legitimacy and the politics of humanity, contemporary global politics, federalism, land occupation and protection of people, and use of force against non-state actors, the function of museums in human right context, and the UN peacekeeping.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- Contemporary issues on human rights and democracy
  Katya Kozicki, Pontifical Catholic University of Paraná
- El Derecho al Desarrollo Económico y su Relacion con los Derechos Humanos
  Tulio Afonso, Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie
- How Occupation Law Helped Israel Take the Land Without the People Rather Than Protect the People on their Land
  Noura Erakat, George Mason University
- The Use of Force Against Non-State Actors in Foreign States: Challenging the ‘Unwilling or Unable’ Doctrine
  Craig Martin, Washburn University School of Law

**Wednesday June 21,**
**Late-Afternoon Events**

**CLSA Annual General Meeting**
Wednesday, 2:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.
Business Meeting

**Room:** Clasico (2nd Floor)

**Participant(s):** Lyndsay Campbell, CLSA President, Univ. of Calgary
**Description:**
The Canadian Law and Society Association will hold their Annual General Meeting on Wednesday afternoon. Light lunch provided. All members welcome.

**RCSL General Meeting**
Wednesday 2:15 PM - 3:30 PM
Business Meeting

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Participant(s):** Masayuki Murayama,
RCSL President Meiji University

**Description:**
The Research Committee on the Sociology of Law will hold their General Meeting on Wednesday afternoon. All members welcome.

**Graduate Student Activity**
Wednesday 4:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Description:**
The Graduate Student Activity will begin promptly at 4:00 PM, and will consist of plenary speakers, breakout sessions, and an informal networking reception. The goals are to: 1) build intellectual community among the next generation of Law and Society scholars; 2) foster collegial networks among graduate students and leading faculty in the field; 3) promote dialogue about student research; and 4) enhance professional development around research, publishing, and career paths in socio-legal studies. Advance registration required.
China and Islam: The Prophet, the Party, and Law
(Cambridge University Press, 2016)
CRN: 33
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session
Room: Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Author(s): Matthew Erie, University of Oxford
Chair(s): Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago, Law School
Reader(s):
  Adam Horalek, University of Padubice
  Mark Fathi Massoud, University of California, Santa Cruz
  Arzoo Osanloo, University of Washington
  Rachel Stern, University of California, Berkeley
Description:
Following global currents of Islamic revival, how do Muslim minorities abide by shari’a (Islamic law and ethics) in a country that has a socialist legal system, and that gives no grounds to such alternative normative orders? This book takes China as an unlikely test case for the assertion that both Muslim minorities and the state can invoke shari’a to pursue their respective visions of “the good.” They can do so because in China, shari’a is a minjian (“unofficial”) law, and operates in the greyed-out margins of state law and policy. Based on two years’ fieldwork in “China’s Little Mecca,” this study follows Hui clerics, youthful translators on the “New Silk Road,” female educators who reform madrasas, and Party cadres as they reconcile Islamic and socialist laws in the course of the everyday. A panel of interdisciplinary experts discuss with the author the implications of the book for the study of law and society, including, the fraught operation of religious law in secular orders, human flourishing under authoritarian law, and the analytical and methodological approaches to a non-state normative order that is both localized and globalizing. This session is co-sponsored by both CRN 30 and CRN 33.

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Islam, Islamic Studies

Comparing Legal Professions 30 Years after Lawyers in Society: ‘Lawyers in Society 30 Years On’ III
IRC: 37
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session
Room: Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Facilitator(s):
- Ole Hammerslev, Univ. of So. Denmark, Department of Law
- Ulrike Schultz, FernUniversität in Hagen

Description:
The research strands which Abel & Lewis’ Lawyers in Society identified have been paradigmatic for later studies. As a product of an epoch of counter-hegemonic political engagement and critical scholarship, it captured many of the dimensions of these upheavals and their impact on the profession and contributed to the processes which were beginning to deconstruct the basic tenets of the classical professional model. The period since 1988 has seen an acceleration of these processes. Most striking is the transformation of the world order: globalisation, the reconfiguration of nation states and the hegemony of neoliberal discourses. The impact on national professions of these developments has been dramatic, furthering the transformation of, for example, professional rationales and working practices. There is an urgent need to revisit the role of legal professions and to explore comparatively the impact of these transformations. The IRC sessions Comparing legal professions 30 years on will do that.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers

Presentations:
- Brazilian Legal Profession: 1985-2015
- Maria da Gloria Bonelli, Federal University of São Carlos
- Ivar Hartmann, FGV Law School
- Andrew Francis, University of Leeds
- Joan Loughey, University of Leeds
- Hilary Sommerlad, University of Leeds
- Steven Vaughan, Birmingham Law School
- Legal Profession in Turkey: Origins and Developments
- Seda Kalem, Istanbul Bilgi University
- The Legal Profession in Kenya: Challenges and Opportunities Post 2010 Constitution
- Winifred Kamau, University of Nairobi

Constituting the Plural Colonial Justice: Indigenous, State, and International Law
CRN: 39
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session
Room: Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Disc.: Stefan Kroll, Goethe University Frankfurt
Chair/Disc.: Tom Gerald Daly, Edinburgh Centre for Constitutional Law
Description:
This panel explores the challenges of constituting colonial justice by examining the establishment of fundamental political decisions in colonial states. A common theme across the papers is legal pluralism in different forms, basically through the resistance of indigenous law from the hegemonic law of the state and the institutional competition between local and international justice. Covering the logics of elimination of indigenous law, the entanglements of various legal influences, and the possibility of establishing a geography with various layers and zones of influence of law and non-law, this panel provides a display of different perspectives of colonial justice, including political conflicts, social networks, and normative geographies.

Primary Keyword:
Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:
British Indian Ocean Territory: A Human Rights Free Zone?
Maeve Hosier, Middlesex University Mauritius Branch Campus

Pluralism, Hegemony, and International Criminal Law
Asad Kiyani, University of Western Ontario

The Long Arm of Spanish American Colonial Law and Practice: Interconnected and Entangled Influences on the Periphery of British Imperialism in the South West Pacific
Neil Andrews, College of Law and Justice, Victoria University

“Unhappy Results”: Justice Pal, Rules of Evidence and Procedure and the Ad Hoc Tribunals
Sujith Xavier, Faculty of Law University of Windsor

Constitutionalism, religious rights, law and religion, and identities
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Christine Bartholomew, SUNY Buffalo Law School

Description:
This panel explores the intersections of law and religion.

Primary Keyword:
Religion and Law, Religious Studies

Presentations:
A Presidential Proclamation to Ban All Muslims: The Importance of the Establishment Clause
Ashley Rotchford, Temple University Beasley School of Law

Exorcising the Clergy Privilege
Christine Bartholomew, SUNY Buffalo Law School

The Equivalence of Religion and Conscience
Lucien Dhooge, Georgia Institute of Technology

“It Would ‘Mean Little’ Absent Governmental Recognition:” Dignity, the Individual, and State Power in Obergefell v. Hodges
Emma Rodman, University of Washington

Chelsea Moore, University of Washington

Contesting Boundaries of Law: Encounters between Local, National and Transnational Normative Orders
CRN: 48
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

Chair/Disc.: Janine Ubink, University of California Irvine

Description:
The panel looks at various instances of encounter between state and non-state laws.

Primary Keyword:
Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law

Presentations:
How Institutional Fragmentation Precludes Forum Shopping: The Case of Conflict-Affected Northern Uganda
Rebecca Tapscott, The Fletcher School, Tufts University

The use of Basque language in the Franco-Spanish border area: legal rules and social practices.
Ihintza-Palacin Mariscal, European University Institute

Corporate Social Responsibility and Comparative Corporate Governance
CRN: 46
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Wendy Couture, University of Idaho College of Law

Disc.: Joan Heminway, The University of Tennessee

Description:
This panel looks at how laws and rules (whether "hard" or "soft") are made and the roles of different bodies and constituencies in making them, how they operate, and how they might be improved upon.
**Primary Keyword:** Corporate Law, Securities and Transactions

**Presentations:**
A Grounded Capitalism: Morality, Identity, and the Corporation
_Gwendolyn Gordon_, Wharton

Corporate Social Responsibility and the Corporate Board: Assessing the Indian Experiment
_Afra Alsharipour_, UC Davis School of Law

Know Your ADC's - Exploring a for-profit, philanthropic partnership in Rwanda for Women
_Christyne Vachon_, University of Massachusetts School of Law - Dartmouth

Traveling Corporations: The International Finance of State Shopping
_Darren Rosenblum_, Pace Law School

**Criminal Justice in East Asia**
CRN: 33
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Hiroshi Fukurai**, UC Santa Cruz

**Description:**
This is a session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore the issues of criminal justice in East Asia. Masayoshi Koga’s paper critically examines the juvenile correctional education in Japan and Spain. Shih Chun Chien analyses the issue of false confession in the criminal justice system in Taiwan. Mong-Hwa Chin investigates wrongful conviction in Taiwan. Based on case studies and interviews, Michelle Miao explores the effect of social class on the imposition of death penalty on drug offenders in China.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Presentations:**
Anatomy of a Taiwanese Wrongful Conviction
_Mong-Hwa Chin_, National Chiao Tung University

In Spain and Japan, Cross-Cultural Analysis of Juvenile Correctional Education: Through the Comparison of Treatment Community and Reformatory Center
_Masayoshi Koga_, Chuo University

Police Interrogation, False Confession, and Expert Testimony: Reforming Taiwan's Criminal Justice System Through Social Science Studies
_Shih Chun Chien_, Stanford Law School

The peril of defining death-eligibility - sentencing capital drug offenders in contemporary China
_Michelle Miao_, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, the Faculty of Law

**Criminal Law and Criminal Courts in the Global South I**
IRC: 30
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 8

**Chair/Disc.: Pablo Leandro Ciocchini**, University of Liverpool

**Description:**
This session is organised by the IRC 30 "Judicial Reforms in the Global South". The session seeks to create a space for meaningful dialogue between socio-legal scholars from the Global South. It proposes to develop critical research that aims at understanding judicial systems from peripheral and semi peripheral societies on their own terms. The session presents contributions from Latin American and Asian scholars. Contributions critically explore the role that criminal law and criminal courts play in different societies. Papers analyse how courts are both influenced by local social, political and economic conditions and broader global trends. They seek to identify courts’ internal dynamics, their relations with the larger state's apparatus and civil society. By opening a critical debate on criminal courts in the Global South the session seeks to identify common problems and practical solutions developed by local agents.

**Primary Keyword:**
Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Secondary Keyword:**
Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**
A Study of Court Decongestion Programmes in the Philippines and its Impact on Overstaying Detainees
_Alpha Carole Pontanal_, People in Need

The problem is that it isn’t a problem? Criminal justice, human rights and the expedite criminal process in the province of Buenos Aires
_Ezequiel Kostenwein_, UNLP

**Discourse, Migration, and Framing Borders and Movements**
CRN: 2
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 10

**Chair/Disc.: Paola Suarez**, UC Berkeley
**Description:**
Discourse, Migration, and Framing Borders and Movements

**Primary Keyword:**
Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Imagining Immigrants, or How Will the U.S. Stop Worrying and Learn to Love its Undocumented: Nudges, Shoves, and Narrative Theory  
  **Ilene Durst**, Thomas Jefferson School of Law
  **Grace Tran**, University of Toronto
- Skittles and Taco Trucks: A Content Analysis of Tweets and News Coverage Regarding Immigration during the 2016 US Presidential Election Race  
  **Katherine Abbott**, University of New Hampshire

**Discrimination and Belonging in American Law**
CRN: 44  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Mark Golub,** Scripps College

**Description:**
These papers address legal responses to discrimination; in particular, how policy makers have responded to claims of discrimination and exclusion in ways that often generate new problems while failing to solve the underlying issues. In each, decision makers avoided structural change and instead provided limited relief within the existing system. Bloch's paper describes how the concept of "diversity" was created and used, both inside and outside courts. Nunez discusses a single site – the San Diego International Airport – where the demands of transgender and gender nonconforming individuals for inclusion have been resolved instead by the creation of a third set of bathrooms. Grisinger’s paper examines how interest groups organized around civil rights, human rights, and environmental concerns tried to work within the Civil Aeronautics Board to achieve their ends. Finally, Belt and Woodward-Burns grapple with the possibilities and limitations created by the expansion of the franchise.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**
- African American Suffrage and Mental Disability Over the Long 19th Century United States  
  **Rabia Belt**, Stanford Law School
- State and Federal Constitutional Revision and Female Suffrage, 1865-1920  
  **Robinson Woodward-Burns**, University of Pennsylvania
- "The self-containment of the bureaucracy": The Civil Aeronautics Board and the Right to Participate  
  **Joanna Grisinger**, Northwestern University

**Discussing Catherine Fisk’s Writing for Hire Unions, Hollywood, and Madison Avenue**
CRN: 8, 44  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Author(s):** Catherine Fisk, University of California Irvine Law School

**Chair(s):** Cesar Rosado, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

**Reader(s):**  
Matthew Dimick, University at Buffalo School of Law  
Cynthia Estlund, New York University  
Shubha Ghosh, Syracuse  
Laura Weinrib, University of Chicago

**Description:**
Required to sign away their legal rights as authors as a condition of employment, professional writers may earn a tidy living for their work, but they seldom own their writing. Writing for Hire traces the history of labor relations that defined authorship in film, TV, and advertising in the mid-twentieth century. Writing for Hire examines why strikingly different norms of attribution emerged in these overlapping industries, and shows how unionizing enabled Hollywood writers to win many authorial rights, while Madison Avenue writers achieved no equivalent recognition.

In the 1930s, the practice of employing teams of writers to create copyrighted works became widespread in film studios, radio networks, and ad agencies. Sometimes Hollywood and Madison Avenue employed the same people. Yet the two industries diverged in a crucial way in the 1930s, when screenwriters formed the Writers Guild to represent them in collective negotiations with media companies. Writers Guild members believed they shared the same status as literary authors and fought to have their names attached to their work. They gained binding legal norms relating to ownership and public recognition-norms that eventually carried over into the professional culture of TV production.

In advertising, by contrast, no formal norms of public attribution developed. Although some ad writers chafed at their anonymity, their nonunion workplace provided no institutional framework to channel their demands for change. Instead, many rationalized...
their invisibility as creative workers by embracing a self-conception as well-compensated professionals devoted to the interests of clients.

Primary Keyword: Labor and Employment  
Secondary Keyword: Legal History

Displacement, Violence and the Criminal Justice System  
CRN: 11  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Salon Session  
Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 9  
Chair(s): Steven Bender, Seattle University School of Law  
Disc.: Veronica Fynn Bruey, Australian National University

Description:  
Internal and/or international displacement of populations is diverse and complex. Irrespective of the type of method used to displace populations, tensions created in the process of mass movement can raise concerns of human rights, criminal justice and violence control. The Panel examines socio-legal policy intervention programs in addressing crime, political violence and mass movement at it pertains refugees, homeless persons, and other marginalized populations in Europe, North and South America.

Primary Keyword:  
Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies  
Secondary Keyword:  
Violence

Presentations:  
Crimmigration, Belonging and Deportation in American Immigration Courts  
Lili Dao, New York University  
From Crime Control to Migration Control - Facing Refugees as the Dangerous Other  
Annette Olesen, Aalborg University  
Rikke Gottrup, University of Southern Denmark  
Linda Kjaer Minke, University of Southern Denmark  
Narratives Amidst Mass Violence in Mexico. An Analysis from the Field of Conflict Studies to Explore the Potential of Narratives in the Aftermath of Atrocities to Promote Collective Moral Judgments against Violence.  
Cesar Estrada Perez, The School for Conflict Analysis and Resolution, George Mason University

Economic and Social Rights in a Neoliberal World II  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
IRC: 22  
Paper Session  
Room: Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
Chair/Disc.: Carmel Williams, Human Rights Center, University of Essex

Description:  
IRC 22 on Economic and Social Rights in a Neoliberal World is undertaking an interdisciplinary research project on the interpretation and implementation of economic and social rights in the context of global neoliberalism. Although largely ignored for several decades after the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948, economic and social rights have gained growing support since the end of the Cold War. Paradoxically, over the same period, neoliberal ideology and policy, which directly conflict with economic and social rights, have become entrenched in most countries as well as in inter-governmental organizations, such as the World Bank and the WTO. The objective of this IRC is to examine whether economic and social rights can be effective in contesting neoliberal ideology and policy and if so, when, where, how and to what extent? To answer these questions, the IRC brings together human rights scholars and practitioners to compare cases from around the world.

Primary Keyword:  
Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:  
Neo-Liberal Impact on Constructing Education Models: Special Education or Inclusive Education- Advocating Quality Basic Education in Nigeria  
Onuora-Ogunu Azubike Chinwuba, Fac. of Law, Univ. of Ilorin  
Anuoluwapo Durokifa, Univ. of Fort Hare South Africa

Neoliberal Developmentalism in South Korea and the Promise of Economic and Social Rights  
Joo-Young Lee, Seoul National University Human Rights Center

Neoliberal Geographies and the Justiciability of Health as a Human Right  
Jean Carmalt, John Jay College of Criminal Justice

Neoliberalism’s Law  
Felipe Cole, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law

Economic Justice and Taxation of Wealth  
CRN: 31  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  
Room: Caza B (3rd Floor)  
Chair: Lisa Philipps, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University
**Description:**
The papers on this panel think through the law and policy of taxing wealth and income progressively, and address issues of economic inequality and how the tax law can be used to combat that inequality.

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies

**Presentations:**
Comparative Wealth Transfer Taxation  
**Jennifer Bird-Pollan,** University of Kentucky College of Law

Economic Justice and Taxation  
**Neil Buchanan,** The George Washington University Law School

Migration and Taxation in the Public Imagination  
**Montano Cabezas,** McGill University Faculty of Law

Progressive Taxation of Income and Wealth  
**Ari Glogower,** The Ohio State University - Moritz College of Law

**Emergent Constitucionalism: Perspectives from the Global South**  
**IRC:** 33
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Germano André Doederlein Schwartz, La Salle Canoas, Fmu São Paulo

**Participant(s):**  
**Sergio Cademartori,** Unilasalle  
**Alexandres Soares Fleck Brandão,** IISJ - Oñati  
**Antonio Carlos Wolkmer,** UFSC  
**Cesar Augusto Baldi,** Universidade de Brasilia - UnB.

**Description:**
The IRC proposed is a temporary group of researchers devoted to analyze and to discuss, from the point of view of the epistemology of the south (Boaventura de Souza Santos) and others socio-legal theories originated in peripheral countries such as the legal pluralism (Antonio Wolkmer), the phenomenon of the new constitutions originated in that part of the world such as the case of Ecuador (2008) and Bolivia (2009). This so-called “new constitucionalism” represent (or not) a proposal of emancipation more adequate for colonized countries from the Global South? That is the main question to be debated by the participants in the course of the 2017 Law and Society Meeting in the City of Mexico.

**Ethics, Medical Treatments, and the Law**  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Toth Andras, MTA TK PTI

**Description:**
This session is about some ethical issues related to medical treatments for criminals and medical interpretations.

**Primary Keyword:** Ethics, Bioethics, and the Law

**Secondary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Presentations:**
A History of Suffering, a History of Restraint: Contextualizing Mandated Community Based Treatment for Serious Mental Illness  
**Fiona Jager,** University of Ottawa

Conflicting ethics and roles: Medical interpreting in a legal context and the case of Worker’s Comp  
**Azucena Puerta-Diaz,** Judicial Council of California

Public Attitudes Towards Legally Coerced Biological Treatments of Criminals  
**Colleen Berryessa,** University of Pennsylvania  
**Jennifer Chandler,** University of Ottawa  
**Peter Reiner,** University of British Columbia

Toward a Genealogy of Legally-Coerced Addiction Treatment  
**Austin Jenkins,** Northwestern University

**European Cases and Human Rights**  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Veronica Corcodel, Sciences Po Paris

**Disc.:** David Delaney, Amherst College

**Description:**
This session is about specific European legal cases regarding human rights and penal change.

**Primary Keyword:** Europe, European Studies, European Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights
Exploitation@Work: Old Problems in the New Economy
CRN: 8
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Colonia (2nd Floor)

Chair: Stephanie Bornstein, Univ. of Florida Levin College of the Law

Description:
This panel examines age-old problems -- discrimination and worker exploitation -- in the context of the modern, technologically driven economy. One paper interrogates the "business case" against employment discrimination, and concludes that there is still a crucial role for anti-discrimination law. Four other papers examine the gig economy. First, two papers focus on how common methods of managing gig economy workers can conceal discrimination and worker exploitation. The remaining papers look at how the work of those laboring in the "sharing economy" is rendered invisible along three different axes, and the challenges of applying Brazil's labor law to platform workers.

Primary Keyword: Labor and Employment
Secondary Keyword: Discrimination

Presentations:
- Algorithms, Law, and the Exploitation of Direct Producers
  Julia Tomassetti, City University of Hong Kong, School of Law
- Breaking Down Bias: Legal Mandates vs. Business Interests
  Jamillah Bowman Williams, Georgetown Law Center

The New Invisible Work
Deepa Das Acevedo, University of Pennsylvania Law School

The Uberization of Work in Times of the On-Demand Services: Platform Workers and the Legal Debate Focusing on the Brazilian Case
Victor da Silva, University of Sao Paulo Faculty of Law
Antonio Rodrigues de Freitas Jr., Univ. of Sao Paulo Faculty of Law

Gender and Judging
CRN: 32
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza C (3rd Floor)

Chair: Fiona Kay, Queen's University

Disc.: Emily Sanchez Salcedo, De La Salle University

Description:
This session deals with the different aspects of the relation between gender and judging in national and international courts of justice: factors influencing judging, careers and career obstacles, the impact of women in former masculine fields.

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging
Secondary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
- A Different Voice In International Courts? Gender and Judicial Behavior In Sexual And Reproductive Rights
  Daniel Naurin, University of Oslo
  Malcolm Langford, University of Oslo
  Vegard Vibe, University of Bergen

- Adjudicating the family – Egypt’s female judges
  Monika Lindbekk, University of Oslo

- Building Bridges? Gender and the Court of Justice of the European Union
  Jessica Guth, Leeds Beckett University
  Sanna Elfveng, University of Bradford

- Female judgeship in Lebanon and Kuwait
  Rania Maktabi, Østfold University College

- Gender and Judicial Production: Empirical study with Brazilian State Judges
  Jéssica Traguetto Silva, University of Brasilia
  Adalmir Gomes, Universidade de Brasilia
Immigration Adjudication in the United States: Biases, Social Impacts and Responses  
CRN: 2  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  
Room: Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
Chair/Disc: Ingrid Eagly, UCLA School of Law  
Description:  
Immigration court hearings in the United States have been described by some as death penalty cases in a traffic court setting. The stakes—whether they concern deportation or custody determinations—are incredibly high. And yet, immigration judges often decide the fate of the individuals before them, and their families, in as little as minutes. This panel brings together several papers that shed new light on how judges are making determinations in asylum or bond cases, how attorneys and other actors are responding, and the multidimensional impacts of immigration court outcomes. Incorporating empirical research, interviews and other methods, the papers question conventional understandings of how law operates and remind us of law’s potential violence.  
Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
Secondary Keyword: Legal Structure, Legal Institutions  
Presentations:  
Making the Case: How Immigration Attorney Negotiate the Landscape of Asylum Claims for Gang Involved Youth and Young Adults  
Marjorie Zatz, University of California, Merced  
Predicting Danger in Immigration Bond Hearings  
Emily Ryo, USC Gould School of Law  
The Price of Freedom: Immigration Courts and the Private Immigration Bond Industry  
Annie Lai, University of California, Irvine School of Law  
Zooming In: Immigrant Youth and Family Separation in a Border County  
Nina Rabin, University of Arizona Rogers College of Law  
Primary Keyword: Culture, and Cultural Rights  
Secondary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research  
Presentations:  
From a Multicultural Society to a Nation State, the European Laws’ Ideological Adoption in Turkey  
Sezgin Seymen Çebi, Antalya International University  
Immigrants’ school choice in Montreal: how the province of Quebec’ laws have, over the past decades, work towards and against inclusion  
Véronique Grenier, Université de Montréal  
Institutionalized Racism in the Dominican Republic  
Michael Lynch, Ohio University  

Institutional Quality and the Development of the Global Economy  
CRN: 43  
IRC: 38  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  
Room: Terraza (3rd Floor)  
Chair: Marina Kurkchiyan, University of Oxford  
Disc: Megan Bowman, King’s College London  
Description:  
This session investigates the impact of institutions over the global economy, by examining the importance of the rules of the game and organisations for finance, trade, and the market economy. How non-state laws can influence international commercial practices and behavior? How does the investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) influences challenges to regulatory regimes and ultimately the rules of the game for investment? How do international dispute settlement mechanisms shape practices of international practice? How do states affect international economic governance through negotiations of trade and investment arrangements? How does transnational criminal law contributes to the development of tools of governance against corruption? How does digital trade regulation establishes rules of the game for personal data protection? The papers examine plural sources of normativity. Rules emerge from international organisations, states, and trade practices, influencing economic development.  
Primary Keyword: Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law  
Secondary Keyword: Legal Structure, Legal Institutions  

Immigration, Identity and Cultural Rights  
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  
Room: Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
Chair/Disc: Michael Lynch, Ohio University  
Description:  
This panel explores contemporary issues around discrimination, race, cultural identity and immigration in a number of different national contexts.
Thursday 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Presentations:
Defending Trade Multilateralism: The BRICS Countries in the World Trade Organization’s Dispute Settlement Mechanism
**Alexandr Svetlicinii**, University of Macau

Juanjuan Zhang, University of Macau

Digital Trade Regulation in Preferential Trade Agreements and the Need to Protect Personal Data
**Renato Monteiro**, Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie

**Lucas Tasqueto**, Pontificia Universidade Católica de São Paulo (PUC-SP)

On the Silk Road to Prosperity: Institutional and Governance Choices
**Ljiljana Biukovic**, Faculty of Law UBC

The Influence of Non-state Rules on International Commercial Practices
**Johanna Hoekstra**, University of Essex

Transnational Criminal Law and the Transnational Legal Ordering of Corruption
**Radha Ivory**, TC Beirne School of Law, University of Queensland

Judges, Borders and Bridges
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

Chair: **Tania Sourdin**, University of Newcastle

Disc.: **Lucy Jewel**, University of Tennessee

Description:
This session builds on the conference theme of "walls, borders, and bridges" as it relates to judges. In many jurisdictions the judiciary appears to be challenging traditional borders of judicial practice and concern, bringing new meaning to the term "judicial activism". The papers in this session portray judges reaching out to be more responsive to the societies they serve, and building bridges between themselves and others to accomplish that goal.

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Presentations:
Bridging systems and building laws: judicial dialogue between CJEU and ECtHR
**Amalie Frese**, University of Copenhagen

**Henrik Palmer Olsen**, University of Copenhagen

Does judicial activism challenge poverty? A comparison of judges' roles in Argentinian poverty policies
**Nathalia Carolina Sandoval Rojas**, University of Texas at Austin

Imperfect Legislative Agreements and Judicial Policy-Making: Analysis of Three Latin American Cases.
**Cristian Villalonga**, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, School of Law

Suo Motu Intervention by the Indian Judiciary
**Marc Galanter**, University of Wisconsin-Madison

**HR Vasujiith Ram**, The WB National University of Juridical Sciences

Law & Discrimination
IRC: 29
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: **Jill Weinberg**, Tufts University

Description:
This session examines how legal actors perceive and/or address discrimination disputes. The panel focuses not only on the triers of fact instrumental in breathing life into the meaning of discrimination law -- namely, judges -- but also how the law influences the way ordinary people view discrimination in everyday life. The papers in this session approach the study of discrimination using a social psychological and experimental research design approach, arguing these methods are ideal for measuring implicit bias.

Primary Keyword: Discrimination

Secondary Keyword: Law and Psychology

Presentations:
Constructions of Fairness: How Ordinary People and Judges Assess Discrimination Legal Disputes
**Jill Weinberg**, Tufts University

**Laura Nielsen**, American Bar Foundation/ Northwestern U

How Blind versus Aware Ideologies for Race and Gender Relate to Support for Group-Conscious Strategies to Reduce Inequality
**Jennifer Hunt**, SUNY Buffalo State

**Abigail Folberg**, University of Nebraska-Omaha

**Carey Ryan**, University of Nebraska-Omaha

How Unstable Measures Impact the Effectiveness of Anti-Discrimination Law: An Examination of Racial Disparities in School Discipline
**Erik Girvan**, University of Oregon School of Law
**Law Without Lawyers: Opening Access to Legal Knowledge Through Non-Traditional Modes**

*Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.*  
*Paper Session*

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Emma Babler, William S. Boyd School of Law, University of Nevada-Las Vegas

**Description:**  
The papers in this session utilize a variety of critical approaches to law and legal education to explore alternative methodologies that increase public access to legal representation and awareness. Drawing from theoretical frameworks in law and literature and cultural media studies, the panelists will explore how law and popular representations of the law - in forms such as literature, documentary films, and television shows - intersect with the public sphere.

**Primary Keyword:** Popular Culture, Media, and the Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Law and Literature

**Presentations:**

- Law On-Demand: Documentary Films and Legal Awareness in the Age of Streaming  
  **Laura Vlieg,** William S. Boyd School of Law, University of Nevada Las Vegas  
- Masons or Architects: The Role of Fiction in Disseminating Legal Awareness and Inculcating Empathy  
  **Emma Babler,** William S. Boyd School of Law, University of Nevada-Las Vegas  
- Representing Normative Complexity: Cultural Depictions of the Law and Public Sphere Debates  
  **Juan Melo,** Stanford University - Stanford, CA  
- States of Grace: Using a Documentary Film to Teach Issues in Tort Law, Health Law, Insurance Law, and Disability Law  
  **Stacey Tovino,** UNLV William S. Boyd School of Law

**Legal Education**

*Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.*  
*Paper Session*

**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Jeremiah Chin, Arizona State University

**Description:**  
This session is about topics related to law education, human rights and some specific cases of law schools.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students  
**Secondary Keyword:** Teaching Law and Society, Pedagogy

**Presentations:**

- 100 years of Legal Education in Mexico: Composition and Growth  
  **Sandra Escamilla Cerón,** CEEAD México  
- Beyond Legal Formalism in Brazil: The Law & Poverty Group Experience  
  **Raquel de Mattos Pimenta,** University of Sao Paulo  
- El Papel de la Educación en Derechos Humanos en Contextos de Violencia  
  **José Rafael Grijalva Eternod,** Universidad del Claustro de Sor Juana
Legal Education, Culture, and Rule of Law in Asia
CRN: 33
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

Chair/Disc.: Akira Fujimoto, Nagoya University

Description:
This was a panel organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore the topics of legal education, law-related education for foreigners, culture and rule of law in the two largest economies in Asia: China and Japan. Xiaoping Liu proposes a sociological concept (multiple modernities) to analyse the issue of the rule of law in China. Rikiya Kuboyama in his paper discusses law-related education for foreigners in Japan.

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students

Presentations:
- Developing Rule of Law Issues in Southeast Asia
  Hitoshi Ushijima, Chuo University
- Multiple Modernities and Rule of Law in China— — Towards An Inclusive Concept of Rule of Law
  Xiaoping Liu, Jilin University
- New law related education programs and materials for foreigners, the challenge of Japan
  Rikiya Kuboyama, Oita college of technology

Legality and Illegality in Latin America
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio B (2nd Floor)
Chair: Rachel Sieder, CIESAS
Disc.: Miguel Rabago Dorbecker, Universidad de los Andes

Description:
In Latin America, violence and illegality are an intrinsic part of the social—and in many places the political and economic—order. This state of affairs has prompted processes of self-organization and resistance in response to the actions and omissions of government institutions. At the same time, the dynamic between violence and illegality and the social, political and economic order generates chronic levels of systematic impunity, protecting both public officials and those who commit crimes. Different official and unofficial alternatives have been developed in response, often at or beyond the margins of existing legal frameworks. This panel focuses on the relationship between legality and illegality in Latin America, taking up debates about the dynamics between law, social regulation, and violence.

Primary Keyword: Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Violence

Presentations:
- (I)legal pluralities and fragmented sovereignties in Latin America
  Rachel Sieder, CIESAS
- Drug laws and policy in Latin America
  Catalina Pérez Correa, CIDE
  Alejandro Madrazo-Lajous, CIDE
- False positives, innocent victims and the normalization of violent death in Mexico and Latin America
  Julieta Lemaitre, Universidad de los Andes

Longitudinal Studies of Lawyer Careers
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)
Chair(s): Robert Nelson, American Bar Foundation/ Northwestern University
Disc.: Joyce Sterling, University of Denver Sturm College of Law

Description:
The session will present longitudinal studies of lawyer careers based on the After the JD Study of Lawyer careers and other datasets that present points of comparison between the careers of lawyers and other professionals. After the JD contains three waves of survey data on an entering bar class in the year 2000. It comprises over 11,000 surveys and over 200 in-depth interviews. The authors are examining key aspects of career development using a social capital framework.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers
Presentations:

"Diversity, Power, and Hierarchy in Legal Careers: Have we made any progress?

Joyce Sterling, University of Denver Sturm College of Law
Bryant Garth, University of California Irvine

Assessing the Impact of the 2008 Recession on Lawyer Career Opportunities and Trajectories: Comparing Recipients of JDs and Other Advanced Degrees

Ethan Michelson, Indiana University

Markets and Hierarchies in the Making of Lawyer Careers

Robert Nelson, American Bar Foundation/Northwestern University

The new place of corporate law firms in the structuring of elite legal careers

Ronit Dinovitzer, University of Toronto
Bryant Garth, University of California Irvine

Manufacturing Criminogenic Facts: Empirical Traces and Theorizations of Routine Organizational Deviance Among Criminal Justice Authorities

CRN: 27
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Armando Lara-Millan, UC Berkeley

Participant(s):

Anya Degenshein, Northwestern University
John Eason, Texas A&M University
Nicole Gonzalez Van Cleve, Temple University

Description:
The Justice Department has formally acknowledged that for over a two-decade period an elite FBI forensic unit testified using overstated forensic matches against criminal defendants. It was recently discovered that, since 1990, Orange County has maintained a secret database on jailhouse informants — containing possible exculpatory information — that was only ever shared with local prosecutors in efforts to obtain convictions. And this year the ACLU filed a lawsuit after state troopers in Connecticut accidentally recorded themselves discussing how to trump-up charges against a detainee. While misconduct is often dismissed with the easy logic of “bad apples,” some suspect that fabricated evidence, rule skirting, and other subtler forms of what Vaughan (1996) would call normalized organizational deviance could be an entrenched feature of American criminal justice. This panel convenes scholars to elucidate possible empirical traces or hints in their research of organizational deviance among authorities. In short, how might we begin to think about deviance in the filling out of forms, cobbling together of criminogenic facts, and conferral of criminal status? In concert with the audience, we hope to discuss: empirical opportunities/limits, possible perimeters and functions of deviance, consequences, and potential effects on the use of criminal statistics.

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control
Secondary Keyword: Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

Multinational Perspectives on Politics and Regulation of Health and Medical Products

CRN: 9
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room:  Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Ruth Horowitz, New York University

Description:
Health politics and regulation is a complex area both in the United States and transnationally, with political control over health care and medical products operating at many levels and throughout a global economy. Medical product safety, research transparency, and epidemic disease response all depend on law as well as the possibility of cooperation and regulation to be achieved, but there are many challenges. The papers in this panel address this complexity within the legal systems of the U.S., Canada, France, and Israel as well as within their connections to the World Health Organization (WHO), the drug patent system, and intellectual property law.

Primary Keyword: Health and Medicine

Presentations:

Enhancing the Capacity of Medicines Regulatory Authorities through International Cooperation

Barbara von Tigerstrom, University of Saskatchewan

Intellectual Property and Privacy Law: Implications for Medical Transparency and Innovation

Reid Whitaker, University of California Berkeley

The Effects of Generic Entry on Regulated Drug Prices

Amit Itai, Stanford

The Influence of the World Health Organization on Domestic Law: an International and Comparative analysis

Catherine Regis, Faculty of law, University of Montreal

Florian Kastler, Université Paris Descartes
**New Books in the Field: International Law and Politics**

**CRN: 23**

**Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Eve Darian-Smith, UC Santa Barbara

**Participant(s):**
- Itamar Mann, University of Haifa, Faculty of Law
- Honor Brabazon, University of Toronto
- Fleur Johns, University of New South Wales
- Florian Hoffmann, Pontificia U Católica do Rio de Janeiro
- Umut Ösu, Carleton University
- Zinaida Miller, Seton Hall University
- Areli Valencia, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Peru
- Yoriko Otomo, SOAS, University of London

**Description:**
Join us for a discussion of new books in the field of international law and politics.

Honor Brabazon, University of Toronto: Neoliberal Legality: *Understanding the Role of Law in the Neoliberal Project* (2016, Routledge)


Zinaida Miller, Seton Hall: *Anti-Impunity and the Human Rights Agenda* (2016, Cambridge)


Itamar Mann, University of Haifa: *Humanity at Sea: Maritime Migration and the Foundations of International Law* (2016, Cambridge)

**New Imaginings for Sociolegal Studies: special Session Honoring the Work of Lawrence M. Friedman**

**Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Masayuki Murayama, Meiji University

**Participant(s):**
- Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School
- Joxerramon Bengoetxea, University of the Basque Country and Ofáhät Institute
- Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley
- Alexandra Huneeus, University of Wisconsin
- Ajay Mehrotra, American Bar Foundation/ Northwestern Law
- Mitra Sharafi, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Héctor Fix-Pierro, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas UNAM

**Description:**
For the entire life of the law and society movement in the United States, Lawrence M. Friedman has been an outstanding intellectual leader. Not only did his magisterial work, *A History of American Law* (1973) embrace the history, substance and institutions of law in the U.S. from its beginnings, but his subsequent writings on legal culture, law and the media, courts, law-making and law-implementing, among many others, have continued to stimulate our inter-disciplinary and cross-national field to the present day. The Research Committee on the Sociology of Law honors Professor Friedman’s lifetime achievement as a scholar by awarding him the 2017 Podgerecki Prize. In the spirit of Friedman’s decades-long efforts to set new agendas and explore new frontiers, this panel has charged eminent scholars to imagine new futures for sociolegal scholarship across the world.

**Punishment and Democratic Politics: Theoretical and Methodological Issues**

**IRC: 39**

**Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Manuel Iturralde, Universidad de los Andes

**Disc:** Lisa Miller, Rutgers University

**Description:**
This session is part of the International Research Collaborative "Punishment and Democratic Politics. Comparative and International Perspectives". Its aim is to foster a new appreciation of the position of penal politics within the wider processes of democracy in diverse, yet culturally connected, sites. There has been some progress in studies of these matters in recent years, on which we propose to build. We have some better accounts of circumstances in individual countries. We have some more sophisticated views of the sources of variation between countries. Yet the fully comparative study of these issues and their dynamics remains strangely underdeveloped. Many comparative exercises rest content with thin, cross-sectional and sometimes misleading data. There is a pressing
intellectual and political need to develop these conversations in ways that are more cosmopolitan, more methodologically nuanced, and less dominated by an unreflecting Anglo-Saxon projection of the world. To achieve this we need to promote a new level of debate and cross-fertilization between scholars based in countries between which there are multiple layers of both affinity and contrast. In this session, the relation between punishment and democratic politics will be discussed addressing several key methodological and theoretical issues form different perspectives that are connected with research processes on diverse regions of the globe (USA, UK, South America).

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**

Penalty and governmental rationalities and strategies, struggles, climates and junctures. Theoretical notes on punishment and democratic politics.

**Maximo Sozso,** Universidad Nacional del Litoral

Punishment, ideology and democratic politics: resources of hope for a dismal science?

**Richard Sparks,** University of Edinburgh

Traveling Abroad with the Agonistic Perspective

**Phil Goodman,** University of Toronto

**Joshua Page,** University of Minnesota

**Michelle S. Phelps,** University of Minnesota


CRN: 3, 27, 37

Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Benjamin Fleury-Steiner, University of Delaware

**Disc.:** Simon Cole, University of California, Irvine

**Description:**

"Big data" analytics are contributing to the development of new understandings of risk, surveillance, and crime control as well as producing new technologies which are being used by police, penal institutions and numerous non-state actors. The three panels in this thematic session explore big data analytics and emergent/shifting technologies – examining their dispersal, operation and interaction with existing logics and means for governing crime and security. Among other foci, the papers in this session engage with the following questions: How are emergent big data analytics impacting assessment, surveillance, policing, punishment, crime prevention, and law? Are these techniques blurring the boundary between public and private control? How do these technologies and analytics affect existing race, class, gender, and other inequalities historically endemic to systems of justice? How do practitioners and activists understand, embrace or subvert such technologies?

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Policing, Law Enforcement

**Presentations:**

Big Data Analytics and Algorithmic Risk

**Paula Maurutto,** University of Toronto

**Kelly Hannah-Moffat,** University of Toronto

Big Data, Femicide and gender violence in Mexico

**Nelson Arteaga,** Flaco Mexico

Policing and Prohesion: Considering the Body Worn Camera (BWC) as a Solution to Policing Governance

**Keith Guzik,** University of Colorado Denver

Shared Technology, Competing Logics: How Healthcare Providers and Law Enforcement Agents Use Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs to Combat Prescription Drug Abuse

**Liz Chiarello,** Saint Louis University

Technologies of Crime Prediction: Comparing the Use of Big Data Analytics in Policing and Courts

**Sarah Brayne,** University of Texas at Austin

**Angèle Christin,** Stanford University

**Reevaluating Gender Neutrality**

CRN: 7

Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Roundtable Session I

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Dean Spade, Seattle University School of Law

**Participant(s):**

**Susan Appleton,** Washington University School of Law

**Mary Anne Case,** University of Chicago

**Jeff Kosbie,** Positive Resource Center

**Shannon Minter,** National Center for Lesbian Rights

**Abigail Saguy,** UCLA Sociology

**Kristen Schilt,** University of Chicago

**Juliet Williams,** UCLA

**Description:**

In the 1970s, prominent legal feminists advocated for gender neutrality as a guiding principle in sex equality jurisprudence. By the 1980s, many feminist commentators dismissed the principle of gender neutrality as one that confers legitimacy on an inequitable status quo. Today, feminist, gay and lesbian, and
transgender rights activists have revived the term in diverse struggles inside and outside of the courts. Some U.S. localities have passed laws mandating the creation of gender-neutral public restrooms, and campaigns to promote gender neutral children’s toys and baby names have attracted significant media coverage, as have efforts to legitimate the use of gender neutral pronouns.

What are we to make of the reemergence of the term gender neutral in the context of influential efforts to re-envision the prevailing gender order? This panel offers insight into the development and impact of gender neutrality as a legal doctrine and an activist ideal.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

---

**The Legal, Moral and Ethical Implications of Regulatory Failure**

**CRN:** 5

Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Russell Mills, Bowling Green State University

**Description:**
The Legal, Moral and Ethical Implications of Regulatory Failure

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
Corporate Managers as Regulatory Actors: Regulating Individuals for Organisational Failures in the Financial Markets

**Aleksandra Jordanoska,** School of Law, University of Manchester

Criminal Prosecutions and the 2008 Financial Crisis: The Contrasting Cases of the US and Iceland

**Justin Rex,** Bowling Green State University

The Components of Corporate Reputations in Supply Chain Governance

**Colin Provost,** University College London

**Tereza Capelos,** University of Birmingham

Vice or Virtue?: Regulating the Gaming Industry Across Time and Place

**Melissa Rorie,** University of Nevada Las Vegas

**Karin van Wingerde,** Erasmus University Rotterdam

---

**The Practice and Politics of Reforming Ireland’s Hyper-Restrictive Abortion Law Regime**

Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

**Facilitator(s):** Sheelagh McGuinness, Bristol

**Description:**
Hyper-restrictive abortion law regimes are ones in which legal abortion is effectively inaccessible or accessible only in the most extreme of cases (for example, where a woman will die without it). Within these hyper-restrictive regimes, abortion law reform is difficult, tumultuous, and often deeply divisive. The regimes themselves are harmful to those who require or desire abortion, with implications not only for reproductive justice, autonomy and bodily integrity, but also for the medical and legal professions (among others). This salon session features work on ongoing reform processes and abortion law regimes in Ireland, drawing out themes of participation, harm, legality, politics, gender and protest that may resonate with campaigns for reproductive justice in other, similar, contexts.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Secondary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**
Gatekeepers and Tone Police - Abortion and the Fragility of Reproductive Rights in Irish Political Discourse.

**Mairead Enright,** University of Birmingham

Participation, Constitutionalism, and Reform: The Making of Irish Abortion Law

**Fiona de Londras,** University of Birmingham

---

**The Relationship Between Democracy, Capitalism, and Regulation**

**CRN:** 5

Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5

**Facilitator(s):**

**Hugo Alejandro Concha,** Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas, UNAM

**Edward Stiglitz,** Cornell Law School

**Mayla Costa,** Universidade Federal do Paraná / IBEPES

**Description:**
The three papers in this salon session explore many aspects of regulation, and its relationship to democracy and capitalism.

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance
**Presentations:**

**Administrative Origins in Democratic Distrust**
*Edward Stiglitz*, Cornell Law School

Cuando el derecho corrompe: la transformación y anulación de las normas jurídicas. (When the Law corrupts: The transformation and nullification of legal norms)
*Hugo Alejandro Concha*, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas, UNAM

The Brazilian water ecosystem in historical perspective: building sustainability with Community, market and State logics
*Mayla Costa*, Universidade Federal do Paraná / IBEPES

*Edson Guarido Filho*, Universidade Positivo / IBEPES

*Fábio Vizeu*, Universidade Positivo

**The Tax Challenges of the Gig Economy**

CRN: 31
Thursday Session 1,  8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 6

**Facilitator(s):** *Jennifer Brown*, American University

*Caroline Bruckner*, American Univ. Kogod School of Business

**Description:**
More than 2.5 million U.S. taxpayers are participating in the on-demand platform economy as small business owners every year, and that number is set to more than double in the next few years. At best, these small business owners are shortchanged when filing their taxes; at worst, they fail to file altogether. In addition, these taxpayers face potential audit and penalty exposure for failure to comply with filing rules that are triggered by relatively low amounts of earned income and inconsistent reporting rule adoption.

This panel will discuss how the current tax system isn't working for a significant percentage of these small business taxpayers or Treasury or IRS and how we can improve this system.

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies

**Presentations:**

**Voice, Agency and Responsibility: Victims and Transitional Justice**

Thursday Session 1,  8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza A (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** *Cheryl Lawther*, Queen's University Belfast

**Disc.:** *Luke Moffett*, Queen's University Belfast

**Description:**
Transitional justice is increasingly justified as ‘for victims’. This panel session critically explores the role and place of victims in the transitional justice field. Each of the papers is conceptually distinct and draws on qualitative fieldwork in a range of transitional sites. In turn, the papers explore the overlap between victim voice, agency and political responsibility; the intersection between voice, the 'time to speak', the 'quality of voice' and the 'quality of silence' in relation to victim advocacy; the representation of victims' voices at the ICC and ECCC and the implications for their exercise of voice and agency; how victims are imagined in reparation programmes, the hierarchy of victims it creates and the politics of exclusion that justify such measures; and the development of a schema for effective victim-centred apologies and statements of acknowledgement. This panel will contribute to a thicker understanding of what victim-centred transitional justice means.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**SecondaryKeyword:** War and Armed Conflict

**Presentations:**

**Competing for Reparations: Victimhood, Agency and the Politics of Exclusion**

*Luke Moffett*, Queen’s University Belfast

Representation, Agency and Voice: Victim Legal Representation before the ICC and ECCC

*Rachel Killean*, Queen’s University Belfast

**Transition and the Politics of Repair in Colombia and the Congo**

*Peter Dixon*, International Studies Association

Transitional Justice, Victims, and Voice: Timing, Quality, and Effective Advocacy

*Lauren Dempster*, Queen’s University Belfast

Victimhood, Voice and Responsibility: Transitional Justice and Constructing the Imagined Political Community

*Cheryl Lawther*, Queen’s University Belfast
What Facilitates Access to Justice?
Thursday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 7

Description: This session explores Institutional issues and individual factors as related to access to justice.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
Convergencias, divergencias e incidencias en la participación social y en las construcciones de los acuerdos colectivos para la afirmación del acceso a la justicia.
Rosier Custódio, Center for Legal Anthropology of the University of São Paulo (NADIR/USP)

Informal Justice: An Examination of Why Ontarians Do Not Seek Legal Advice
Matthew Dylag, Osgoode Hall Law School at York University

Thursday June 22, Session 2
10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.

"It's Just Eating": addressing Inequities in Food's Production, Consumption, and Cultural Identity
CRN: 26
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s): Ernesto Hernandez-Lopez, Chapman University

Disc.: Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law

Description:
The fight for food justice faces multi-course inequities. The food system depends on injustices in food production, distribution, and consumption. For food activists, law-on-the-books is distinct from law on the table, in markets, for food workers, or on farms. Industrial food capitalizes on intellectual property, free trade, wage theft, and scientific justifications. Panel papers describe: litigation about bans on meal sharing; citizenship and sustainable eating and worker protections; litigation about GMO corn in México and UNESCO protection for Mexican cuisine; corporate responsibility and food; and soil to supper eating. Tackling food injustice requires addressing many "fires in the kitchen," e.g. hunger and constitutional law; biodiversity and cultural heritage; environmental and employment law; and corporations and human rights. Inequities in one food stage invariably shape other stages. Food scholarship should explore this as an unjust table with many settings and more players.

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights
Secondary Keyword: Class and Inequality

Presentations:
Corn in México: so close to GMO disruption and far from UNESCO protection
Ernesto Hernandez-Lopez, Chapman University

Deterring Organic Solidarity: The Failure of First Amendment Free Speech Doctrines to Protect People who Share Food in Public
Marc-Tizoc Gonzalez, St. Thomas University School of Law

Food Sustainability in the Age of Complex, Global Supply Chains
Stephanie Tai, University of Wisconsin Law School

Giving Content to Food Citizenship
Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law

Transformation through Transparency: Human Rights and Corporate Responsibilities in the Global Food System
Erika George, University of Utah School of Law

23/7: Pelican Bay Prison and the Rise of Long Term Solitary Confinement
CRN: 27
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Author(s): Keramet Reiter, University of California, Irvine

Chair(s): Daniel LaChance, Emory University

Reader(s):
Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law
Joshua Page, University of Minnesota
Paul Passavant, Hobart and William Smith Colleges
Ashley Rubin, University of Toronto
Heather Schoenfeld, Northwestern University

Description:
Originally meant to be brief and exceptional, solitary confinement in U.S. prisons has become long-term and common. Held entirely at administrators’ discretion, prisoners spend 23 hours a day in featureless cells, with no visitors or human contact for years on end. 23/7: Pelican Bay Prison and the Rise of Long-Term Solitary Confinement tells the history of one "supermax" solitary confinement prison, California’s Pelican
Bay. This book describes how Pelican Bay was created without legislative oversight, in fearful response to 1970s radicals; how easily prisoners slip into solitary; and the mental havoc and social costs of years spent in isolation. Drawing on archival research and oral history interviews with key informants, the book examines the administrative and legislative processes that underwrote the supermax innovation in California in the 1980s. Most scholarship on the "new punitiveness" of U.S. punishment policy has focused on how and why the nation's prison population grew so dramatically in the late twentieth century. This work pushes the literature in a new direction: toward the policies that have shaped the conditions of incarceration within institutions. Reiter argues that, rather than implementing legislative policy, prison administrators initiated their own form of "supermax" confinement, pushing the limits of constitutionally acceptable practices.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Access to Justice, Legal Aid Services, and Beyond**
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

**Description:** This panel explores how legal services are accessed in a variety of different situations.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
- Access to Legal Aid Services in Bangladesh and the Built-in Paradox
  **Rokhsana Khondker,** Bangladesh Supreme Court & Khan Foundation
- **Nausheen Khan,** Georgetown University
- Indigent Access and Federal Practice: In Forma Pauperis Status in U.S. District Courts
  **Andrew Hammond,** The University of Chicago
- The Impact of the United Nations on Women’s Access to Justice in Post-conflict Societies
  **Noemi Perez Vasquez,** SOAS, University of London

**Chair/Disc.: June McLaughlin,** Irvine Valley College

**Description:** This session will foster a critical examination of the current state of capital markets in Sub-Saharan Africa from multiple perspectives: historical, comparative, political and socio-legal. It brings together academics from North and South in an effort to stimulate interdisciplinary research in this vital area of development.

**Primary Keyword:** Africa, African Studies, African Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Corporate Law, Securities, and Transactions

**Presentations:**
- Comparative Securities Dispute Resolution: United States, South Africa and Nigeria
  **June McLaughlin,** Irvine Valley College
  **Philip Aka,** Chicago State University
- Emergent Legal Pluralism and the Development of Legal Consciousness
  **Holly Dunn,** University of Minnesota
- Nigeria Capital Markets from a Regulatory Perspective
  **Cecil Nwachukwu Okubor,** Delta State University
- The Impact of Poor Corporate Governance on Capital Markets in Africa: Lessons from South Africa and Nigeria
  **Tshepo Herbert Mongalo,** University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg
- Update to a comparative analysis of the enforcement of securities regulations in Switzerland and South Africa
  **Herbert Kawadza,** University of Witwatersrand

**Civil Justice**
IRC: 29
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Valerie Hans,** Cornell Law School

**Chair/Disc.: Victor Quintanilla,** Indiana University

**Description:** Psychological science examines the psychological bases of how people think (cognition), feel (affect), and act (behavior), including the social nature of judgment and decision-making. The field of psychological science has revealed common biases, pitfalls, and shortcomings in human social judgment. From social cognition, heuristics and biases, affective and cognitive
processes, to prejudice, stereotyping, and discrimination, psychological science offers important theories and methods to understand the perceptions, construals, emotions, decisions, and behavior of judges, jurors, lawyers, government officials, and members of the public. When combined with socio-legal theories, moreover, the field allows for the psychological study of the effect of law woven throughout society and the effect of society woven throughout law. This panel will present papers on law, society, and psychological science in the context of civil justice.

Primary Keyword:
Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution

Secondary Keyword:
Law and Psychology

Presentations:
Can egalitarian sharing rules ever be efficient? A study looking at the effects of songwriting credit allocation in the music industry
Sarah Polcz, Stanford Law School

How Litigants in Dutch Courtrooms Come to Trust Judges: Perceived Procedural Justice, Outcome Favorability, and the Relationship Between the Two
Hilke Grootelaar, Utrecht University

Judicial Biases in State Trial Courts in the U.S.
Andrea Miller, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

The Public Believes Binding Arbitration Is Unjust: Ethical Implications for Dispute-System Design in the Time of Vanishing Trials
Alexander Avgis, Indiana University Maurer School of Law
Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University

Victimhood and Agency: When Taking Charge Takes Its Toll
Pam Mueller, Princeton University

Climate Litigation after the Paris Agreement: Obstacles and Prospects
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair: Patricia Ferreira, Centre for International Governance Innovation in Canada

Participant(s):
Jennifer Huang, Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES)
Maria Antonia Tigre, Cyrus R. Vance Center for International Justice
Basil Ugochukwu, Centre for International Governance Innovation

Description:
2015 proved to be an important year for climate litigation in national courts, due to seminal decisions in cases such as Urgenda vs. the Netherlands; Leghari v. Pakistan; Foster v Washington Department of Ecology from the United States and Greenpeace v. Major Emitters in Phillipines (before a National Human Rights Comission. When 190 states signed the Paris Agreement in December 2015, many questioned whether climate litigation would lose momentum after the diplomatic breakthrough. In October 2016, however, Greenpeace Norway brought an unprecedented legal action against the Norwegian government for its approval of new oil exploitation licenses in the Arctic, despite having signed and ratified the Paris Agreement. This roundtable seeks to analyze the state of the art in climate litigation, including successes and obstacles, and to discuss what are the limitations and prospects of this legal avenue post Paris Agreement.

Primary Keyword:
International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

Secondary Keyword:
Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

Constitutional Theory Development in Asia and in the Americas - Session 2
CRN: 1
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza B (3rd Floor)
Disc.: Vera Lúcia Raposo, University of Macao
Chair/Disc.: Fernanda Duarte, UNESA e INCT/InEAC/PROPPI/UFF

Description:
Societies in Asia and the Americas may seem to have nothing in common given their particularities; however, many countries in these two regions share similar historical and political experiences (e.g. dictatorships, revolutions, democratic mobilizations, civil rights or human rights problems, corruption etc.) and interact more and more pushed by economic and cultural globalization. Nevertheless these geographically diverse societies, although very different in their current legal and political cultures, may also share constitutional and democratic values. This session intends to bring together scholars engaged in studying the evolvement of constitutional features, either regarding constitutional law or constitutional theory, related to these regional foci.

Primary Keyword:
Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism
Presentations:

A Plot of Concepts in the New Constitutional Order: Memories, Ethnodiversity and Toleration
Edna Raquel Hogemann, UNESA

Constitutional design and institutional reality: the recognition of the constitutional-systemic model
Maíra Almeida, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro
Carlos Bolonha, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

The Competing Sites and Spirits of Constitutionalism in Cambodia
Benjamin Lawrence, University of Victoria

The Deletion of the Chapter on the ‘Non-Party Care-taker’ Government from the Constitution of Bangladesh and the Subsequent One-Sided General Election in 2014: Addressing the Necessity to Prevent Bangladesh’s Lapse into Tyranny
M Ehteshamul Bari, Deakin University

Transformations in the Constitution and the Democratic Idea as a Consequence of the Peace Process in Colombia
Yenny Andrea Celemín Caicedo, Universidad Jorge Tadeo Lozano

Presentations:

Lina Buchely, Universidad Icesi
Luis Eslava, Kent Law School

Digital Divide vs. Digital Inclusion: Critical roles of International Law for a more inclusive Global Knowledge Society
Fabricio Bertini Pasquot Polido, Federal University of Minas Gerais - UFMG

Financial Bridges, Gender Walls and Regulatory Bandaids: Investigating the Gender Implications of the Inclusionary Mobile Money Business in Kenya
Serena Natile, Kent Law School, University of Kent, UK

The Judiciary and Transitional Justice in Brazil: An Ongoing State of Exception
Emilio Peluso Neder Meyer, Univ. Federal de Minas Gerais

Constructing Inclusionary Practices through Law: Critical Reflections on Bonds, Bridges and Bandaids - I
CRN: 23
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Embajadores (3rd Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Kif Augustine, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University

Description:
The conceptual idea of the nation-state depends heavily on the nexus between citizenship and territory. And yet, in the practical project of nation-building, that nexus is fragile, always under scrutiny, vulnerable to force. Each of the papers in this panel explores a particular historical moment that challenged the nexus between citizenship and territory. The individual protagonists of each story—Mexican citizens in newly-conquered US territory, anti-Chinese activists in Sonora, Mexican women expatriated on their foreign marriage, naturalized US citizens before the Spanish-American Claims Commission, cattle rustlers on the Tamaulipas-Texas border—contested the meaning of citizenship and their place, both physical and conceptual, in the territory of a nation-state.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:

“Aquellos hermanos nuestros que por tantos títulos deben ser objeto de miramientos y benevolencia”: Ciudadanía y exclusión en los territorios conquistados.
Erika Pani, El Colegio de Mexico
Controversy and Consent to Sex on Campus: Title IX Policies and Practices
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Michele Dauber, Stanford

Description:
This panel examines Title IX policies using a variety of theoretical and methodological tools to assess what policies and practices are in use across campuses in the US and what policies are working, from how policies change across campus types to what kinds of schools are leaders in this arena, this panel seeks to share findings and advocacy strategies for faculty researching, teaching, and studying sexual assault.

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
Consent to Sex on Campus: Legal Consciousness, Formal Policy, and Campus Sexual Assault
Laura Nielsen, American Bar Foundation/
Northwestern University

Leaders and Laggards: Understanding University Responses to Sexual Violence in a Changing Legal Environment
Sandra Levitsky, University of Michigan
Elizabeth Armstrong, University of Michigan

Teaching and Researching Campus Controversies in Context: Assessing and Evaluating the Response to Sexual Assault on Commuter Campuses
Edith Kinney, San José State University
Alissa Ackerman-Acklin, University of Washington Tacoma

The Mobilization of Title IX across U.S. Colleges and Universities, 1994-2014
Celene Reynolds, Yale University

Criminal Law and Criminal Courts in the Global South II
IRC: 30
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio B (2nd Floor)

Description:
This session is organised by the IRC 30 "Judicial Reforms in the Global South". The session seeks to create a space for meaningful dialogue between socio-legal scholars from the Global South. It proposes to develop critical research that aims at understanding judicial systems from peripheral and semi peripheral societies on their own terms. The session presents contributions from Latin American and Asian scholars. Contributions critically explore the role that criminal law and criminal courts play in different societies. Papers analyse how courts are both influenced by local social, political and economic conditions and broader global trends. They scrutinise different kinds of courts interventions aiming to identify courts' internal dynamics, their relations with the larger state’s apparatus and civil society. By opening a critical debate on criminal courts in the Global South the session seeks to identify common problems and practical solutions developed by local agents.

Primary Keyword: Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies, Human Rights, International Human Rights

Presentations:
Legal mobilization and institutional experimentation practices in access to criminal justice: the role of Public Defenders
Elida Lauris dos Santos, Centro de Estudos Sociais (Coimbra) / universidade Católica de Pelotas

Protecting Innocence?: Criminalizing Sexual Harm against Children in India
Saptarshi Mandal, Jindal Global Law School

Role of Criminal Courts in Global South Societies: Focusing on Singapore
George Radics, National University of Singapore

Sex Workers in Thailand, Human Rights Discourse, and Criminal Justice
Akawat Laowonsiri, Thammasat University (Thailand) and International Law Association of Thailand

The Philippine’s War on Drugs and the Modern State of Exception
Irene Valones, Supreme Court of the Philippines

The Potential of Comparative Studies of Criminal Courts of the Global South
Pablo Leandro Ciocchini, University of Liverpool
Stefanie Khoury, University of Liverpool
Critical Perspectives on Movement and Migration
CRN: 2
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Description: Critical Perspectives on Movement and Migration

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
- An Individual Right to Self-Determination: Human Rights and Migration in a World of Borders, Walls and States
  Gabriel Rubin, Montclair State University
- Colonialism and Technocracy in the Rise and Fall of Global Migration Governance
  Christopher Szabla, Cornell University
- Constructing the U.S.-Mexico Border: Reifying the State of American Essentialism
  Kasey Ragan, University of California, Irvine
- Health & Empire: How the US Health Care System Operates as a Mechanism of Border Control
  Anthony Jimenez, The University of Minnesota

Democracy & Gender
CRN: 7
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza C (3rd Floor)

Chair(s): Elizabeth MacDowell, William S. Boyd School of Law, UNLV

Disc.: Cynthia Grant Bowman, Cornell Law School
       Jayne Huckerby, Duke University School of Law

Description:
This panel explores the relationship between gender equality and democracy through multiple legal paradigms, equality strategies, and histories. Using interdisciplinary methods including anthropological fieldwork and archival research, panelists will explore themes including (1) the historical relationship between race and gender equality movements, (2) the tensions between local practices and legal frameworks that incorporate gender equality principles, and (3) the role of gender equality in democratization efforts. The significance of these themes for international treaty law, and domestic laws in Mexico and the U.S. (including those addressing domestic issues such as voting and employment, and international issues such as the refugee crisis) will be discussed. By linking the examination of gender and democracy in multiple contexts, the panel offers a thicker account of equality concepts through the application of feminist legal theory.

Primary Keyword: Feminist Jurisprudence
Secondary Keyword: Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

Presentations:
- A New Paradigm of Protection for Refugees Fleeing Gender-Based Harm
  Erin Scheick, George Washington University Law School
  Equal Work
- Stephanie Bornstein, University of Florida Levin College of the Law
  Equality Writ Large
  Phyllis Goldfarb, George Washington University Law School
- Gender Quotas in Rural Mexico
  Frances Rothstein, Montclair State University
- The Feminist Right to Democracy in International Law
  Jonathan Crock, Leiden University

Economic and Social Rights: Transposing International Standards
CRN: 47
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Oscar Parra-Vera, National University of Colombia

Description:
The papers in this panel explore the challenges and complementarities that can arise when seeking to draw down international standards into a national environment. Each speaker addresses a different area of economic and social rights thought, but show how common issues can arise with their transposition.

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
- Connecting Exclusion and Violence: the Fragility of the Rights of Women Living in Mexico City’s Peripheries
  Jimena Suarez Ibarrola, Area de Derechos Sexuales y Reproductivos (CIDÉ)
- The Right to Education in Brazil: Goals for the Next 10 Years and the World Bank Guidelines
  Elisa Lucena, University of São Paulo - USP
- The Socio-Economic Rights of Asylum Seekers: A Challenge to Rights Universalism?
  Liam Thornton, University College Dublin
Thursday 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.

**Ethnographies of Law and Indigenous Heritage**

CRN: 34  
IRC: 5  
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

*Room:* Imperio A (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Henry Stobart, Royal Holloway University of London

**Disc.:** Lucero Ibarra Rojas, CIDE

**Description:**
How are knowledges about music, dance, and natural worlds managed by or for indigenous peoples in the Americas? Through different historical trajectories of North and South American coloniality, contemporary indigenous heritage management intersects distinctly with state entities and international instruments. Drawing on ethnographic work among Hopi in the United States, Purépecha in Mexico, Arhuaco in Colombia, and Aymara and mestizos in Bolivia, the papers on this panel explore different legal regimes at the intersections of heritage management and indigenous peoples' rights. They consider a national context of heritage "lawfare" in a decolonizing state, indigenous communities competing against each other for legal declarations of heritage, heritage practices that re-inscribe forms of exclusion, the ripple effects of UNESCO heritage declarations, and consultation practices that establish spaces of mutual obligation between natives and non-natives.

**Primary Keyword:** Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage  
**Secondary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples  

**Presentations:**
  *Justin Richland,* University of Chicago / American Bar Foundation

- El patrimonio inmaterial purépecha en México: ¿De quién y para quiénes?  
  *Lorena Ojeda Davila,* Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo

  *Yeshica Serrano,* Universidad del Rosario

- Lawfare in a Decolonizing State: Indigeneity and Intangible Heritage Declarations  
  *Michelle Bigenho,* Colgate University  
  *Henry Stobart,* Royal Holloway University of London

- Patrimonialización de la música-danza del Sikuri: tensiones entre Patrimonio Cultural Inmaterial, “leyes de declaratoria” y propiedad en localidades del altiplano boliviano  
  *Richard Mújica Angulo,* Universidad Mayor de San Andrés (UMSA)

**Human Rights, Transitional Justice and Reparations in Eastern Europe**

CRN: 20  
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

*Room:* Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair**  
Marley Weiss, Univ. of Maryland Carey School of Law

**Disc.:** Csilla Lehoczky Kollonay, Central European University

**Description:**
The panel brings together papers discussing two topical aspect of human rights in post-communist Europe. The first question relates to the role of human rights in the project of transitional justice, specifically in former Yugoslavia. The second relates to how these societies deal with a new diversity in human rights claims, in particular reproductive autonomy claims by women and anti-discrimination claims by women and other marginalized groups.

**Primary Keyword:** Central Eastern Europe, Balkans, Russia, And Eurasian Law and Society  
**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- Post-Conflict Justice by a Semi-International Tribunal: Participants’ Views of Procedural Justice in the War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity Trials in Bosnia and Herzegovina  
  *Sanja Kutnjak Ivkovich,* Michigan State University

- Darko Datzer, University of Sarajevo

- John Hagan, Northwestern University

  *Barbara Havelkova,* University of Oxford

- Transitional Justice and the Role of Victims in Post-Conflict Societies in the Former Yugoslavia  
  *Rudina Jasini,* University of Oxford

- Women and Reparations in Croatia: The Case of the Association of Women in the Homeland War  
  *Josipa Saric,* University of Kent
Indigenous Rights: Boundaries, Inclusivity and Environmental Inter-Connections
CRN: 34
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Brenda Gunn, University of Manitoba

Description:
Implementing Indigenous knowledges and ensuring the direct participation of Indigenous peoples in climate change mitigation is the central theme of this panel. The papers explore Indigenous rights advocacy, consultation processes, mobilizing access to justice, religious freedom and the juridification of nature in the Anthropocene, where land is sacred.

Primary Keyword: Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples
Secondary Keyword: Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

Presentations:
Jessica Price, Tulane University

Fulfilling Indigenous Peoples' Right to Participate in Decision-Making in Climate Change Planning
Brenda Gunn, University of Manitoba

Religious Freedom and the Law: Perspectives from Sacred Indigenous Land
Samaneh Hemat, Osgoode Hall Law School

When law is not enough to guarantee participation: the Mayan consultation process
Naayeli Ramirez-Espinosa, UBC

International Aspects of Taxation
CRN: 31
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 6
Chair/Disc.: Heather Field, UC Hastings College of the Law

Description:
The papers on this panel think through the special complexities introduced by taxing income, transactions, and taxpayers in an international setting, including issues of competitiveness, residency, and legitimacy.

Primary Keyword: Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies

Presentations:
Competitiveness as a Rationale for International Tax Reform
Sloan Speck, University of Colorado Law School

Legitimacy and the End of International Tax Cooperation’s Golden Age
Steven Dean, Brooklyn Law School

The Anomaly of Corporate Tax Residence
David Elkins, Netanya College School of Law

Introducing Jury Trials in Argentina: Successes and Struggles
CRN: 4
IRC: 18
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session
Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Edmundo Hendler, U. of Buenos Aires
Disc.: Shari Diamond, Northwestern U Law School/American Bar Foundation

Description:
Juries in Argentina are a new institution. Jury trials were introduced in serious criminal cases only in 2011, after more than 150 years of non-compliance with the constitutional mandate that ostensibly required jury trials. The move toward lay participation in the administration of justice has come from the provinces rather than the federal government. As a consequence, every province has a different story to tell.

This session will evaluate the current situation in Argentina, after just a few years of jury trials in the Provinces of Neuquén and Buenos Aires. It will examine the perspectives, worries and expectations of judges, prosecutors and defense attorneys who have participated in more than 60 jury trials in Buenos Aires. Additionally, the papers will discuss a core issue that has been causing concern: the continued resistance in provincial jury legislatures to a unanimous decision rule as additional provinces enact jury legislation. An analysis of the province of Salta’s proposed jury law is used to evaluate the best jury procedures for one of the most traditional provinces of Argentina. Finally, this session will consider the right to appeal a jury decision. Against the backdrop of Argentina’s judicial history and culture, we will examine the rulings of the Appellate Court of the Province of Buenos Aires in response to the first appeals against jury decisions.

Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation
Presentations:
Beyond a Broad Appeal
Vanina Almeida, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
Denise Bakrokar, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
Mariana Bilinski, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
Natali Chizik, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados

From Prejudice to Experience: Juries from the Perspective of the Judicial Operators
Sidonie Porterie, Instituto de Estudios Comparados en Ciencias Penales y Sociales (INECIP)
Aldana Romano Bordagaray, Instituto de Estudios Comparados en Ciencias Penales y Sociales (INECIP)

Jury System from Scratch: Unanimity and Jury Trial Waiver in Salta, Argentina
Margaret Truesdale, Northwestern University School of Law

Requiring Unanimity: The Fear of Jury Trials in Argentina
Natali Chizik, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
Mariana Bilinski, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
Vanina Almeida, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados
Denise Bakrokar, Asociación Argentina de Juicio por Jurados

Judge and Public: Judicial Responsiveness In and Out of the Courtroom
CRN: 43
IRC: 38
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio C (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Suzanne Le Mire, University of Adelaide

Description:
Increasingly judges and courts are being called upon to respond to the public directly, both in and out of court. This stems partly from the increase in litigants appearing without lawyers, and also increased public scrutiny of courts and judges by traditional and social media. The papers in this session explore aspects of responsiveness by judges in relation to the public as a whole, or to distinct communities such as Aboriginal groups.

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Presentations:
Engaged Judging in Lower Courts
Kathy Mack, Law School, Flinders University
Sharyn Roach Anleu, Flinders University

In Defence of the Judicial Outsider
Gabrielle Appleby, University of New South Wales
Heather Roberts, ANU

Innovations in Judging in the UK’s Top Court
Penny Darbyshire, Kingston University London

Judicial Relations with the Public in Canada and China: A Comparative Study of the Responsiveness of Courts
Archie Zariski, Athabasca University
Changqing Shi, Shanghai University

Responsive Judging in Sentencing Indigenous Offenders
Tony Foley, The Australian National University

The Courts and the Cocktail Party from Hell: Can Social Media Improve Community Engagement with the Courts?
Andrew Henderson, Australian National University / University of Canberra

Law Enforcement and the Legal Profession in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union
CRN: 20
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

Chair: Marina Zaloznaya, University of Iowa
Disc.: Matthew Light, University of Toronto

Description:
This panel explores new research on legal professionals in the countries of Eastern Europe, Russia and Eurasia, including papers on lawyers, police, prosecutors, defense attorneys, and judges.

Primary Keyword: Central Eastern Europe, Balkans, Russia, And Eurasian Law and Society

Presentations:
Mimicking ‘Broken Windows Policing’ Abroad: What Could Go Wrong?
Erica Marat, National Defense University

Opening legal professions in Poland – Lawyers in the Face of the New Sociopolitical Reality
Kaja Gadowska, Jagiellonian University
Magdalena Frączuk, Cracow University of Economics

Law, Racism and Discrimination
CRN: 28
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Chair(s): Marla Teresa Sierra Camacho, CIESAS
**Disc.:** Olivia Joanna Gall Sonabend, CEIICH UNAM

**Description:**
In this session, we would like to address the issue of racism and discrimination in the field of law. Two approaches on the subject will be considered: the analysis of forms of attention and institutional care and legislation in relation to the issue of racism and discrimination; and studies that seek to account for the occurrence of discrimination and racism within the law, within the legal and judicial institutions. The papers presented at the session will discuss studies that show empirical experiments and theoretical analysis that comprehend not only the phenomenon itself, but the questioning around its conception and definition in the field of law and social studies.

**Primary Keyword:** Discrimination  
**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Coloniality of Law and Institutional Racism: racism as an interpretative key of institutional legal practices in Brazil.  
  Evandro Duarte, University of Brasilia (UnB)
- Discrimination and public policy: the fight against discrimination in the field of law  
  Rebecca Lemos Igreja, Universidade de Brasilia  
  Nathalia Vince Esghal Fernandes, CEPPAC

**Legal Geography Session IV: Legal Geography of Urban Spaces II**  
**CRN:** 35  
**Thursday Session 2,** 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 7

**Chair/Disc.:** Lisa Pruitt, UC Davis School of Law

**Description:**
That law and space are mutually constituting is a staple of legal geography. This panel explores these processes in a range of urban settings in the Americas. The papers consider how both formal and informal legal actors and practices make and remake cities with respect to an array of issues and phenomena, including homelessness and housing, policing, mobility, work, culture and leisure.

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law

**Presentations:**
- How Encampments became Hotspots: Legal Geographies of Anti-Homeless Policing in New York City  
  Eric Goldfischer, University of Minnesota  
  Nikita Price, Picture the Homeless
- The Debate on Property and Value Capture in Latin America  
  Antonio Azuela, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico
- The Street as a Workplace: Urban Regulation and Street Economic Activities in the Latin American Urban Space  
  Rodrigo Meneses, CIDE
- Legal Metrics and Indicators (2)  
  **IRC:** 13  
  **Thursday Session 2,** 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
  Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Gregory Lewkowicz, Perelman Centre - Université libre de Bruxelles

**Disc.:** Mariana Mota Prado, University of Toronto  
Arnaud van Woeyenberge, HEC Paris

**Description:**
The emergence of transnational legal metrics and indicators, as well as other technical standards, has made a notable impact on decision-making processes regarding law in society, and has become an area of socio-legal inquiry of contemporary relevance. This panel investigates current developments in the field with the aim of renewing theoretical debates about their legitimacy as well their role in global governance and development policy. The panel is conceived of as a meeting point between jurisprudence, economics, social sciences and development studies.

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**
- Global Legal Indicators and Managerial Rationality: An Enquiry about Legitimacy  
  David Restrepo Amariles, HEC Paris
- The Concept of Legal Uncertainty  
  Kevin Davis, New York University
- The Promises and Pitfalls of Legal Indicators: The World Justice Project’s ‘Rule of Law Index’  
  Helen Hartnell, Golden Gate University School of Law
- “Context-Sensitive Indicators”? The Rule of Law Index and the Politics of Contextualization  
  Rene Uruena, Universidad de los Andes
Legislation, Policy and Administrative Practice
CRN: 2
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 8

Chair/Disc.: Jacob Thomas, UCLA

Description: Legislation, Policy and Administrative Practice

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
- Canada’s Creative Resettlement – Blending, Bargaining & Burden-Sharing
  Shauna Labman, University of Manitoba, Faculty of Law
- Expatriates, Immigrants and Refugees in Brazil: Trajectories and Insertion Strategies
  Janaina Fernandes, Fundação Getulio Vargas
- Bárbara Barbosa, Fundação Getulio Vargas
- Marcelo Rothenberg, Fundação Getulio Vargas
- Marco Aurelio Ruediger, Fundação Getulio Vargas
- Wagner Oliveira, Fundação Getulio Vargas
- Margareth Luz, Fundação Getulio Vargas
- The “Startup Visa” an Innovation in Immigration Policy for the Digital Age
  Natalie Novick, University of California-San Diego

Memory Laws: Walls or Bridges? The Legal Regulation of Public Memory
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s): Dimitry Kochenov, University of Groningen

Participant(s):
- Uladzislaw Belavusau, T.M.C. Asser Institute - University of Amsterdam
- Aleksandra Gliszcynska-Grabias, Institute of Law Studies, Polish Academy of Sciences
- Eric Heinze, Queen Mary, University of London

Description:
Philosophers and historians have long been questioning the existence of a duty to remember and its distinguishing traits, namely what should be remembered and how. Against this backdrop, the law is increasingly being regarded as a privileged bridge connecting past, present, and future in the forging of a collective memory. The roundtable seeks to foster a debate on the tendency to bestow a central role upon the law in both its substantive (for e.g., memory or criminal laws) and spatial (national or international law) expressions. The participants will endeavor to dissect and assess the role attributed to legislation and trials vis-à-vis the fabrication of a public memory and its effects. The session is organized so as to allow each contributor to present specific angles from which the strenuous dialogue between law and memory can be interpreted and explained. This interaction will also facilitate an analysis of the reciprocal impact of local and global ‘memory-building.’

Primary Keyword:
Human Rights, International Human Rights

Secondary Keyword:
Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

New Directions in Constitutional History
CRN: 44
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Joanna Grisinger, Northwestern University

Description:
This panel sheds new light on issues related to contemporary American constitutionalism, from concerns about privacy and immigration reform to arguments over constitutional interpretation and change. Machado’s paper considers the theoretical underpinnings of constitutional change. TerBeek’s paper examines the legal legacy of Justice Antonin Scalia through an empirical analysis that takes into consideration both popular media sources as well as elite forms such as law review articles. Stone’s paper discusses the influence of the California Un-American Activities Committee in politics and law, while Kraut’s paper looks at the nexus of foreign policy and the First Amendment through the long history of ideological exclusion in American immigration policy. Finally, File discusses the intellectual history of the concept of legal privacy.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Secondary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:
  Zac Stone, University of California - Irvine

- Clarifying Obscurity: Unearthing the Roots of an Influential Concept of Privacy
  Patrick File, University of Nevada, Reno

- Constitutional Mutation and the Static myth
  João Machado, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro
Politics, Sociality and Images of Justice
CRN: 22
IRC: 16
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Mayur Suresh, Birkbeck School of Law

Description:
What happens when two types of humans or when the homo juridicus encounters the homo aestheticus (Gephart)? Gephart's artwork addresses this question to point to the dangers of bringing law and the arts together. This panel moves to the contemplation of the popular imagination of nationalism where the idea that the image of law is said to be the image of the paterfamilias – God or the sovereign is challenged (Sharma). A contrasting reading looks at how affect congeals in and around images of protest in solidarity, longing or betrayal. When does the image becomes the law and the law becomes an image (Ranjan)? What then are the forms of socialities of solidarity, neighbourliness or intimacy forged by the aesthetics of law and justice? How are images used as a way to get an aesthetical access to the beauty of transcultural sociability, the belief in convivanciability that inculcates the respect of otherness in societies where everybody is a stranger (Sakrani)? Do other visual fields that produce oppositional readings to laws on marriage when intimates become strangers (Basu), or laws on development that estrange (Prakash), generate new forms of sociality or imageries?

Primary Keyword: Popular Culture, Media, and the Law
Secondary Keyword: Social Movements, Social Issues, and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:
Challenging Marriage Systems: Indian Men’s Rights Activists Imagine Social Space Through Film
Srimati Basu, University of Kentucky

How to paint the law?
Werner Gephart, Käte Hamburger Center "Law as Culture"

Imagery of Social Justice in Development Discourse in India
Amit Prakash, Jawaharlal Nehru University

Some elements of visualising myth and realities of Convivencia. From Al-Andalus until today
Raja Sakrani, Center for advanced studies "Law as Culture"; MPIeR, Frankfurt

Protecting Low Wage Workers: Opportunities for Regulation & Enforcement
CRN: 8
IRC: 43
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Lea VanderVelde, Univ. of Iowa College of Law

Description:
This panel focuses on low wage workers, asking what challenges they face, and how they might be addressed through legislative change and improved enforcement mechanisms. Three papers call for closer attention to intersectionality in addressing the needs of low wage workers. They focus on how disapproval of women’s work outside the home contributes to marginalization of low wage women workers in Georgia; how popular views of childcare workers depresses their wages and qualifications in the US; and how fair scheduling guarantees might be made accessible to workers constrained by housing, transit, and contact with the criminal justice system. Three papers focus on enforcement and legislative opportunities, discussing innovative collaborative enforcement efforts in the US; the role of rule of law in enforcing labor rights in China; and factors contributing to improvements in worker protections at the municipal level.

Primary Keyword: Language And Discourse
Secondary Keyword: Class and Inequality

Presentations:
Economic and Social Inclusion in City Governments: Openings to address minimum wage policy in Mexico City and Los Angeles
Elizabeth O’Connor, CIDE
Graciela Bensusan, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana (UAM)

Has there been a retreat from rule of law in resolving labour disputes in China?
Sarah Biddulph, Melbourne Law School, The University of Melbourne

Intersectionality and the “Right” to “Fair Schedules”
Nancy Reichman, University of Denver
Nantiya Ruan, University of Denver

Regulating Employment Through Franchise Law
Andrew Elmore, NYU School of Law

Regulating the Work of Child Care
Elizabeth Palley, Adelphi University
Corey Shdaimah, University of Maryland

Social Norms and Women’s Working Poverty in Georgia
Nani Bendeliani, Center for Social Sciences, Georgia
**Punishment, Society and Technology: Exploring Big Data, Risk and Emerging Techniques of Crime Control (Part II: Reshaping Penalty)**

**CRN:** 3, 27, 37  
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Kelly Hannah-Moffat, University of Toronto

**Disc.:** Leo Coleman, Hunter College/CUNY

**Description:**  
"Big data" analytics are contributing to the development of new understandings of risk, surveillance, and crime control as well as producing new technologies which are being used by police, penal institutions and numerous non-state actors. The three panels in this thematic session explore big data analytics and emergent/shifting technologies – examining their dispersal, operation and interaction with existing logics and means for governing crime and security. Among other foci, the papers in this session engage with the following questions: How are emergent big data analytics impacting assessment, surveillance, policing, punishment, crime prevention, and law? Are these techniques blurring the boundary between public and private control? How do these technologies and analytics affect existing race, class, gender, and other inequalities historically endemic to systems of justice? How do practitioners and activists understand, embrace or subvert such technologies?

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control  
**Secondary Keyword:** Policing, Law Enforcement

**Presentations:**  
Conservative Politics, Sacred Cows, and Sacrificial Lambs: The (Mis)Use of Evidence in Canada’s Political and Penal Fields  
*Phil Goodman,* University of Toronto  
*Meghan Dawe,* University of Toronto  

Electronic technology and the penal field: The case of GPS and domestic violence  
*Jize Jiang,* University of Illinois at Chicago  
*Edna Erez,* University of Illinois at Chicago  
*Peter Ibarra,* University of Illinois at Chicago  

Governing Experts: Punishing in the Age of Data Analytics  
*Gil Rothschild Elyassi,* UC Berkeley  

Risk Knowledges and Sociotechnical Relations: Shaping Subjects, Structuring Governance, and Challenging Assumptions  
*Robert Werth,* Rice University  
*Benjamin Fleury-Steiner,* University of Delaware  

**Presentations:**  
The Post-Disciplinary Prison? Calculation and Surveillance Beyond Punishment  
*Johanna Romer,* New York University

**Rationality and Stereotypes in Antidiscrimination Law**

**Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Jessica Clarke, University of Minnesota

**Description:** When the government treats a person as a member of a group--not least in its attempts to counter group-based discrimination--worries about stereotyping, identity policing, and balkanization loom large. This panel brings together antidiscrimination scholars addressing these worries from a variety of new directions, in contexts ranging from employment to sex and public health. Looking to Title VII’s history and equal protection and religious freedom’s possible futures, their papers all ask how rationality can replace stereotyping and other forms of bias within the law and society at large.

**Primary Keyword:** Discrimination  
**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**  
Dignity, Inequality, and Stereotypes  
*Luke Boso,* University of San Francisco School of Law

Rationalizing the Workplace: Title VII’s Lasting Contribution to American Society  
*Vicki Schultz,* Yale Law School

Religion, Gays, and Blood Donation: A Case Study of Stereotypes  
*Brian Soucek,* UC Davis School of Law

**Reconciliation, Reconstruction, Transformation**

**Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**  
**Salon Session**

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

**Facilitator(s):** Rana Ozer, Koc University

**Description:** This panel will explore issues of reconstruction, reconciliation and transitional justice in a variety of contemporary contexts, postcolonial and otherwise.

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions  
**Secondary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism
**Thursday** 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.

**Socio-legal Issues in the Southern Cone**
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Germano André Doederlein Schwartz, La Salle Canoas, Fmu São Paulo

**Description:**
This panel explores a variety of socio-legal issues in the Southern Cone region of South America (Chile, Argentina, Uruguay).

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Presentations:**
  **Waldemar Claus**, Universidad Nacional del Litoral
- Law and Social Movements: The Legal Team Inside the Occupation of the Porto Alegre City Council in 2013
  **Germano André Doederlein Schwartz**, La Salle Canoas, Fmu São Paulo
- Fiammetta Bonfigli, La Salle University Canoas (RS) Brazil
- Más allá del discurso de la prisión preventiva. Una aproximación cuantitativa sobre su uso en San Martín y Quilmes, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
  **Mariano Sicardi**, Universidad Nacional de José C. Paz
- Ana Clara Piechestein, Rutgers University
- The Media Dynamics of Punitive Populism: Examining the State’s Use of Media in Argentina and Chile
  **Michelle Bonner**, University of Victoria

**Socio-legal Issues Regarding the Environment**
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Joseph Sanders, University of Houston

**Description:**
This panel explores socio-legal issues as they pertain to environmental regulation.

**Primary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Presentations:**
- Do Courts Engage in a Sufficiency Analysis When Making Daubert Rulings in Toxic Tort Cases?
  **Joseph Sanders**, University of Houston
- Michael Green, Texas A&M University School of Law
- Science and Democratic Deliberation: Twin Pillars of Political Authority in Environmental Decision-Making
  **Jaye Ellis**, McGill University
- Self-Motivated Ecocentrism: The Modern Institutional Carbon Tax
  **Nancy Shultz**, University of Oregon School of Law
- Suing the State for Climate Change
  **Catalina Vallejo**, Los Andes University
- The Samarco Case and Civil Liability for Damages to the Environment
  **Marina Albuquerque**, Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC)

**The Development of Law in Comparative Perspective**
IRC: 41
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Joshua Tate, SMU Dedman School of Law

**Disc.:** Andrés Botero Bernal, Industrial University of Santander

**Description:**
This session, sponsored by the International Research Collaborative on Comparative Legal History, will examine the mechanisms and processes that shape the development of legal systems.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**
- Development of the Concept of Justice in Japan and China
  **Yasutomo Morigiwa**, Meiji University
From “Rechtsleben” to “Lebensrecht”—On Life’s Victory over Law in the Pages of the Archive for Legal and Economic Philosophy, 1907-1933

Katharina Isabel Schmidt, Yale Law School


Mario Alberto Cajas Sarria, Universidad ICESI

The Development of Law in a Comparative Perspective: the Diverse Influences upon British law in the Nineteenth Century

Catharine MacMillan, King’s College London

The Use and Abuse of Media in Socio-legal Contexts

CRN: 45
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Anat Peleg, Bar Ilan University

Description:
This session deals with the way media affects legal and political issues, and the way it reflects and is misused in social, legal and political contexts. This truly international panel examines both traditional and new media, including narrative analysis and the deconstruction of visual images in China, the UK, Brazil, Thailand and the U.S.

Primary Keyword: Popular Culture, Media, and the Law
Secondary Keyword: Public Opinion, Social Media, and the Law

Presentations:
Media Attention to the Politics of Civil Legal Aid and the Legal Services Corporation
John Kilwein, West Virginia University

Photographs in Misuse of Private Information
Rebecca Moosavian, Northumbria University School of Law

The Architecture of Destruction: How the Brazilian Traditional Media Constructed a Crisis
Lia Beatriz Torraca, PPGD UFRJ
Raffaele De Giorgi, Università del Salento

Xin Zhang, University of International Business and Economics

What Do We Know, What Don’t We Know and What Should We Know about the Costs of Civil Justice in Canada?

CRN: 10
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Chair: Nicole Aylwin, Osgoode Hall Law School/Canadian Forum on Civil Justice

Participant(s):
Albert Currie, Canadian Forum on Civil Justice
Matthew Dylag, Osgoode Hall Law School at York University
Trevor Farrow, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University
Les Jacobs, York University
Noel Semple, University of Windsor, Ontario

Description:
Essentially every adult in Canada will experience an everyday civil justice problem during their lifetime, however little research has been done on the social and economic ramifications of experiencing these legal problems. In 2011 the Canadian Forum on Civil Justice embarked on a six-year study to examine the costs of civil justice. This first national foray into the economics of everyday legal problems includes projects that collectively inform public consciousness on the costs to individuals and the state of everyday legal problems, the frequency of everyday legal problems and paths to resolution. Data from this study has fueled conversations on innovative strategies to improve access to justice and alternatives to problem resolution outside of the formal justice system. For this roundtable researchers from the Cost initiative will discuss key findings and the impact of this seminal study, recommendations for the future of access to justice research and gaps that remain in the data.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Women And Leadership

Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Author(s): Deborah Rhode, Stanford Law School

Reader(s):
Hannah Brenner, California Western School of Law
Robert Gordon, Stanford Law School
Joanna Grossman, SMU Dedman School of Law
Renee Knake, University of Houston Law School
Thursday 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

**Description:**
Although women have made significant progress in assuming leadership roles, they remain significantly underrepresented; they hold fewer than a fifth of such positions in both the public and private sectors. The United States ranks 78th in the world for women’s representation in political office. Although women constitute a majority of the electorate, they account for only 18 percent of Congress and ten percent of governors. In academia, women account for a majority of college graduates, but only about a quarter of full professors and university presidents. The fields of law and business echo these gender disparities.

In *Women and Leadership*, Deborah Rhode, one of the nation’s leading scholars on gender and law, focuses on women’s underrepresentation in leadership roles and asks why it persists and what we can do about it. Organizations generally stand to gain from increasing gender equity in leadership, but women’s underrepresentation is persistent and pervasive. Rhode explores the reasons, including women’s family roles, unconscious gender bias, and exclusion from professional development networks. She stresses that we cannot address the problem simply at the individual level; instead, we need wide-ranging strategies that address the deep-seated structural and cultural barriers that hinder women’s advance.

Rhode examines a range of professions-politics, management, law, and academia-as well as board service, and draws from a survey of prominent women to identify strategies for promoting greater gender equality. These efforts to enhance women’s access to professional development, mentoring, and sponsorship opportunities, improving laws and policies that address work-life imbalances, combat unconscious bias, and instituting training programs that start at an earlier age. Rhode’s clear exploration of the leadership gap and her compelling policy prescriptions will make Women and Leadership an essential book for anyone interested in leveling the playing field in professional life.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Working in Law’s Borderlands**
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Manoj Dias-Abey, Queen’s University, Canada

**Disc.:** Bronwen Morgan, UNSW School of Law

**Participant(s):**
- Fleur Johns, University of New South Wales
- Morag McDermont, University of Bristol Law School
- Andrea Parra, Washington College of Law - American University

**Description:**
Bringing scholars working on empirical research projects to discuss evolving understandings of practices, subjectivities and relationships of those working in the borderlands of law; raising critical questions about the changing boundaries of legal authority and how the borders of law are constructed, negotiated and traversed in practice. Borders need to be regulated and policed, making them interesting sites for investigation. At borders disputes break out – who should be policing the border, what is the border for anyway? Law takes on different forms depending on what is required of it: law can be violence; law can be enabler. Borderlands are places where things happen, much of it is translation. Local social ecologies develop in which border policing meets varieties of border crossings (legal and illegal), opens up unpredictable contacts, exchanges and translations, and border workers manage, exploit and survive the border conditions.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Youth between Institutions and Community**
Thursday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Kimberly Baker, University of Northern Iowa

**Description:**
The panel discusses on issues of fairness and welfare for children and youth dealt in institutional contexts of child welfare, mental health, diversion from punishment and school discipline.

**Primary Keyword:**
Family, Youth, and Children

**Secondary Keyword:**
Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Presentations:**
Bridging the Gap: The Role of Juvenile Mental Health Courts in Connecting Participants to Social Services and their Communities
Helen Erler, Kenyon College
Sarah Jensen, Kenyon College

Care Arrangements under Selected Child Welfare Regimes in Canada: A Political Economy Analysis
Wanda Wiegers, College of Law, University of Saskatchewan

Youth Diversion Programs and the Ongoing Punishment of Youth and Families
Analicia Mejia Mesinas, University of California, Irvine
Alma Zaragoza-Petty, Chapman University
Thursday June 22. Session 3
12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

**Accessing the Lawyers Career**
12:45 PM - 2:30 PM
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Milan Markovic, Texas A&M University School of Law

**Description:**
This panel explores how young lawyers and law students develop a career.

**Primary Keyword:**
Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students

**Presentations:**
Dimensions of the Law School Experience for Underrepresented Students
*Kathryne Young*, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

The Impact of Law School Prestige on Legal Careers after the Economic Recession
*Milan Markovic*, Texas A&M University School of Law

Gabriele Plickert, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

The Path of the Law Review: How Inter-field Ties Contribute to Institutional Emergence and Buffer against Change
*Daniel Kluttz*, University of California, Berkeley

The Reception of Law and the Professionalisation of Legal Practices
*Makiko Hayashi*, Faculty of Law, Kindai University

**Borders and Bridges between the Human and Non-human**
CRN: 23
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

**Facilitator(s):** Bérénice K. Schramm, SOAS University of London

**Description:**
This Salon takes feminist understandings of the masculine subjectivity of law’s subjects and considers how this creates borders on who is human - under law, within human rights laws and through international politics -in the sense of subjectivity as constructing speaking, listened to subjects. From contemporary accounts of silencing and distancing of feminized voices and feminised issues (environment, children’s rights, intimacy, privacy) in conflict, to advances in technology that are used to re-produce global, racialised categories of belonging and gendered modalities of living in the world the Salon takes an in depth look at when, where and when bridges are built to incorporate expansive understandings of subjectivity and where/when borders are reproduced. A feminist jurisprudence attentive to the intersection of economic, racial, ablebodied privilege is explored in the context of international law: to expand what it means to be human, in light of feminist theories of the posthuman.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Presentations:**
*Disabled Bodies of War and the Exoskeleton of Equality*
*Gina Heathcote*, SOAS University of London

*Killer Robots and Posthuman Ethics*
*Emily Jones*, SOAS, University of London

Locations, Dislocations, and Young People’s Narratives of State Violence
*Mikaela Luttrel-Rowland*, Columbia University

**CLSA: Indigenous Law and the Privatization of Indigenous Lands in Canada**
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** *Angela Cameron*, University of Ottawa

**Disc.:** *L. Jane McMillan*, St. Francis Xavier

**Participant(s):**
*Jamie Baxter*, Schulich School of Law, Dalhousie University

*Angela Cameron*, University of Ottawa

*Sari Graben*, Ryerson University

**Description:**
There is a move afoot in Canada towards the privatisation of Indigenous lands. This move is striking given the centrality of lands to Indigenous laws and legal orders as well as to overall economic and social flourishing. In this book, the editors and authors explore three main forms of privatisation either proposed, or already happening on Indigenous lands: legislated privatisation of real property on-reserve or on treaty lands, legislative reform of matrimonial property on-reserve, and a catch-all category of more subtle moves towards an ideology of privatisation

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Secondary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples
Comparing Legal Professions 30 years after Lawyers in Society: Lawyers in Society 30 Years On IV
IRC: 37
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Facilitator(s):
Ole Hammerslev, University of Southern Denmark, Department of Law
Hilary Sommerlad, University of Leeds

Description:
The research strands which Abel & Lewis’ Lawyers in Society identified have been paradigmatic for later studies. As a product of an epoch of counter-hegemonic political engagement and critical scholarship, it captured many of the dimensions of these upheavals and their impact on the profession and contributed to the processes which were beginning to deconstruct the basic tenets of the classical professional model. The period since 1988 has seen an acceleration of these processes. Most striking is the transformation of the world order: globalisation, the reconfiguration of nation states and the hegemony of neoliberal discourses. The impact on national professions of these developments has been dramatic, furthering the transformation of e.g. professional rationales and working practices. There is an urgent need to revisit the role of legal professions and to explore comparatively the impact of these transformations. The IRC sessions Comparing legal professions 30 years on will do that.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers

Presentations:
Building a political sociology of legal professions on the African continent: stakes for an open research agenda
Sara Dezalay, Cardiff School of Law and Politics

Lawyers in Israel: Numbers, Make-Up and Modes of Practices What Can Facts tell us about the State of the Profession?
Neta Ziv, Tel Aviv University

Eyal Katvan, College of Law and Business
Limor Zet-Guttman, The College of Management Law School

Constitution and Democracy
RCSL Working Group: Sociology of Constitution
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio C (2nd Floor)

Chair: Francesco Bilancia, University of Chieti-Pescara
Disc.: Pedro Fortes, FGV Law School

Description:
For most constitutions the fundamental procedural value is democracy. But in spite of the official representations its real implementation presupposes the functioning of several instruments, which in concrete situations could be blocked by hard to avoid impediments.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism
Secondary Keyword: Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

Presentations:
Adios Embargo: The Case for Executive Termination of the U.S. Embargo on Cuba
Kevin Fandl, Temple University

Revisiting “Decision-Making in a Democracy”
Mark Leinauer, University of California, Berkeley

Revolution, Constitution, and Constituent Power in the Arab Spring
Nimer Sultany, SOAS, University of London

The Exercise of Deliberative Democracy on Public Administrations Agencies’ Decisions
Mauricio de Souza Filho, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ)

Carina Quirino, UERJ - Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro

Criminal Justice
IRC: 29
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Destiny Peery, Northwestern University Law School

Description:
Psychological science examines the psychological bases of how people think (cognition), feel (affect), and act (behavior), including the social bases of judgment and decision-making. The field of psychological science has revealed common biases, pitfalls, and shortcomings in human social judgment. From social cognition, heuristics and biases, affective and cognitive processes, to prejudice, stereotyping, and discrimination, psychological science offers important theories and methods to understand the perceptions, construals, emotions, decisions, and behavior of judges, jurors, lawyers, government officials, and members of the public. When combined with socio-legal theories, moreover, the field allows for the psychological study of the effect of law woven throughout society and the effect of society woven throughout law. This panel will present papers on law, society, and psychological science in the context of civil justice.
CRISPR Dreams and Social Justice: Genetic Modification, Women's Bodies, Religion, and National Identity
CRN: 12, 29
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Imperio D (2nd Floor)
Chair: Lisa Ikemoto, UC Davis School of Law

Participant(s):
Lisa Ikemoto, UC Davis School of Law
Meaghan O’Keefe, UC Davis - Religious Studies
Sarah Perrault, UC Davis - University Writing Program
Regina Tames, GIRE

Description:
CRISPR/Cas-9, the powerful new gene modification technology, has spurred debate about human germline modification. This debate has focused heavily on potential cures, risks, and the implications of irreversible changes in future humans. The means of implementing germline genetic modification-assisted reproductive technology (ART)-has received less attention, and yet is occurring in globalized technology markets. This panel considers the mix of moral and market reasoning and the role of social and legal boundaries that inform whose bodies and whose dreams matter in a CRISPR+ART world. The roundtable participants will provide interdisciplinary perspectives from critical race and feminist theory, religious studies, rhetorics of science, and law on ways in which women’s bodies and national identity are put into play by emerging biotechnology uses. Each presenter will speak for 5 minutes. Each presentation is intended to shift the theoretical and discursive framings, so that the panel as a whole will provide a layered examination of CRISPR + ART that exposes a range of issues and contradictions and prompt vigorous discussion.

Primary Keyword: Bioethics, Biotechnology and the Law
Secondary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

CRN33 Book Introduction Session
CRN: 33
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Chairs: Kay-Wah Chan, Macquarie University
Hirosi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz
Setsuo Miyazawa, University of California Hastings School of Law; Aoyama Gakuin University Law School

Participant(s):
Sarah Biddulph, Melbourne Law School, Univ. of Melbourne
Justine Guichard, University of Pennsylvania
Yoshitaka Wada, Waseda Law School
Kay-Wah Chan, Macquarie University  
Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz  
Chulwoo Lee, Yonsei University  
Setsuo Miyazawa, University of California Hastings School of Law; Aoyama Gakuin University Law School

Description:  
The purpose of this Book Introduction Session is to showcase the most engaging research and innovative activities of CRN33 scholars and researchers specialized in Asia and to promote scholarly collaborations and exchanges of new research agendas with counterparts in other regions around the globe. The books in this year’s CRN33 Book Introduction Session include the following:

6. Anna Dobrovolskaia, The Development of Jury Service in Japan (Routledge, 2016)

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society  
Secondary Keyword: Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

Environmental Laws, Policies and Practices  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza B (3rd Floor)

Chair(s): Sumudu Atapattu, University of Wisconsin Law School  
Disc.: Ann Eisenberg, University of South Carolina School of Law

Description:  
War, peace, consensus, cooperation, happiness, love, abundance, absence, vulnerability, etc. Which are the core values of environmental regulation? Despite different subjects, these papers tries to understand what should found environmental laws, policies and practices.

Primary Keyword: Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

Presentations:  
Happiness, Law and Sustainable Development  
Senko Picanic, School of Law

Peacemaking and Climate Change: Pacification, Lawfare, and Liberation  
William McClanahan, University of Essex

Avi Brisman, School of Justice Studies, College of Justice and Safety, Eastern Kentucky University

Dayna Nadine Scott, Osgoode Hall Law School

The Role of Trespass in Colony Collapse Disorder  
Caleb Goltz, Hartwick College

Urban Governance Challenges to Pursuing Climate Resilience and Safeguarding Vulnerable Populations  
Andrea McArdle, City University of New York School of Law

Evidence Disclosure in Criminal, Saiban-in and Civil Trials in Japan  
CRN: 4, 33  
IRC: 18  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s): Valerie Hans, Cornell Law School

Disc.: Richard Lempert, University of Michigan

Description:  
One of the grounds of introducing lay participation into criminal trials, Saiban-in system, in Japan, was to overcome the disadvantages by the professional judges’ fact-finding. They rely upon more on the dossier; especially police produced documents including statements of confessions of defendant. The fact-finding based upon the oral testimonies rather than dossier is highly expected because Saiban-in, lay judges, can find facts more through live testimony in the court than reading written documents. However it is still uncertain if Saiban-in really and in fact do decide the facts and final conclusion rather based upon oral testimony or not. The issue does not stop here. Before that, production of paper documents is still used and predominant in practice and, in addition to that, Japan has traditionally unique culture to allow hiding and even destructing evidence in the criminal and civil trials. As Japan has
Executing Freedom: The Cultural Life of Capital Punishment in the United States
CRN: 27
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session
Room: Caza C (3rd Floor)

Author(s): Daniel LaChance, Emory University

Chair(s): Keramet Reiter, University of California, Irvine

Reader(s):
   Patricia Ewick, Clark University
   Mona Lynch, University of California, Irvine
   Lisa Miller, Rutgers University
   Jonathan Simon, University of California-Berkeley

Description:
In Executing Freedom (University of Chicago Press, October 2016), Daniel LaChance explores how the revival of the death penalty in the 1970s and its overwhelming popularity in the United States in the 1980s and 1990s was part of a larger story about freedom in the United States since World War II. From conservatives who grew concerned that a paternalistic government was usurping the role of the family to civil libertarians worried that prisons were using a rehabilitative mission to dominate the minds of prisoners, Americans across the political spectrum grew wary of big government. Reborn in a moment of anti-big government consensus, the death penalty reflected and reinforced a minimalist vision of government that many Americans, regardless of their feelings about capital punishment, had come to equate with freedom. In analyzing the relationship between politics, legitimacy, and the death penalty, LaChance draws on primary sources from journalism, literature, film, and law. The book surveys these sources across most of the twentieth century, seamlessly integrating historical narrative, literary analysis, and political theory. In the end, the book reframes our understanding of modern punishment culture, revealing how harsh punishments like the death penalty create opportunities for individuals to transcend structural constraints, perversely becoming symbols of freedom.

Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

Presentations:
   Culture of Hiding and Discarding Evidences by the Japanese Authorities
   Takeshi Maruta, Kwansei Gakuin University Law School

   How Judges Decide the Criminal Case: Criminal Evidence Production Before Saiban-in Trial
   Takeshi Nishimura, Osaka Bar Association

   How the Saiban-in Decide the Criminal Cases: Criminal Evidence Production after Saiban-in Trial
   Satoru Shinomiya, Kokugakuin University Law School

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control
Secondary Keyword: Language And Discourse

Feminist Legal Strategies: Comparative Perspectives, Challenges, and Ways Forward
CRN: 7
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session
Room: Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair: Srimati Basu, University of Kentucky

Participant(s):
   Libby Adler, Northeastern University School of Law
   Aziza Ahmed, Northeastern University School of Law
   Jayne Huckerby, Duke University School of Law
   Sital Kalantry, Cornell Law School
   Elizabeth MacDowell, William S. Boyd School of Law, UNLV

Description:
The legal strategies employed by feminist activists and lawyers travel across borders into other countries, legal systems, and rights-claiming contexts. Similarly, feminist legal strategies are themselves permeable and influenced by claims for rights by other subordinated groups, both internationally and domestically. Moreover, rights claims by feminists and others often occur within rhetorical and/or legal frameworks (such as that of international human rights) that rely on universal applicability and application of rights. These circumstances suggest the imperative nature of comparative approaches to feminist legal strategy. Roundtable participants will discuss challenges to feminist theory-building and to implementing feminist-informed strategies for claiming rights. Drawing on diverse examples and contexts, this roundtable will examine the possibility of thicker feminist accounts and context-based feminist legal strategies to local conditions and cross-border practices.

Primary Keyword: Feminist Jurisprudence
**Gender, Development, and Fiscal/Economic Equality**
CRN: 31, 38  
IRC: 19  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Kathleen Lahey, Queen’s Univ. Faculty of Law  

**Description:**  
IRC 19 seeks to involve developing, emerging, and transition country interdisciplinary scholars in its work economic, tax, and other fiscal dimensions of gender inequalities, with the goal of generating richer scholarly insights into existing gender issues and barriers in governance, economic, legal, cultural, and development issues domestically, regionally, and globally. Projects of interest include gender and tax policy in low income, fragile, and developing states and higher income economies. Student, academic, civil society, and international organization researchers are welcome. Suitable topics include the gender impact of specific tax and spending laws; gender dimensions of tax haven and offshoring activities; gender budgeting; austerity policies; economic human rights; oil/mining/gas revenue issues; special economic zones; financing for development and ODA; SDGs, gender, and climate; unpaid work; regressive taxation; all aspects of income inequalities, including gender, race, Indigenous, migration, sexual orientations, gender identities, and disability impacts; women’s access to capital, business investment, and property.  

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality  
**Secondary Keyword:** Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies  

**Presentations:**  
An African Economic Justice Approach to Gender in the FFd and the Post 2015 SDG Processes  
**Attiya Waris,** University of Nairobi  
Engendering the New Aid Modalities (NAM) for Inclusive Service Delivery in Uganda: Opportunity for involving the Women’s Movement  
**Tabitha Mulyampiti,** Makerere University  
Tax Cuts for Growth’ vs ‘Taxing for Equality’ – Using Human Rights Laws to combat Gender Inequalities, Poverty, and Income Inequalities in Fiscal Laws  
**Kathleen Lahey,** Queen’s University Faculty of Law  
The Case of R (on applic SG) and the possibility of a refreshed discourse of welfare rights in the UK  
**Ann Mumford,** King’s College London  
Value-Added Tax and Harmful Tax Competition in Brazil  
**Leonel Cesario Pessoa,** FGV Direito SP

---

**Gender, Sex, & Health**
CRN: 17  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Jill Weinberg, Tufts University  

**Description:**  
This panel explores a wide variety of topics on gender, sex, and health, including reproductive services, drug regulation, and HIV criminalization.  

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality  
**Secondary Keyword:** Health and Medicine  

**Presentations:**  
Conscientious objection on sexual and reproductive health services: an Argentinian key case  
**Sonia Ariza Navarrete,** European University Institute  
Crossing biological, legal and geographical borders: the case of “the first” mitochondria donation baby  
**Sandra Patricia Gonzalez Santos,** UNAM-UIA  
**Rebecca Dimond,** Cardiff University, School of Social Sciences  
**Neil Stephens,** Brunel University London  
Decriminalizing Sex after AIDS: Development, Public Health, Technoscience  
**Neil Cobb,** University of Manchester, UK  
Father Knows Best: State Surveillance of Women through Abortion Policy  
**Alesha Doan,** University of Kansas  
The UK Poppers ‘Ban’ and the Psychoactive Substances Act 2016: New Legal Frontiers in the Homonormative Imagination  
**Chris Ashford,** Northumbria University

---

**Healthy Workplaces: Improving Access for All Workers**
CRN: 8  
IRC: 43  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)  
**Chair(s):** Maria Lorena Cook, Cornell University  
**Disc.:** Cesar Rosado, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law  

**Description:**  
Panelists in this session will examine the intersection between
work, law, and workers' health from a variety of perspectives. Two papers are focused on the United States; one identifies lack of legal protections for workers who have experienced miscarriages as a critical gap in American law; the other calls for increased use of mediation to ensure that workers with psychiatric disabilities receive accommodations that will allow them to remain in their jobs. A third paper explores the successes and limitations of the European Union's approach to regulating occupational health and safety, and the influence on EU law on member states. Finally, two papers address workplace bullying; one analyzes cases arising in Brazil, and the other takes a broader look at how best to understand the phenomenon of workplace bullying.

**Primary Keyword:** Labor and Employment  
**Secondary Keyword:** Disabilities

**Presentations:**
- Did Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) law in the European Union (EU) form a social 'bridge' between Member State (MS) in the 20th century?  
  **Aude Cefaliello,** University of Glasgow
- Miscarriage of Justice: Early Pregnancy Loss and the Limits of U.S. Employment Discrimination Law  
  **Laura Kessler,** University of Utah
- The Promise of Mediation in Addressing Psychiatric Disability and Workplace Violence  
  **Michael Green,** Texas A&M University School of Law
- Working Conditions Contextualized in Workplace Bullying Cases in Brazil: individual solutions for collective issues?  
  **Julia Gitahy da Paixão,** University of Ottawa

**Institutional Conditions for Economic and Social Development in Africa**

CRN: 46  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 7

**Chair/Disc.: Annie Bunting,** York University

**Description:**  
Based on African experience, we will explore the different aspects of law and development, such as land rights, direct investment, trade, tax policy, gender as well as regulation. The purpose of this panel is to promote comparative institutional analysis of the African societies in transition and dialogue between the developing and the developed countries.

**Primary Keyword:** Africa, African Studies, African Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**
- Crossing borders and bridging norms: Regulating gender, identity and illicit networks for women cross-border traders in Senegal  
  **Cynthia Howson,** University of Washington Tacoma
- Implementation of CEDAW: The Tanzania Experience  
  **Miriam Zacharia Matinda,** The University of Arizona
- The political economy and institutional design of local accountability mechanisms in the diamond mining sector in Sierra Leone  
  **Deval Desai,** Harvard Law School

**Investors, Consumers and the Public Interest**

Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Laura Coordes,** Arizona State Univ. Sandra Day O’Connor College of Law

**Description:**  
This panel discusses the impact of financial and other firms’ activities on consumers, retail investors, and the public as a whole and how, in various contexts, these groups obtain redress or pursue other objectives.

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate Law, Securities and Transactions

**Presentations:**
  **Poonam Puri,** Osgoode Hall Law School, York University
- The Economic Structure of Second-Generation ESG Shareholder Activism  
  **Sarah Haan,** University of Idaho College of Law
- The Social Enterprise Life Cycle  
  **Dana Brakman Reiser,** Brooklyn Law School  
  **Steven Dean,** Brooklyn Law School
- Too Important to Fail: Bankruptcy versus Bailout of Socially Important Non-Financial Institutions  
  **Shlomit Azgad Tromer,** Columbia Law School
Lauren Edelman’s *Working Law: Courts, Corporations and Symbolic Rights*
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Author(s):** Lauren Edelman, University of California-Berkeley

**Chair(s):** Robin Stryker, University of Arizona

**Reader(s):**
- Michael McCann, University of Washington
- Osagie Obasogie, University of California, Berkeley
- Jerome Pelisse, Sciences Po
- Robin Stryker, University of Arizona

**Description:**
This panel brings together scholars of different disciplines, perspectives and nationalities to discuss Lauren Edelman’s 2016 book, *Working Law: Courts, Corporations and Symbolic Rights* (University of Chicago Press). The book provides a comprehensive, foundational statement of the mechanisms of "legal endogeneity" theory, that is, of the processes by which the business organizations that law seeks to regulate in fact construct law’s meaning and therefore shape law’s impact. In bringing together and substantially extending thirty years of meticulous empirical research and innovative conceptual development, the book offers a powerful explanation for how and why equality-promoting civil rights legislation may accomplish little. Engaging literature across multiple disciplines, the book is highly relevant to policymakers and civil rights advocates as well as to lawyers, legal scholars and social scientists of law. While it focuses on civil rights legislation, the theory presented goes far beyond this realm in its implications.

**Primary Keyword:** Discrimination  
**Secondary Keyword:** Labor and Employment

*Law and Making Up People*

CRN: 2  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Chair/Disc.:** Katherine Benton-Cohen, Georgetown University

**Description:**
In this session, we explore how legal actors produce categories of people, how they interpret these classifications, and the consequences of said interpretations for the administration of law. Each of the papers addresses ambiguous, contingent, and shifting classifications of people across time and space. Together, the authors speak to how state and legal actors classify and create hierarchies of people not only out of animus but also out of inconsistency and indifference. By doing so, these papers collectively attempt to gauge the gap between law and lived reality: although law endeavors to capture people into discreet categories, the categories themselves almost always fail to fully encompass the intricacies of individuals and their lived experiences. Nevertheless, once the categories are produced, they become the foundation of institutional power and justify their own existence by rendering the reality after its own image. In sum, this panel focuses on how people make sense of the law, ideas of race, deservingness, and belonging, and enact these ideas on real people.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity

**Presentations:**
- Constructing the Perpetual Servant: Peruvian Household Labor Law at Work  
  **Katherine Maich**, University of California, Berkeley

  **Sunmin Kim**, UC Berkeley

- Protecting the Most Vulnerable? An Ethnography of Humanitarian Paths to Legal Status in the US  
  **Chiara Galli**, UCLA Sociology

*Law and Representation*

Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Ruth Buchanan, Osgoode Hall Law School

**Description:**
This panel explores the interaction of language, representation and temporality in legal scholarship and in the construction of legal problems.

**Primary Keyword:** Law and Literature  
**Secondary Keyword:** Language And Discourse

**Presentations:**
- Canadian Censor Boards and Hollywood Films, 1929-1934  
  **Bruce Ryder**, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

- Finding New Suns: Using Speculative Fiction and Creative Writing as Tools for Law Reform  
  **Tamera Burnett**, Osgoode Hall Law School

- Graphic Legal History: Teaching and Learning About Law & Society Through Graphic Novels  
  **Aurora Grutman**, Horace Mann School
Why does the Law regulate sleep? A reading on the late-modern sleep conflict.

Ignacio Riquelme, University of Bristol

Law and Social Movements: Exploring the Multiple Dimensions of Legal Mobilization in Mexico: Movimiento por la Paz con Justicia y Dignidad, Frente Opositor a la Minera San Xavier, LGBT movement, movement against El Zapotillo, Jalisco, Red para el Avance Político de las Mujeres Guerrerenses, SMART.

Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Ligia Tavaera Fenollosa, FLACSO/Mexico

Description:
Recent years have seen growth of interest in the topic of law and social movements. Research has been produced from a variety of different perspectives and from within multiple disciplines. However, legal-mobilization scholarship has been advanced in particular by political scientists and law and society scholars and has been strongly focused on American politics. This session makes a contribution to existing scholarship on social movements and the law on both disciplinary and geographical accounts, since it reunites six empirical studies by social movement scholars working on Mexico. The study cases explore the multiple dimensions of legal mobilization within the Mexican social movement sector. The session provides an overview of the different conditions, mechanisms and processes underlying the use of law by Mexican social movements.

Presentations:
Ulises Pavel Martínez Romero, Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales - sede México

Activismo legal por los derechos políticos de las mujeres en Guerrero. El juicio por la paridad en los ayuntamientos interpuesto por integrantes de la Red para el Avance Político de las Mujeres Guerrerenses
Georgina Yemara Lopez, Hernandez

Cómo y por qué un movimiento social obtiene una ley: El caso de la Ley General de Victimas y el Movimiento por la Paz con Justicia y Dignidad en México
Johan Jáltiz Gordillo García, El Colegio de México

Conflicto social en torno al agua en la presa El Zapotillo: Un caso de movilización legal exitosa
Rafael Ruiz Ortega, Center for Research and Economic Teaching

Legal activism and strategic choices: how lawyer movements shaped the LGBT’s movement agenda and internal dynamics.

Carlos Martínez, Univ. Nacional Autónoma de México

Legal reform to fight drug related violence. The case of SMART movement and the legalization of marijuana for recreational purposes
Gabriel Martínez, El Colegio de Mexico

Law Containing Violence
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

Chair/Disc.: Katherine Fallah, University of Technology Sydney

Description:
This panel explores contemporary technologies of surveillance and detention mobilized by a combination of lawyers and non-lawyers for providing safety and security and preventing violence in different national contexts.

Primary Keyword: Violence

Presentations:
Military lawyers making ‘the legal’, making ‘the political’: the case of Israel and the Occupied Palestinian Territories
Maayan Geva, University of Roehampton

Ordering the Public: Preventive Detention and Political Containment in Kashmir
Haley Duschinski, Ohio University
Shrimoyee Ghosh, Jawaharlal Nehru University

Law, Age and Disability
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio B (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Soren Frederiksen, York University School of Public Policy and Administration

Description:
This panel explores contemporary issues around aging, disability and mental illness in different civil and criminal contexts.

Primary Keyword: Aging
Secondary Keyword: Disabilities

Presentations:
Between Inclusion and Exclusion: Decision Making for People with Mental Impairments, Welfare Bureaucracies and Ways out of Guardianship
Walter Fuchs, Institute for the Sociology of Law and Criminology, Vienna
Thursday 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Squad Car Psychiatry: Delineating Mad and Bad in Policing the Mentally Ill  
Natalie A. Pifer, University of California, Irvine

The Case for a New Canadian Compassionate Release System for Incarcerated Individuals  
Adelina Iftene, Osgoode Hall Law School

Work and Aging: Reflexions on Brazilian Protective Public Policies for the elderly worker  
Dalton Tria Cusciano, Fundacentro/FGV-EAESP  
Mauro Laruccia, Fundacentro/PUC-SP

Lawyering for Change: Historical and International Approaches  
CRN: 44  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Andrew Baer, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Description:  
This session examines the relationship between the role of lawyers and legal change. These papers provide unveil the importance of lawyering in the United States, and in doing so, draw new conclusions about the constraints and possibilities of legal practice. Farberman's paper takes a theoretical approach to how "losing arguments" can take on new life and find new applications, while Ernst examines the role of lawyers and other actors in shaping prison reform in the United States in the 1960s and 1970s. Labuza's paper discusses the history of the entertainment lawyer within the legal culture of the California film industry. Markovitz and Ashar take a more modern approach to lawyers and social change, examining the role of movement lawyers in efforts to recover and preserve the rights of immigrant groups and welfare recipients.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Legal History

Presentations:  
Bonnie Ernst, Northwestern University

Losing Arguments  
Dan Farberman, Harvard Law School

Movement Lawyers in the Fight for Immigrant Rights  
Sameer Ashar, University of California, Irvine School of Law

Peter Labuza, University of Southern California

"Welfare Reform" 20 Years Later: Home Searches in San Diego County  
Jonathan Markovitz, American Civil Liberties Union of San Diego and Imperial Counties

Legal Geography Session V: Scales and Chronotops in Legal Geography  
CRN: 35  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

Room: Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar, Law School, University of Haifa

Description:  
This panel addresses the interactions between law and geography on various scales and times. One paper addresses the manifestations and contestations of EU Law in variegated local settings and the crucial role played by legal actors in these processes. Another paper examines how marginal actors in Bosnia and Herzegovina and in Papua New Guinea appropriate the rule of law concept to their particular environments. Two papers apply the concept of chronotope as recently developed by Mariana Valverde in her Chronotopes of Law: Jurisdiction, Scale, and Governance (2015) to particular contexts, including the practice of “harm reduction” in Ottawa, and issues of jurisdiction, extradition, and indigeneity in the borderlands of Mexico, the USA and other settler states.

Primary Keyword: Geographies of Law

Presentations:  
All Legality is Local: Legal Mobilization and the Translation of European Union Law into Local Practice in Italy  
Tommaso Pavone, Princeton University

Blood and Soil: The Nation-state’s Two Bodies  
Richard Perry, UCB/SJSU

Legal Chronotopic Relations of Harm Reduction and its Subjectivities  
Lisa Wright, Carleton University

Making Meaning: Navigating Everyday Immigrant Illegality  
CRN: 2  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

Room: Colonia (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Edelina Burciaga, Univ. of Colorado, Denver

Description:  
This panel highlights the multi-faceted experience of immigrant illegality and addresses how undocumented immigrants make
meaning of their legal status. Given the rise of exclusionary immigration policies and deportation rates, illegality has become a powerful tool for determining who remains excluded in society, which in turn impacts the daily lives of undocumented immigrants. The papers in this panel examine how immigrant illegality is lived, negotiated, and contested in the everyday. The papers capture a range of experiences including how migrants navigate the journey to the United States, the varied ways that legal status structures the civic and social life of immigrants, the role of illegality in shaping the identity of undocumented youth conceptualize the threat and fear of deportation and serve as legal brokers for their undocumented parents. Taken together, the papers in this session capture the heterogeneity and complexity of immigrant illegality.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity

**Presentations:**
- **A Politics of Sustenance: Visualizing Solidarity and Central American Migrant Illegality**  
  Gretel Vera-Rosas, California State University, Dominguez Hills
- **Civic “Fitness”: The Effects of Legal Status in Shaping Neighborhood Civic Outcomes and Trust Among Neighbors**  
  William Estuardo Rosales, California State University, Los Angeles
- **Cruzando Fronteras, Encontrando Esperanza: The Struggle and Persistence of Latina/o Undocuactivists**  
  Joanna Perez, California State University, Dominguez Hills
- **Legal Brokers: Navigating Social and Legal Institutions**  
  Vanessa Delgado, University of California, Irvine
- **“I Never Really Think About It”: Challenging Deportability as the Primary Experience of Illegality**  
  Laura E Enriquez, University of California, Irvine
- **Daniel Millan Ovando**, University of California, Irvine

**New Responses to ‘New’ Terrorism: Making the Case for Constructive Engagement with Counter-Terrorism Law and Policy**
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4  
**Facilitator[s]:** Lindsey Bell, Royal Holloway, University of London

**Description:**  
In the sixteen years since 9/11, the world has seen the emergence and evolution of a ‘new’ terrorism, with the threat emanating initially from al-Qaeda and latterly ISIL. In the UK, the domestic response has meant the implementation of rafts of counter-terrorism law and policy - much of it highly controversial. Counter-terrorism measures have variously been claimed to undermine democracy and the rule of law, infringe human rights, securitise communities (particularly Muslims) and damage community cohesion. The purpose of this salon is to draw together scholars who seek to engage critically, yet constructively, both with these perspectives and with CT law and policy itself.

**Primary Keyword:** Terrorism, National Security  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Presentations:**
- Human Rights and Counter-Terrorism in British Universities  
  Lindsey Bell, Royal Holloway, University of London  
  Steven Greer, University of Bristol
- The Impact of Cyber Security Research on Counter-Terrorism  
  Rikke Bjerg Jensen, Royal Holloway University of London  
  David Denney, Royal Holloway University of London

**Nicole Gonzales Van Cleve: Crook County**
CRN: 27  
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Author[s]:** Nicole Gonzalez Van Cleve, Temple University

**Chair[s]:** Malcolm Feeley, University of California-Berkeley

**Reader[s]:**
- Mario Barnes, University of California-Irvine  
  Penny Darbyshire, Kingston University London  
  Issa Kohler-Hausmann, Yale Law School  
  Lynn Mather, SUNY Buffalo Law School  
  Michael Musheno, University of Oregon

**Description:**  
The author of this book, Nicole Gonzales van Cleve, spent ten years working in and investigating the Cook County Criminal Courts, the largest and busiest courthouse in the United States. As a participant observer, she spent over one thousand hours observing behavior and talking to defendants, defense attorneys, prosecutors, and judges. It has to be one of the most well-documented research studies on the criminal courts in the past quarter century if not longer. The book appeared just as a major scandal about the administration of justice in Chicago broke and became national news. Her work withstood the scrutiny of those who sought to down play the criticisms of the Chicago court system. Indeed her book shows that
Thursday 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Presentations:

**Pimps, Pornographers and 'Piojos': Third Parties in the Sex Industry**
CRN: 6
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

*Chair/Disc.:* Raven Bowen, University of York

*Description:* This panel complexifies the role of third parties in the sex industry (strip clubs, pornography, and street-based sex work). Panelists challenge normative framing, highlighting resistance, gender performance, and work roles.

*Primary Keyword:* Sex Work

**Presentations:**
- Among ‘Padrinos’ and ‘Piojos’: Contradictory Consciousness and Subcultural Production of Masculinity in a Mexican Lap Dancing Club. 
  **Jorge Vladimir Castaneda Ochoa,** European Commission Erasmus Mundus Fellow Joint Doctorate in Cultural and Global Criminology
- Pimps, Partners and Friends: The Abyss between Socio-legal Framing and Street-Based Sex Workers’ Relationships with Third Parties. 
  **Chris Bruckert,** University of Ottawa
- Resisting (By)law through Organizational Structures: An Examination of Regulatory Counter- and Collective Strategies in Ontario Strip Clubs. 
  **Tuulia Law,** Carleton University

**Prisons, Exoneration and Rehabilitation**
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Imperio A (2nd Floor)

*Chair/Disc.:* Moult Kelley, University of Cape Town

*Description:* Imprisonment is an embodied experience. This panel considers questions of intimacy, exoneration and rehabilitation of people who live and have lived in prison.

*Primary Keyword:* Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Civic Health as Public Safety: Promoting Informed Citizenship through Prison-based Education.
  **Abena Subira Mackall,** Harvard University

---

some of the facts that generated this scandal are the stuff of routine practices carried out in broad daylight in the corridors and chambers of the courthouse, and not rare exceptions undertaken behind closed doors. The book provides a chilling account of one huge court system in the United States. The book is a rare exception for scholarly studies; it has been widely reviewed in the popular media. And so, the panel will have an opportunity to reflect on a “cross-over” book, well-received by the general public and well-received by scholars. Another issue the panel will address is, how typical is it of justice elsewhere in the Untied States, and elsewhere in the common law world? A distinguished set of readers have been assembled. They come from a variety of backgrounds. All have written extensively on criminal courts in different settings, some have practiced criminal law, and one has worked extensively on the English criminal courts.

*Primary Keyword:* Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies
*Secondary Keyword:* Discrimination

**Oh, Enemies. There is no Enemy: Police, Violence, and Defense**
CRN: 23
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

*Room:* Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

*Chair/Disc.:* Jodi Dean, Hobart and William Smith Colleges

*Description:* This panel takes up the conference thematic of walls and bridges by exploring the bridging effect of violence. How might we think about the differences between the decolonizing violence of community self defense, state violence's aggressive counting of some as friends, and the paramilitary violence of the police in North and South America? And how might the very effects and remnants of violence -- the dead -- open up unexpected relations through which state violence is resisted? The papers on this panel draw from theoretical resources from Benjamin, Derrida, and Foucault and empirical research on vigilante practice in Mexico, the policing of protests in the US, legal paradoxes in global governance, and the display of bodies such as Michael Brown’s to consider how violence cuts through the friend-enemy distinction, producing, sometimes, strange comrades.

*Primary Keyword:* Violence
*Secondary Keyword:* War and Armed Conflict

**Presentations:**
- Neoliberal Authoritarianism’s Police State
  **Paul Passavant,** Hobart and William Smith Colleges
- Sovereign Friends
  **Jacqueline Stevens,** Northwestern University
- The Self-Defending Subject
  **George Cicariello-Maher,** Drexel University
Punishment in Imperial Russia, the Soviet Union, and the post-Soviet Region
CRN: 20
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5

Facilitator(s): Naomi Murakawa, Princeton University

Description:
The countries that make up the former Soviet Union represent an important and underutilized source of material for developing and testing theories of punishment. Although the region’s political institutions have long been studied intensively by post-Soviet and western social scientists, there has been less scholarly attention to problems of crime and criminal justice in the region, both in the contemporary period, and in earlier phases of its political history, including the Soviet Union and Russian Empire. The two papers in this panel both apply data from the region to questions about the past, present, and future of punishment. They thus should interest interest international law and society scholars, and not just specialists in the region.

Primary Keyword: Central Eastern Europe, Balkans, Russia, And Eurasian Law and Society

Presentations: Punishment of Rulers’ Family Members and Intimates in Imperial Russia and the Soviet Union
Matthew Light, University of Toronto
Vincent Harinam, University of Toronto
Rosemary Gartner, University of Toronto

Ukrainian Crimes and Russian Punishment: a Case-Study of Legal Prosecutions Related to Crimea Annexation
Nataliya Tchermalykh, The Graduate Institute, Geneva

Psychology and Law, Immigration and Beyond
CRN: 2
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 8

Chair/Disc.: Janet Calvo, CUNY School of Law

Description:
Psychology and Fear in Immigration Law and Immigrant Lives

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Law and Psychology

Presentations: Deferred Action for Parents of Americans (DAPA): The Effects of Prolonged Uncertainty
Angela Garcia, University of Chicago

Immigration Consultation: What can a Mental Health Consultant do for My Clients?
Melinda Flynn, Tulane School of Social Work

Paradoxes in Mental Health Assessment of Asylum Applicants: A Bird’s Eye View from the Trenches
Julia Frank, MD, University of Maryland School of Medicine

Punishment, society and technology: Exploring big data, risk and emerging techniques of crime control (Part III: Managing risky subjects)
CRN: 3, 27, 37
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Embajadores (3rd Floor)

Chair: Paula Maurutto, University of Toronto

Disc.: Aaron Smyth, University of California, Berkeley

Description:
"Big data" analytics are contributing to the development of new understandings of risk, surveillance, and crime control as
well as producing new technologies which are being used by police, penal institutions and numerous non-state actors. The three panels in this thematic session explore big data analytics and emergent/shifting technologies – examining their dispersal, operation and interaction with existing logics and means for governing crime and security. Among other foci, the papers in this session engage with the following questions: How are emergent big data analytics impacting assessment, surveillance, policing, punishment, crime prevention, and law? Are these techniques blurring the boundary between public and private control? How do these technologies and analytics affect existing race, class, gender, and other inequalities historically endemic to systems of justice? How do practitioners and activists understand, embrace or subvert such technologies?

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Policing, Law Enforcement

**Presentations:**

(Big) Administrative Data: Challenges and Opportunities, and the Need for New Research Frameworks.

**Dale Ballucci**, Western University

**Michael Haan**, Western University

From Handshakes to Mouse Clicks: The Technological Transformation of Commercial Bail

**Joshua Page**, University of Minnesota

**Sarah Lageson**, Rutgers University - School of Criminal Justice

Race, Records, and the Governance of Risk: The Proliferation of Criminal History Background Checks in the Era of Mass Incarceration

**David McElhattan**, Northwestern University

Suspicious Transactions: Exploring the proliferation of payment technologies and the consequences for using cash

**Kelsi Barkway**, University of Alberta

“Jailers in the Community”: Sureties for Release on Bail, a Warranted and Effective Insurance Strategy?

**Nicole Myers**, Simon Fraser University

**Presentations:**

Terroristic Death: Race, Gender and Terror

**Sherene Razack**, UCLA

The Quiet Disappearance of Relisha Rudd

**Jasmine Montgomery**, University of California, Irvine

The Tragic Incomprehensibility of Racial Violence in the United States

**Daniel Kato**, Queen Mary University of London

**Socio-legal Issues in Brazil**

Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 9

**Description:**

This panel explores a variety of socio-legal issues in Brazil.

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Presentations:**

Crisis of Federalism in Brazil

Annaclara Bragard, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, UFRJ

**Maria Gabriela Loureiro**, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, UFRJ

Terrorism, Enemy and Exception: the Brazilian case and the approval of the Antiterrorism Law (“Lei 13.260/2016”)  

**Rodrigo Luz Peixoto**, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS)

**Fiammetta Bonfigli**, La Salle University Canoas (RS)

Brazil

The Legality Nexus: the legal endogeneity of Brazilian labor rules

**Josiane Santiago**, Universidade Positivo

**Diego Coraiola**, University of Victoria

**Edson Guarido Filho**, Universidade Positivo / IBEPES

**The Battle for International Law in the Decolonization Era**

**CRN: 23**

Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

Paper Session

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Philipp Dann, Humboldt University Berlin

**Disc.:** Jochen von Bernstorff, Tuebingen University

**Description:**

In the era of decolonisation (1955-1975) fundamental
legal debates took place over an international legal order for a decolonised world. The decolonised South fundamentally challenged what Western scholars had held to be the content of international legal rules. The 1950s, 1960s and 1970s also witnessed the emergence of key multilateral treaties. In addition, central principles of existing international law such as sovereignty, non-intervention, self-determination as well as the central tenets of international economic law were subjected to significant controversy within the United Nations. These debates and their Third World protagonists as well as the propagated new concepts have often been portrayed as a short-lived utopian or socialist (Cold War-) revolt within the UN General Assembly. The panel aims at a re-examination of this battle for international law in the era of decolonisation.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Presentations:**

(De)Colonizing Human Rights
Florian Hoffmann, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio)
**Bethania Assy,** Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio)

For “those who...have lost their Utopias...but who still rebel”: Upendra Baxi And How To Live With The Tragic Reversals Suffered In The Battle For International Law
**Adil Hasan Khan,** IHEID, Geneva

Mapping Decolonisation: Concessions, Acquired Rights and the Developmental State
**Matt Craven,** SOAS

The Developmental State: Independence, Dependency and Post-Dependency
**Luis Eslava,** Kent Law School

**The Confictual Economics of Colonialism**

**CRN:** 39
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** **Nicolas Marcelo Perrone,** Durham Law School

**Description:** This panel includes the economic dimensions of colonialism together with the social conflict involved in the exploitation of colonial subjects by different empires. The papers cover different kinds of conflicts, industries, and regions, including labor of prisoners in agribusiness, Canadian fur trade, minerals in central Africa, Family endowments in British India, and indigenous workers in Peru. This panel promises a fascinating journey into human conflict beyond the division of labor and alienation of capital, by capturing and exploring the various socio-legal aspects of the confictual economics of colonialism.

**Primary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Secondary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**

Neoliberal Imaginations, Mining, and Central Africa: A Critique of Recent 'Conflict Mineral' Legislation
**Heather Tasker,** York University

Penal Labour and Agribusiness in Canadian Federal Prisons: Toward a Human-Animal Account of Carceral Logics
**Kelly Struthers Montford,** University of Alberta; University of Toronto

Reciprocity as Dispossession: The Colonial Dimensions of Credit and Social Obligation in the Canadian Fur Trade (pre-1867)
**Susan Brophy,** St. Jerome's University

**Therapeutic, Psychoanalytic and Neuroscientific Perspectives on Responsive Judging**

**CRN:** 43
**IRC:** 38
Thursday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair:** **Tania Sourdin,** University of Newcastle

**Disc.:** **David Marrani,** Institute of Law

**Description:** This session allows scholars and researchers to explore the connections between the comprehensive concept of responsive judging and other established judicial and scientific approaches and practices such as therapeutic jurisprudence, psychoanalysis and neuroscience.

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Presentations:**

Healing Alternatives - Neuro-rhetoric and Judging Styles
**Lucille Jewel,** University of Tennessee College of Law

Judging in a Therapeutic Way: TJ Audit of Juvenile, Probation and Criminal Procedure law in Pakistan with reference to Therapeutic Design and Therapeutic Application of Law
**Muhammad Amir Munir,** Punjab Judicial Academy

The Importance of a Therapeutic Role in Sentencing: the Judicial Perspectives on the Sentencing of Minor Drug Offender in Indonesia
**Cecep Mustafa,** University of Stirling
Thursday June 22, Session 4
2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

“Crimmigration” and Community: A Discussion on the Nexus of Immigration, Law, and Wellbeing across Disciplines

CRN: 2
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Maya Barak, American University

Disc.: Jayesh Rathod, American University Washington College of Law

Participant(s):
Nicolaus Espitia, University of Michigan
Aresha Martinez-Cardoso, University of Michigan Ann Arbor

Description:
Spurred by DHS enforcement priorities, federal-state collaborations, and the proliferation of state-level “crimmigration” policies, the last few years have seen a rise in federal and state prosecutions of immigration offenses, an increase in the proportion of individuals detained or incarcerated for immigration-related offenses, and record-level annual deportations. During the same period, Congress has repeatedly failed to pass comprehensive immigration reform. The result is a complex patchwork of local, state, and federal immigration and “crimmigration” laws across the nation. The impacts of such policies are wide-reaching, touching nearly all aspects of daily life and extending into the realms of criminology and criminal justice, law, public health, and social work. In light of immigrants’ increasing entanglement with the law, roundtable participants will address the following question: what are the effects of immigration law and policy on individual, family, and community wellbeing?
**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Anna Kirkland: Vaccine Court: The Law and Politics of Injury**  
CRN: 9  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Author(s):** Anna Kirkland, University of Michigan

**Reader(s):**  
Thomas Burke, Wellesley College  
Charles Epp, University of Kansas  
Marc Galanter, University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Carol Heimer, Northwestern University & American Bar Foundation  
Dorit Reiss, UC Hastings College of the Law  
Allison Winnike, University of Houston Law Center

**Description:** Vaccines have tremendous benefits, but like every medical intervention, they carry risks. How a country handles the rare case of vaccine injury is an important question. In the U.S., vaccine injuries are handled by Vaccine Court, a small and unique quasi-judicial agency under the U.S. Court of Federal Claims. In Vaccine Court: The Law and Politics of Injury, Anna Kirkland draws on extensive interviews with stakeholders, and extensive documentary material, to explore how legal institutions can handle complex scientific matters. The book carefully examines the tension between science and the law, belief and expertise, and offers a compelling picture of how these interact.

This panel will be of interest to legal scholars working on injury and compensation, scholars of law and courts, and scholars working on health law and policy.

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine

**Class and Inequality in Geographies of Law**  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

**Chair/Disc.:** Nancy Reichman, University of Denver

**Description:** In the contemporary world, characterized by deep inequalities between nations, cities, and neighborhoods, reformers search for ways to alleviate the attendant injustices. This panel, through a focus on specific locales, takes on public policies at various levels directed toward creating sustainable, more equitable results. The papers critically examine the consequences of the policies adopted.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**

Legislating Liberation versus Creating the Conditions of Freedom: A Case-study of Ex-slave Populations in Nepal  
**Kathleen Gallagher,** St. Mary's University

The Battle for Public Spaces in Colombia as a Mechanism to Reproduce Inequality: a Case Study of Public Policies in Bogotá from 1995 to 2005.  
**Fernando León Tamayo Arboleda,** University of Los Andes

**Conceptualizing Economic and Social Rights**  
CRN: 47  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Elaine Draper, California State University, Los Angeles

**Disc.:** Abdulwahab Olasupo Egbewole, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin

**Description:** Economic and social rights, despite having been under the conceptual microscope since their inception, have now entered into a new era. With improving levels of acceptance and an increasing depth of analysis, their underpinning concepts require refinement, rethinking and furthering. The papers of this panel undertake such work in a number of areas. As such, the discussions will engage with the core questions of what economic and social rights are and how they are to work.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**

A Capabilities Approach to Remedies for Systemic Socio-Economic Rights Violations in South Africa  
**Shanelle Van der Berg,** University of Stellenbosch

Human Rights and Rights to Natural Resources  
**Petra Gümplova,** Käte Hamburger Kolleg / Centre for Global Cooperation Research, Univ. of Duisburg-Essen

Reconstructing the Right to Health as a Negative Procedural Right: Health Impact Assessments  
**Christina Ho,** Rutgers School of Law, Newark

Religion and Implementation of Women's Rights in Africa  
**Olanike Odewale,** American University of Nigeria, Yola, Adamawa, Nigeria
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Using Big Data to Strengthen Accountability of Universal Periodic Review Recommendations
Carmel Williams, Human Rights Center, University of Essex

What do we mean by “progressive realisation”, anyway?
Ben Warwick, University of Birmingham

Constitution and Freedom
RCSL Working Group: Sociology of Constitution
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room:  Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2
Chair(s): Francesco Bilancia, University of Chieti-Pescara
Disc.:  Pedro Fortes, FGV Law School

Description:
The value of values for most constitutions is the value of freedom. But it presupposes several specific values with a Janus face (for instance the value of freedom of religion and of freedom from religion) which constantly require socially acceptable balances.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism
Secondary Keyword: Rights and Identities

Presentations:
Constitutional Outsourcing and the New Consensus
Joseph Landau, Fordham Law School

Government Speech Doctrine: Legitimizing Government Power in the Name of Free Speech
Chris Demaske, UWT/SIAS

Constitution-Making as Transnational Legal Order I
CRN: 36
IRC: 35
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room:  Imperio B (2nd Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Greg Shaffer, UC Irvine School of Law

Description:
This panel applies the Transnational Legal Order (TLO) framework to an important site of legal practice, the making of national constitutions. National constitutions are embedded in a transnational legal order, in which international norms and actors interact with local actors to produce documents that reflect both. The TLO framework helps to understand this pattern.

Primary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law
Secondary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Paul Craig, St John’s College, Oxford

A Transnational Actor on a Dramatic Stage – Sir Ivor Jennings and the Manipulation of Westminster Style Democracy: The Case of Pakistan
Harshan Kumarasingham, University of Edinburgh

Constitution-making as a Site of Transnational Legal Order
Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago, Law School

Democratic Erosion and Constitution-Making Moments: The Role of International Law
David Landau, Florida State University College of Law

Contested Embrace: Transborder Membership Politics in Twentieth-Century Korea
CRN: 2
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room:  Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Author(s): Jaeun Kim, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Chair(s): Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz
Reader(s):
Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine
Dimitry Kochenov, University of Groningen
Chulwoo Lee, Yonsei University
Leti Volpp, UC Berkeley School of Law

Description:
Contested Embrace: Transborder Membership Politics in Twentieth-Century Korea (Stanford University Press, 2016) is a comparative, historical, and ethnographic study of the complex relationships among the states in the Korean peninsula, colonial-era Korean migrants to Japan and northeast China and their descendants, and the states in which they have resided over the course of the twentieth century. Despite a widespread, deeply-entrenched, and quasi-primordial belief in Korean ethnic nationhood, the embrace of these transborder coethnic populations by the Japanese colonial state and the two postcolonial states (North and South Korea) has been selective, shifting, and recurrently contested. Through analyses of transborder membership politics in the colonial, Cold War, and post-Cold War periods, the book explores under what circumstances and by what means the colonial and postcolonial states have sought to claim (or failed to claim) certain
transborder populations as "their own," and how transborder Koreans have themselves shaped the making, unmaking, and remaking of transborder ties as they have sought long-distance membership on their own terms. The book demonstrates that being a "homeland" state or a member of the "transborder nation" is not an ethnodemographic fact, but a precarious, arduous, and revocable politico-legal achievement, mediated profoundly by the historically evolving and mutually interlinked bureaucratic practices of the state.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

### Corporate Accountability for Human Rights Abuses: a critical analysis of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights

Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Miguel Rabago Dorbecker, Universidad de los Andes

**Description:**
This panel aims at bringing together recent research on current challenges in international law, when defining state and corporate obligations on business and human rights issues, in the fields of regulation, monitoring and oversight, access to justice, and reparation. Taking the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (2011) as a starting point, the panel critically assesses the framework, discussing legal and conceptual shortcomings as well as difficulties of implementation. All papers are based on case-studies, which show concrete limitations in human rights protection and respect for human rights; the panel then attempts to inquire into the legal and structural reasons for those limitations, and identifies venues of possible remedy in international law, transnational law and international criminal law.

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society  
**Presentations:**
- Gas flaring under the International Criminal Law  
  **Eduardo Toledo**, Université de Paris 1 Panthéon - Sorbonne
- Human Rights Obligations of State-Owned Enterprises in Chile  
  **Judith Schönsteiner**, Universidad Diego Portales
- The Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights as a catalyst of jurisprudential and expressive developments on protection from corporate abuses in the Inter-American system and the Americas  
  **Nicolás Carrillo-Santarelli**, La Sabana University

### Courts and Judging in Asia and the Americas

**CRN:** 1  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Rafael Mario Iorio Filho, Universidade Estácio de Sá e INCT-InEAC  
**Disc.:** Fernanda Duarte, UNESA e INCT/InEAC/PROIPPI/UFF

**Description:**
This session broadly covers judicial issues in Asia and the Americas. The focus will be on work related to courts, judges and judging in these areas. Examples might include discussions of the political role of judging; challenges faced by judges in relation to judicial independency, democracy, governments or social groups; judicial impartiality; judicial behavior; the psychological aspect of judicial decision making amongst others. Papers dealing with current empirical researches conducted in these regions are particularly encouraged.

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging  
**Presentations:**
- The importance of Unanimity rule on Jury Decision Making: A new Challenge for the Jury System in Argentina  
  **Gustavo Letner**, Council of Magistrates of the City of Buenos Aires
- Democratization and Judiciaries: A Comparative Perspective  
  **Po Jen Yap**, University of Hong Kong
- El oficio de juzgar y la traducción de los derechos humanos transnacionales en la Suprema Corte de Justicia mexicana. Estudio etnográfico sobre la práctica cotidiana del derecho en la Suprema Corte de Justicia de la Nación y su proceso de asimilación del derecho internacional de los derechos humanos  
  **Erika Bárcena Arévalo**, CIESAS-CDMX
- Government alteration and the origin of Mexican state-level administrative courts  
  **Adriana García García**, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas
- Judicial Independence under Threat  
  **Menachem Hofnung**, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem
- Mohammed Wattad, Zefat Academic College
Thursday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

Critical Race Perspectives on International Law
CRN: 12
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

Facilitator(s): Sahar Aziz, Texas A& University School of Law
Shaira Vadasaria, York University

Description:
These panel brings together scholars who can offer perspectives on race perspectives in an international context.

Primary Keyword: Race and Ethnicity
Secondary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
Reimaginings of Exile and Return: Unsettling Representations of Interstitial Life in Palestine
Shaira Vadasaria, York University

The Social Construction of the “Racial Muslim”
Sahar Aziz, Texas A& University School of Law

CRN Best Practices
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Professional Development Panel

Room: Terraza (3rd Floor)

Chair(s): Nancy Marder, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

Participant(s):
Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation
Ulrike Schultz, FernUniversität in Hagen
Michele Goodwin, UC Irvine School of Law

Description:
There is a wealth of information among the CRN organizers and we want to give them a platform from which to share that information. Several of the CRN organizers have experimented with new activities for their CRNs, and we want to give them an opportunity to share this knowledge with other organizers who might be inclined to try these practices with their own CRNs.

CRN32, Gender & Judging, represented by Ulrike Schultz
CRN 33, East Asia Law & Society, represented by Hiroshi Fukurai
CRN 36, Transnational and Global Legal Ordering, represented by Terry Halliday

Culture’s DNA: Indigenous Knowledge and Children
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Chair/Disc.: Signa Daum Shanks, Osgoode Hall Law School

Description:
This panel explores issues around cultural knowledge and continuity for indigenous people in a number of contexts.

Primary Keyword: Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples
Secondary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:
Indigenous Traditional Knowledge and the Petronas Pipeline Approval in Canada
Sheethal Paadathu Veettli, University of Saskatchewan
Dwight Newman, University of Saskatchewan

Race, Membership, and Sovereignty: The Benefits of Using a Comparative Approach When Analyzing Transracial Adoption Legislation
Emma Shakeshaft, University of Wisconsin-Madison

Economy, Business and Society Through Bank’s Activities and Regulation
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Chair: Juan Flores Zendejas, University of Geneva

Disc.: Debbie Becher, Barnard College, Columbia University

Description:
This session explores the economy, business and society through banks’ activities and regulation.

Primary Keyword:
Economy, Business and Society

Presentations:
A Gilded Noose: The Structural and Discursive Supports of a Venezuelan Financial Fraud
Camilo Leslie, SUNY Buffalo Law School

Making Wrongs Real: Legal Consciousness and Anti-Fraud Enforcement since the Global Financial Crisis
James Varellas, University of California, Berkeley

Soft Law as a Tool that “Bleaches” Shadow Banking
Magdalena Frańczuk, Cracow University of Economics
Kaja Gadowska, Jagiellonian University
The Moral Contestability of Finance: Ambivalence and culture in the City of London
Alex Simpson, School of Applied Social Science

The Production and Classification of Terrorist People and Transactions in the Banking Industry: The Use of Private Technology for Public Purposes
Vanessa Lafolla, University of Waterloo

Examining Class and Inequality in Different Contexts
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Tiber, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Scott Skinner-Thompson, New York Univ. School of Law

Description:
Areas of law and policy that do not ordinarily come to the attention of critical scholars are the focus of this panel. How the law treats its subjects, each of these papers shows, can change with time and changing understanding of the context and relations at stake. Together these papers suggest interesting avenues for change.

Primary Keyword: Class and Inequality

Presentations:
Distributive Justice and Donative Intent
Alexander Boni-Saenz, Chicago-Kent College of Law

Maribel Morey, Clemson University

The shrinking of ‘social citizenship’? Examining exclusion and inclusion in the analysis of collective violence
Tim Newburn, London School of Economics

Examining Sex Work Within the Culture of Capitalism
CRN: 6
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Tuulia Law, Carleton University

Description:
A mix of empirical research and theoretical reflection, this panel offers international perspectives on the intersections of commerce and intimacy.

Primary Keyword: Sex Work
Secondary Keyword: Class and Inequality

Presentations:
Intimacy and the Commercial Sexual Exchange: Situating the ‘Girlfriend Experience’ Within Later Modernity and Capitalism
Emily Symons, University of Ottawa

Mexico’s Precariat: On-Street Informal Vendors of Sexual Services and Other Goods
Oralia Gómez-Ramirez, University of British Columbia

Racialized and Indigenous Sex Workers in the Neo-Liberal Economy
Menaka Raguparan, Carleton University

Theorizing Exploitation in UK Based Sex Work: towards a Marxist Feminist Theory of Exploitation
Kate Hardy, University of Leeds
Katie Cruz, University of Bristol

Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Opinions of the United States Supreme Court
CRN: 7
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

Author(s): Bridget Crawford, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University

Chair(s): Bridget Crawford, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University

Reader(s):
Gabrielle Appleby, University of New South Wales
Ann Bartow, University of New Hampshire School of Law
Lisa Pruitt, UC Davis School of Law
Deborah Rhode, Stanford Law School
Jhuma Sen, O. P. Jindal Global University

Description:
What would court opinions look like if key decisions on gender issues were written from a feminist perspective? Feminist Judgments: Rewritten Opinions of the United States Supreme Court (Cambridge University Press, 2016) brings together a group of scholars and lawyers to rewrite, using feminist reasoning, the most significant U.S. Supreme Court cases on gender from the 1800s to the present day. This book demonstrates that judges with feminist viewpoints could have changed the course of the law. It shows – rather than argues – that previously accepted judicial outcomes were not necessary or inevitable; feminist reasoning has the ability to increase the judicial capacity for justice. The U.S. Feminist Judgments project is part of a global movement by feminist scholars to re-imagine the judicial role. The readers in this session include contributors to the U.S. project, as well as conveners of related projects in India, New Zealand and Australia. As a new form of legal
scholarship, global Feminist Judgments projects are creating new bridges and conversations among scholars independently working on allied projects in different jurisdictions. This Author Meets Reader session will offer a variety of perspectives on how one might begin to think about the relationship between and among gender, judging and feminist scholarship in the context of global justice movements.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Financial Markets in East Asia: Regulation, Governance, Law and Policy**

**CRN:** 33  
**Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Takashi Shimizu, The University of Tokyo**

**Description:**  
This panel is organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore issues concerning financial markets in East Asia, namely Taiwan and China. Chun-Yuan Chen's paper analyses the duty of disclosure in insurance law in Taiwan through an empirical study. Yu-Chien Hsu (and non-presenting co-author Chun-Yuan Chen) empirically study the issue of regulation and supervision of the insurance sector in Taiwan. Yueh-Ping Yang's paper also adopts an empirical approach in its analysis of bank governance in China. Hungyi Chen's paper studies the regulation of peer-to-peer lending in China.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society  
**Secondary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**
- Bank Governance and State Intervention in China: In Search of a Shared Management Model for Positioning the Chinese Party State  
  **Yueh-Ping Yang,** Harvard Law School
- The Problems of Peer-to-Peer Lending in China – A Perspective on Soft-Law Mechanism  
  **Hungyi Chen,** Nagoya University, Japan
- The Transparency in Insurance Regulation and Sanction: An Empirical Study in Taiwan  
  **Yu-Chien Hsu,** Department of Risk Management and Insurance, National ChengChi University  
  **Chun-Yuan Chen,** Department of Risk Management and Insurance, National ChengChi University

**Gender Roles and the State**

**CRN:** 7  
**Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s): Dara Purvis, Penn State Dickinson School of Law**

**Disc.: Carolyn Ramsey, Univ. of Colorado Law School**

**Description:**  
This panel will discuss varying ways in which the state constructs and affects gender through its regulation of women’s legal rights. Individual papers analyze state action across several different fields of law—criminal law, public benefits, property rights, family law, and contract law—as well as across several international borders. Panelists will present papers on a historical study of the property rights of widows immediately after the American Civil War, international conditional cash transfers in Argentina and South Africa, criminal prosecution of female domestic violence victims in Argentina for failure to protect their children from the abuse of their partner, and the governance and criminalization of sex workers in a specialized prostitution court operating in Illinois.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence  
**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Borders and Bridges: Widowhood and the Literature of Slavery  
  **Meaghan Fritz,** Northwestern University
- Criminalización de "Malas Madres" en Argentina: de Víctimas a Victimarias  
  **Cecilia Marcela Hopp,** Universidad de Buenos Aires
  **Melisa Handl,** University of Ottawa, Faculty of Law
- ‘Setting a Good Example for the Ladies:’ Essentialism as a Technique of Penal Reform in Specialized Prostitution Court  
  **Rashmee Singh,** University of Waterloo

**Governing Synergies in Green Growth**

**CRN:** 5  
**Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.**  
**Salon Session**

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5

**Chair/Disc.: Wanxin Li, City University of Hong Kong**
**Description:**
In the context of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the panel will discuss the drivers and mechanisms to collectively plan, finance and manage green growth. Sustainability solutions are realized via technological, social, and institutional innovations that are complementary to each other. The panel will examine successful stories as well as challenges encountered in searching for sustainability solutions across different geo-political and administrative levels. Furthermore, the panel will adopt a cross-disciplinary approach incorporating economic, institutional, legal, and technical analysis in making sense of those successes and challenges in forming synergies in the pursuit of green growth in developing countries, such as China.

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance  
**Secondary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change

**Presentations:**
- Politics of Jurisdictional Responsibility: Localizing waste incinerator within China’s governing system  
  **Kit Poon**, City University of Hong Kong
- Pragmatism vs. Formalism in Air Pollution Prevention and Control in China  
  **Wanxin Li**, City University of Hong Kong
- Xinling Feng, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies  
- **Eric Zusman**, Institute for Global Environmental Strategies

**Improving Environmental Practices**  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Sumudu Atapattu**, University of Wisconsin Law School

**Disc.:** **Susan Ostermann**, Wesleyan University

**Description:**
How can legal knowledge and human capabilities improve efficacy to environmental protection? And, on the other hand, how can commercial strategies or legal disputes neutralize the effects of environmental protection legal frames?

**Primary Keyword:** Environment, Natural Resources, Energy, Sustainability, Water, and Climate Change  
**Secondary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Presentations:**
- Regulatory Pragmatism & Legal Knowledge: Explaining Compliance with Law in Areas of State Weakness  
  **Susan Ostermann**, Wesleyan University
- Contracts on the Seabed: Regulating Seabed Mining Through Private Law  
  **Christiana Ochoa**, Indiana University Maurer School of Law
- The “Justice” in Environmental Justice: Using a Capabilities-based Approach to Evaluate Environmental Justice  
  **Asma Atique**, Osgoode Hall Law School
- Understanding the Climate Change Political Economy Dynamics Underlying the Collapse of the Indonesian REDD+ Agency  
  **Arjuna Dibley**, Stanford Law School

**International Mechanisms: Possibilities and Failures for Indigenous Peoples**

**CRN:** 34  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** **Fleur Te Aho**, The Australian National University

**Description:**
This collection of papers examines the efficacy of United Nations jurisprudence, regulatory potential, and legal interpretations of consultation and consent, in protecting and promoting individual and collective rights of Indigenous peoples.

**Primary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples  
**Secondary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Presentations:**
  **Emma Henderson**, La Trobe University
- **Nicole Shackleton**, La Trobe University
- Free Prior Informed Consent and Indigenous communities – a path to empowerment or the illusion of rights?  
  **Mark Harris**, University of British Columbia
- Indigenous Communities, Collective Rights, and the Collective Voice  
  **Jen Hendry**, University of Leeds
- **Melissa Tatum**, University of Arizona James E Rogers College of Law
- The United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues’ Power, Potential and Pitfalls  
  **Fleur Te Aho**, The Australian National University
Judges' Perspectives on Responsive Judging
CRN: 43
IRC: 38
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair: Tania Sourdin, University of Newcastle
Participant(s):
Muhammad Amir Munir, Punjab Judicial Academy
Dorcas Quek Anderson, Singapore Management Univ.
School of Law
Ralph Simmonds, Retired justice of the Supreme Court of Western Australia
Bruno Takahashi, Univ. of Sao Paulo (USP)/ Federal Court of Brazil

Description:
In this roundtable discussion the concept, practice, and challenges of responsive judging will be examined and debated by judges from several countries with varied legal cultures and systems. Scenarios based on actual case studies will be proposed by the Chair to elicit comments and exchange of views.

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Judging and Emotion[s]
CRN: 42
2:45 PM - 4:30 PM
Paper Session
Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Leslie Moran, Birkbeck College

Description:
In common law and European legal systems, legal rules are understood as impersonal, and impartiality is a central judicial value. The judicial officer must balance these obligations of objectivity imposed by formal law and procedure with the everyday demands of judicial work. Inevitably, judicial emotions are engaged by many aspects of their work, generating tension with the conventional view that emotion is antithetical to legitimate judicial work.

This panel will examine the role[s] of emotion[s] in judging, from a range of conceptual, empirical and jurisdictional perspectives. The presentations will address specific qualities of empathy, compassion and disgust; the connection, or not, between impartiality and emotion; the need for and nature of emotion work and management as part of maintaining objectivity by judges and prosecutors; insights about how judge identify and describe their emotional experiences and expression, the place of emotions and emotion work in judicial decision-making, and efforts to construct models or competency frameworks for judging that incorporate awareness, understanding, use and control of emotion.

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Presentations:
Deconstructing Judicial Disgust
Heather Conway, Queens University Belfast
John Stannard, Queens University Belfast
Empathy in Judging: The Problem of Empathic Divides and Some Thoughts on Solutions
Susan Bandes, DePaul University College of Law
Impartiality, Emotion and Judicial Practice
Sharyn Roach Anleu, Flinders University
Kathy Mack, Law School, Flinders University
Judging and Emotion[s]
Terry Maroney, Vanderbilt University Law School
Mapping a Path to Judicial Excellence in the USA
Jennifer Elek, National Center for State Courts
The Emotionality of Judicial Objectivity
Stina Bergman Blix, Stockholm University

Judicial Innovations in Latin America
2:45 PM - 4:30 PM
Paper Session
Room: Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair(s): Karina Mariela Ansolabehere, FLACSO-Mexico
Disc.: Angélica Cuéllar, UNAM

Description:
Literature on change in the judiciary in Latin America targeted primarily to the analysis of the processes of institutional reform. However, little has been investigated about the different processes that contribute to judicial innovation. The aim of this panel is to review different judicial innovation processes that have been taken place in Latin America in recent years. Each of the papers explores different ways that have served as vehicles of change: legal mobilization for sexual diversity, reception of the jurisprudence of international tribunals by domestic ones, the influence of legal ideas in judicial decision and the development of stare decisis systems.

Primary Keyword: Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Judges and Judging
The presentation titled "Making Things Stick: Surveillance Technologies and Mexico's War on Crime" focuses on the growing importance of surveillance technologies in Mexico's fight against crime, the growing use of data in law enforcement, and the evolving infringement on public accountability over legal authorities.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law

**Law & Development: An Evolving Research Agenda (A Special Issue of Law and Development Review)**

**CRN:** 23

**IRC:** 13

Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Clasico (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Diogo R. Coutinho, Univ. of Sao Paulo - Faculty of Law

**Disc.:** David Trubek, University of Wisconsin, Madison

**Description:** This special issue of Law and Development Review contributes to discussion about greater attention to context in two ways. First, it illustrates the tendency to context in law and development scholarship. Articles start from or build upon discussion of case studies, developing analysis associated with particular countries or regions. Second, this special issue calls attention to an additional set of challenges that scholars need to face: the lack of dialogue among important actors in the Law and Development enterprise. This special issue identifies lack of dialogue in three other spheres: 1) technocrats (who tend to adopt an instrumental view of the law) and jurists (who tend to adopt a principled view of the law); 2) technocrats who are in charge of implementing and managing legal reforms and policymakers who design these reforms; and 3) technocrats (focused on concrete goals) and political actors (more focused on legitimacy broadly defined, or short-term political gains).

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**

- Nested Regulation In Law And Development: Identifying Sites Of Indigenous Resistance And Reform Throughout The Americas
  - Sari Graben, Ryerson University

- Precariousness as Growth: Meritocracy, Human Capital Formation, and Discourses of Workplace Regulation in Brazil, China and India
  - Jedidiah Kroncke, FGV Sao Paulo
Thursday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

The BRIC Nations and the Anatomy of Economic Development: The Core Tenets of Rule of Law
Nandini Ramanujam, McGill University
Nicholas Caivano, McGill University

The Dilemmas of the Developmental State: Democracy and Economic Development in Brazil
Mariana Mota Prado, University of Toronto
Diogo R. Coutinho, University of Sao Paulo - Faculty of Law

**Law and Politics in Constitutional Democracies**
CRN: 1
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 6

**Facilitator(s):** Claudia Heiss, Universidad de Chile

**Description:**
Laws play central roles in a constitutional democratic regime. Besides defining, limiting and distributing political authority, they also help provide stability and enable legitimate changes in structures of government. They, however, can also subvert stability and pave the way for authoritarian regimes. These roles, of course, are very political and are intimately linked with politics. The papers in this panel examine the interplay and interconnection between law and politics in both democratic and authoritarian (or pre-authoritarian) regimes. Using specific country cases, they problematize the ways in which political actors and institutions impact the state of rule of law and lawmakers in a constitutional democracy, how laws constrain or empower these actors and institutions, and the possible consequences of the interplay between law and politics on democratic stability and fairness.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism
**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**
- Constitutional Debate in Chile: An Outlier in Latin America’s Constitution-Making?
  Claudia Heiss, Universidad de Chile
- Law and/or the authoritarian drift: An analysis of Turkey’s move towards a repressive political system
  Umut Turem, Bogazici University

**Chair/Disc.:** Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar, Law School, University of Haifa

**Description:**
This panel explores the contested and multifaceted law-space nexus in variegated settings.

One paper examines the clash between Western and indigenous worldviews and the multifaceted legal geography of coastal marine areas in northern Australia; another paper, the contested narratives and ethics of “international” tourism; an additional one looks at the network of building, and particularly prisons in the British Empire, which enabled legal oversight through a close examination of habeas corpus writs. A further paper examines the entanglements of different legalities in the context of shepherding in the Polish Carpathians and lastly, a paper examines what happens when the materiality of the objects of property, such as farms, fields, cows etc. are foregrounded in the context of land restitution in Colombia.

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law

**Presentations:**
- Goods with Roots: The Things of Property in the Colombian Conflict
  Meghan Morris, University of Chicago
- Locating Habeas Corpus: Architecture, territory, and the technologies of legal procedure throughout the British Empire
  Lisa Haber-Thomson, Harvard University
- Space, Law, Culture and Agriculture – case study of shepherding in Polish Carpathians
  Marcin Wróbel, Jagiellonian University
- The Reciprocity Problem of the Right to Tourism: Law and Literature as a Method for Uncovering Resistance to an International Discourse
  Sabrina Tremblay-Huet, University of Sherbrooke

**Legal Strategies from Below: Social Movements and Grassroots Contestation of Inequalities**
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Lerma, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Rene Uruena, Universidad de Los Andes

**Description:**
Different social movements in Latin America have appropriated legal discourse and strategies in order to advance their egalitarian agendas in countries where socio-economic and political inequalities still prevail. This panel presents a critical view of the instrumentalization of law by social movements seeking to reach more equal societies in a variety of issues
that range from LGBTI rights to equal treatment and women victims’ rights to collective reparation to the struggle of urban communities to defend their territory from displacement. The variety of issues address in each case study allows us to understand how legal strategies have different results in advancing social justice depending on the nature of the inequality that is being challenged, and on the characteristics of the social movement that promotes the legal agenda. As will be shown, certain inequalities are more easily addressed through legal strategy than others, and each one faces specific challenges and setbacks.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Climate Change and Resettlement: Between Displacement and Resistance in Bogota  
  *Maria Angelica Prada,* Universidad de los Andes
- Strategic litigation and social movements: a practitioner’s auto-ethnography on the barriers, prejudices and experiences of advancing gender and LGBT equality through Criminal Law  
  *Samuel Escobar,* Universidad del Rosario
- Trans-national mobilization: The international law agenda of transgender persons’ organizations in Latin America  
  *Robinson Sánchez Tamayo,* Universidad de los Andes

**Long Term Challenges in Post Conflict Justice**
**CRN:** 23  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** *Mark Fathi Massoud,* UC Santa Cruz  
**Disc.:** Keramet Reiter, UC Irvine

**Description:**  
This panel discusses long term issues in post conflict justice from a variety of disciplinary and topical perspectives. Our panel includes theoretical discussions on the meaning of post-conflict as well as case studies in places where conflict has occurred. We will discuss the history of human rights in Brazil’s democratization process, contemporary Cambodia-China relations related to Cambodia’s genocide, the peace process in Colombia, and justice for both US military personnel as well as detainees the War on Terror. In looking at long term dilemmas, the discussion will explore both the meaning of post-conflict and the meaning justice for the many victims of atrocity.

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights  
**Secondary Keyword:** War and Armed Conflict

**Presentations:**
- A Marriage of Convenience: The historical, political, and economic relationship between Cambodia and China  
  *Brandais York,* Melbourne Law School
- Accountability of military personnel and the prospects of post-conflict justice in Colombia  
  *Pablo Kalmanovitz,* Universidad de los Andes
- How Veterans Courts Mobilize War  
  *Jamie Rowen,* University of Massachusetts, Amherst
- The State of Being “Post-Conflict”  
  *Zinaida Miller,* Seton Hall University
- Transition without justice: the struggle for rights in the Brazilian re-democratization  
  *Joao Roriz,* Universidade Federal de Goias

**Methods Café Primer: Empirical Sociolegal Research Methods**
**Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m**  
**Professional Development Panel**

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair: Maximo Sozzo,** Universidad Nacional del Litoral

**Disc.: Richard Sparks,** University of Edinburgh

**Description:**  
This session provides a basic introduction to empirical research methods, with a particular focus on the study of law, legal institutions, and legal behavior. The first part covers how to construct a good empirical research question and research design. We then focus on criteria for good quantitative and qualitative research designs, discussing typical problems and ways of addressing those problems. Time permitting, we will address issues of measurement and sampling.

**Punishment and Democratic Politics: Visions from Europe and USA**
**IRC:** 39  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair: Maximo Sozzo,** Universidad Nacional del Litoral

**Disc.: Richard Sparks,** University of Edinburgh

**Description:**  
This session is part of the International Research Collaborative "Punishment and Democratic Politics. Comparative and International Perspectives". Its aim is to foster a new appreciation of the position of penal politics within the wider
processes of democracy in diverse, yet culturally connected, sites. There has been some progress in studies of these matters in recent years, on which we propose to build. We have some better accounts of circumstances in individual countries. We have some more sophisticated views of the sources of variation between countries. Yet the fully comparative study of these issues and their dynamics remains strangely underdeveloped. Many comparative exercises rest content with thin, cross-sectional and sometimes misleading data. There is a pressing intellectual and political need to develop these conversations in ways that are more cosmopolitan, more methodologically nuanced, and less dominated by an unreflecting Anglo-Saxon projection of the world. To achieve this we need to promote a new level of debate and cross-fertilization between scholars based in countries between which there are multiple layers of both affinity and contrast. In this session, the relation between punishment and democratic politics will be discuss departing from studies on national contexts of the Global North, introducing visions from USA and Europe.

**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**

Democratic Deficits and Penal Nationalism
Vanessa Barker, Stockholm University

Highways, Housing & Racial Ghettoization: Making the Modern American Penal State
Rebecca Thorpe, University of Washington

Public opinion about punishment and criminal justice in Spain: results from a deliberative democracy approach
Daniel Varona, University of Girona (Spain)

Punishment and Democratic Politics: Reflections on the Spanish case
Jose A. Brandariz-Garcia, University of A Coruna, Spain

**Social Networks and Civic Engagement**

CRN: 2
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Hsiu-Yu-Fan, Soochow University School of Law

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**

Building Bridges ‘Behind the Wall’: Stories of Civic Engagement in Prison
Sheri-Lynn Kurisu, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

To Vote or Not: How the State Legal Context Influences Latinos’ Decisions
Linda Williams, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

Trust and bonding among violence and uncertainty: Central American migrants in Mexico
Alejandra Diaz de Leon, University of Essex
The Evolving Role of the Civil Judge
IRC: 29
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Caza C (3rd Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Jessica Steinberg, George Washington Univ. Law School

Description:
American judges have long associated adversary process with the advancement of procedural values. However, the changing landscape of local courts, the advent of technology into the justice system, and the rise in unrepresented parties have combined to prompt innovation in the judicial role. This panel will present papers and empirical studies aimed at documenting and assessing the evolving role of the civil judge, as well as interrogating the relationship of judicial behavior to access to justice. Panelists will discuss the advent of problem-solving methodologies in the civil courts; how judges perceive their role in facilitating access to justice as well as how they operationalize their perceptions; the relationship of the judicial role to the rise of technology-oriented court reform; political theories of judicial behavior; and studies that examine how family law cases with domestic violence affect judicial decision-making.

Primary Keyword: Judges and Judging
Secondary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
A State by State Analysis of Judicial Approaches to Access to Justice
Alyx Mark, North Central College
Anna Carpenter, University of Tulsa College of Law
Colleen Shanahan, Temple Univ. Beasley School of Law

Active Judging and Access to Justice
Anna Carpenter, Univ. of Tulsa College of Law, Judicial Decision-Making

About Mediation in Family Law Cases with Domestic Violence and Research on How to Design Mediation to Provide Access to Justice
Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University
Amy Applegate, Indiana Univ., Maurer School of Law

Keys to the Kingdom: Judges and Pre-Hearing Procedure in Access to Justice
Colleen Shanahan, Temple Univ. Beasley School of Law

Private Law Problem Solving Courts
Jessica Steinberg, George Washington Univ. Law School

Rebooting Justice
Benjamin Barton, The Univ. of Tennessee College of Law

The Political Economy of Post-Kosovo "Humanitarian Intervention"
CRN: 23
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)
Chair(s): Umut Özsu, Carleton University
Disc.: Itamar Mann, University of Haifa, Faculty of Law

Description:
The end of the Cold War signalled a series of far-reaching transformations of imperialism and neocolonialism. This panel focuses on the politico-economic underpinnings and implications of international legal arguments on behalf of (and also in opposition to) enhanced reliance upon "humanitarian intervention" after NATO's bombing of Yugoslavia in 1999. Subjects of discussion include the repackaging of "humanitarian intervention" in the form of a politically capacious and conceptually ill-defined "responsibility to protect"; post-conflict reconstruction and transitional justice initiatives undertaken under the auspices of neoliberal state-building programmes; and the growing influence of international financial institutions and regional organizations such as the European Union upon domestic economic policy, particularly in the global South.

Primary Keyword: International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics
Secondary Keyword: Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

Presentations:
Good occupation, bad occupation? Iraq, international law and the precedents of Kosovo and East Timor
Ntina Tzouvala, Melbourne Law School

Humanitarian Complicity in Juridical Forms
Sara Kendall, University of Kent

Somalia, Piracy and the Ideology of Intervention
Tor Krever, University of Warwick

Spaces of Contestation in Tax Reform, Administration and Law: State-Building in Afghanistan and Iraq
Duncan Wigan, Copenhagen Business School
Maj Lervad Grasten, Copenhagen Business School

The Independent International Commission on Kosovo: Human Rights, Interventionism, and the Politics of Post-Conflict Reconstruction
Umut Özsu, Carleton University

The Political Ecology of Humanitarian Intervention: Mass Displacement in an Era of Climate Change
Usha Natarajan, The American University in Cairo
Thursday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

The Presumption of Innocence in the Age of Social Media
CRN: 45
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Bryna Bogoch, Bar Ilan University

Description: In the age of social media, the presumption of innocence, one of the basic tenets of a fair trial, and an important element of journalistic ethics is often undermined. In fact, sometimes it seems that in the social media, the basic approach to criminal law in inverted, so that the implication is that the accused is guilty until he or she can prove himself or herself innocent. This session presents both theoretical and empirical studies that highlight the challenges and potential of social media in criminal cases.

Primary Keyword: Popular Culture, Media, and the Law
Secondary Keyword: Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

Presentations:
"Post and Trauma": The Use of Social Media by Sexual Assault Victims
Anat Peleg, Bar Ilan University
Ariel Bendor, Bar-Ilan
Hadar Dancig-Rosenberg, Bar-Ilan

#IBelieve is not a legal principle
Lydia Miljan, University of Windsor

News Media and Conflict
Carol Pauli, Texas A&M University School of Law

The Presumption of Innocence in the Age of Social Media: Theoretical Expectations
William Halton, University of Puget Sound

Theory of Crime and Punishment
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Description: This session will feature a discussion about different aspects related to the theory of crime and punishment.

Primary Keyword: Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

Presentations:
Deliberating at a Crossroads: Human Trafficking Victims’ Decisions about Whether to Participate in the Criminal Justice Process
Rachel Wechsler, University of Oxford

Punishment and the Phenomenology of Social Identity
Henrique Carvalho, University of Warwick
The Structure of Parallel Civil and Criminal Enforcement
Anthony O’Rourke, SUNY Buffalo Law School

Transnational Borders and Boundaries, Changing Geographies of Exclusion and Inclusion through Border Regimes (Part I)
IRC: 7
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Conquista (2nd Floor)
Chair(s): Giulia Fabini, University of Bologna
Disc.: Eve Darian-Smith, University of California Santa Barbara

Description: External borders have penetrated in receiving societies as a new dispositif to govern populations. Using the perspective of "border performance" (Wonders 2006), the traditional dichotomy of borders and boundaries becomes less and less meaningful. The study of how the many subjectivities making up contemporary societies experience transversal borders within national territories equates to the study of how in globalization the social structure of modern nation state remodels itself through border regimes. The functioning of borders may be investigated in the way the subjectivities resisting border regimes in their everyday life eventually "do borders". The panel collects empirical cases exploring such conceptualization of borders in different settings worldwide, shedding light on commonalities and differences among local contexts, identifying the transnational dimension of transversal embodied borders and of mechanisms of power extending beyond the confines and logics of nation-states.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

Presentations:
Interlegal Transnational Subjects: Producing and Contesting Transnational Law in Everyday Border-Crossing at the local level
Giulia Fabini, University of Bologna

The European Union never got rid of its internal limits: A case study of detention in the French-Spanish border.
Iker Barbero, University of the Basque Country

The Rebordering of Subjectivity, the Bridging Power of Local Citizenship Performances, and Mobility as Social Movement
Nancy Wonders, Northern Arizona University
Lynn Jones, Northern Arizona University

Women across the Mediterranean: performing the border from a gender perspective
Enrica Rigo, University of Roma TRE
**Unaccompanied Lives: Sociolegal Views on Immigrant Children**

**CRN:** 2  
Thursday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 7

**Chair/Disc.:** Laura E Enriquez, University of California, Irvine

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Migrating Without Parents and Papers: The Migration of Undocumented, Unaccompanied Youth to Los Angeles  
  **Stephanie Canizales,** University of Southern California
- The Legal Construction of Non-Children: Unaccompanied Minors, Mass Detention and Toddlers’ Self-Representation in Deportation Proceedings  
  **Pantea Javidan,** London School of Economics

---

**Thursday June 22, Session 5  
4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.**

**Calling the Shots: Why Parents Reject Vaccines**

**CRN:** 9  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Author(s):** Jennifer Reich, University of Colorado

**Chair(s):** Nancy Reichman, University of Denver

**Reader(s):**
- Anna Kirkland, University of Michigan
- Dorit Reiss, UC Hastings College of the Law
- Joshua Wilson, University of Denver
- Allison Winnike, University of Houston Law Center

**Description:**
Calling the Shots investigates the phenomenon of vaccine refusal from the perspectives of parents who distrust vaccines, the corporations that make them, and the health care providers and policy makers who see them as essential to ensuring community health. Reich’s analysis locates the processes through which (mostly affluent) parents reject vaccines within a broader socio-legal context where medical offices, drug companies, and child protective services all play a role. Beyond a critical read of this important work this session offers an opportunity to engage with the broader legal, ethical, political, and social dynamics of vaccine refusal.

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine

**Constitution-making as Transnational Legal Order II**

**CRN:** 36  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation

**Disc.:** Mark Tushnet, Harvard University

**Description:**
This panel applies the Transnational Legal Order (TLO) framework to an important site of legal practice, the making of national constitutions. National constitutions are embedded in a transnational legal order, in which international norms and actors interact with local actors to produce documents that reflect both. The TLO framework helps to understand this pattern.

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

**Secondary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**
- Constitutional Dialects  
  **David S Law,** Washington University
- Tom Ginsburg, University of Chicago, Law School  
  Constitutional Entrepreneurs in Latin America  
  Participatory constitution-making as a transnational legal norm
- Abrak Saati, Department of Political Science, Umeå University
  The End of the End of History
  **Kim Lane Schepple,** Princeton University

**Critical Legal Mizrahi Studies: Temporal Borders of Race/Ethnicity In Israeli Law**

**CRN:** 35  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Gad Barzilai, University of Haifa

**Description:**
Although Mizrahim (Jews from Arab/Muslim countries) make up more than half of the Jewish citizens in Israel/Palestine, and though they suffer from systemic discrimination, they are absent from Israeli law and legal scholarship. What is it about Mizrahi existence that is so troubling to the Israeli law that it does not even dare to name them? This panel, the first
of its kind, joins the emerging field of Critical Legal Mizrahi Studies. Mizrahi positionality, in between the basic dichotomous racial categories of Israeli law – Jews/Arabs – allows for an innovative reading of race/ethnicity which goes beyond the imagined borders of Mizrahi identity and the disputed border of Israel/Palestine. From the nightclub door, through forced ringworm radiation treatment, the hot-blooded Moroccan, and to a dream of Mizrahi-Arab legal cooperation - this panel will explore different ways in which Mizrahi-ness constitutes and is constituted by the temporal borders of belonging to the racialized state.

**Primary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity  
**Secondary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- Racial/Ethnic Performance in Anti-Discrimination Litigation- The Unsettling Affect of Mizrahi Exclusion  
  **Ido Katri,** University of Toronto
- Reimagining the identititarian Arab-Mizrahi border: Towards a joint struggle against discrimination  
  **Yifat Bitton,** College of Management Law School
- The Man of Honor killings: the racialized space between honor and dignity  
  **Lihi Yona,** Columbia Law School

**Economic and Social Rights in a Neoliberal World III**  
**IRC:** 22  
Thursday Session 5, 4: 45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Diane Frey,** San Francisco State University

**Description:**
IRC 22 on Economic and Social Rights in a Neoliberal World is undertaking an interdisciplinary research project on the interpretation and implementation of economic and social rights in the context of global neoliberalism. Although largely ignored for several decades after the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights in 1948, economic and social rights have gained growing support since the end of the Cold War. Paradoxically, over the same period, neoliberal ideology and policy, which directly conflict with economic and social rights, have become entrenched in most countries as well as in inter-governmental organizations, such as the World Bank and the WTO. The objective of this IRC is to examine whether economic and social rights can be effective in contesting neoliberal ideology and policy and if so, when, where, how and to what extent? To answer these questions, the IRC brings together human rights scholars and practitioners to compare cases from around the world.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights

**Presentations:**
- Ambiguous Arbitration: Human Rights vs. Neoliberal Logic in Water Litigation  
  **LaDawn Haglund,** Arizona State University
- Full Employment and Decent Work in the 2030 Agenda: Doubling Down on Business As Usual  
  **Diane Frey,** San Francisco State University
- Socio-economic Rights in Neo-Liberal Era in India - Critical Reflections  
  **Pam Rajput**
- High Level Committee on the Status of Women, Govt. of India  
  **Seema Thakur,** Panjab University
- When the Courts are not Enough: The Equal Realization of Health Rights in South America  
  **Natalia Rodriguez-Uribe,** Universidad Icesi
- **Diana Patricia Quintero M.,** Universidad Icesi, Cali

**Emerging Technologies and the Challenges of Regulatory Governance**  
**CRN:** 5  
Thursday Session 5, 4: 45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Sharon Gilad,** Hebrew University of Jerusalem

**Description:**
Emerging Technologies and the Challenges of Regulatory Governance

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
- Internet, multistakeholderism and transnational regulation: Between governance normalisation and regulatory generativitiveness.  
  **Joao Araujo Monteiro Neto,** University of Fortaleza - BRA and University of Kent - UK
- Monitoring Legal Destruction: The Contribution of New Technologies to Sustainable Landscape Governance  
  **Reza Esmailzadeh,** School of Oriental and African Studies
- Tracking Technology, Privacy and Accountability: Regulatory Challenges  
  **Hartmut Aden,** Berlin School of Economics and Law
**Ethnographies of Legal Transformation**
CRN: 3  
IRC: 26  
4:45 PM - 6:30 PM  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Chair:** Anna Offit, Princeton  
**Disc.:** Justin Richland, Univ. of Chicago / American Bar Foundation  

**Description:**  
This International Research Collaborative panel engages two central questions. First, how is legal change instantiated in the everyday speech and practice of lay and professional legal actors? And, second, why are ethnographic methods uniquely positioned to capture the microdynamics of legal change? This session brings together scholars whose ethnographic studies of law take place in a variety of contexts around the globe to examine the particularities of legal transformation across the spectrum of legal praxis—from judicial instructions, prosecutorial strategy, and lay-professional interactions to legislative texts and regulatory practices. In each setting, the authors’ formulations of legal change examine its simultaneous uncertainty, ambiguity, and creative capacities.  

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Cultures  
**Secondary Keyword:** Ethnography  

**Presentations:**  
- In the shadow of dignity: ‘preventing’, ‘prohibiting’ and ‘redressing’ sexual harassment at workplace in India  
  Jhuma Sen, O. P. Jindal Global University  
- Nostalgia, Law and Legal Change: Reflections on the Argentine Legal Field  
  Leticia Barrera, CONICET  
- The Grandmother and the Girl in the State: Kamla Persad-Bissessar and the Children’s Act in Trinidad and Tobago  
  Gabrielle Hosein, University of the West Indies  
- The Making of Humanitarian Law in Colombia: When You Hit the Jackpot!  
  Sergio Latorre, Universidad del Norte  

**EU’s Anti-discrimination Law and the Fight Against Exclusion: A Mitigated Balance**  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)  
**Chair:** Dimitry Kochenov, University of Groningen  
**Disc.:** Uladzislau Belavusau, T.M.C. Asser Institute, Univ. of Amsterdam  

**Description:**  
This year’s central theme of walls, borders and their reference to inclusion/exclusion resonates well with the central tenets of anti-discrimination law. The EU has dramatically expanded its normative framework regarding the prohibition of discrimination, particularly in terms of the grounds that are covered, also generating a considerable jurisprudence. Nevertheless, several flaws can be identified both in terms of the more detailed norms (material reach, exceptions, justification modalities and the requisite burden of proof) and the interpretation thereof by the Court of Justice of the EU and national courts. This session includes several papers on the Racial Equality Directive, as well as papers zooming in on discrimination on grounds of religion and sexual orientation. The paper presenters look into the changing framework of EU equality law through socio-legal lenses, unpacking how European law has been challenging exclusions and where boundaries still persist.  

**Primary Keyword:** Discrimination  
**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights  

**Presentations:**  
- “Homosexual propaganda” bans and International Human Rights Norms in the Post-Communist States  
  Aleksandra Gliszczynska-Grabias, Institute of Law Studies, Polish Academy of Sciences  
  Mathias Möschel, Central European University  
- Building Bridges or Erecting Walls? – The Application of Equal Treatment and Non-Discrimination in Europe  
  Moritz Jesse, Leiden University  
  Darinka Piqani, Leiden University  
- The Impact of the Employment Equality Directive (Dir. 2000/78) on the Protection of LGB Individuals from Discrimination under European Union Law  
  Alina Tryfonidou, University of Reading  

**Frontline Workers Policing the Borders Within**  
CRN: 2  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Duque (2nd Floor)  
**Chair:** Steven Maynard-Moody, University of Kansas  
**Disc.:** Michael Musheno, University of Oregon  
  Gitte Sommer Harris, Aarhus University
**Description:**
Borders between states, however porous, are often clearly marked. Borders within states that delineate neighborhoods, social groups, those with full citizenship and those without citizenship are less clearly marked but no less policed. As social welfare, health care, and educational institutions in the U.S. and Europe followed the disciplinary turn of policy governance and criminal justice systems took on more social welfare functions, policing these borders within has become more challenging and contested. The state’s frontline workforce plays a paradoxical role in demarcating, patrolling, and acting on these often ambiguous borders, shaping people’s perspectives of the legitimacy and effectiveness of government. This panel examines how frontline workers shape and act on these fluid, but no less actual, borders within.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Borders v. Bridges: Explaining Immigrant Policy Divergence in Arizona and New Mexico
  - Doris Marie Provine, Arizona State University
  - Monica Varsanyi, City University of New York

- Danish and US Schools: Enforcing Moral Boundaries in Discretionary Practices and Citizen Encounters
  - Gitte Sommer Harris, Aarhus University

- Defining Human Trafficking Through Frontline Encounters
  - Corinne Schwarz, University of Kansas

- How Dutch tax officials draw boundaries between high and low-status entrepreneurs: a policy-capturing study
  - Nadine Raaphorst, Erasmus University Rotterdam
  - Sandra Groeneveld, Institute of Public Admin., Leiden Univ.
  - Steven Van de Walle, KU Leuven, Belgium

- Frontline Work in the Production of Local Inequities and Equities
  - Steven Maynard-Moody, University of Kansas
  - Michael Musheno, University of Oregon

**Indigeneity in International Translations: Land, Identity, Pluralism, Religion**

CRN: 34
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**
Through the lens of international law this panel presents research from case studies that address the consequences of colonialism and the challenges of Indigenous rights recognition around the world including: Bedouin in Israel, Aboriginal title in South Africa, traditional justice systems in Nepal, Chile and Indonesia.

**Primary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples

**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

**Presentations:**
- Aboriginal Title and Living Customary Law in South African Land Reform: Neoliberalism, Articulation and Assemblage
  - Daniel Huizenga, York University

**Identity and Discrimination in Health**

CRN: 9
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Sara Matthiesen, Brown University

**Description:**
Our identities and statuses matter deeply in our relationships to the state and to health care provisions. Papers on this panel analyze patterns of discrimination, inequality, and unethical treatment towards people based on many different traits, from immigration status to race and homelessness. Indeed, health status itself can be a source of discrimination. Moreover, researchers, teachers, and doctors sometimes police these boundaries and take on legal obligations to confer or withhold social and medical resources based on status.

**Primary Keyword:** Discrimination

**Secondary Keyword:** Health and Medicine

**Presentations:**
- Justice and Harms from the Re-Purposing of Health Information
  - John Francis, The University of Utah
  - Leslie Francis, University of Utah

- Racialized Legal Status as a Social Determinant of Health
  - Asad Asad, Harvard University
  - Matthew Clair, Harvard University

- “Recognizing their Right to Mobility and an IDENTITY!”: A Qualitative Analysis of Shifts in Immigration Policy and the Material and Psychosocial Resources for Health using Twitter Data
  - Aresha Martinez-Cardoso, University of Michigan Ann Arbor

- Arline Geronimus, University of Michigan Ann Arbor
Improving Access to Justice: An Analytical Study of Traditional Justice Systems (a Case Study of Nepal)
**Rajendra Ghimire**, University of Wollongong Australia

Indigeneity in Transit and Translation: The Bedouin in Israel
**Emma Nyhan**, European University Institute (EUI)

**Judges, Conflict and Dispute Resolution**
CRN: 43
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** Archie Zariski, Athabasca University

**Disc.:** Sarah Kinyanjui, University of Nairobi

**Description:**
This session explores the range of innovations which have arisen in relation to the courts' role in resolving conflicts and disputes, including judicial conflict and dispute resolution, judicial mediation, the use of technology, and other activities and approaches.

**Primary Keyword:** Judges and Judging

**Presentations:**
- Court-Provided Settlement Assistance: A Role for Judges or for Others?
  **Donna Stienstra**, Federal Judicial Center
- How Judges Settle Cases: Courtroom Observations of Judicial Conflict Resolution Practices
  **Michal Alberstein**, Bar Ilan University
- Ayelet Sela, Bar Ilan University
- Nourit Zimeran, Bar Ilan University
- Judge v Robot
  **Tania Sourdin**, University of Newcastle
- Judging with Societal Impact
  **Suzan Verberk**, Council for the Judiciary Netherlands
- Redesigning Judicial Mediation with Integrative Thinking - Recommendations from a Comparative Study between Canada and Singapore
  **Dorcas Quek Anderson**, Singapore Management University School of Law
- **Jean-François Roberge**, Université de Sherbrooke

**Justice and the Art of the Wall: The Politics of Mexican Muralism**
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** Luis Gomez Romero, University of Wollongong

**Disc.:** Nan Seuffert, University of Wollongong

**Participant(s):**
- **Santiago Carbonell**, Museo Fundación Santiago Carbonell
- **Jose Ramon Cossio Diaz**, Mexican Supreme Court
- **Héctor Cruz García**, Taller Héctor Cruz García
- **Madeleine Kelly**, University of Wollongong
- **Desmond Manderson**, Australian National University
- **Manuel González Oropeza**, Federal Electoral Court

**Description:**
Mural painting has been central to the visual representation of both Mexican modernity and the restructuring of Mexican society from the 1920s onward. The first great masters of the mural movement — "los tres grandes" Siqueiros, Orozco and Rivera— created art that was meant to be seen by everyone in public spaces. The mural thus appeared as a form of public art aimed at structuring and criticizing ideological discourses in the process of Mexican institutional consolidation after a devastating revolutionary war (1910-1920). This round table will delve into the nexus between discourses around and about justice and the mural art form—as developed in the building of the Mexican Supreme Court—, both in Mexico and beyond Mexican borders. The round table will hence bring judges, artists and academics together to discuss how the meanings of the murals in the Supreme Court both shape and are shaped by the utopian promise of justice and the Janus-faced realities of crisis and judgement.

**Primary Keyword:** Art and the Law

**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

**Law, Publicity and Evidence**
CRN: 22
IRC: 16
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Leslie Moran, Birkbeck College

**Description:**
This panel explores the relation between the law and image by challenging logocentric pictures of the law. The visual or auditory landscape of the law is explored to examine the pictures of the waveling sovereign (Majumder). The surplus of images in criminal trials however demands a certain kind of visual literacy. How are images made legible to the legal word (Suresh)? Trials of passion necessarily evoke a relation between spectacle and sensation. What then do they mean in
the contemporary context in which technologies and affects of vitality are constantly fuelled by passionate crimes (Liang)? What are the ways in which justice is visualised and afforded voice, as it were, in the painterly tales of women artists (Singh)? In contrast, what kind of images of revenge dominate the field of law, sociality and protests? How do images of castration of rape accused represent, activate and/or intensify carceral energies inside and outside the courtroom (Baxi)?

*Primary Keyword:* Popular Culture, Media, and the Law

*Secondary Keyword:* Violence

**Presentations:**
- Law and the evidential non-image
  *Mayur Suresh,* Birkbeck School of Law
- Picturing Castration as Justice
  *Pratiksha Baxi,* Center for the Study of Law and Governance
- What Should Happen, But Has Not Yet Happened? Painterly Tales of Justice
  *Mani Shekhar Singh,* O.P. Jindal Global University

**Legal Education and the Role of Women in the Legal Academy**

**IRC:** 32

**RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions**

**Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Rosemary Auchmuty,** University of Reading

**Description:**
The session combines papers on legal education in different countries of the world, the change which has happened in the past decades, an analysis of the factors of change, the role women have played and are playing in the process and the professional situation of women in the legal academy.

*Primary Keyword:* Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students

*Secondary Keyword:* Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Legal education in Myanmar and the Role of the Women Teachers in University Legal Education
  *Khin Khin Oo,* University of Yangon, Department of Law
- Olive Stone’s Career: a Lesson to Us All
  *Rosemary Auchmuty,* University of Reading
- Women Teaching Law at the University of Buenos Aires Law School
  *Beatriz Kohen,* University of Palermo

**Legal Geography: What is it Good For?**

**CRN:** 35

**Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m**

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Caza A (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** David Delaney, Amherst College

**Participant(s):**
- *Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar,* Law School, University of Haifa
- *Lisa Pruitt,* UC Davis School of Law
- *Mariana Valverde,* University of Toronto

**Description:**
Legal geography is an established, vibrant strand of socio-legal inquiry. Its insights and methods have been used to investigate topics as diverse as transgender restroom discrimination, sidewalk regulation, Guantanamo, humanitarian safe spaces, Palestinian dispossession and much more. But while its utility for specific research projects has been demonstrated, what it contributes to socio-legal scholarship more broadly has been little discussed. Is it a boutique area of concern only to those with a heightened spatial sensitivity or might it be more integral to the law and society enterprise in the Twenty-first Century? These questions are particularly pertinent given the Meeting’s explicit focus on “Walls, Borders and Bridges.” This roundtable brings together six prominent practitioners, each with distinct areas of expertise from different kinds of institutions, to discuss these and other related questions. Other themes include methodologies and the value of comparative studies. The roundtable also serves as an invitation to the curious.

*Primary Keyword:* Geographies of Law

**Legal Pluralism, Family and Violence in Comparative Perspective**

**CRN:** 48

**Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Bertram Turner,** Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology

**Description:**
The panel looks at various instances of encounter between state and non-state laws, and examines state-society relations and competing notions of human rights in various national contexts.

*Primary Keyword:* Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, and Non-State Law
Thursday 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

**Presentations:**
- Criminal Governance and its Legal Limits: Selective Law Enforcement and Drug Violence in two Brazilian cities, Jean Daudelin, Carleton University
- José Luiz Ratton, Federal University of Pernambuco
- Human Rights and Legal Pluralities in Indian and Tibetan Communities in the Himalayas, Tamara Relis, London School of Economics, South Asia Centre
- The parley of marriage and its breakdown amongst Punjabi-Sikhs in Canada: A legal ethnography of disputants, navigating (un)official forums and access to family justice in Ontario, Canada, Preet Kaur Virdi, SOAS, University of London
- Uniform Civil Code: Majoritarian Communalism Disguised as Secular Nationalism, Sachin Dhawan, Jindal Global Law School

**Mega-Regional Ordering Projects, Mega-Regionalism, and Third Parties: After TPP**
**CRN: 36**
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Benedict Kingsbury, NYU School of Law/Univ. of Utah

**Disc.:** Alejandro Radiles, Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México
Cesar Rodriguez-Garavito, University of los Andes

**Participant(s):**
- Benedict Kingsbury, NYU School of Law/University of Utah
- Paul Mertenskoetter, NYU Law School
- Alvaro Santos, Georgetown Law
- Greg Shaffer, Univ. of California Irvine School of Law

**Description:**
This panel will address the distinct nature of "mega-regional" as a scale of ordering in contemporary and historical perspective—and the role that law through treaties, contracts, institutional design, procedures and related practices can have in such orderings. The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) is a prime example of an ordering project of mega-regional scale and the purposive mega-regionalism implicit in TPP is accompanied by a new method for integration: 'mega-regulation'. The focus of mega-regulation in TPP is on aligning national regulation and administrative practices with cross-national market structures that are driven by Global Value Chains and the digital economy. Due to its gravitational pull and externalities ordering on a mega-regional scale raises concerns for third parties. The panelist will address in particular the implications of TPP for China as well as wider societal and distributional effects of mega-regional orderings.

**Primary Keyword:**
Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

**Secondary Keyword:**
International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Mergers & Acquisitions as a Complex Contract**
**CRN: 46**
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** Afra Afsharipour, UC Davis School of Law

**Disc.:** Claire Hill, University of Minnesota Law School

**Participant(s):**
- Carliss Chatman, Northern Illinois Univ. College of Law
- Frank Gevurtz, Univ. of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law
- Brian Quinn, Boston College Law School
- Christina Sautter, LSU Law Center
- David Webber, Boston University School of Law

**Description:**
The typical mergers and acquisitions transaction is memorialized in several related ancillary agreements rather than one definitive acquisition agreement. These ancillary agreements include, but are not limited to, letters of intent, confidentiality/standstill agreements, option agreements, voting agreements, transition services agreements, employment agreements, and intellectual property agreements. Over the past couple of decades, both the definitive acquisition agreement and related ancillary agreements have increased in both length and complexity. Despite this proliferation, definitive acquisition agreement terms have been understudied. In addition, until quite recently, scholarship has largely ignored ancillary agreements. Similarly, practitioners traditionally have not spent as much time or energy negotiating and drafting ancillary agreements as they have the definitive acquisition agreement in a deal. This roundtable will bring together scholars interested in different aspects of the complex contracting process in mergers and acquisitions. Key questions discussants will address include: What are the reasons underlying the increasing complexity of these transactional documents? Is this complexity due to changes in ownership, changes in industry, judicial decisions, changes in the legal practice, or other factors? What role does trust among parties, or the lack thereof, play? What value do deal lawyers add to the complex contracting process? What effect does this complexity have on the ultimate deal, including the deal price and, in the context of a public deal, the market’s reaction to an announced deal? What impact, if any, does the increase in agreements and their complexity have on both the quantity and quality of deal litigation? Discussants may also explore individual terms within these agreements such as...
standstill provisions, material adverse effect provisions, and deal protection devices as well as recent case law regarding these provisions. Finally, time permitting discussants will also be invited to share their teaching pedagogy regarding the definitive acquisition agreement and related ancillary agreements.

**Primary Keyword**: Corporate Law, Securities and Transactions  
**Secondary Keyword**: Economy, Business and Society

### Methods Cafe
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Professional Development Panel

**Room**: Independencia (3rd Floor)

**Participant(s):**  
Susan Coutin, University of California, Irvine  
Ronit Dinovitzer, University of Toronto  
Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School  
Mona Lynch, University of California, Irvine  
Kathy Mack, Law School, Flinders University  
Lynn Mather, SUNY Buffalo Law School  
Robert Nelson, American Bar Foundation/Northwestern University  
Victor Quintanilla, Indiana University  
Sharyn Roach Anleu, Flinders University  
Raul Sanchez Urribarri, La Trobe University  
Susan Silbey, MIT

**Description:**  
The goal of this session is to provide consultation and informal instruction about the use of specific methods and their application in sociolegal research. Scholars experienced in a range of research methods will sit in a large ballroom at different tables, which are prominently labeled with the methods about which they are prepared to advise. If you have heard about a method and would like to know more about it, if you are thinking about a particular method for a research project, or if you are currently struggling with a method, you are invited to consult with our experts-they are diverse in approach and eager to advise you.

### Privacy and Equality in a Digital Era: Risks, Rewards & Responses
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room**: Caza C (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.**: Janine Benedet, Allard School of Law, Univ. of British Columbia

**Description:**  
This panel examines privacy and equality in a digital era from a variety of perspectives: from the informational privacy experiences and practices of young people and members of other vulnerable communities, to online perpetuation of sexual violence and harassment against women and girls, to the risks and perceived rewards of big data tracking and profiling in education. Panelists will discuss both constraints and affordances associated with networked communications and online activity, particularly for historically marginalized groups and the efficacy of legal and education policy responses that have been or could be implemented in response to incidents that arise in online spaces.

The session involves researchers from The eQuality Project (www.equalityproject.ca), which is a diverse partnership working to map the ways in which e-marketing analytics sort youth into categories that often reproduce real-world patterns discrimination and set up young people for online conflict.

**Primary Keyword**: Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law  
**Secondary Keyword**: Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- Ethical Implementation of Big Data in Education: Policy and Practices in the US and Canada
- Priscilla Regan, George Mason University
- Elsa Talat Khwaja, George Mason University
- How do I Show Me: Social Media Photographs, Meaning, and Privacy
- Jacquelyn Burkell, University of Western Ontario
- Sarah Heath, University of Ottawa
- Technologically Facilitated VAW: Can Criminal Law Respond?
- Jane Bailey, University of Ottawa
- Carissima Mathen, University of Ottawa
- Vulnerability, Surveillance and the Right to Be Forgotten
- Andrea Slane, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

### Public Needs, Private Provision
CRN: 18  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room**: Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.**: Brian Gran, Case Western Reserve University

**Description:**  
This session will examine how law is used to ensure public needs are met with private provision.

**Primary Keyword**: Public-Private Dichotomy
Presentations:
Private Law As a Setback in Legal Struggle: Privatizing Public Spheres in Turkish Universities
Alican Abut, Başkent University
Şeyma Sağdiç, İnönü University

Violence and private protection rackets in Mexico and Turkey.

Luis Valentín Pereda Aguado, University of Toronto
Serdar San, University of Toronto

Who pays for public policing, and who benefits? Exploring the shifting boundaries of provision for public policing.
Jennifer Healy, University of Leeds

Walls, Tunnels, Gates & Bridges—Overcoming Exclusion In The Public Safety Justification Of Korematsu-like State Action, While Encouraging Inclusion To Secure Individual Private Rights
Jeff Kleeger, FGCU

Punishment and Democratic Politics: Visions from Latin America and Africa
IRC: 39
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Richard Sparks, University of Edinburgh
Disc.: Maximo Sozzo, Universidad Nacional del Litoral

Description:
This session is part of the International Research Collaborative "Punishment and Democratic Politics. Comparative and International Perspectives". Its aim is to foster a new appreciation of the position of penal politics within the wider processes of democracy in diverse, yet culturally connected, sites. There has been some progress in studies of these matters in recent years, on which we propose to build. We have some better accounts of circumstances in individual countries. We have some more sophisticated views of the sources of variation between countries. Yet the fully comparative study of these issues and their dynamics remains strangely underdeveloped. Many comparative exercises rest content with thin, cross-sectional and sometimes misleading data. There is a pressing intellectual and political need to develop these conversations in ways that are more cosmopolitan, more methodologically nuanced, and less dominated by an unreflecting Anglo-Saxon projection of the world. To achieve this we need to promote a new level of debate and cross-fertilization between scholars based in countries between which there are multiple layers of both affinity and contrast. In this session, the relation between punishment and democratic politics will be discuss departing from studies on national contexts of the Global South, introducing visions from Latin America and Africa.

Primary Keyword:
Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

Secondary Keyword:
Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

Presentations:
Punishment in the Global-South: Enlarging horizons and shifting knowledge production.
David Fonseca, Queensland University of Technology

Punishment, democracy and the end of the armed conflict in Colombia
Manuel Iturralde, Universidad de los Andes

Suspended Democracy - Punitive Demand and Administration of Criminal Justice in Brazil
Rodrigo Azevedo, PUCRS

When punishment is not discipline. The self-rule of carceral order in Venezuela
Andres Antillano, Instituto de Ciencias Penales. Universidad Central de Venezuela

Race and Criminalization
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Maria Mercedes Gomez, Saint Mary’s University

Description:
This panel explores the relationship between race and criminalization in different countries, both historically and in contemporary contexts.

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research

Presentations:
An Empirical Investigation of the Role of Race in the Criminalization of Marijuana in the Early 20th Century
Michael Vuolo, The Ohio State University
Laura Frizzell, The Ohio State University
Brian Kelly, Purdue University

Between Law Enforcement and Community Relations: Hate Crime and the Practice of Policing
Timothy Bryan, York University

Racism or not? The Perception of Legal Scholars about Legal Cases with Racist Content
Gislene Santos, Universidade de São Paulo
Helton Noguti, Universidade de São Paulo
Camila Tavares Universidade de São Paulo

The Strange Career of the Glow Stick: Race and Symbolic Criminalization in the War on Drugs
Allison McKim, Bard College
Race, Culture and Identity
CRN: 6
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Rupaleem Bhuyan, University of Toronto

Description:
This panel explores relationships among law, language and justice for African Americans in historical and contemporary contexts.

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research
Secondary Keyword: Race and Ethnicity

Presentations:
Frederick Douglass’s Law
Mark Golub, Scripps College

The Diversity Regime: How the Court Co-Opted Integration
Asad Rahim, UC Berkeley

Towards Microreparations
Kaimipono Wenger, Thomas Jefferson Law School

Reframing Sex Work: Beyond Conventional Tropes
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Imperio A (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Menaka Raguparan, Carleton University

Description:
This panel pushes the boundaries of normative understandings of sex work, rights, and the commercial sexual exchange drawing on a range of theories and perspectives.

Primary Keyword: Sex Work
Secondary Keyword: Social Theory and Law

Presentations:
Is porn the problem or are we looking in the wrong place? How do young people use pornography in informing and performing their gender and sexual identities
Lori Stinson, University of Ottawa

Researching sex work in the context of decriminalization
John Scott, Queensland University of Technology

Sex Workers Contribute: The Value of Commercial Sex Activities
Tamara O’Doherty, Simon Fraser University
Raven Bowen, University of York

The Home Affairs Select Committee Inquiry on Prostitution: Is the time ripe for a Human Rights based approach to sex work?
Laura Graham, Durham University

The politics of naming: the "prostitute" label as disability
Lindsay Blewett, York University

Remorse: what is it, how do judges, juries and other decision-makers evaluate it, and should it play a role in the criminal justice system?
CRN: 42
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Susan Bandes, DePaul University College of Law

Description:
Remorse plays a powerful role in criminal justice decision-making. It exerts influence at several junctures, including determinations of guilt, parole eligibility, and sentencing. In capital cases, a defendant’s display of remorse or remorselessness is one of the major factors in whether a jury will impose the death penalty. Yet the legal system’s reliance on remorse is based on several problematic assumptions which pose a rich set of research questions. Panelists will examine how judges, juries and parole boards evaluate remorse, how defendants are expected to show or perform remorse, the influence of factors like race, social class, culture, gender and juvenile status on judging and showing remorse, and the questionable link between remorse and future behavior. The presentations will draw on a variety of disciplines, including psychology, sociology, criminoology, and literary theory, and on criminal justice practices in the US, Canada, and Australia.

Primary Keyword: Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control
Secondary Keyword: Law and Psychology

Presentations:
How Parole Boards Construct the Remorseful Offender
Richard Weisman, York University

Morbid Laughter, Proper Tears: The Interpretation of Remorse in Juvenile Defendants
Martha-Grace Duncan, Emory University Law School

Remorse in the courtroom as an assessment problem: A psychometric framework
Michael Proeve, University of Adelaide

“Without a Scintilla of Emotion”: Examining Media and Juridical Interpretations of Karla Homolka’s Remorse Performative
Jennifer Kilty, University of Ottawa
Resilience, Leadership and Legitimacy in Xi Jinping’s China: Politics, Law, and Justice

CRN: 33
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Katrin Blasek, Humboldt Universität Berlin

**Description:**
Today’s China can still be qualified as a country in transition. After years of ongoing success stories, especially in terms of economic growth and social change, China has to reinvent itself in order to keep its impressive economic development up, but also in order to convince the Chinese people that the Communist Party is still the power that guarantees the wealth of the people and is therefore still legitimated to be the one and only leading force of China. In the economic arena, in the private and public sectors, Xi Jinping tries to “bring back” party discipline by launching what is probably the biggest set of anti-corruption campaigns in the history of the People’s Republic of China. The proposed panel sheds light on reforms in different areas (law, politics and justice). We will use different methods to answer the question of whether and how reform-efforts in different areas contribute to a sustainable political-legal system resilient enough to cope with transition.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- A Xi Change? Using Big Data to Identify Trends in Chinese Legal Reform
  **John Givens,** Kennesaw State University
- **Andrew MacDonald,** University of Oxford
  
  Rule of Law in China – A Comparative Approach
  **Katrin Blasek,** Humboldt Universität Berlin
  
  What do they mean by ‘Rule of Law’? A critical analysis of the discourse on the Rule of Law in the PR China under Xi Jinping.
  **Katja Levy,** Freie Universitaet Berlin

**Society and Critical Studies from the South**

CRN: 23
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** Gerardo Medardo Sandoval Trigo, UNAM (Autonomous National University of Mexico) Faculty of Law

**Disc.:** Sergio Martin Tapia Argüello, Centro de Estudios Sociais, Universidade de Coimbra

**Description:**
Nowadays the consequences of economic disaster shows the core of western thought: The epistemological crisis. In the first decade of the XXth Century the neo-colonialism and other manifestations in the distributions of wealth and natural resources in the world transforms radically the structures and functions of social order. In this vein the close relation between economy and law uses to shape the contents of rights and the limits of its expressions. In the lastest years we have learned how the universality and the human rights have two faces; for the processes of exploitation and neo-colonization the excuse of common wealth, public benefit and progress use hegemonically the human rights as a valid discourse to dissolve any resistance against the society interests and particular understandings. Also, the social movements have learned how to movilize the dynamics of law against their hegemonic foundations trough the politization in the streets and the radical affairs in the judicialization at the Courts.

A lot of experiences has been silenced and covered trough the gaze of the hegemonic studies; they make impossible to understand and produce another kind of discourses, structures and functions. That is why we need another vision, a critical frame that could understands another dynamic of knowledge and power from below, that could offer other possibilities, actors, knowledge and exercises of empowerment of law. In advance we are the wretched of the past, which its legacy has been written in theories, discourses and debates, but now we have a common ethical imperative, we have to theorize and participate in a counterhegemonical way, that means, from the South.

Mexico is a good example of poverty, marginalization, violence and death in the global South, but also of resistance, revolution and strategic claims in the field of State and Law that give to the people hope and strengeth to keep on going in their historic context. For those reasons this panel tries to show different experiences about the social struggles and their counterhegemonical possition always as an aspiration to contribute in the high impact democracy, human rights, Epistemologies of the South, post coloniality, Decoloniality and Critical Theory.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Secondary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory, Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**
- Concrete reality, capitalist Law and critica juridica: a perspective of totality from Latin-America
  **Daniel Sandoval-Cervantes,** Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Cuajimalpa

  Human Rights Discourse, social movements and transitional justice initiatives in Mexico.
  **Miguel Rabago Dorbecker,** Universidad de los Andes
The politics of the forgotten: When the Mexican indigenous communities remember us we are supposed to change the world.

Sergio Martín Tapia Arguello, Centro de Estudos Sociais, Universidade de Coimbra

Socio-legal Issues in Mexico and Latin America
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Manuel Gomez, Florida International University
College of Law

Description:
This panel explores a variety of socio-legal issues in Latin America, specifically in Mexico.

Primary Keyword:
Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

Presentations:
#Lords and #Ladies: Social Media and Popular Justice in Mexico City
Karen Ann Faulk, Colegio de Mexico

"Mobile Solutions" to Kidnapping in Mexico: Transborder Effects, Transnational Dynamics and Citizen-Led Innovation
Conor O’Reilly, University of Leeds
Ernesto Schwartz-Marin, Durham University

Ejerciendo derechos indígenas en el norte de México, contradicciones y limitantes
Fatima Valdivia, University of Texas at Austin

Indigenous Languages and Relay Interpreting: Istmo Zapotec-Spanish-English
Miguel Toledo Guzman, Judicial Council of California/Administrative Office of the US Courts

Portraying Interdisciplinary legal education in Latin America
Manuel Gomez, Florida International University
College of Law
Gorki Gonzales Mantilla, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Peru
Armando Guevara Gil, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Peru
Jean-Paul Saucier Calderón, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Peru
German Silva García, Universidad Católica de Colombia
Martin Hevia, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

Tax Base and Tax Policy
CRN: 31
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Paper Session

Room: Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Ari Glogower, The Ohio State University - Moritz College of Law

Description:
This panel explores issues in tax policy across a variety of areas of tax law including federal income tax, estate tax, and state and local tax.

Primary Keyword: Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies

Presentations:
A Tax Theory of the Firm
Richard Winchester, Thomas Jefferson School of Law

A Taxonomy for Tax Loopholes
Heather Field, UC Hastings College of the Law

Proposing a Single, Simpler Test for Cash Equivalency
Fred Brown, University of Baltimore School of Law

Thinking Home’s Homes: An Interdisciplinary Encounter
CRN: 23
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s):
Vanja Hamzić, SOAS, University of London
Genevieve Painter, McGill University, Faculty of Law

Participant(s):
Kirsten Anker, McGill University
Marija Grujić, Goethe University
Vanja Hamzić, SOAS, University of London
Genevieve Painter, McGill University, Faculty of Law

Description:
Our second roundtable on the relationships between home law/language focuses on home as the earthiest of terms linking our work on colonial archives, Indigenous ties to land & waters, gender-variant communities in Pakistan & home(s) after home. Home’s houses have curious idiomatic stories in English. 'There's no place like home' tells us that home arises from distinguishing a special, material place from surrounding 'mere space', even, as during colonialism, by transplanting names, laws & land practices. A host offers up this special space in saying 'make yourself at home'. Yet hospitality affirms ownership & so is, perversely, inhospitable. Not just a material or jurisdictional space, 'home is where the heart is', something felt & carried
despite displacement into new experiences of belonging. What binds us together in a shared sense of 'home'? Is this different from the bonds of language or even law? Do law & language play a role in constituting home & re-constituting it after loss?

**Primary Keyword:** Language And Discourse  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Transnational borders and boundaries: Changing geographies of exclusion and inclusion through border regimes (Part II)**  
IRC: 7  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
**Chair(s):** Cristina Fernandez Bessa, University of Barcelona  
**Disc.:** Nancy Wonders, Northern Arizona University

**Description:**  
External borders have penetrated in receiving societies as a new dispositif to govern populations. Using the perspective of "border performance" (Wonders 2006), the traditional dichotomy of borders and boundaries becomes less and less meaningful. The study of how the many subjectivities making up contemporary societies experience transversal borders within national territories equates to the study of how in globalization the social structure of modern nation state remodels itself through border regimes. The functioning of borders may be investigated in the way the subjectivities resisting border regimes in their everyday life eventually "do borders". The panel collects empirical cases exploring such conceptualization of borders in different settings worldwide, shedding light on commonalities and differences among local contexts, identifying the transnational dimension of transversal embodied borders and of mechanisms of power extending beyond the confines and logics of nation-states.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

**Presentations:**  
A Story of Cops, Gucci Bags, and Immigrants: Barcelona’s Fight Against Unauthorized Street Vending  
**David Moffette,** University of Ottawa

From asylum to exile: the mobile border of rights  
**Valeria Verdolini,** University of Milano-Bicocca

Mexico, 'An Endgame Country'. Chronicle of a Proclaimed 'Humanitarian Crisis', The Case of the Haitians Seeking Asylum and Stranded on the Vertical Mexican border  
**Amarela Varela,** Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México

**Women, Rights and the Law**  
Thursday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Hadar Aviram, UC Hastings College of the Law

**Description:**  
The papers discuss on gender discrimination and women's rights in such fields as intellectual property, sustainable economic development, abortion and higher education.

**Presentations:**  
Re-Conceptualizing Harm: Legal Rights and Remedies for Women and Children  
**Jill Engle,** Penn State Law

**Title IX as Public Education**  
**Jenny Braun,** Harvard Law School

**Uncomfortable Connections: Intellectual Property, Development and Women’s Rights**  
**Daniela Kraiem,** American University Washington College of Law

**Local Reception (ticket required)**  
Thursday 8:00 PM – 10:00 PM

**Location:**  
Alcazar del Castillo de Chapultepec

**Description:**  
Enjoy a Mexican Night (music, food and wines) in one of the most beautiful venues of the city, the historic Castillo de Chapultepec. Meet in the lobby of the hotel beginning at 7:45 PM for shuttle service to the venue.
Friday June 23, Session 1
8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.

Access to justice, Citizen Participation, and Democratization
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room:  Caza B (3rd Floor)

Description: This panel explores how citizens act as participants in different areas of law and political participation.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
- Amend or Defend? What a Crossnational Analysis Tells Us about Constitutional Reform and Democratization
  Ben Manski, University of California, Santa Barbara
- Citizenship’s Silences: The Violence of Racialized Denial by U.S. Citizenship & Immigration Services
  Katie Dingeman, California State University, Los Angeles
- William Estuardo Rosales, California State University, Los Angeles
- Crime Victimization and Particularistic Political Participation in Latin America
  Alejandra Arsmesto, FLACSO
- Neoliberalism and the Cost of Justice: Experiences of Self-Representation in Family Law cases in England and Wales
  Jess Mant, University of Leeds
- The Emergence of Responsive Law and Social Citizenship
  Whitney Taylor, Cornell University

Border Militarization and Immigration Control
CRN: 2
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room:  Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Jonathan Hafetz, Seton Hall Law School

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
- Accountability for the US Boarder Patrol: A State of Exception
  Alex Vitale, Brooklyn College - CUNY
- Border Line Anxiety: Racial Profiling and Immigration Enforcement in Northern Border Communities
  Sabrina Balgamwalla, University of North Dakota School of Law
- Cushion Countries: How recent immigration policies in Mexico and Turkey can reduce transit migration?
  Melike Arslan, Northwestern University
- Angel Escamilla Garcia, Northwestern University
- Unnatural Border: Fences, Race, and Environment at the U.S. Mexico Divide
  Mary E. Mendoza, University of Vermont

Comparing Legal Professions 30 years after Lawyers in Society: Lawyers in Society 30 Years On V
IRC: 37
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room:  Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Facilitator(s): Rick Abel, UCLA School of Law
Ulrike Schultz, FernUniversität in Hagen

Description:
The research strands which Abel & Lewis' Lawyers in Society identified have been paradigmatic for later studies. As a product of an epoch of counter-hegemonic political engagement and critical scholarship, it captured many of the dimensions of these upheavals and their impact on the profession and contributed to the processes which were beginning to deconstruct the basic tenets of the classical professional model. The period since 1988 has seen an acceleration of these processes. Most striking is the transformation of the world order: globalisation, the reconfiguration of nation states and the hegemony of neoliberal discourses. The impact on national professions of these developments has been dramatic, furthering the transformation of e.g. professional rationales and working practices. There is an urgent need to revisit the role of legal professions and to explore comparatively the impact of these transformations. The IRC sessions Comparing legal professions 30 years on will do that.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers

Presentations:
- Comparing Legal Professions 30 years after "Lawyers in Society": Methodology and theoretical issues
  Ole Hammerslev, University of Southern Denmark, Department of Law
- Hilary Sommerlad, University of Leeds
Legal Professions in Emerging Economies: The Growth of the Corporate Legal Sector in China, India and Brazil and its Impact on Lawyers and Society

**David Trubek**, University of Wisconsin, Madison
**David Wilkins**, Harvard Law School
**Bryon Fong**, Harvard Law School

When and Why Do Legal Professions Seek to Influence Law?
**Lynn Mather**, SUNY Buffalo Law School
**Leslie Levin**, University of Connecticut Law School

**Copyright Law and the Visual**

**CRN:** 44  
**Friday Session 1**, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Facilitator(s):** Brent Salter, Yale

**Description:**
From their earliest incarnations, copyright laws have acted as walls by creating exclusive rights for creators, as well as bridges, running between the interests of the creators and those of the public to use, re-use and build upon their works. This session considers these interactions in the context of the visual. It takes an historical perspective to examine the unique nature of issues that visual works pose to the law. The session travels from an examination of some of the earliest forms of protection for visual works through to a consideration of the difficulties of maintaining appropriate walls and bridges in the face of new technologies.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Presentations:**
- The Printed Map: Object of Copyright and Form of Authority in Eighteenth-Century Britain  
  **Isabella Alexander**, Law Faculty, Univ. of Technology (Sydney)
- Cristina S. Martinez, University of Ottawa
- Using Surrogates to Extend Copyright Protection in Cultural Heritage: A Historical Perspective from Engravings to 3D Printing  
  **Andrea Wallace**, CREATe, University of Glasgow & the National Library of Scotland

**Chair(s):** George Bisharat, UC Hastings College of the Law

**Disc.:** Roberto Kant de Lima, Federal University of Fluminense

**Description:**
This International Research Collaborative joins scholars from a variety of disciplines (law, anthropology, criminology, sociology, and history) who study the regulation of violence in colonial and post-colonial societies. IRC members are currently researching the roles of law, police, courts, lawyers, non-state actors, and others via long-term ethnography and other research methodologies. Initial exchanges within the IRC have indicated intriguing and unexpected parallels between the various societies under study. Thus, one of the tasks of the IRC will be to elucidate how such parallels could emerge from divergent and mostly independently-developing societies.

**Primary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- Derechos Humanos y Activismos. El análisis de la noción “violencia institucional” qua categoría política local  
  **Maria Victoria Pita**, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas - Universidad de Buenos Aires
- Impeachment, Dispute Resolution and Citizenship in Brazil  
  **Luis Roberto Cardoso de Oliveira**, University of Brasilia
- The Making and the Unmaking of the Palestinian legal system within the Colonized Palestinian Context  
  **Reem Al-Botmeh**, Birzeit University

**Criminal Justice Problems**

**CRN:** 44  
**Friday Session 1**, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**
This session will feature a discussion about contemporary problems in the criminal justice system.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Presentations:**
- Consent Confusion  
  **Aya Gruber**, University of Colorado
- Half way to freedom: Experiences of power, regulation, and rehabilitation within the halfway house context  
  **Katharina Helen Maier**, University of Toronto

**Crime, Public Security, and Human Rights in Colonial and Post-Colonial Societies in Comparative Perspective Panel I**

**IRC:** 6  
**Friday Session 1**, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)
Current Legal Issues in Asia and the Americas

CRN: 1
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Fernanda Duarte, UNESA e INCT/InEAC/PROPPI/UFF

Description:
This session covers legal and social issues in Asia and the Americas. The focus will be on work related to current trends in these regions. Examples might include discussions of contemporary political or legal challenges faced by governments or social groups, analyses of emerging trends in legal theory as they are related to Asia or the Americas, and/or projects that concentrate on particular legal or social problems endemic to societies in either region. Papers dealing with issues of racial minorities, gender, or indigenous groups are particularly encouraged.

Primary Keyword: Discrimination
Secondary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
Affirmative action in the Supreme Court: United States and Brazil in comparative perspective
Matheus de Almeida, Universidade Federal Fluminense
Carlos Alberto Lima de Almeida, Universidade Estadual de Sã

Animal Sacrifice and Religious Freedom in United States and in Brazil: The misconception of free exercise and Animal Rights
Lucia Frota Pestana de Aguiar Silva, Universidade Estadual de Sã
Andre Gustavo Correa de Andrade, Universidade Estadual de Sã

Brown v. Board of Education and the Symbolic Uses of Supreme Court Decisions
Jeffrey Hockett, University of Tulsa

Race and American Constitutional Exceptionalism
Mark Graber, University of Maryland Carey School of Law

Sons of a Minor God: Use of Reproductive Techniques by Single People and Gay Couples
Vera Lúcia Raposo, University of Macao

The Property of the Land Occupied by Quilombolas Communities in the Constitution and its Importance in Citizenship Status of Their Members
Leopoldo Soares, Centro Universitário Estácio de Ribeirão Preto

Cesar Augusto Ribeiro Nunes, Centro Universitário Estácio de Ribeirão Preto

Disability at the Intersection

CRN: 40
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Rabia Belt, Stanford Law School

Description:
This panel examines how the category of disability intersects with other social categories in various social contexts. The papers in this panel investigate the intersection of disability with race, gender, domestic violence, migration, education, and incarceration, thereby expanding and enriching our understanding of disability as a relational, contextual, and multifaceted category of analysis. The papers discuss the interaction of women with disabilities who experienced domestic violence with the justice system; the marginalization of prisoners with learning difficulties; the disabling of migrant children in legal processes; the construction of race and disability during the Burger Supreme Court era at the US; access to higher education in Nigeria for students with hearing impairments; and the development of a disability legal consciousness among non-white, immigrant parents of children with disabilities.

Primary Keyword: Disabilities
Secondary Keyword: Rights and Identities

Presentations:
Accommodating Difference: Disability, Domestic Violence and the Justice Systems of England and the United States
Maria Orchard, University of Bristol Law School

Bridging Legally Divided Identities to Achieve Intersectional Justice
Katherine Perez, University of Illinois at Chicago

Bridging Vulnerabilities: What the Experience of the Child Migrant Teaches Us About the Agency of the "Otherwise Enabled"
Qudsiya Naqui, Equal Justice Works
**Doing Socio-Legal Work**
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair(s): Jennifer Balint, The University of Melbourne
         Julie Evans, The University of Melbourne

Participant(s):
   Jennifer Balint, The University of Melbourne
   Annie Bunting, York University
   Julie Evans, The University of Melbourne
   Penny Green, Queen Mary University of London
   Dayna Nadine Scott, Osgoode Hall Law School

Description:
What can the 'doing' of socio-legal work look like? This roundtable session is an opportunity for participants to discuss how socio-legal work takes shape through partnerships, collaborations and in research settings outside the academy. The session focuses on the practical and ethical tensions and complications raised by such research using examples from the contributors ongoing research collaborations.

Primary Keyword: Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples
Secondary Keyword: Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Extending the Reach of Empirical Studies of Legal Consciousness**
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Legal Culture
8:00 AM - 9:45 AM Friday Session 1
Paper Session

Room: Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Marina Kurkchiyan, University of Oxford

Description:
The panel will discuss approaches in studying legal consciousness and possible directions that it takes to extend the boundaries of the sub-field. The panel will present the findings of empirical studies of how law is perceived and the role of legal consciousness behind the law making. Members of the panel will discuss merits, advantages and disadvantages of the various instruments for data collection and their potential value in capturing the concept of legal consciousness, taking as examples the study of specific groups such as street-level bureaucrats or corporate lawyers, and those who make law.

Primary Keyword: Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Cultures

Presentation:
"Perhaps Immoral, but Perfectly Legal": On the Legal Consciousness of Global Lawyers and the Difficulty of Tackling the Problem of Tax Avoidance
Pascale Cornut St-Pierre, Faculty of Law (Civil Law Section), University of Ottawa

A Focus Group Based Approach to Analysing how Law and the Justice System are Experienced
Laurence Dumoulin, Sciences Po Grenoble / CNRS
Cécile Vigour, Sciences Po Bordeaux / CNRS

Drunk-Driving Law in Brazil: Institutional Logics and Legal Consciousness among Street-Level Bureaucrats
Felipe Santos, UFPR/Universidade Positivo
Edson Guarido Filho, Universidade Positivo / IBEPES

The Human in the US Administrative Procedure Act
Chandra Middleton, University of California, Irvine

**Gender and Judging in Muslim Courts**
CRN: 32
IRC: 20
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio A (2nd Floor)
Chair: Josephine Dowuni, Howard University
Disc.: Monika Lindbekk, University of Oslo

Description:
This paper session deals with aspects of gender and judging in Muslim courts in the context of the Middle-East, South (East) Asia. The issues addressed are 1) the gendered construction of the judiciary and 2) gender aspects of judging in Muslim courts. The focus of the panel is the domain of family law because this is the field of law most influenced by classical religious precepts. It is also crucial to the broader debate among gender and citizenship. Furthermore, due to the lack of a social security system in many of the analyzed states, family law has an important redistributive function. Do male and female judges on state courts and religious courts (re)produce or challenge gender hierarchy in their dispensation of justice? In elaboration of this point, which sources are used to underpin gender norms (legislation, precedent, Islamic jurisprudence, principles of justice etc.)?

Primary Keyword: Gender and Judging
Secondary Keyword: Islam, Islamic Studies

Presentations:
Are Women Getting (More) Justice? Malaysia's Syariah Courts in Comparative-Historical Perspective
Michael Peletz, Emory University

Gender and Islamic Justice in Indonesia: The Judges' Legal Interpretation and the Unchanging Carriage toward the Protection of Women's Rights
Euis Nurlaelawati, State Islamic University

Judging the judges: Impact of judges' reformist v Islamist identity on the interpretation of Sharia in Bangladesh
Md Anisur Rahman, National University of Singapore
Muslim Judges at the Road of Intersection
**Nahda Shehada**, ISS

Women Judges and Women’s Rights in Pakistan
**Livia Holden**, Karakoram International University

“I only have the law, my mother, and Allah on my side”
On gender bargaining in a South Yemeni family court
**Susanne Dahlgren**, University of Tampere/NUS

**Geographies of Law**
CRN: 35
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

**Chair/Disc.: Tugba Basaran, CCLS Paris/Princeton U (2016-17)**

**Facilitator(s): Tugba Basaran, CCLS Paris/Princeton U (2016-17)**

**Description:**
The papers in this panel explore a broad range of themes, from housing zoning, to segregation and legal pluralism. However, they share a perspective viewing socio-legal issues through the lens of geography and place.

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law

**Presentations:**
4 perspectivas para analizar para la relación entre la regulación urbana y la segregación social-residencial en ciudades Chilenas.
**Vicente Burgos**, UCL

Building Bridges between Disciplines: Multidisciplinary Understandings of Legal Academia
**Nicky Priaulx**, Cardiff University
**Martin Weinel**, Cardiff University

The Social Geography of Zoning Law: Emplacing Legal Pluralism
**Sean Becker**, University of California - Berkeley

**Description:**
This panel explores the ways in which law intersects the lived experiences of migrants from the Global South. In particular, it brings together both Mexican and U.S. scholars to share their cross-border perspectives on migration. The papers do so by challenging fundamental presumptions related to migration, including the current construction of legal spaces carved out by U.S. law for migrants from the Global South. They seek to offer more nuanced explanations for the causes and impact of migration, the comparative differences in migration policy among Latin American countries, and the criminalization and illegalization of migrants over the past century. Further, they seek to critically identify the limitations inherent in the legal spaces occupied by migrants with U.S.-based advocacy that fails to consider intersectionality, empowerment, and collective action. All papers conclude with alternative approaches for achieving more humane, moral, and dignified experiences for migrants.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
Beyond the Line: An Analysis of the Causes and Consequences of Unauthorized Migration from Mexico to the United States
**Ragini Shah**, Suffolk University Law School

Criminalization, Human Rights, and the Politics of Migration in the Global South
**Jayesh Rathod**, American University Washington College of Law

Intersectionality in Legal Representation of Migrants Crossing the US/Mexico Border
**Caitlin Barry**, Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law

Legal Incorporation of Workers Migrating from the Global South
**Jennifer Lee**, Temple University Beasley School of Law

Migrant illegalization through regulation in the US
**Elisa Ortega-Velazquez**, UNAM

**How U.S.-Mexico Migration Debate Shapes the Lived Experiences of Migrants from the Global South**
CRN: 2
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s): Jennifer Lee, Temple University Beasley School of Law**

**Disc.: Ariadna Estévez**, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

**Informal Justice and Lawyers: Integrating Various Phases of Dispute Resolution**
CRN: 10
RCSL Working Group: Civil Justice and Dispute Resolution
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair:** **Luigi Cominelli,** University of Milan

**Disc.: Shozo Ota**, The University of Tokyo School of Law
**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

**Presentations:**
- Collective litigation and rights’ protection: an Analysis of the Colombian Council of State
  - **Angela Maria Paez Murcia**, Universidad de La Sabana
- Colombian Constitutional Court in the Time of Searching of Peace
  - **Gonzalo Ramirez**, Universidad Externado de Colombia
- El acceso a la justicia en las zonas afectadas por el conflicto armado en Colombia
  - **Héctor Vargas Vaca**, Universidad Externado de Colombia
- The deliberative judge and the Colombian case: constitutional adjudication, models of judicial behavior, and Colombia’s Constitutional Court’s substitution doctrine
  - **Jan Boesten**, Wissenschaftszentrum Berlin für Sozialforschung (WZB)

**Law and Public Policies in Latin America**
CRN: 28  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Chair:** **Fabio de Sa e Silva**, Institute of Applied Economic Research (Ipea); Harvard Law School’s Center on the Legal Profession

**Disc.:** **Mariana Valverde**, University of Toronto

**Description:**
Law and public policies are related issues. There are increasing legal studies about the instruments and the rules for the adjudication of public policies. Similarly, court ideologies and the judicial control over the formulation and implementation of public policies are in the agenda of political sciences studies. In the Latin American context, this session is proposed to discuss relations between law and public policy, in particular in the following aspects: formal institutional arrangements for the formulation and implementation of public policies, instrumental use of law to achieve goals public policy, implementation of public policies through the justice system and public policies for the justice system. In addition to the theoretical debate, the subject of discussion papers are necessarily the result of empirical research, contributing to a better understanding of the reality of the subcontinent.

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Methodology, Socio-legal Methodology
**Presentations:**

Analyzing Access to Justice Strategies in Brazil  
**Bernardo Medeiros,** Instituto de Pesquisa Economica Aplicada, Ipea

Economics instruments for environmental protection and the Law – Considerations about the Brazilian Framework  
**Laura Santos,** Getúlio Vargas Foundation (FGV)  
**Antonio Maristrello Porto,** Getúlio Vargas Foundation

Law and Political Crisis in Brazil: Can Courts Bring about Political Change?  
**Fernando Fontainha,** IESP/URJ

New Horizons in Legal Research in Brazil: the Emergence of Empirical Legal Research  
**José Roberto Xavier,** Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

State and Drug Policies: A mitigation-of-risk policy appraisal  
**Oliver David Meza Canales,** CIDE  
**Edgar Guerra Blanco,** CIDE

Theories and policies on access to justice in developing countries - Brazil  
**Paulo Eduardo Alves da Silva,** Universidade de Sao Paulo

---

**Law and Society and Economic Issues**  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Description:**  
This panel brings together scholars who explore economic issues as related to law and society.

**Primary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights  
**Secondary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**

Deflating the Student Loan Bubble  
**Mitchell Diesko,** Temple Law School

INSS’s Insureds versus the Executive Power: the STF as a "Friendly Hand" of the Financial and Actuarial Balance  
**Flávia Danielle Santiago Lima,** Universidade Católica de Pernambuco

Louise Dantas, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco  
**Tassiana Moura de Oliveira,** Universidade Federal de Pernambuco

The Art of Viability in House Building: Economic Feasibility or Avoiding Policy Obligations?  
**Edward Burtonshaw-Gunn,** University of Bristol

**Legal Profession, Access to Justice and Alternative Dispute Resolution**  
**IRC:** 13  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair:** David Restrepo Amariles, HEC Paris  
**Disc.:** Marcus de Castro, University of Brasilia  
**Manuel Gomez,** Florida International University College of Law

**Description:**  
This panel session comprises the stream on Access to Justice with a particular focus on the role of the legal profession and alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms. One paper highlights the importance of ADR for consumer protection. Another paper presents the case study of legal aid in Chile, explaining the differences between the 'staff', 'judicare', and 'voucher' models. Additionally, another paper focuses on the role of multinational law firms in the development of global law. Moreover, there is a paper following Max Weber's insight and seeking to understand the relationship between the quality of the BAR and the legislature and socio-economic development of Jamaica and Barbados. Finally, there is a study of how judges may influence judgment, examining insights from the scholarship of Cass Sunstein and Lawrence Friedman to the understanding of access to justice.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**

Complex Enforcement of Collective Actions  
**Pedro Fortes,** FGV Law School

**Martin Sybblis,** Princeton University

Procedural Justice and the Clarity of Judicial Decisions  
**Maria Candida Carvalho Monteiro de Almeida,** University of Stanford

The right to defence and access to justice in Chile: the challenge of consolidating a "staff" system in legal aid  
**Leonardo Cofre,** Universidad de Chile

The Role of Law Firms in the Legal Globalization  
**Cathalina Sanchez-Escobar,** Universidad Pontificia Bolivariana  
**Juan Antonio Gavira,** Universidad Pontificia Bolivariana

**Narratives of Mobility and Protection**  
**CRN:** 11  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session
Room: Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Veronica Fynn Bruyé, Australian National University

Description: Mobility is central to contemporary international and domestic legal definitions of a refugee. Vast numbers of people in need of protection, however, cannot move safely across borders or out of their countries of residence or origin. At the same time, many of those who are mobile and in need of protection do not fit within the limited, legal definitions of a refugee – and their mobility and arrival at so-called refugee receiving states is characterised as excessive, as a threat or as crisis. This panel considers how narratives of mobility, travel, protection and harm feature within asylum and migration law. The panel explores how these narratives influence the regulation of entry into sovereign states, both at their borders and within law and legal processes.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

Presentations:
- Administrative Justice and ‘the Right to be Heard’ in UNHCR Refugee Status Determination
  Niamh Kinchin, University of Wollongong
- Queering International Law at its Inception: Excavating Narratives of Hospitality and Sodomy in the Right to Travel
  Nan Seuffert, University of Wollongong
- The Screening of Suffering: Film as a Technique of Government in Anti-Asylum Campaigns
  Sara Dehm, University of Technology, Sydney
- Travelling Subjects: the Road, Credibility, and Narratives of Refugee Mobility
  Anthea Vogl, University of Technology Sydney

New Studies and Perspectives on Legal Consciousness Research
CRN: 33
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: David Engel, University at Buffalo, SUNY, School of Law

Description: The participants in this session share an interest in studying legal consciousness. Although ‘legal consciousness’ is a term that has become widely used among law and society scholars, it has acquired many different definitions and understandings. It has also attracted its share of critics. But we believe that ‘legal consciousness’ remains an important concept for our field. We hope to advance the scholarly discussion of legal consciousness as a research tool by presenting a range of papers that feature new analyses or applications, new research settings, new methodologies, and new theories. The goal of the proposed panel is to point the way to productive research agendas that draw on contemporary understandings of the concept of legal consciousness.

Presentations:
- Citizenship Through the Lens of Legal Consciousness: Privilege, Responsibility, Guilt, and Love in Mixed-Status Families
  Leisy Abrego, UCLA
- Legal Consciousness of Foreign Corporate Lawyers in Myanmar
  Arm Tungnirun, Stanford Law School
- Legal Consciousness Reconsidered: Emotions, Cognition, and the Relational Self
  Lynette Chua, National University of Singapore
- David Engel, University at Buffalo, SUNY, School of Law
- Merciful Discretions: Legal Consciousness and Moral Affects
  Arzoo Osanloo, University of Washington
- The Dog That Doesn’t Bark: Redirecting Legal Consciousness Research to the Absence of Law
  Marc Hertogh, University of Groningen

Picturing Law
CRN: 22
IRC: 16
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Caza C (3rd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Mani Shekhar Singh, O.P. Jindal Global University

Description: González-García offers radically different images of justice by shifting our gaze to figures of justice from three Latin American countries in the 20th Century. Srivastava shifts our gaze to images of justice, both as virtue and struggle, in the scenes of global justice. We travel to colonial courts in India to contemplate judicial iconography, court design and court architecture in Madras (Khorakiwala). The closing act stages an account of contemporary courts in Delhi focusing on legal architecture as a technique of organising legitimacy, power and justice (Kumar) and how digital media evidences documentary truths in Australian courts (Luker).

Primary Keyword: Art and the Law, Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparitive Legal Cultures
Presentations:
The Colonial and Post-Colonial Visual Culture of the Madras High Court  
Rahela Khorakiwala, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi

The Substance, Symbols and Images of Global Justice  
Jayati Srivastava, Jawaharlal Nehru University

Visualising Justice through Architecture: Exploring Law’s Semiotics and Symbolism in the Supreme Court of India  
Shailesh Kumar, Jawaharlal Nehru University

What is a Document? Evidentiary Challenges in the Digital Age  
Trish Luker, University of Technology Sydney

Katherine Biber, University of Technology Sydney

Practicing Freedom: Black Lawyers, Liberation Dreams, and New Civil Rights Strategies  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Facilitator(s): Megan Francis, University of Washington

Description:
This panel offers new understandings of civil rights histography, international race relations, and the law by detailing how black lawyers challenged and ultimately reshaped systems of racial governance. The papers on this panel reconsider the scholarship on black lawyering during the mid-twentieth century by examining the law practices of three pioneering black attorneys: Eletra Melton Alexander, Clyde Ferguson, and Nelson Mandela. Men like Thurgood Marshall have traditionally dominated the literature on black lawyers. The distinguished legal careers of other blacks across the diaspora who achieved celebrity status in their own right remain in relative obscurity despite the proliferation of scholarship on social movements in the post-World War II world. This panel also engages an emerging body of scholarship examining the relationships between law, racial performance, and racial representation.

PrimaryKeyword: Race, Critical Race Research  
SecondaryKeyword: Legal History

Presentations:
Clyde Ferguson and the Changing Face of U.S. Racial Diplomacy  
H. Timothy Lovelace Jr., Indiana University Maurer School of Law

Nelson Mandela and the Law  
Justin Hansford, St. Louis University School of Law

The Accidental Pioneer: Gender, Race, and Lawyering in the Jim Crow South  
Jeffrey Gonda, Syracuse University

Proactive, Responsive, Cooperative Law: From Disputes to Big Data  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Jonathan Kloaren, University of the Witwatersrand

Description:
The papers on this panel offer insight into how the ways law’s channeling, reactive, and collaborative functions are fostered and frustrated. Across a range of timely and interesting subjects, the papers explore the challenge of developing institutions, norms, and processes for defining, preventing, managing and resolving conflicts.

PrimaryKeyword: Disputes, Mediation, and Negotiation  
SecondaryKeyword: Regulation, Reform, and Governance

Presentations:
China Bound? The Legal Dimensions of China as a Potential Country of Immigration  
James McCarthy, Queen's University

Hospitals, Ombudsman and dialogue: the potential for an effective patient’s consent and judicialization avoidance  
Adriana Goulart de Sena Orsini, Federal Univ of Minas Gerais

Patricia Gazire De Marco, Federal Univ. of Minas Gerais

Cibele Aimée de Souza, Federal University of Minas Gerais

Isadora López Barbosa, Federal Univ. of Minas Gerais

Ana Leticia Martins, Federal Univ. of Minas Gerais

In Search of an Equilibrium between the Principles of Personal Data Protection Continuity and Free Transborder Data Flows for an Inter-connected World  
María Solange Maqueo Ramirez, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas

María Mercedes Albornoz, Centro de Investigación y Docencia Económicas

Regulatory Approaches for Cross-border Intelligence Surveillance toward Democratic Accountability: Comparing Japanese and Taiwanese Laws with U.S. Law  
Hsin-Hsuan Lin, University Of Virginia School of Law

Setting in Motion an International Human Rights Court: the Active Agents behind the Inter-American Court’s Decisions  
Liesel Oberarzbacher, Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México (ITAM)
Processes of decolonization towards the strengthening of citizenship and the (re)construction of Human Rights in Latin America

CRN: 1
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Conquista (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Enzo Bello, Universidade Estácio de Sá

Participant(s):
Cesar Augusto Baldi, Universidade de Brasilia - UnB
Enzo Bello, Universidade Estácio de Sá
Élida Lauris dos Santos, Centro de Estudos Sociais (Coimbra) / universidade Católica de Pelotas

Description:
Since the 15th century there has been a process of growing colonization of traditional peoples by Modern European States in "our Americas". The 18th and 19th centuries model of Liberal Constitutionalism reinforced the process of economic, political and cultural capitalist domination, through the legal and political legitimation of a Constitucional Rule of Law. Nowadays, it persists under the clothes of the coloniality of power, being and knowledge. This has imposed a reduced and surveilled democracy that has developed a bureaucratic State unable to root a culture of values constitutive of a participatory citizenship. The forced transplants of neobureaucratic models turned human rights into formal structures and changed its meanings. This panel intends to contribute through interdisciplinary studies to rescue the authentic human rights of our peoples, focusing the regional identity and the reconstruction of an original and inclusive model of Politics and Law in Latin America.

Primary Keyword:
Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

Secondary Keyword:
Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Public Intellectualism
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Public Outreach Session

Room: Embajadores (3rd Floor)

Chair(s): Renee Cramer, Drake University

Rethinking International Law: New Methods, Doctrines, and Critiques

CRN: 23
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Rene Fernando Uruena Hernandez, University of Los Andes

Description:
Each of the papers in this panel challenges existing paradigms in international law, and many propose new ones. Papers query the foundation of human rights law, the role of photography in international law, litigiousness and human rights consciousness, the doctrine of self-determination, and the works of Wolfgang Friedman.

Primary Keyword:
International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

Presentations:
Perception of Injury: Views from Conflict Resolution and Human Rights
David Lucas, University of Washington

The Changing Practices of International Law: the uneasy legacy of Wolfgang Friedmann
Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen, Raoul Wallenberg Institute for Human Rights

The Elusive Foundations of International Human Rights Law
Stephen Simon, University of Richmond
**Social and Legal Justice in the Digital Age**
CRN: 37  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Scott Skinner-Thompson, New York University School of Law  

**Description:**  
This panel explores the consequences of technological innovation on the pursuit of social and legal justice. Themes include the ethical uses of public and private data sources (including leaked and hacked data); how digitalization alters access to legal institutions; how design tenets can proactively aid the “usability” of law; and the impacts of mobility on the “digital divide.”  

**Primary Keyword:** Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Access to Justice  

**Presentations:**  
- Data, Data Everywhere but not a Bit to Byte? Ethical and Practical Considerations for Conducting Research using Leaked Data  
  *Anne Boustead*, Cybersecurity Project, Belfer Center, Harvard Kennedy School  
- Usability of Law in an Information Age  
  *Noel Semple*, University of Windsor, Ontario  
- Privacy Perils of Open Data -- A Case Study of Taiwan’s Open Data Policy and Practices  
  *Ching-Yi Liu*, National Taiwan University  
- Usability of Law in an Information Age  
  *Weiping Li*, University of Penn Law School  
- Visions of Public Safety, Justice and Healing: The Making of the Rape Kit Backlog  
  *Andrea Quinlan*, Trent University  

**Sovereign Debt in Post- and Neo-Colonial Developments**  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Pierre Penet, University of Geneva  

**Description:**  
This panel revisits the meaning of debt in colonial and postcolonial projects. The papers ask how state building and the building of debt were mutually constitutive. The entangled histories of debt and colonialism also became clear during the breakdown of colonial empires, when continuity in debt repayment became a controversial issue. Postcolonial developments have exposed a variety of critical weaknesses in the concept of debt continuity. Departing from the idea that postcolonial transitions can be treated as a succession of discrete and self-contained events, the papers understand how debtors, creditors, and third parties continuously updated their tactics using prior debt dispute episodes as benchmarks. The papers also focus on debt as a point of entry to understand instances of neocolonialism. The papers assess the extraordinary relevance of the postcolonial experience to understand the current predicament of the sovereign debt regime, both in the Global North and South.  

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism  

**Presentations:**  
- Decolonization and Sovereign Debt: A Tangled History  
  *Michael Waibel*, University of Cambridge  
- Informal empire in long 19th century Latin America redux: trade, finance and foreign control  
  *Juan Flores Zendejas*, University of Geneva  
- New territorialities of sovereignty Argentina at stake with sovereign debt and financial laws  
  *Benjamin Lemoine*, CNRS - Paris Dauphine University (PSL)  
- Odious PIIGS: What the European debt crisis tells us about odious debt in the age of predatory lending  
  *Pierre Penet*, University of Geneva  
- Sovereign debt disputes and the evolution of a global debt restructuring regime (1820-2015)  
  *Christian Suter*, University of Neuchâtel  
- We Owe You Nothing: Decolonization and Sovereign Debt Obligations in International Public Law  
  *Gregoire Mallard*, Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies  

**Subject of and to the Law: the Role of Policy and Technology in Shaping Legal Subjects**  
CRN: 3  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session  

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.:** Haley Duschinski, Ohio University  

**Description:**  
How is the productive potential of law shaped, augmented, and circumscribed by state policy and technology? How do they shape what-and who-can and cannot be seen? In turn how are technologies employed to communicate with, subvert or protest
the law or governing power? Marshaling different theoretical optics, analytical vantage points, and disciplinary concerns, these papers investigate law’s productive potential in a world of multiplex modes of discerning relevance. Exploring practices and technologies of surveillance and monitoring, as well as visualization and representation, it offers critical insight into the practice of law as a processual, contingent phenomenon shaped by how we perceive rights, heritage, borders, ethnic boundaries, and psychological well-being.

**Primary Keyword:** Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture  

**Presentations:**  
- Between Production and Consumption: Tracing the Techno-Legal Lives of Commodities at National Border Ports-of-Entry  
  **Allison Fish,** Indiana University & University of Queensland  
- Collective Injuries and the Future of Social Insurance  
  **Yoonjung Lee,** KAIST  
- Of Vulnerability and Violence: Murder in the Santa Clara County Mental Health Court  
  **Jessica Cooper,** Princeton University  
- Practical Ethnographic Methods and Consultation Practices for Inclusive and Equitable Community Representation in Urban Redevelopment and Cultural Heritage Decisions  
  **Sara Ross,** Osgoode Hall Law School

**Takings (Part 1)**  
**CRN:** 3  
**Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)  
**Chair(s):** Bernadette Atuahene, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law/ American Bar Foundation  
**Disc.:** Justin Richland, University of Chicago / American Bar Foundation  

**Description:**  
In the legal academy, the term takings has become synonymous with constitutional takings. When defined more broadly, however, a taking is when a person, entity, or state confiscates, destroys, or diminishes rights to property without the informed consent of rights holders. Adopting a more expansive conception of takings lays the groundwork for a robust interdisciplinary conversation about the diverse manifestations and impacts of involuntary property loss, where some of the most valuable contributions are made by people who do not consider themselves property scholars. This panel is based on an Annual Review article that starts the conversation by bringing together the empirical literature on takings published between 2000 and 2015 and scattered in the fields of law, economics, political science, sociology, psychology, geography, and anthropology.

**Primary Keyword:** Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism  
**Secondary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**Presentations:**  
- African-American Land Loss: Causes, Consequences, and Legal Reform Strategies to Stem Further Losses  
  **Thomas Mitchell,** Texas A&M University School of Law  
- Halfway Homeowners: Eviction and Forced Relocation in Mobile Home Parks  
  **Esther Sullivan,** University of Colorado Denver  
- Out of the floodwaters, but not yet on dry ground: experiences of displacement and adjustment in adolescents and their parents following Hurricane Katrina  
  **Jennifer Reich,** University of Colorado Denver

**Precarious Claims: The Promise and Failure of Workplace Protections in the United States -- Shannon Gleeson**  
**CRN:** 8  
**Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.**  
**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Author(s):** Shannon Gleeson, Cornell University  
**Chair(s):** Kate Griffith, Cornell ILR School  
**Reader(s):**  
- Charlotte Alexander, Georgia State University  
- Ruben Garcia, University of Nevada, Las Vegas  
- Jennifer Gordon, Fordham Law  
- Kate Griffith, Cornell ILR School

**Description:**  
Precarious Claims tells the human story behind the bureaucratic process of fighting for justice in the U.S. workplace. The global economy has fueled vast concentrations of wealth that have driven a demand for cheap and flexible labor. Workplace violations such as wage theft, unsafe work environments, and discrimination are widespread in low-wage industries such as retail, restaurants, hospitality, and domestic work, where jobs are often held by immigrants and other vulnerable workers. How and why do these workers, despite enormous barriers, come forward to seek justice, and what happens once they do? Based on extensive fieldwork in Northern California, Gleeson investigates the array of gatekeepers with whom workers must negotiate in the labor standards enforcement bureaucracy and, ultimately, the limited reach of formal legal protections.
The author also tracks how workplace injustices and the arduous process of contesting them carry long-term effects on their everyday lives. Workers sometimes win, but their chances are precarious at best.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**The Role of Methodology in the Study of the Law**

**CRN:** 3  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Chair/Disc.:** Eve Darian-Smith, University of California Santa Barbara

**Description:**

This ethnography, Law & Society CRN-sponsored panel examines the implications of different methodological approaches to studying corruption, magistrates participation in legal systems, punishment, and formulations of welfare.

**Primary Keyword:** Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

**Secondary Keyword:** Formal Legal System

**Presentations:**

- Alternative sentencing in Brazil: an ethnographic approach on the meaning of punishment  
  **Carmen Fullin,** Direito FGV SP BRAZIL

- Constitutional ethnography -- an ethnographic critique  
  **Jeremy Gould,** University of Jyväskylä

- Exploring the meanings of small scale bribery and anticorruption for Chinese migrant entrepreneurs active in the wholesale trade in post-1989, Bucharest, Romania  
  **Jing Hiah,** Erasmus University Rotterdam

- Images of freedom and Welfare in Sweden: An anthropological approach  
  **Matthias Abelin,** Lund University, Social Anthropology

- The making of 'Everyday' at the Courthouse: Encounters, Knowledge and Authority in Argentina's Mixed Tribunal  
  **Santiago Abel Amietta,** Middlesex University

**UN Security Council and Politics of Global Security Law**

**CRN:** 23  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Kim Lane Scheppele, Princeton University

**Disc.:** Matt Craven, SOAS

**Description:**

With the rise of trans-boundary risks and threats, global security law and governance is changing. The UN Security Council is stretching the collective security system envisaged in the UN Charter by intervening in new domains and developing novel security practices. The R2P doctrine, targeted sanctions against individuals, piracy governance and the war against foreign terrorist fighters, for example, all enact new programs that transform domestic and international law in potentially far-reaching ways. These changes prompt urgent questions that this panel seeks to address: how are new forms of global security law and governance changing international law and the UN Security Council? What challenges for constitutionalism do these changes create? How is the legal management of transnational problems revalourising the role of expertise? What new networks of actors are enrolled through global security problems and what governance techniques and practices are they forging and shaping?

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Secondary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

**Presentations:**

- Building the Third Hurdle: Travel Bans and Governing the Problem of ‘Foreign Terrorist Fighters’  
  **Gavin Sullivan,** University of Kent

- How the Security Council Works  
  **Isobel Roele,** Queen Mary University of London

- The Risk-Based Approach (RBA) to Security Governance in the Global Counterterrorism Regime Complex  
  **Alejandro Rodiles,** Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México

- The Security Council’s Use of Law in Chapter VII Deliberations  
  **Anna Hood,** University of Auckland

**Varieties of Regulatory Experience: Political Campaigns, Capital Markets, Private Businesses, and Government Compliance**

**CRN:** 23  
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Sari Graben, Ryerson University

**Description:**

This panel explores themes in governance and regulation across a variety of domains—from political campaign financing to growing commercial industries, and capital market systems.

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance
Women and the Law in History
CRN: 44
Friday Session 1, 8:00 a.m. - 9:45 a.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5

Chair(s): Michele Stephens, West Virginia University
Disc.: Allison Tait, University of Richmond School of Law

Description:
These four papers demonstrate the complicated intersection of gender, race, and class involved when women confront legal doctrine, legal arguments, and legal institutions. Jordan examines how women lawyers of color in the U.S. and England in the early 20th century both used and fought each country’s racial and gender categories as these women attempted to enter the legal profession. Stephens examines women in revolution-era Mexico using law and legal institutions to protect their children; using their identities as mothers, and drawing on their gender, class, and ethnic backgrounds, women were able to appeal to law with some success. Iyengar argues that Wells-Barnett used her writing, and the exposure newspapers gave her, to challenge lynching as a state practice by appealing to public opinion and to public opinion and to the media to challenge racial terrorism. Baringer examines how suffragists challenged natural rights discourse and republican ideology in their campaign for the vote.

**CRN:** 8  
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)

**Author(s):** Ahmed White, Colorado Law School

**Chair(s):** James Pope, Rutgers Law School - Newark

**Reader(s):**  
Charlotte Garden, Seattle University School of Law  
Benjamin Levin, Harvard Law School  
Christopher Tomlins, University of California, Berkeley  
Rebecca Zietlow, University of Toledo College of Law

**Description:**  
Ahmed White’s new book, *The Last Great Strike: Little Steel, The CIO, and the Struggle for Labor Rights in New Deal America* (University of California Press, 2016), proposes a radically new interpretation of U.S. labor history during and following the crucial New Deal era. In contrast both to the traditional narrative (which portrays a period of enlightened policymaking followed by a more-or-less just regime of collective bargaining) and to the critical scholarship of the 1970s and afterwards (which finds anti-labor ideology deeply embedded in judicial decisions applying labor statutes), White focuses first and foremost on the gritty realities of class struggle. The result is to spotlight events and laws that play only peripheral roles in the traditional and critical accounts. Criminal law and property law rival labor law itself in their shaping influence on industrial relations. The Little Steel strike, previously understood as a modest – though bloody – setback for workers, now emerges as a turning point for labor law and industrial relations. As the existing literature recounts, the steelworkers’ union did eventually prevail on the immediate issues of recognition and collective bargaining, but White suggests that the strike shaped the resulting system of industrial relations in ways that disadvantaged labor. Where workers had previously developed vibrant and participatory unions engaged directly in protest and rights enforcement, the strike’s brutal suppression channeled them toward cumbersome and often feeble official processes.

**Primary Keyword:** Class and Inequality  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal History

---

**Challenges and Paradigm Shifts in Aging Societies**  
**CRN:** 33
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m. Paper Session

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Amy Huey-Ling Shee, National Chung Cheng University

**Disc.:** Kay-Wah Chan, Macquarie University

**Description:**  
This century has witnessed inevitable challenges of the aging society. Experts of all fields thus endeavor to make international and local legislation and mechanisms to face this global phenomenon, during which interdisciplinary research is crucial for the build-up of a stable foundation for social engineering of localities with unique cultural values and traditions. While facing challenges of multi-dimensional local needs, there has also accumulated organic dynamics of legal socialization which meets the challenges to consumer protection, employment, family ethics, social adjustments, and so on. This session aims to take on this development in chosen jurisdictions within various cultural backgrounds and socio-legal considerations. The 5 papers will identify the challenges envisaged by local societies under the global trend of aging. Suggestions shall then be proposed for policy making and practical legislation that may bridge state policies and laws to the realities of the local societies. Together we can think about possible paradigm shifts to transform difficulties into potentials for the healthy development of a positive aging society.

**Primary Keyword:** Aging  
**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**

- Consumer Protection for Elders in Japan  
  *Naoko Akimoto*, National Chiao Tung University  
  Institute of Technology Law

- Dignity at the Sunset of Life: A New Task of the Family Law in Taiwan  
  *Hung-Meng Liang*, National Chung Cheng University

- Impact of an Aging Japan on Workforce: Effects and Efficiency of the Law Reforms  
  *Caslav Pejovic*, Kyushu University

- Traditional Filial Piety in China’s (PRC) Elderly Rights Protection Law of 2009  
  *Astrid Lipinsky*, University of Vienna

- What does aging mean to an individual, society, population and a state? The case of China  
  *Adam Horalek*, University of Padubice
Constitution-Making in Latin America, and Constitutional Law Issues in Brazil and Mexico
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Description:
These papers explore constitutional issues in Brazil and Mexico.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Analysis of the admissibility requirements for procedural resources directed to the US Supreme Court and the Federal Supreme Court of Brazil for control of constitutionality of laws and administrative acts: The Writ of Certiorari and the extraordinary appeal
Pedro Bourgeois Gomes, Faculdade Nacional de Direito da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Rodrigo Jesus Neves de Paiva Navarro, Faculdade Nacional de Direito da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Restrictions of human rights in the constitutional law and its contradiction with the international law of human rights: case of mexico
Alan Daniel López García, Poder Judicial de la Federación

‘Constitutional Entrepreneurs’: Transnational Actors in Constitution-Making Processes in Latin America
Javier Couso, University Diego Portales

Crime, Public Security, and Human Rights in Colonial and Post-colonial Societies in Comparative Perspective Panel II
IRC: 6
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Roberto Kant de Lima, Federal University of Fluminense
Disc.: George Bisharat, UC Hastings College of the Law

Description:
This International Research Collaborative joins scholars from a variety of disciplines (law, anthropology, criminology, sociology, and history) who study the regulation of violence in colonial and post-colonial societies. IRC members are currently researching the roles of law, police, courts, lawyers, non-state actors, and others via long-term ethnography and other research methodologies. Initial exchanges within the IRC have indicated intriguing and unexpected parallels between the various societies under study. Thus, one of the tasks of the IRC will be to elucidate how such parallels could emerge from divergent and mostly independently-developing societies.

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:
Juveniles who Committed Serious Crimes in Mexico
Elena Azaola, Centro de Investigaciones y Estudios Superiores en Antropología Social

La defensa técnica adecuada y el acceso a la justicia: Análisis antropológico de las prácticas de los defensores públicos especializados en justicia para adolescentes, dentro del modelo acusatorio en México.
Guadalupe Irene Juárez Ortiz, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas de la UNAM

Pretrial negotiations in Brazilian Trial by Jury
Izabel Nuñez, Federal Fluminense University

The Legal Projection of Jerusalem: Coloniality and ahistoriocity
Hanean Naamneh, The London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE)

“Lines” of “Investigation”: murder, police and bureaucracy at a Homicide Division in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Flavia Medeiros, UFF

Criminal Justice in Latin America
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Description:
This session will feature a discussion about criminal justice and criminal justice reform in Latin America.

Primary Keyword:
Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

Presentations:
Crime reporting: Perceptions, behavior, and fostering the rule of law
Katrina Heimark, University of Minnesota

Criminalización de las drogas: efectos y contradicciones desde la perspectiva sistémica de
Niklas Luhmann
Tiago Villas, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

La confianza ciudadana en las instituciones de justicia penal en México. El impacto de la reforma penal en la relación Estado-ciudadanos
Aurea Esther Grijalva Eternod, University of Guadalajara
Irving Joel Llamosas Rosas, University of Guadalajara

When Powers Merge: The Leopoldo López Trial and the End of the Judicial Branch in Venezuela
Giancarlo Fiorella, University of Toronto
Criminal Justice Reform: Changing Roles and Resources of Lawyers and Law Enforcement
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Colonia (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Darryl Brown, University of Virginia
Disc.: Brandon Garrett, University of Virginia Law School

Description:
The American criminal justice system is in a time of flux, as are others around the world. Many jurisdictions are rethinking the traditional roles of police, regulators, defense lawyers, and prosecutors. At the same time, jurisdictions are focusing on how resource questions impact those roles. There is a new focus on cost/benefit analysis, in reinvesting savings from reducing incarceration, and on the collateral and direct costs of criminal enforcement. This panel will include criminal justice scholars from a broad range of perspectives discussing works in progress regarding the conceptual role of police; the changing roles of prosecutors; the role of market-based concepts in criminal procedure; the effect of cost and of defense lawyering on the decline in death sentencing; resource constraints on public defenders and whether prosecutors have a responsibility to consider them; and the constitutional status of collateral consequences of criminal convictions.

Primary Keyword:
Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

Secondary Keyword:
Policing, Law Enforcement

Presentations:
- Informed Criminal Juries and Political Feedback
  Daniel Epps, Washington University in St. Louis

- Is There a Duty to Cooperate with the Police?
  Eric Miller, Loyola Law School, Los Angeles

- Reorienting the Prosecutor: Developing a New Theory for Prosecutorial Discretion
  Irene Joe, UC Davis School of Law
  The Mystery of Emergency Police Authority, 1900-1950
  Gabriel Chin, UC Davis School of Law

- The Role of a Prosecutor
  Shima Baughman, University of Utah College of Law

Chair/Disc.: Bilgin Tiryakioğlu, Bilkent University

Description:
The method applied for the settlement of disputes, as one of the main components of risk management, has a vital significance for the parties of a business relation. Arbitration has been the preferred way of dispute settlement for the last decades both for commercial and investment disputes because it enables the parties to be more flexible in procedure and choice of law. Due to the popularity of arbitration, the number of institutions providing arbitration services and model laws is increasing. However, arbitration itself causes several issues and controversies. Accordingly, new institutions seeking solutions are introduced to arbitration. One of the most remarkable developments in arbitration is the opportunity to engage third party funding to finance (TPF) arbitration claims. TPF seeks to bring solution to arbitration's highly criticized aspect namely arbitral costs. On the other hand, legitimacy of the use of arbitration in state-investor disputes is still disputable. In almost every arbitral case, jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal rises as the primary issue that lingers the period of resolution. Due to such problems some "alternative" methods to arbitration is on the agenda. Mediation is recently promoted as a viable option for resolution of both investment and commercial disputes. The incline towards mediation leads the states to enact laws on mediation which are designated to conduct both commercial and investment disputes. However, use of the mediation in state-investor disputes also causes questions on its legitimacy. In our session, we will be dealing with mentioned issues of dispute settlement via five presentations.

Primary Keyword: Disputes, Mediation, and Negotiation

Presentations:
- Consent to Arbitration as a Matter of Jurisdiction of Arbitral Tribunals in State-Investor Arbitration
  Bilgin Tiryakioğlu, Bilkent University

- Istanbul Arbitration Centre and Choice of Law Issues
  A.J. Santos, Ankara Yildirim Beyazit University

- Mediation Under Turkish Law
  Necla Ozturk, Akdeniz University, School of Law

CRN: 1
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Denis De Castro Halls, Faculty of Law /University of Macau

Description:
This session covers legal and social issues in Asia and the Americas. The focus will be on work discussing challenges
to access to justice, considered broadly as the access that citizens have to dispute resolution tools of justice including but not limited to courts, but also to civil and administrative processes that might impact on protecting rights. Papers might include discussions on access to justice on its two dimensions: procedural access and also substantive justice. Examples dealing with issues of effective access to justice, reductions in costs, access to lawyers and access to courts and the the efficaciousness of a justice system in meeting the dispute resolution needs of its citizens are welcome.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**
- Attrition in Public Defenders Offices
  **Sarah Lageson,** Rutgers University - School of Criminal Justice
- **Valerio Bacak,** Rutgers University
  Class Actions and Access to Justice: the impact of opt in/opt out and other models
  **Larissa Pochmann da Silva,** UCAM/UNESA
- Globalization of Law and the Common Law Influence in Brazil
  **Maria Carolina Amorim,** UNESA - Universidade Estácio de Sá
- The Production of Evidence in the Brazil and North American Civil Procedure
  **Carlos Nascimento,** Universidade Estácio de Sá
- The Recognition of Expertise and the Decision Making Process in Classes Appeals from Small Claims Courts of the Federal Court of the 2nd Region (Brazil).
  **Cristina Lorio,** ESTACIO

**Innovation in Private Law**
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Smita Kheria,** University of Edinburgh

**Description:**
This panel will explore, in a variety of contemporary market-oriented jurisdictional contexts, the development and impact of the forms, strategies and meanings that lawyers develop and promote in the interests of their own clients and society.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Presentations:**
- Entrepreneurship, Intrapreneurship, and the Taxonomy of Innovation Incentives
  **Mirit Eyal-Cohen,** University of Alabama
- The Language of Love v. Beshar: Building a Bridge Between Client Needs and Advocacy Goals When Creating a Civil Rights Case Narrative
  **JoAnne Sweeney,** University of Louisville, Louis D. Brandeis School of Law
- **Dan Canon,** Clay Daniel Walton & Adams
  The U.S. Reputation Management Industry: Promoting the “Right to Be Forgotten” in Spirit?
  **Ben Medeiros,** UCSD
- Tort law, Patient Safety, and Niklas Luhmann’s System Theory of Law: Medical Malpractice Dispute Resolution as an Example of Interdisciplinary Translation
  **Chih-Ming Liang,** Graduate Institute of Health and Biotechnology Law, Taipei Medical University

**Institutions, Markets, and Regulation - Credit, Investment, and Industry**
IRC: 13
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Disc.: Everaldo Lamprea,** Los Andes Law School

**Chair/Disc.: Diego Gil Mc Cowley,** Stanford Law School

**Description:**
This is a session of the stream, Markets, and Regulation with a particular focus on policies for micro-credit, financial investments, and industrial regulation. One paper presents the case study of micro-credit as an instrument to alleviate poverty in contemporary Brazil. Another paper examines the Chinese policy to finance the oil industry, by examining the bilateral investment treaties with Central Asian countries within the complexities of the juridical field. Another paper examines international investment law from the perspective of conflict theory and as an instance of systemic violence. Finally, there is a study of the challenges associated with regulating marketing approval requirements (MARs) for biological medicines in developing countries, which examines especially the symbolic power of language for the establishment of regulatory standards.

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**
- Microcredit, Development and the Overcoming of Poverty: A socio-legal analysis of the Brazilian Oriented Productive Microcredit Program
  **Paulo Sampaio,** University of Brasilia
- Oil Mandarin on Horseback
  **Mingzhe Zhu,** China University of Political Science and Law
Social conflict is and has been one of the objects of study in the Social Sciences and Law in particularly. It's since the second half of the twentieth century that the positive perspective of the social conflict is more strongly assumed by the academic community, understanding it as a motor of the social changes and the creative thinking, aspects who promote the human development COSER (1956).

The study of conflicts from a socio-legal perspective would allow the visualization of the transformations that happens in the collective structures. As multidisciplinary group we are going to analyze social conflicts who involve diverse actors searching the sense they give to their actions. We would use a qualitative methodology allowing the approach to social reality as a world that is "outside" not in an artificial environment GIBBS (2012). We seek to observe and explain behaviors, the functioning of the organizations and social movements in Latin American scenarios.

**Primary Keyword:** Violence

**Secondary Keyword:** War and Armed Conflict

**Presentations:**

- Human rights and criminal law: relation and tensions in scenarios of transition
  **Camilo Umana**, Universidad Externado de Colombia

  **María Daniela Díaz Villamil**, Universidad Externado de Colombia

- Usos militantes e institucionales del derecho a propósito de la causa de las mujeres victimas del conflicto armado en Colombia
  **Carolina Vergel**, Universidad Externado de Colombia

**Languages of Transnational Law: Unpacking Contested Categories in an Increasingly Monoconceptual Hegemonic World**

**CRN:** 23

Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m. Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Megan Bowman, King's College London**

**Description:**

An intriguing and at the same time unsettling ambiguity seems to have followed on the surge of criticism of the Eurocentrist and not-yet-provincialized conceptualization of the Global Governance project. Frustrated with the introverted, self-referential rally for universalist principles in 'global constitutional law' among scholars situated in North America and Europe, projects such as "transformative constitutionalism" or "epistemologies of the global south" sought to formulate an answer. But, where do things stand after years of resisting the
hegemonic pull of the North and its languages of development and growth, modernization and the rule of law? By focusing on key categories in the struggle between dominant and alternative governance discourses, this panel will strive to identify avenues for new methods and frameworks for non-hegemonic languages.

**Primary Keyword:**
Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- A theory of practice: Legal pluralism in climate finance regulation
  - **Megan Bowman,** King’s College London
- Rethinking the hegemonic notion of foreign investment: examining the empirical evidence of international investment system in the Global South
  - **Federico Suarez-Ricaurte,** Externado de Colombia University / King’s College London
- State, Development and Global Governance. How Mining Corporations regulate through the Colombian constitutional State?
  - **Jimena Sierra-Camargo,** Rosario University / King’s College London

**Law, Discrimination, and Constructions of Inequality**
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Kerri Scheer, University of Toronto

**Description:**
This session highlights research on the workplace, discrimination, law, and the reproduction of inequality.

**Primary Keyword:** Discrimination

**Secondary Keyword:** Labor and Employment

**Presentations:**
- "She Even Called Me a Black ‘B’": Employment Discrimination Law, Stereotypes, and the Reinscription of Race, Gender, Disability, and Age Hierarchies
  - **Ellen Berrey,** University of Toronto
- American Bar Foundation/ Northwestern U
  - **Laura Nielsen,** American Bar Foundation/Northwestern U
  - **Robert Nelson,** American Bar Foundation/Northwestern U
- Bureaucratic Neutrality, Employment and Race-, Sex- and Age Discrimination
  - **Vincent Roscigno,** The Ohio State University
  - **Reginald Byron,** Southwestern University
- The Racial Entitling of Employment Discrimination Law
  - **Tristin Green,** University of San Francisco School of Law

Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Duque (2nd Floor)

**Author(s):** Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University Law School

**Chair(s):**
- **Joanna Grossman,** SMU Dedman School of Law
- **Grant Hayden,** Hofstra University School of Law

**Reader(s):**
- **Lauren Edelman,** University of California-Berkeley
- **Malcolm Feeley,** University of California-Berkeley
- **Pedro Fortes,** FGV Law School
- **Joyce Sterling,** University of Denver Sturm College of Law

**Description:**
This is an author-meets-reader panel, convened to discuss Lawrence Friedman’s new book, *Impact: How Law Affects Behavior* (Harvard University Press 2016).

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Structure, Legal Institutions

**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

**Life Imprisonment in Latin America: Singularities of Practice and Social Meaning**
IRC: 34
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Christopher Seeds, New York University

**Description:**
While the spread of life imprisonment in the USA is striking in scope and scale, its use across the globe has also drawn attention as a violation of human rights principles and standards. Latin America is unique in this context as a region that uses life imprisonment less than any other. Yet this is not necessarily a symptom of leniency, for the sentencing practices and conditions of confinement in many Latin American countries, including those with no life imprisonment, are notably severe. This IRC investigates practices of life imprisonment in Latin America countries with attention to the different ways in which it is authorized, implemented and constitutionally upheld, and to the factors that contribute to its varying emergence across nations. The papers on the panel take a particular interest in how Latin American countries punish persons convicted of serious and violent offenses and, more generally, in the relationship between sentence duration and other forms of penal severity.
**Primary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control  
**Secondary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- "De Facto" Life Imprisonment in Latin America  
  **Francisco Javier De León Villalba**, University of Castilla-La Mancha
  **Beatriz López Lorca**, University of Castilla-La Mancha
- The contradictions of the prohibition of the extreme forms of punishment in Brazil  
  **Giovanna Maria Frisso**, Fluminense Federal University

**Life of the Law - Pitching Scholarship to Media (A Workshop)**  
**CRN:** 3  
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Public Outreach Session

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Nancy Mullane**, Life of the Law  
**Anthony Gannon**, Life of the Law

**Description:**
You've just published years of research and now it's time to get it disseminated!

Get tips on best practices for reaching out to media to get your scholarship in the news. Meet one-on-one with professional, award-winning journalists and producers from Life of the Law.

Come prepared to pitch your exciting scholarship for a possible story and they'll be ready to listen and provide individual feedback.

**Locality, Citizenship and Governance: Comparative Perspectives**  
**CRN:** 2  
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** **Matthew Light**, University of Toronto

**Description:**
Locality, Citizenship and Governance: comparative Perspectives

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- Policing a Sanctuary City: Resisting Immigration Devolution and Municipal Borderwork in Toronto  
  **Karl Gardner**, York University
- Rethinking Local Involvement in Immigration Administration: The Case of Migrant Population in New Taipei City  
  **Hsiu-Yu Fan**, Soochow University School of Law
- The Fabrication of a Haven without Refugees: the Israeli Asylum System and Local Deterrence Policies  
  **Shira Havkin**, Science-Po / CERI
- The Politics of Refuge: Sanctuary Cities, Crime, and Undocumented Immigration  
  **Benjamin Gonzalez O’Brien**, Highline College  
  **Loren Collingwood**, University of California, Riverside
- **Stephen El-Khatib**, University of California, Riverside
- The U.S. Federal Government Immigration Has Lost Control Over the Removal Proceedings of Unlawful Aliens  
  **Brian Tuttle**, University of Utah SJ Quinney College of Law

**Negotiating Justice: Strategy, Advocacy, and Legal Technique**  
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** **Catherine Grosso**, Michigan State University College of Law

**Description:**
This panel offers an ethnographic window into the strategic work of lay and professional legal actors. This includes trial attorneys in the United States and Bolivia, recipients of social welfare benefits in Canada and citizens in Egypt. It also explores a range of legal practices and processes that unfold outside of distinctly courtrooms and legal spaces— including workers' formulations of responsibility and risk vis a vis employers, and efforts to settle breaches of contract "as if" legal institutions were sufficient to meet citizens' needs. The presenters are centrally concerned with legal strategy and advocacy, postcolonial legal institutions in states of transition, and access to justice in distinct cultural contexts.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Realism, Legal Formalism  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture
Presentations:
From "My Lord" to "Your Honour": Transforming British Legal Practice into Caribbean Jurisprudence
Lee Cabatingan, University of California, Irvine

Phantom Cases and Ephemeral Players in the Eternal Bureaucracy. State Officials' and Citizens' Repertoires at Public Prosecutor Offices in El Alto, Bolivia
Jorge Derpic, University of Texas at Austin

The Politics of “As-If:” Litigation as Dissimulation
Christine Hegel-Cantarella, Western Connecticut State Univ

The Punitive Logic of Social Welfare in Quebec
Véronique Fortin, Universite de Sherbrooke

Catherine Chesnay, Université du Québec a Montreal (UQAM)
Elisabeth Greissler, Universite de Montreal

Of Knives and Robots: Law and the Technology of War of All against All
CRN: 23
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.Roundtable Session

Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Disc.: Noura Erakat, George Mason University

Chair/Disc.: Itamar Mann, University of Haifa, Faculty of Law

Participant(s):
Delphine Dogot, Sciences Po
Markus Gunneflo, Lund University
Ioannis Kalpouzos, The City Law School - City University
Chase Madar, Independent Scholar
Heidi Matthews, Osgoode Hall Law School

Description:
The weaponisation of technology is a long-cherished human ambition. Its recent flourishing has been the source of both technological optimism and angst. This roundtable will question both. The paradigm-shifting nature of autonomy, algorithms, and cyber-force will be challenged, while the co-existence of high-tech warfare with its counter-paradigm, low-tech and very personal violence, will be explored. While robots and algorithms herald a new era of rational if dehumanized violence, in many parts of the world, kitchen knives have been weaponized and transformed into a symbol of a new form of political violence. The heights of cyber-force sophistication compete with the spectacle of ‘medieval’ torture for what best reflects our present predicament. How can a discussion of the high-tech/low-tech confrontation contribute to an understanding of law and technology that is informed by global inequality?

Primary Keyword: War, and Armed Conflict
Secondary Keyword: International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

Reimagining Access: Institutional Experiments in the Postindustrial City
CRN: 35
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio C (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Frank Munger, New York Law School

Description:
American cities have experienced deep changes in the wake of deindustrialization, revitalization, and the dismantling of the social safety net. These tectonic shifts hold serious consequences for the poor and their ability to live and dwell in urban settings. How are people reimagining space and renegotiating the politics of property within this context of postindustrial transformation? For this panel, we invite papers that interrogate law as a site of experimentation with the rules and practices that shape access to resources-especially land and housing-for the urban poor. What distributive possibilities open up when we reimagine how land and housing can be owned, financed, subsidized, and regulated? How might tinkering with norms that govern who can access and inhabit urban space and under what conditions provide a basis for expanding social and economic rights? And what are the limits of these legal and regulatory "experiments"?

Primary Keyword: Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism
Secondary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:
Building Inspections, Profit, Value, and Affordability of Housing
Robin Bartram, Northwestern University

Experimental Fields: Inventing the Urban Agriculture District
Nate Ela, University of Wisconsin - Madison

Networked Vouchers
Monica Bell, Harvard Law School

Racial NIMBYism and the Paradox of Private Influence in Low-Income Housing Policy
John Robinson, Washington University in St Louis
**Sex Shaming and Policing**

**CRN: 29**  
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.: Michele Goodwin,** UC Irvine School of Law

**Description:**  
In this session, presenters will unpack the complicated intersections of sex, government control, and regulations. Despite advances in women's rights and access to political power, women remain subject to various forms of government policing and tracking of their intimate spaces whether in bathrooms, maternity wards, or prisons, frequently leading to what some refer to as "slut" shaming, stereotyping and stigmatization. This panel scrutinizes these moves in law and interrogates what they mean for women's equality in society.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Borders, Bathrooms and the Policing of Liminal Gendered Subjects  
  **Allyson M. Lunny,** York University
- Contested Humanitarianism in US family Detention: Child-Care Facility or "Baby Jail"?  
  **Leanne Purdum,** University of Georgia
- Criminal Migrants or Sex Slaves: Testing How Stereotypes Impact Identification of Potential International Human Trafficking Victims  
  **Pauline White Meeusen,** University of California, Berkeley
- Cuckoo Children, Slutty Mothers and Shammed Fathers  
  **Wesahl Domingo,** University of the Witwatersrand
- Self-Efficacy in a Women's Medical Center  
  **Michelle Malkin,** Michigan State University

**Systems of Judicial Decision Making and their Dynamic:**  
**Historical Perspectives on the Transformation of Institutions, Processes, and Rationalities**  
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)  
**Chair(s): Peter Collin,** Max-Planck-Institute for European Legal History  
**Stefan Kroll,** Goethe University Frankfurt

**Disc.:** Sara Dezalay, Cardiff School of Law and Politics

**Description:**  
The subject of this panel is the dynamic of systems of judicial decision making. We apply a broad understanding of judicial systems which implies also extra-judicial forms of conflict resolution as well as state and non-state legal courts. Our general understanding is, that systems of judicial decision making are not fixed but are constantly changing. This change can take the form of stabilization in cases where a system of decision making proves to be resilient vis-à-vis outside pressures. In other cases, however, change can mean the disaggregation and disappearance of a particular form of conflict regulation. In order to explain and analyze these dynamics, it is necessary to understand how judicial institutions, processes, and rationalities are embedded into their contingent historical contexts. Focusing on entities of judicial decision making as systems, we expect that changes of single elements of the system result in the transformation of the system of decision making as a whole.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Theory and Law

**Presentations:**
- From Supervising Financial Markets to Protecting Speculators: Stock Market Courts of Honor in Germany, 1896 - 1928  
  **Peter Collin,** Max-Planck-Institute for Europaen Legal History
- Legal Diversity, Authorities and Non-state Actors in South Africa: A Critical Analysis  
  **Lethokwa George Mpedi,** University of Johannesburg
- Legal Experts, Analogies, and Normative Change  
  **Stefan Kroll,** Goethe University Frankfurt
- Responses to Mass Violence: Managing the Tension between the Universal and the Particular  
  **Sara Dezalay,** Cardiff School of Law and Politics  
  **Ron Levi,** University of Toronto  
  **Philipp Kastner,** University of Western Australia
- Static and Dynamic Elements of Judicial Decision Making and Legal Diversity in Bolivia  
  **Lorena Ossio Bustillos,** Max-Planck-Institute for European Legal History
- The Failure of the Tribunal of the British Commonwealth of Nations: Sovereignty and the Conflict between International and Constitutional law  
  **Donal Coffey,** Max-Planck-Institute for European Legal History
**Takings (Part 2)**
CRN: 49
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Tonya Brito, University of Wisconsin Law School

**Disc.:** Debbie Becher, Barnard College, Columbia University

**Description:**
In the legal academy, the term takings has become synonymous with constitutional takings. When defined more broadly, however, a taking is when a person, entity, or state confiscates, destroys, or diminishes rights to property without the informed consent of rights holders. Adopting a more expansive conception of takings lays the groundwork for a robust interdisciplinary conversation about the diverse manifestations and impacts of involuntary property loss, where some of the most valuable contributions are made by people who do not consider themselves property scholars. This panel is based on an Annual Review article that starts the conversation by bringing together the empirical literature on takings published between 2000 and 2015 and scattered in the fields of law, economics, political science, sociology, psychology, geography, and anthropology.

**Primary Keyword:** Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism

**Secondary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Presentations:**
Cycles of Dispossession: Foreclosure markets, land contracts and evictions  
Joshua Akers, University of Michigan, Dearborn

Marine Spatial Planning and the Redefinition of Common Pool Resources  
Áslaug Ásgeirsdóttir, Bates College

Property Rights and Natural Resource Management Incentives: Do Transferability and Formality Matter?  
Makiko Omura, Meiji Gakuin University

The Uneasy Case for Adverse Possession  
Jeffrey Stake, Indiana University Maurer School of Law (Bloomington)

**Chair/Disc.:** David Elkins,Netanya College School of Law

**Description:**
The papers on this panel explore the enforcement of the tax law both from the perspective of the tax administration authority and taxpayers attempting to comply with the law.

**Primary Keyword:** Taxation, Social Security, Fiscal Policies

**Presentations:**
Congress Should Empower the U.S. Tax Court with Concurrent Jurisdiction Over the Trust Fund Recovery Penalty of 26 U.S.C. § 6672  
Ausher Kofsky, Western New England University, College of Business

Is the Expatriation Tax Constitutional? Deferrals, Mark to Market and the Macomber Conundrum  
Henry Ordower, Saint Louis University School of Law

Some Reservations About Treasury’s Reserved Powers  
Andy Grewal, University of Iowa

Tax Information Reporting as Weapon of Mass Destruction  
Richard Beck, New York Law School

The Law of the Leak  
Shu-Yi Oei, Tulane Law School

Diane Ring, Boston College Law School

**The Corporate Criminal: Why Corporation must be abolished?/ La empresa criminal: Por qué las corporaciones deben ser abolidas?**

**IRC: 2**
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Author(s):** David Whyte, University of Liverpool

**Chair(s):** Jose Atiles, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez

**Reader(s):** Gustavo Rojas Páez, Universidad Libre

**Description:**
In their books, The Corporate Criminal and La Empresa Criminal, Steve Tombs and David Whyte conduct a groundbreaking and fresh critical analysis of corporate power and law, neoliberal legal institutions and corporate criminogenic practices. Drawing from socio-legal, critical criminology and state-corporate crime traditions, the authors of these books propose a sophisticated and highly elaborated empirical and theoretical analysis of the legal and historical construction of corporations and the way that those institutions have been constructed in popular...
and political iconography. By exemplifying and analyzing multiple cases of corporate "misconduct" and their effects on the environment, food, wealth distribution, economic development and global inequalities, that is, in our everyday life, Tombs and Whyte show how corporations are endemic criminal institutions. However, their analysis goes beyond describing merely the criminogenic nature of corporations and proposes an irresistible case to abolish those institutions. The book has been recently published in Spanish, and this bilingual session aims to put in dialogue the authors and readers in ways that explore how strategies to resist corporate power could be expanded. The dialogue established here aims not only to be bilingual (Spanish and English) but also to cut across academic and geographical borders. It is a dialogue between the Global North and South and between socio-legal, legal, critical criminology traditions and grassroots social movements.

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate Law, Securities and Transactions  
**Secondary Keyword:** Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

### The Force of Law Unleashed? Lawyers and Legal Formalism

**CRN:** 21  
**Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**  
**Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session**

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Author(s):** Devyani Prabhat, University of Bristol Law School  
**Chair(s):** Fiona Haines, University of Melbourne  
**Reader(s):**  
- Katie Bales, Bristol University  
- Jessica Hambly, University of Bristol Law School  
- Morag McDermont, University of Bristol Law School  
- Bronwen Morgan, UNSW School of Law

**Description:**  
The book (published in March 2016 by Palgrave Socio-legal Series and nominated for two top UK prizes in 2016: UK Socio-legal Association and UK Society of Legal Scholars) has been described as 'a model piece of comparative legal sociology, addressing a really important set of questions' by Professor Steven Lukes, the eminent sociologist (theorist of law, power, Durkheim). The questions it addresses are about the role of the legal profession and the role of law during times of national security concerns. It resonates with the theme of the conference Walls, Borders, and Bridges: Law and Society in an Inter-Connected World because it looks at the practice of law during times of emergencies in the US and the UK. In times of emergencies questions of inclusion and exclusion become of heightened importance and there is a renewed search for aliens. Aliens are not just from outside but are also identified within society and the lawyers who work on their legal cases struggle with challenges of exceptionalism in the law as well as legal formalism. The book’s findings on who can act in these situations, how and why are of importance to a wide range of law and society scholars as it addresses fundamental issues about the force of law and the effects of legal formalism. The panel brings together international (Australia, US, UK) scholars who have a long association with the LSA and the UK SLSA.

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights  
**Secondary Keyword:** Lawyers, and other Professional Legal Actors

### The Interlocking Dimensions of Crimmigration

**CRN:** 2  
**Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Christopher Lasch, University of Denver Sturm College of Law

**Description:**  
In 2006, Juliet Stumpf coined the term "crimmigration," describing the "merger" of criminal law and immigration law that had been occurring with increased intensity since the late 1980s as a "crimmigration crisis." Crimmigration arose from the inability of then-existing social and legal controls to limit the creep of America’s "War on Drugs." Among crimmigration’s many facets are the bleeding of criminal justice norms, legal structures, and enforcement mechanisms into the immigration arena, the direct harnessing of state and local criminal processing systems as a “front end” to the immigration enforcement machinery, and the perpetuation of the social construction of the immigrant as not only "illegal" but "criminal." The papers to be presented in this session will address different dimensions of the “crimmigration” regime currently operating in the United States, incorporating the disciplines of history, law, sociology, social work, education, and critical race and feminist theory.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies  
**Secondary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research

**Presentations:**

- The Legal and Political Determinants of Sanctuary Policies  
  **Lisa Martinez,** University of Denver  
  **Christopher Lasch,** University of Denver Sturm College of Law

- Preparing Teachers in the United States to Help Youth Resist Crimmigration and Dehumanization  
  **Maria del Carmen Salazar,** University of Denver
The criminalized immigrant other: Troubling the strategies that provide the opportunity for dehumanization immigrants by nation states

Debora Ortega, University of Denver Latino Center for Community Engagement and Scholarship

The Long History of Juan Crow

Tom Romero II, University of Denver

The Transnational and Sub-national Study of Abortion

Lawfare

IRC: 36
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s): Isabel Cristina Jaramillo Sierra, Universidad de Los Andes

Disc.: Siri Gloppen, University of Bergen/CMI - Centre on Law & Social Transformation
Alicia Ely Yamin, FXB Center for Health and Human Rights, Harvard University

Participant(s):
Paola Bergallo, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella
Marta Machado, FGV Sao Paulo Law School
Alba Ruibal, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Argentina
Rachel Sieder, CIESAS
Catalina Smulovitz, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

Description:
The arrival of sexual and reproductive rights on public agendas implies a new moment in the dynamics between law and society in Latin America. Despite important regional and international advances to guarantee sexual and reproductive rights and a right to abortion, in particular, these have frequently provoked tensions between those favoring and those opposing their recognition, battles which are increasingly played out in the region’s courts. The study of abortion lawfare in Latin America provides an interesting opportunity to explore the specific trajectories of legal mobilization and counter-mobilization across and within multi-level legal systems. The round table will explore the conceptual and methodological challenges posed by the study of abortion lawfare in multi-level legal contexts including transnational and sub-national legal mobilization.

Primary Keyword: Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

Trends and Issues in the Rule of Law in Southeast Asia - Transition States

CRN: 33
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair(s): Jonathan Liljeblad, Swinburne University

Disc.: Hitoshi Ushijima, Chuo University

Description:
Lee Kuan Yew asserted a vision of Southeast Asia that diverged from Western development paths by using state policies that emphasized social order over liberalism under the guise of the rule of law. The Southeast Asia of Lee Kuan Yew’s era, however, has become a region of states spanning a spectrum of development and undergoing various forms of transformation. This has induced a wide range of issues across the region (including, but not limited to: political transition, corruption, social and economic development, migrant labor flows, trafficking of narcotics and humans, and environmental degradation). This raises questions as to how the Southeast Asian conception of the rule of law has changed to accommodate the diverse issues within the region. This panel looks at the states of Southeast Asia that are undergoing political transition and are less developed in terms of lower human development index scores, and explores how they are exercising the rule of law in addressing the challenge.

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

Secondary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

Presentations:
Legal Hybridity, Shari’ah and the Rule of Law in Mindanao, Philippines

Imelda Deinla, The Australian National University

National Human Rights Institutions in Southeast Asia: The Limits of United Nations Efforts in Developing Regional Human Rights

Jonathan Liljeblad, Swinburne University

Rule of Law Assistance and its Interfaces in Myanmar: Actors, Trends and Technologies

Kristina Simion, Australian National University
**World Development Report 2017: Governance and the Law**
**CRN: 36**
Friday Session 2, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Terence Halliday, American Bar Foundation

**Participant(s):**
- Deval Desai, Harvard Law School
- Benedict Kingsbury, NYU School of Law/University of Utah
- Cesar Rodriguez-Garavito, University of los Andes

**Description:**
The 2017 World Bank World Development Report (WDR) on Governance and the Law, focuses on the problem of policy effectiveness. The Report brings socio-legal studies into dialogue with microeconomics and political economy. The Report makes three main arguments. First, for policies to achieve development outcomes, they must enable three key functions: commitment so that people can rely on policies; coordination of socially desirable behavior among actors; and cooperation to prevent free riding and promote public goods. Legal norms and institutional forms matter primarily to the extent that they are able to generate these functions. Second, the performance of these functions is shaped by the policy arena through which state and non-state actors interact to design and implement policies. The relative power and social norms of actors in the arena is critical to enabling – or constraining – policy effectiveness. Unhealthy power asymmetries can lead to persistent policy failure through exclusion, capture and clientelism. Ideally, law serves to provide checks and balances on the exercise of power, but often either reflects the interests of the powerful, or produces informal deals. Third, the Report examines how the agency of elites, citizens and international actors can reshape the policy arena to expand the set of effective implementable policies. This requires changes in the incentives of actors to pursue reforms, a shift in actors' preferences and beliefs, and changes in the way decision-making occurs to enable contestability by marginalized actors.

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Youth and Their Needs in Institutional Contexts**
**CRN: 9**
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

**Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Elizabeth Brown, San Francisco State University

**Description:**
This panel discusses on impacts of parental confinement upon children and fair and effective treatments in prison and a juvenile justice system.

**Primary Keyword:** Family, Youth, and Children

**Secondary Keyword:** Punishment, Prison Studies, Sentencing, and Formal Social Control

**Presentations:**
- Developmental Jurisprudence: A New Approach to Psychology and Law
- Jessica Bregant, University of Chicago
- Katherine Kinzler, Cornell University
- Parental Immigration Detention and its Consequences for Children's Educational Experiences
- Gabriela Gonzalez, University of California, Irvine
- Caitlin Patler, UC Davis

- Risk, Need, and Inequality: Interinstitutional Venue Sorting in a System of Juvenile Injustice
- Zachary Psick, University of California, Davis

- The Facts about FACT: A Prison-Based Fatherhood Program Evaluability Assessment
- Abigail Henson, Temple University

**Friday June 23, Session 3**
12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

**Abortion & Fetal Death: Legal Engagements Across Time and Space**
**CRN: 9**
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Marie Fox, University of Liverpool

**Description:**
This panel examines how law impacts on the reproductive journeys and experiences of pregnant women – both women who are unwillingly pregnant and seeking access to abortion services, and those with wanted pregnancies who have
experienced stillbirth. It focuses specifically on criminal law interventions in the lives of these women, and how the law interacts with technologies, health professionals and the media. It takes up the themes of continuity and change, examining how legal and popular narratives are valorised over time, space and culture. The papers variously deploy the concepts of ‘biography’, ‘traips’, ‘exile’ and ‘grief’ to explore how the law has been mobilised at particular junctures, locations and times to regulate and police women’s bodies.

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine  
**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Making sense of the Abortion Trail
  - **Ruth Fletcher,** Queen Mary, University of London
- The British Abortion Act (1967): a Biography
  - **Sally Sheldon,** Kent University
- ‘Death trespassed on my body’... and law too? Stillbirth grief, fetal legal personhood and the external and internal borders of bodily autonomy
  - **Hannah Robert,** La Trobe Law School, La Trobe Univ.
- Reproductive Exile: The Experience of Women from Northern Ireland travelling to Great Britain to Access Abortion Care and Treatment’
  - **Marie Fox,** University of Liverpool
  - **Sheelagh McGuinness,** Bristol

**Accessing and Losing Housing**
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Lucas Konzen, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul

**Description:**
This session explores issues surrounding accessing and losing housing.

**Primary Keyword:**  
Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism

**Presentations:**
- Adapting the Social: Mimetic Ownership
  - **David Cowan,** University of Bristol
  - **Helen Carr,** University of Kent
- Fair Housing in the Information Age: Findings from a Mixed-Methods Investigation into the Impacts of Criminal, Credit and Eviction History Screening for Rental Housing Access and Discrimination
  - **Anna Reosti,** University of Washington

La figura jurídica de la expropiación en la interfaz con el derecho a la vivienda: presentación de los resultados de la investigación empírica del Tribunal de Justicia de São Paulo, Distrito Judicial de São Paulo

**Danielle Zoega Rosim,** Law Faculty of Ribeirao Preto - University of Sao Paulo

**American Law in the Antebellum South**

**CRN:** 44  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

**Chair/Disc.:** Anne Twitty, University of Mississippi

**Description:**
In recent years, scholars of the American South have looked beyond statutes and appellate decisions to examine the workings of law on the ground and the use of the law by non-elites. This panel combines socio-legal and historical methods and theory to investigate the intersection between ordinary southerners, the law, and local, national, and international politics in the nineteenth century. The papers in this panel combine legal sources such as trial court records, statutes, and treatises with extra-legal sources such as church records, diplomatic records, and personal papers in order to explore the ways in which ordinary Americans participated in and shaped legal and political culture in their communities and beyond.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Between Empirical Research and Critical Theory; New Horizons?**

**CRN:** 28  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

**Facilitator(s):** Tamara O’Doherty, Simon Fraser University

**Description:**
CRN 28 -- The salon is an invitation to explore the tensions that emerge when scholars combine empirical research with critical perspectives, often from social theory and from critical theory approaches -- such as feminism, critical race theory, class crit theory, queer theory, and many related offshoots of critical approaches. Is it possible to combine the strengths of empiricism and of theories derived from social science research -- some more critical than others? What are the ethical dilemmas to which sociolegal and legal scholars must attend in doing this kind of work? How can critical theory contribute to empirical research and vice versa?

**Primary Keyword:** Methodology, Socio-legal Methodology  
**Secondary Keyword:** Race, Critical Race Research
Building the Rule of Law in Latin America: Actors, Processes, and Recent Developments
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Rodrigo Nunes, St. Edwards University

**Disc.:** Catalina Smulovitz, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

**Description:**
Many Latin American countries remain woefully distant from the liberal political ideal that the third wave of democratization promised. Yet, for every setback in the building of the rule of law in the region there have also been advances. The papers in this panel explore some of these developments. Topics discussed include the fight against corruption, access to justice, the judicialization of welfare rights, and the state of the knowledge about judicial politics in the region.

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- Access to Justice and Politics in Latin America: The Building of the Brazilian Public Defenders' Office
  Rodrigo Nunes, St. Edwards University
- Judicial Politics. The Relation between Law, Judges and Politics
  Azul A. Aguiar Aguilar, ITESO
- Judicialization of Human Rights and the Welfare State in Latin America: domestic and international courts
  Ligia Madeira, UFRGS

Civil Rights Protection in the Disaster Recovery in Asia: Public Law vs. Private Law
CRN: 33
IRC: 15
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Yuka Kaneko, Kobe University

**Disc.:** Shozo Ota, The University of Tokyo School of Law

**Description:**
"Build Back Better" has been an influential slogan to lead a post-disaster recovery that enhances the preparedness to tackle with future disaster risks, particularly since priority-4 of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-30 emphasized such a goal. Leading international development agencies are increasingly intervening to conduct the Post-Disaster Needs Assessment (PDNA) as the basis of the recovery planning (or Post-Disaster Recovery Framework) that serve for the goal of "Build Back Better," resulting in the burdens on the future generations by necessitating vast amount of international borrowing, while inevitably involving the restrictions on the private rights of disaster-affected people in the course of large scale relocations and construction projects for strengthened infrastructure. In order to consider an improved legal frameworks to realize a "Build Back Better" that can balance both needs of strengthened safety and the individual reconstruction of disaster-affected populations, this session invites legal sociologists from disaster-prone regions in Asia, namely from China, Iran, Japan, Nepal, Philippines, and Turkey, who will present each case study from the recent mega-disasters, with a particular focus on the treatment of private rights on properties constituting the basis of reconstruction of disaster affected people in the legal frameworks of relocation and infrastructure constructions.

**Primary Keyword:** Disasters, Large-scale Accidents, Mass Atrocities

**Secondary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- Community Roles in Disaster Recovery: Residents’ Motivation and Participation
  Zhila Pooyan, Kobe University
- Akihiko Hokugo, Research Center for Urban Safety and Security, Kobe University

God Save or State Protects Us! Legal Shift from Healer-state to Protector-state Understanding in Disaster Management History of Turkish Republic
Ali Tolga Özden, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University

Post Disaster Situation and Necessary Systems with its New Constitution in Nepal
Satoko Tomita, Japan International Cooperation Agency

Research on the Post-earthquake Reconstruction Policy and Law in China
Linsheng Gu, Institute for Disaster Management and Reconstruction, Sichuan University-The Hongkong Polytechnic Un

The Right to Housing of Disaster Victims of Typhoon Haiyan: Three Case Studies in the Philippines
Ebinezer Florano, National College of Public Administration and Governance, University of the Philippines

Uncompensated Evictions in "Developmental" Recovery: Issues of Town-Planning Law
Yuka Kaneko, Kobe University
Comparing Legal Professions 30 years after Lawyers in Society: Lawyers in Society 30 Years On’ VI
RCSL Working Group: Comparative Studies of Legal Professions
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session
Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3
Facilitator(s):
- Ole Hammerslev, Univ. of Southern Denmark, Department of Law
- Hilary Sommerlad, Univ. of Leeds

Description:
The research strands which Abel & Lewis’ Lawyers in Society identified have been paradigmatic for later studies. As a product of an epoch of counter-hegemonic political engagement and critical scholarship, it captured many of the dimensions of these upheavals and their impact on the profession and contributed to the processes which were beginning to deconstruct the basic tenets of the classical professional model. The period since 1988 has seen an acceleration of these processes. Most striking is the transformation of the world order: globalisation, the reconfiguration of nation states and the hegemony of neoliberal discourses. The impact on national professions of these developments has been dramatic, furthering the transformation of e.g. professional rationales and working practices. There is an urgent need to revisit the role of legal professions and to explore comparatively the impact of these transformations. The IRC sessions Comparing legal professions 30 years on will do that.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers

Presentations:
- Comparative Sociology of Legal Professions: 1988-2017
  Rick Abel, UCLA School of Law
- Diversity and Equality among Lawyers in Civil Law Context: A Comparison of Finland and Quebec, Canada
  Marta Choroszewicz, University of Eastern Finland
  Fiona Kay, Queen’s University

Dignity Takings & Dignity Restoration: The Criminal Law Context
CRN: 49
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session
Room: Imperio D (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Bernadette Atuahene, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law/American Bar Foundation

Description:
There are some instances when property is taken from an individual or a group and the appropriate remedy is to give the thing back or something approximating the thing. In other instances, property is taken as part of a larger strategy of dehumanization or infantilization, and thus compensating people for things taken is not enough because they lost more than their property-they were also deprived of their dignity. In her book, We Want What’s Ours: Learning from South Africa’s Land Restitution Program (Oxford University Press, 2014), Atuahene calls this dual harm a dignity taking. She argues that the appropriate remedy for a dignity taking is something more than mere reparations (i.e. compensation for things taken). Instead, dignity restoration, which addresses deprivations of both property and dignity, is required. Through a series of panels, law and society scholars will empirically examine instances of dignity takings and dignity restoration in a wide range of contexts.

Primary Keyword: Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism
Secondary Keyword: Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

Presentations:
- Dignity Restoration for Gangs?
  Lua Yuille, University of Kansas School of Law
- Reputational Damage as a Regulatory Dignity Taking
  Jamila Jefferson-Jones, University of Missouri Kansas City
- Takings as a Sociolegal Concept
  Hendrik Hartog, Princeton University
- “Dignity Restoration and the Chicago Police Torture Reparations Ordinance”
  Andrew Baer, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Freedom of Association in the Americas and Workers’ Collective Rights
CRN: 8
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session
Room: Caza A (3rd Floor)

Chair(s): Angela Cornell, Cornell Law School

Participant(s):
- Steven Barrett, Canadian Association of Labor Lawyers/Goldblatt Partners
- Angela Cornell, Cornell Law School
- Jeffrey Vogt, Solidarity Center

Description:
This panel will explore transnational bridges that advance Freedom of Association in the Americas, workers’ collective rights and recent labor law developments. It will bring together speakers from the U.S., Canada, Mexico and the European Union. We will discuss the 2016 Inter-American Court decision recognizing the special status of labor unions in the Inter-American Human Rights system, in which 3 of the speakers
were directly involved submitting briefs and arguing before the Court in San Jose, Costa Rica. We will also discuss the Canadian Supreme Court decision in Saskatchewan Federation of Labour that recognized the right to strike as constitutionally protected. Additionally, we will hear about the Mexican labor law reform proposal and its possibilities for improving workers' rights.

**Primary Keyword:** Labor and Employment  
**Secondary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Gender and Crime**  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Jane Stoever, University of California, Irvine School of Law**

**Description:**  
This session features a discussion on gender and crime from different perspectives.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Presentations:**  
*Image, Emotion and Fact: Visual Evidence in Domestic Violence Prosecution*  
Elise Wohlbold, Carleton University  
Marcus Sibley, Carleton University  
Dawn Moore, Carleton University  
Rashmee Singh, University of Waterloo

Queering Victimology: Victim Services and Queer Precarities  
Alex Dyomch, Royal Holloway, University of London  
Avi Boukli, The Open University

The Politicization of Safety: Firearms and Domestic Violence  
Jane Stoever, University of California, Irvine School of Law

Undoing the Past: Historical Homosexual Offences, Criminal Records and Legal Boundaries  
Allen George, University of Sydney

**Gender and Migrant Agency**  
CRN: 2  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Description:** Gender and Migrant Agency

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**  
Feminization of "Illegality": Understanding the Consequences of the Criminalization of Latina Mothers  
*Mirian Martinez-Aranda,* University of California, Los Angeles

Jumping in the Stream: Feminization of the Central American and Central Asia Migration Corridors  
*Jessica Willis,* University of New Hampshire

Vulnerability and Resistance: A Gendered Analysis of Mexico's Migrant Crisis  
*Lisa McLean,* George Mason University

**Harnessing Transnational Regulatory Governance Interactions to Ratchet up Standards and Empower Weaker Interests**  
CRN: 36  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s): Greg Shaffer, UC Irvine School of Law**

**Disc.: Benedict Kingsbury, NYU School of Law/Univ. of Utah**

**Description:**  
How do transnational private regulatory institutions interact with one another, and how do these interactions affect regulatory standards and marginalized actors such as workers, consumers, indigenous peoples and environmental groups? How can such actors exploit the interactions among transnational regulatory institutions to ratchet up standards? Conversely, how do structurally powerful interests exploit overlaps and conflicts among governance institutions to resist such efforts? This panel explores these questions in relation to several key issue-areas including climate change, human rights, labour conditions, sustainability and social responsibility. They explore the patterns and pathways of interaction among governance institutions, the strategies deployed by structurally weaker actors to steer such interactions in their favour, counter-efforts by powerful actors, and the implications for ratcheting up regulatory standards and empowering marginalized interests.

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**  
Agents of Justice? Transnational Governance Mechanisms & Human Rights Advocacy  
*Natalie Oman,* University of Ontario

Improving Labor Standards in Global Supply Chains: Evaluating Private Governance Strategies  
*Jodi Short,* UC Hastings College of the Law  
*Michael Toffel,* Harvard Business School  
*Yanhua Zhou,* Harvard Business School
Interactive Strategies for Empowering Weaker Actors in Transnational Regulatory Governance  
**Stepan Wood**, Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

Transnational Governance in Supply Chains: Lessons and Provocations from Sustainable Supply Chain Discourse  
**Errol Meidinger**, SUNY Buffalo Law School/Balady Center

**Household Finance, Consumer Law, and Bankruptcy**  
**CRN: 25**  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Imperio A (2nd Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.: Barbara Kiviat,** Harvard University  
**Description:**  
The papers in this session tackle a variety of issues associated with overindebtedness (financial distress) through an empirical lens using observational, survey, experimental, and causal inference techniques.  
**Primary Keyword:** Household Finance  
**Secondary Keyword:** Economic and Social Rights  
**Presentations:**  
"No Money Down" Bankruptcy  
**Pamela Foohey,** Indiana University Maurer School of Law  
**Robert Lawless,** University of Illinois  
**Katherine Porter,** University of California Irvine  
**Deborah Thorne,** Ohio University  

Disparate Impact? Race, Gender, and the Use of Credit Reports in Hiring  
**Barbara Kiviat,** Harvard University  
**Rourke O'Brien,** University of Wisconsin-Madison  

Intagining a Basic Income in the United States: Conceptual Issues and Hard Numbers  
**Daniel Hemel,** University of Chicago Law School  
**Kyle Rozema,** Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law  

Starting Millennials Out Right: Consumer Law for 1Ls  
**Neil Sobol,** Texas A&M University School of Law  

The Effectiveness of Foreclosure Mediation Programs  
**Alan White,** CUNY Law School  

**Intellectual Property “In Action”**  
**CRN: 14**  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)  
**Chair(s): William Gallagher,** Golden Gate Univ. School of Law  
**Disc.: Ewa Radomska,** The Jagiellonian University  
**Description:**  
Law and Society methods can shed light on practices by creators, inventors, users, and attorneys in driving the institutions of intellectual property. These five papers dive deeply into understanding these practices through detailed studies of visual artists, patent attorneys, global branding, the experiences of Ecuadorian indigenous communities, and the development of digital technologies in Poland.  
**Primary Keyword:** Intellectual Property, Culture, and Cultural Heritage  
**Presentations:**  
Are They Real Lawyers?: The Role of Patent Attorneys in the Inventive Process  
**William Gallagher,** Golden Gate University School of Law  

Digital Communications Revolution and Its Influences on the Communication of Intellectual Property Law. Analysis of Polish Discourses on Copyright.  
**Ewa Radomska,** The Jagiellonian University  

International Patterns of Similarity and Distinction in the Design of Graphical Trademarks, or Logos: A Quantitative Analysis of Data from WIPO’s Global Brand Database  
**James I. Bowie,** Northern Arizona University  

Visual Artists and Copyright: Voices from the Field  
**Smita Kheria,** University of Edinburgh  

**Joseph Fischel - Sex and Harm in the Age of Consent,** University of Minnesota Press, 2015  
**CRN: 17**  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  

Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session  
**Room:** Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)  
**Author(s): Joseph Fischel,** Yale University  
**Chair(s): Zachary Herz,** Columbia University  
**Reader(s): Noa Ben-Asher,** Pace University  
**Andrew Gilden,** Willamette University College of Law  
**Zachary Herz,** Columbia University  
**Jill Weinberg,** Tufts University  
**Description:**  
"Sex and Harm in the Age of Consent" cautions against the adoption of consent as our primary determinant of sexual freedom. For Joseph J. Fischel, consent is not necessarily
always ethically sound. It is, he argues, a moralized fiction, and it churns out figures for its normativity: the predatory sex offender and the innocent child.

Examining representations of consent in U.S. law and media culture, Fischel contends that the figures of the sex offender and the child are consent’s alibi, its negative space, enabling fictions that allow consent to do the work cut out for it under late modern sexual politics. Engaging legal, queer, feminist, and political theory, case law and statutory law, and media representations, Fischel proposes that we change our adjudicative terms from innocence, consent, and predation to vulnerability, sexual autonomy, and "peremption," which he defines as the uncontrolled disqualification of possibility. Such a shift in theory, law, and life would be less damaging for young people, more responsive to sexual violence, and better for sex.

**Primary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Law and Gender in International Contexts**
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Neil Buchanan, The George Washington Univ. Law School

**Description:**
The session presents feminist perspectives to post-Brexit labor legislation, international and supranational law, and a transformative vision for a sustainable peace.

**Primary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Presentations:**
A Future Case (of) Study(ies): Francophone Feminist Approaches to International Law  
**Bérénice K. Schramm**, SOAS University of London

A Right to Care: Consolidating and Strengthening UK Reconciliation Legislation in Light of Brexit  
**Gemma Mitchell**, University of East Anglia

Beyond Borders and Binaries: A Feminist Look at Preventing Violence and Achieving Peace in an Era of Mass Migration  
**Aurora Bewicke**, Law Office of Aurora E. Bewicke

Traveling Beyond "Slut Shaming": Touristic Costa Rica as a Libertine Liminal Space  
**Catherine Montmagny Grenier**, University of Montreal  
**Karine Cote-Boucher**, Universite de Montreal

**Law and Public Policies in Latin America 2**
CRN: 28  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Rebecca Lemos Igreja, Universidade de Brasilia 

**Disc.:** Daniel Eduardo Bonilla Maldonado, Universidad de los Andes

**Description:**
Law and public policies are related issues. There are increasing legal studies about the instruments and the rules for the adjudication of public policies. Similarly, court ideologies and the judicial control over the formulation and implementation of public policies are in the agenda of political sciences studies. In the Latin American context, this session is proposed to discuss relations between law and public policy, in particular in the following aspects: formal institutional arrangements for the formulation and implementation of public policies, instrumental use of law to achieve goals public policy, implementation of public policies through the justice system and public policies for the justice system. In addition to the theoretical debate, the subject of discussion papers are necessarily the result of empirical research, contributing to a better understanding of the reality of the subcontinent.

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims’ Studies

**Presentations:**
* Derecho y políticas públicas en materia de drogas.  
  De reformas legales y debates parlamentarios: un enfoque etnográfico sobre el caso argentino  
  **Florence Corbelle**, 3033buzz

* How many are coming in and how long they will stay: prison overcrowding in Brazil between law and public policies  
  **Maira Machado**, FGV Direito SP

* The new Uruguayan cannabis regulation policy and its effects on the Uruguayan-Brazilian border area  
  **Alexandre dos Santos Cunha**, IPEA
New Books in the Field: Lay Participation in Legal Systems
CRN: 4
IRC: 18
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Caza B (3rd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Nancy Marder, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law

Participant(s):
Thomas Aiello, Valdosta State University
Suja A. Thomas, University of Illinois College of Law
Mary Rose, University of Texas
Hiroshi Fukurai, University of California Santa Cruz

Description:
Jim Crow's Last Stand (2015): presented by the author, Thomas Aiello

The Missing American Jury (2016): presented by the author, Suja A. Thomas

The Jury in America (2016): presented by a reader, Mary Rose

Japan and Civil Jury Trials (2015): presented by one of the co-authors, Hiroshi Fukurai

Juries in the Japanese Legal System (2015): presented by a reader, Hiroshi Fukurai

New Perspectives on Crimmigration: The Domestic and Global Impact of U.S. Crime and Migration Control Policies
CRN: 2
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Rachel Rosenbloom, Northeastern University School of Law

Disc.: Jennifer Chacon, Univ. of California, Irvine School of Law

Description:
It has been ten years since Professor Juliet Stumpf coined the term "crimmigration" to describe the increasing convergence of criminal law and immigration law. Over the past decade, a growing body of scholarship has begun to take crimmigration studies in a variety of new directions. This panel will present a range of contemporary perspectives on crimmigration, with a focus on both the transformation of the U.S. immigration and criminal justice systems and the impact of U.S. crimmigration policies on countries around the globe. This panel will also take stock of the current state of the field, with an emphasis on the intersection of crimmigration scholarship with critical race and gender theory and with theoretical perspectives from the fields of psychology and criminology.

Primary Keyword: Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victim's Studies

Presentations:
Beyond Severity: Toward A Broader View of Crimmigration
Rachel Rosenbloom, Northeastern University School of Law

Immigration Detention, Legal Theories of Punishment, and the New Crisis of Legitimacy
Cesar Garcia Hernandez, University of Denver

Life After Deportation to Mexico
Beth Caldwell, Southwestern Law School

The Procedural Legitimacy of Crimmigration Law
Juliet Stumpf, Lewis and Clark Law School

On Financialization and Violence
IRC: 28
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Laura Elder, Saint Mary's College Notre Dame

Description:
The International Research Collaboration (IRC) "On Financialization and Violence" broadly envisions financialization as a set of practices, discourses, and epistemologies that mediate the contested reordering of the world along lines of credit, assets, capital mobility and extraction. And the IRC proceeds from the position that the boundaries between traditional and non-traditional forms of conflict are eroding rapidly, yet research has not caught up with this reality. Situated at the intersection of financialization and violence, we envision the IRC cohering around three themes: (a) weaponization of finance and the future of economic warcraft; (b) intimacy, personhood, and financialization; and (c) democracy and economic human rights.

Primary Keyword: Violence
Secondary Keyword: Economy, Business and Society

Presentations:
Inhabitations of Violence: The Local and the Global Political Economy of Urban Delhi
Sushmita Pati, Azim Premji University

Religion, Business, and Contestations: Evangelical Christian Groups in Malaysia
Edmund Gomez, University of Malaya
**Radically Indeterminate: Rights as Discourse, Rights as Resistance**

CRN: 23  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Sujith Xavier, Faculty of Law University of Windsor**

**Description:**  
Rights discourse has a strong, but problematic, affinity to legal institutions and structures. Law’s limited array of postures and positions often restricts, marginalizes, or even betrays the pursuit of the core interests and needs of society. As such, the law provides a limited horizon for (re)imagining the resistance and progressive change which social justice movements aspire to. This panel will analyse the disempowering potential of law and rights discourse, as well as the possibilities of protest, resistance, knowledge formation, and identity creation in articulations of rights through, and beyond, the law. The panel will do this through a series of intersecting contributions spanning a diversity of contexts and theoretical frames, all organised around the intersection of rights discourse, critical legal thinking and social movement praxis.

**Primary Keyword:** Social Movements and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Imagining Aliterity  
  **Tara Mulqueen,** Warwick University
- Teachable Moments: Law, Rights and Resistance  
  **Irina Ceric,** Kwantlen Polytechnic University
- The ‘Right to Food’ in Revolutionary Movements: Puppets, Panthers and Breakfast For Children  
  **Adrian Smith,** Carleton University
- ‘Let Them Drink Rain’: Rights Discourse, Class Struggle and Irish Water  
  **John Reynolds,** National University of Ireland, Maynooth
- ‘The Sin of Disruption’: Black Lives Matter and the Use of Legality and Legal Logics to Evaluate Social Movements in Neoliberal Times  
  **Honor Brabazon,** University of Toronto

**Regional Human Rights Courts: Judicial Creativity in the European and Inter-American Human Rights Courts**

CRN: 23  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Jorge Contesse, Rutgers Law School**

**Description:**  
Regional Human Rights Courts: This panel explores how the regional human rights courts construct their power and develop their jurisprudence. It encompasses doctrinal and social scientific approaches to the European Court of Human Rights and the Inter-American Court of Human Rights.

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Presentations:**
- A Right to Security and a Duty to Engage in Rehabilitation? Preventive Detention of the ‘Dangerous’ before the European Court of Human Rights.  
  **Ailbhe O’Loughlin,** University of York
- And then the Court Created Procedural Obligations: A Look into the European Court of Human Rights  
  **Ezgi Yildiz,** Harvard University
- In Pursuit of International Justice before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights: A Forty-Decade Empirical Analysis on Reparations and Compliance  
  **Franz Erwin Oberarzbacher,** Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México (ITAM)
- Separate Opinions and Compliance with Inter-American Court of Human Rights Judgments  
  **Daniel Naurin,** University of Oslo  
  **Øyvind Stiansen,** University of Oslo

**Regulatory Failures of the Global Economy**

CRN: 23  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** David Restrepo Amariles, HEC Paris

**Disc.:** Ligia Catherine Arias Barrera, Universidad Externado de Colombia  
**Darren Rosenblum,** Pace Law School

**Description:**  
This panel examines regulatory failures that affect markets and may influence negatively the global economy. How fragile is the implementation of international treaties, especially in African countries where participation at the WTO and the ICC is perceived as problematic and eventually detrimental to the diversification of its international trade and the development
of government and democratic institutions? What is the impact of the 'chilling effect', an artificial reduction of the economic activity resulting from low quality of the rules of the game due to selective enforcement or selective adoption of guidelines? How do countries receive international law and comply with rules of the game? How do countries deal with legal and economic imbalance generated by regulatory failures?

**Primary Keyword:** Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Structure, Legal Institutions

**Presentations:**  
- A "regulatory chill" in the tropics? The state of plain packaging legislation in South American countries  
  Nathalie Tiba Sato, Universidade de São Paulo  
  Vivian Daniele Rocha Gabriel, Universidade de São Paulo

- Fragile Treaties  
  Jide Nzelibe, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law

- How Selective Enforcement of Trade Law Chills Innovation  
  Tim Meyer, Vanderbilt University School of Law

**Rethinking Democratic Practice**  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.: Linda Beale, Wayne State Univ. School of Law**

**Description:**  
This panel grapples with the shift from human rights to questions of governance, legitimacy, and democratic accountability.

**Primary Keyword:** Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

**Presentations:**  
- Derechos humanos y corrupción: rumbo a la justicia híbrida  
  Daniel Vazquez, FLACSO-México

- ¿Por qué necesita justificación una revolución?  
  Ricardo Miranda, School of Law, National Autonomous University of Mexico

- ‘The moment everything changed’: possibilities for alternative analyses of uprising and subjectivity.  
  Anastasia Tataryn, University of Liverpool

“I put my trust in you.” The value of classical socio-legal research on social norms and formal rules for trust and regulation issues in regulatory governance.  
**Koen Van Aeken,** Tilburg University

**Sociology on Sociology of Law as Empirical Science**  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):**  
Marina Kurkchiyan, University of Oxford  
Masayuki Murayama, Meiji University

**Disc.:** Pedro Fortes, FGV Law School  
Ferdinando Spina, University of Salento

**Participant(s):**  
Marina Kurkchiyan, University of Oxford  
Stefan Machura, Bangor University  
Masayuki Murayama, Meiji University  
Margit Cohn, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

**Description:**  
Social science of law seems to flourish in some countries but not other countries. We would like to see at first the current situation of empirical social science of law in various countries (Brazil, Germany, Italy, Japan, U.K. and hopefully some other countries) and then ask why we have so different situations. We would like to discuss on how empirical science of law is distinguished from jurisprudence or legal theory in law or other fields in social science (particularly in sociology) in the academic world of each society and how it is integrated in the undergraduate and graduate education, on one hand, and in public policy decisions of the governing bureaucracies, on the other.

**Primary Keyword:** Methodology, Socio-legal Methodology  
**Secondary Keyword:** Teaching Law and Society, Pedagogy

**Spaces of Law: Cities and Vulnerability in the Global South**  
CRN: 35  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)  
**Disc.: Isabel C Jaramillo Sierra,** Universidad de los Andes

**Chair/Disc.: Mariana Valverde,** University of Toronto

**Description:**  
This panel puts together different approaches between law, space and vulnerability. The aim of the panel is to show how law has played a critical role dealing with social spaces as a
technology of power. In legal analyses, papers move through three different types of literature and theoretical frameworks: (i) legal geography, (ii) critical distributive analysis of the law, and (iii) street-level bureaucracies and politics. Recent interest in the field of legal geography, paired with the need for denser means of analyzing the law, and the fact that the field is fairly new in the global south, open opportunities for dialogue with this literature from a perspective of the distributive analysis of the law.

An approach to law as a battlefield that fosters the use of distributive analysis provides the discussion surrounding legal geography with density in terms of the approach to law. At the same time, the dialogue allows us to take seriously the issue of space in legal analysis. The vision of law as a battlefield implies that we have to understand that there is more than one possible regimen, that distributive results will depend on the different elements of the legal context and they will not always be the same or always completely predictable. This view of law suggests that law will always produce effects and that it always participates in the definition of the arrangements. It also determines that the effects are material and symbolic from the perspective, on the one hand, of what they produce, but also, on the other, from the perspective of what they leave out, in the shadow of the law, which is ultimately an effect of these agreements.

With this insight, the panel fosters dialogues between different studies that come from a legal background that develop their concerns on space.

**Primary Keyword:** Geographies of Law  
**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**
- Critical Geography of law and Space, in Bulaq and Tahrir Square, Egypt  
  Omnia Khalil, the Graduate Center, CUNY
- Extracted legal geographies: law, space and popular decisión-making over land use in two rural towns in Mexico  
  Jorge Pelaez Padilla, Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México (UAChM)
- Tatiana Alfonso Sierra, University of Wisconsin-Madison/University de los Andes
  Juan Amaya-Castro, Universidad de los Andes  
  Carolina Olarte-Olarte, Universidad de los Andes
- Identity and (public) place management of homelessness in Bogotá: paving the way from the Bronx to Acandi.  
  Juan Sebastián Jaime Pardo, American University WCL
- La ciudad de las mujeres ¿Quiénes ganan, quiénes pierden, qué ganan y qué pierden en materia de movilidad y acceso a los espacios en tres ciudades Colombianas?
  María Victoria Castro, Universidad del Norte  
  Lina Buchely, Universidad Icesi

**The Courts and Canadian Constitutional Law**
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
Room: Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Margot Young, Univ. of British Columbia

**Description:** This panel explores contemporary issues in Canadian Charter law.

**Primary Keyword:** Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism  
**Secondary Keyword:** Canadian Law and Society

**Presentations:**
- Choosing Between Contingent Portrayals of ‘Our’ Community: A Case Study of Judicial Discourse Relating to Section 7 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms  
  Geoffrey Conrad, McGill University
- Dialogue or Monologue: Do legislatures still have a role when the courts pronounce?  
  Janet Epp Buckingham, Trinity Western University
- Liberty and Harm: gender, equality jurisprudence, and freedom of speech in comparative constitutional context  
  Gabriel Anderson, UC Irvine

**The Globalization of Lawyers in the Americas: Big Law and Beyond**
CRN: 36  
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.  
Roundtable Session  
Room: Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Rogelio Perez-Perdomo, Stanford University Law School  
**Disc.:** Bryant Garth, University of California Irvine

**Participant(s):**
- Manuel Gomez, Florida International University College of Law  
- Deborah Hensler, Stanford Law School  
- Rodrigo Meneses, CIDEP  
- Alberto Abad Suarez Avila, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas UNAM
Description:
This roundtable will discuss the evolution and changes occurred in the legal profession in the Americas during the last two decades. Special attention will be given to the impact of globalization on the organization and organization of lawyers and other legal professionals, and legal education.

Primary Keyword: Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors
Secondary Keyword: Law Firms, and other Legal Service Providers

The Role(s) of Legal Actors in Making Law and Legal Categories
CRN: 3
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Andra le Roux-Kemp, School of Law, City University of Hong Kong

Description:
Drawing together papers on health, trauma, and trafficking, on the one hand, and constitutional law and judiciary independence, on the other, this panel offers a unique theoretical and methodological mosaic of perspectives on the production and circulation of legal categories in cross-cultural context.

Primary Keyword: Ethnography
Secondary Keyword: Judges and Judging

Presentations:
Healthcare Scandals and the Criminal Law: What can we learn from the French approach?
Melinee Kazarian, The University of Southampton

Is South Korean Judiciary Independent Enough? A New Dimension of Judicial Accountability and the Rule of Law
Yukyong Choe, Judicial Policy Research Institute, Supreme Court of Korea

‘You could be ME!’ The Costs of Caring and Trauma Experienced by Intake Workers
Anne Groggel, Indiana University

Trade, Investment, Business, Economy and Law in China and Vietnam
CRN: 33
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Chair/Disc.: Kay-Wah Chan, Macquarie University

Description:
This is a session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore the issues of trade, investment, business, economy and law in two East Asian countries: China and Vietnam. Matthias Vanhullebusch examines the issues of trade, development and security under China’s One Belt One Road policy, Going West policy and her commitment under bilateral treaties. Jing Wang’s paper aims to explain why the Anti-Monopoly Law in China does not effectively protect privately-owned small and medium enterprises. Runhua Wang explores whether the patent policy in China results in efficient deployment of patents by small and medium enterprises. Ngoc Thuan Hoang investigates the effect of local legal culture on anti-dumping litigation in Vietnam.

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Economy, Business and Society

Presentations:
Does Vietnamese legal culture discourage anti-dumping (AD) litigation? *The proposed paper is part of a PhD thesis about “Anti-dumping (AD) Regulations and System in Vietnam (VN)”.
Ngoc Thuan Hoang, Faculty of Law, University of New South Wales

Why the Anti-Monopoly Law of China 2007 Fails to Protect Privately Owned Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises: Struggling against Collusion between Administrative Agencies and State-Owned Enterprises
Jing Wang, Bangor University

Working Group on Human Rights
RCSL Working Group: Human Rights
Friday Session 3, 12:45 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Clasico (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Dani Rudnicki, UniRitter

Description:
In contemporary times, the three generations (or dimensions) of Human Rights, namely freedom rights, social rights and peoples rights ensure the possibility of reflecting on the major subjects relevant to human life by thinking about those rights. However, the most important issue is the struggle to make them effective. In political, academic, trade union, student and everyday life, one also needs to ensure that human rights are not a utopia, but a reality. The fact that a great part of the twenty-first century population lives in States that respect human rights does not mean that we may forget that, in many other States, human rights are still an utopia. Moreover, one must not forget that new claims, referring to newly arising situations, are added to the needs
of human beings. Discussing them and thinking of them is a way, albeit incipient, to seek solutions to begin to recover the rights of all human beings, and this is also a task for the homo academicus.

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights

**Secondary Keyword:** Culture, and Cultural Rights

**Presentations:**
- Beyond Borders: The Evolution of Turkey’s Kurdish Question
  
  *Edel Hughes*, University of East London

- Identity Politics, Hate Speech Law, and Political Culture in Post-Holocaust Germany
  
  *Ann Goldberg*, History Department--UC Riverside

- Life in a Legal Black Hole: Towards a Theory of Violence at Guantánamo Bay
  
  *Philip Johnson*, The Graduate Center, City University of New York

- One Step Forward, Two Steps Back: The Judiciary, Politics and Progressively Realising the Right to Health in Ghana and Uganda
  
  *Michael Addaney*, University of Energy and Natural Resources

- Terrorist Hostage-Taking and Human Rights Beyond and Within a State’s Borders
  
  *Sofia Galani*, University of Bristol Law School

- Transnational Mega-events and Human Rights Suspension
  
  *Cicero Krupp da Luz*, FDSM - Faculdade de Direito do Sul de Minas

**Friday June 24, Session 4**

2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Algorithms and Big Data: Reshaping Law in the Technological Society (1)**

**IRC:** 13

Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Room:** Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Gregory Lewkowicz, Perelman Centre - Université libre de Bruxelles

**Description:**
Algorithms and big data are more and more often used for legal, regulatory or compliance purposes. Whether it is for offender profiling, monitoring suspicious behaviours, auditing organizations or other algorithms, fostering e-government or enforcing automatically rules, policies or contracts, algorithms and big data are reshaping the regulatory landscape of our technological society, allegedly, for the sake of better regulation. The panel aims at discussing (1) how algorithmic governance disrupts the ways rules are adopted, interpreted, implemented and enforced; (2) how it changes the practices of lawyers, attorneys and judges; (3) how it contributes to a new global distribution of power, builds paths of exclusion and opens up new spaces for political and legal struggles; (4) whether algorithmic governance leads to a technomanagerial turn in law. Case-study and papers based on empirical research are especially welcomed.

**Primary Keyword:** Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law

**Secondary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
- Disparate Impact in Big Data Policing
  
  *Andrew Selbst*, Yale Information Society Project

- The Usage of Predictive Algorithms in Court: A Win Against Bias or a Defeat of Fundamental Rights?
  
  *Louise Fromont*, Université Libre de Bruxelles

- Ana Maria Corrêa, Université Libre de Bruxelles

**Beyond “Business and Human Rights”: Challenging the Privatization of Detention of Non-citizens**

**CRN:** 2

Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Roundtable Session**

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Diafa Shamas, Stanford Law School

**Disc.:** Itamar Mann, Univ. of Haifa, Faculty of Law

**Participant(s):** Thomas Gammeltoft-Hansen, Raoul Wallenberg Institute for Human Rights

**Description:**
What happens when states delegate core functions of border security, deterring and punishing non-citizens to private corporations? What forms of deterrence have actions to seek redress for such abusive practices generated? What function is performed by international law and how does it distribute responsibility?

This panel will discuss the human and legal consequences of this growing practice through three case studies: Australia’s offshore detention of migrants on Nauru and in Papua New Guinea; A British corporation’s involvement in Israeli-run prisons in the West Bank, and the United States’ privatization of immigrant incarceration and detention.

**Primary Keyword:** International Law, International
Comparative Human Rights Law and Legal Pluralism
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Jorge Pelaez Padilla, Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México (UACM)

Description:
This panel brings together papers focused on human rights law in Turkey, Africa, and Latin America. Legal pluralism emerges as an important theme as human rights law stretches to articulate the rights of indigenous peoples.

Presentations:
La implementación de la Reforma Constitucional en Derechos Humanos de 2011 en las escuelas de Derecho: la creación de un índice de derechos humanos. The implementation of the constitutional amendment on Human Rights of 2011 in Mexican law schools: the creation of a human rights index.
Carlos Asúnsolo, Research Center for the Teaching and Learning of the Law
Eduardo Roman, Research Center for the Teaching and Learning of the Law

The Neutrality of "School Without Party" Movement and the Emergence of Hate Speech in Brazilian Society: Implications to the Consolidation of an Education for Human Rights and Democracy
Daniel de Mello Massimino, Pontificia Universidade Católica do Paraná
Danielle Anne Pamplona, Puc-PR, Brazil

The Prospects of Judicial Activism Towards Circumventing Non-Justiciability of ESC Rights in Nigeria
Etudaiye Muhtar, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin
Mohammed Enesi Etudaiye, University of Abuja

Turkey's Wars
Kathleen Cavanaugh, National University of Ireland, Irish Centre for Human Rights

Courting the People: Public Interest Litigation in Post-Emergency India
CRN: 22
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

Room: Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

Author(s): Anuj Bhuwania, South Asian University

Chair(s): Pratiksha Baxi, Center for the Study of Law and Governance

Reader(s):
Haley Duschinski, Ohio University
Anil Kalhan, Drexel University
Jinee Lokaneeta, Drew University
Mayur Suresh, Birkbeck School of Law
Anand Vaidya, University of Bergen

Description:
The Indian appellate judiciary has acquired an increasingly important role in India’s public discourse in the last few decades. The Supreme Court and the state High Courts have emerged as enormously powerful judicial institutions in the aftermath of the Internal Emergency of 1975-77. The principal means through which these judicial powers have been mobilized and enacted is the jurisdiction of Public Interest Litigation (PIL). The book Courting the People: Public Interest Litigation in Post-Emergency India published by Cambridge University Press in 2016 is a study of the political role that PIL has come to play in contemporary India. It revisits the circumstances and manoeuvres that led to the rise of PIL and traces its political journey since then, arguing that the enormous powers that PIL confers upon the appellate judiciary stems from its populist character. Based on empirical research, it shows how PIL grants the appellate courts enormous flexibility in procedure allowing them to manoeuvre themselves into positions of overweening authority. It focuses on the most intensive laboratory of PIL in recent times, the city of Delhi, and foregrounds the role that PIL has played in the radical reconfiguration of the city in the 21st century. While PIL cases are usually politically analysed solely in terms of their effects, whether beneficial or disastrous, this book locates the political challenges that PIL poses in its very process: arguing that its fundamentally protean nature stems from its mimicry of ideas of popular justice. It examines PIL as part of a larger trend towards legal informalism in post-Emergency India. Casting a critical eye at these institutional reforms that aimed to adapt the colonial legal inheritance to ‘Indian realities’, this book looks at the challenges posed by self-consciously culturalist juridical innovations like PIL to ideas of fairness in adjudication as well as democratic politics.

Primary Keyword: South Asia, South Asian Studies, South Asian Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism
Criminal Law and Human Rights
CRN: 27
2:45 PM - 4:30 PM
Paper Session

Room: Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Elizabeth Faulkner, Leicester De Montfort Law School

Description:
This panel explores criminal law through the lens of human rights discourse and law. Papers examine human trafficking, domestic prosecution of atrocity crimes, prison overcrowding, terrorism, and immigration. It encompasses both theoretical and empirical approaches.

Primary Keyword:
Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

Presentations:
Addressing the Conditions Conducive to Terrorism: The Role of “Civil Society” in International Security
Corá True-Frost, Syracuse University College of Law

Eradicating the "scourge of modern slavery": A critique of the human trafficking narrative and the 'New Abolitionist Movement'
Elizabeth Faulkner, Leicester De Montfort Law School

Revisiting the punitive turn: Governance and the politics of scale in the juvenile court
Elizabeth Brown, San Francisco State University

The Difference Law Makes: Domestic Atrocity Statutes and Human Rights Prosecutions
Mark Berlin, Marquette University

Geoff Dancy, Tulane University

Crowdsourcing Legal Assistance: The Central American Refugee Crisis
CRN: 2
2:45 PM - 4:30 PM
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Kif Augustine, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University

Participant(s):
M. Isabel Medina, Loyola Univ. New Orleans College of Law
Vanessa Merton, Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace Univ.
Eliora Mukherjee, Columbia Law School
Carolina Núñez, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young Univ.
Luisa Patoni-Rees, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young Univ.

Description:
Beginning in 2014, Central Americans fled in record numbers from violence in their home countries to the United States. The United States government responded by implementing "family detention," jailing women and their children in for-profit immigration centers first in Artesia, New Mexico, then in Dilley and Karnes County, Texas. Aware of the myriad forms of violence and persecution that the women and their children had fled, immigration attorney Stephen Manning developed a crowd-sourced model to provide legal assistance to the women, to obtain their release from detention as a first step in pursuing asylum in the US. In this roundtable, law professors and law students with first-hand experience volunteering in Artesia, Dilley, and Karnes discuss the challenges and successes of implementing a crowd-sourced model of legal assistance as front-line triage in the Central American refugee crisis.

Primary Keyword:
Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies
Secondary Keyword: Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students

Development, Rights, Participation and Social Movement in East Asia
CRN: 33
2:45 PM - 4:30 PM
Paper Session

Room: Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Jonathan Liljeblad, Swinburne Univ.

Description:
This is a session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore the issues of development, rights, participation and social movement in a number of East Asian jurisdictions: namely China, Japan, Taiwan, and Thailand. Yun-Ju Wang's paper discusses indigenous people's participation in environmental impact assessments in Taiwan. Pawee Jenweeranon explores the use of rural E-commerce in China as a model for improvement of rural livelihood in Southeast Asian countries such as Thailand. Robert Leflar studies and compares patient safety reforms in Japan, Taiwan and the U.S. Ling Zhou's paper investigates the development and activities of consumer activists in China. Ayako Hatano examines the anti-hate speech movement in Japan.

Primary Keyword East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Social Movements, Social Issues, and Legal Mobilization

Presentations:
Can Strategic Human Rights Litigation Complement Social Movements?: A Case Study of Anti-Hate Speech Movement in Japan
Ayako Hatano, The University of Tokyo/ New York University
Indigenous Participation in the Environmental Impact Assessment in Taiwan

Yun-Ju Wang, National Chung Cheng University

Patient Safety Reforms and the Law in Japan, Taiwan and the U.S.

Rob Leflar, University of Arkansas School of Law

**Dignity Takings & Dignity Restoration: The International Perspective**

CRN: 49
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio D (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Bernadette Atuahene, IT Chicago-Kent College of Law/ American Bar Foundation

**Disc.:** Alexandre (Sandy) Kedar, Law School, University of Haifa

**Description:**
There are some instances when property is taken from an individual or a group and the appropriate remedy is to give the thing back or something approximating the thing. In other instances, property is taken as part of a larger strategy of dehumanization or infantilization, and thus compensating people for things taken is not enough because they lost more than their property-they were also deprived of their dignity. In her book, *We Want What’s Ours: Learning from South Africa’s Land Restitution Program*, (Oxford University Press, 2014) Atuahene calls this dual harm a dignity taking. She argues that the appropriate remedy for a dignity taking is something more than mere reparations (i.e. compensation for things taken). Instead, dignity restoration, which addresses deprivations of both property and dignity, is required. Through a series of panels, law and society scholars will empirically examine instances of dignity takings and dignity restoration in a wide range of contexts.

**Primary Keyword:** Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism

**Secondary Keyword:** War and Armed Conflict

**Presentations:**
Dignity takings and dignity restoration: a case study of the Colombian land restitution program
Diana Guzman-Rodriguez, Stanford University

Dimensions of resistance to dignity takings in the shadows of China’s authoritarian system
Eva Pils, King’s College London

The Restitution of Colonial Cultural Objects as a Case of Dignity Restoration
Wouter Veraart, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

**Ethical Translations: Cultures, Lawyers and Legal Traditions**

CRN: 34
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Colonia (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Pooja Parmar, University of Victoria

**Description:**
This panel explores the roles of Indigenous and non-Indigenous lawyers in the translations of Indigenous narratives into the language of law and the implications for non-conventional justice processes in managing Indigenous peoples litigations.

**Primary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples

**Secondary Keyword:** Lawyers, and other Professional Legal Actors

**Presentations:**
Building Bridges between Australian Indigenous Partner Violence Offenders and their Victims by Using Culture in Sentencing Court Hearings
Elena Marchetti, Griffith University

Ethical Lawyering Across Legal Traditions: Navigating the Walls, Borders and Bridges in Representing Indigenous Peoples
Pooja Parmar, University of Victoria

Indigenous Lawyers in Canada: Navigating as Indigenous Legal Professionals in a Settler Colonial Legal Landscape
Jeffery Hewitt, University of Windsor Faculty of Law

Sonia Lawrence, Osgoode Hall Law School of York University

Judicializing Indigenous Law in Guatemala: The Active Role of high Courts in Shaping the Scope of Multiculturalism
Ana Isabel Braconnier, University of Texas at Austin

The (Potential) Legal History of Indian Gaming
William Wood, Southwestern Law School

**Front Line Regulators and Compliance**

CRN: 5
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Fiona Haines, University of Melbourne

**Description:**
This panel explores the relationships between regulators, as well as issues with compliance.
Friday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
- Citizen behavior towards public agencies: behavioral types in coping with public agencies  
  *Vibeke Lehmann Nielsen*, Aarhus University
- *Helle Oersted Nielsen*, Aarhus University
- Real Property as Accumulated Legal-Economic History: Lessons from Oil Country in the US’ northern Plains  
  *Debbie Becher*, Barnard College, Columbia University
- Regulatory Empowerment: Understanding Preconditions for Society-Based Regulation  
  *Benjamin van Rooij*, University of California, Irvine
- *Garry Gray*, University of Victoria
- Regulatory Interaction as a Process of Constructing the Meaning of Law  
  *Ayako Hirata*, Kyoto University

**Gender, Family and the Law**
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Amy Applegate, Indiana University, Maurer School of Law

**Description:**
This panel deals with feminist critique about changing realities and law in marriage and consequences of paternalism upon children as well as comparative research on public attitudes toward family and work.

**Primary Keyword:** Family, Youth, and Children
**Secondary Keyword:** Feminist Jurisprudence

**Presentations:**
- Ghost Town: The Death of Marriage and the Emergence of the Single Woman  
  *Ronald Griffin*, Florida A&M
- Inconsistent Paternalism: Children, Adults and Competence  
  *Samantha Godwin*, Yale Law School
- Marital Status Regimes: Legal Pluralism and the Everyday Distribution of Marital Status in Post-Apartheid South Africa  
  *Michael Yarbrough*, John Jay College (CUNY)
- Your Baby, Your Choice? Comparing American and Swedish Attitudes on Gender, Family, and Paid Parental Leave  
  *Nadia Bowman*, University of Utah

**Human Cross-Border Mobility and the Law of Work**
CRN: 2, 8
IRC: 43
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Caza B (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Marley Weiss, University of Maryland Carey School of Law

**Participant(s):**
- Manoj Dias-Abey, Queen's University, Canada
- Judy Fudge, Kent Law School, University of Kent (UK)
- Jennifer Gordon, Fordham Law
- Leila Kawar, University of Massachusetts Amherst
- Svati Shah, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

**Description:**
This roundtable brings together legal scholars and social scientists whose work explores the migration/labor nexus in law and legal practice. As the wording of the title indicates, our ambition in coming together is not only to build bridges across traditional subfield boundaries but also to use these interdisciplinary conversations to rethink some of the questions traditionally asked by migration scholars and by labor scholars respectively.

By including as roundtable participants sociolegal scholars studying the intersection of human cross-border mobility and work in different national and transnational settings, we hope to generate an intellectually stimulating conversation about the global movement of people that forms the often-unacknowledged counterpart of contemporary global free trade.

**Primary Keyword:** Labor and Employment
**Secondary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Human Rights in the Americas: Domestic, Regional, and Global Legal Ordering**
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
CRN: 36
Paper Session

**Room:** Duque (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Wayne Sandholtz, University of Southern California

**Disc.:** Jorge Contesse, Rutgers Law School

**Description:**
The construction of a regional human rights regime in the Americas is an ongoing project that links norms, institutions, and actors across multiple levels. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR) is a crucial node in this developing
transnational legal order. Among the Court’s crucial interlocutors are domestic judges, advocates, civil society groups, and other state and non-state actors. But the court also invokes global human rights norms and treaties and engages with the rights jurisprudence of other international and regional courts. Domestic actors likewise draw on global human rights norms and jurisprudence as they seek to vindicate rights both in domestic courts and at the IACtHR. This panel focuses on these linkages among domestic, Inter-American, and international human rights mechanisms and processes.

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights  
**Secondary Keyword:** International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics

**Presentations:**  
- Mobilizing the Inter-American Human Rights System: Regional Litigation and Human Rights Organizations in Latin America  
  *Par Engstrom*, University College London
  
- The Construction of Trans-Regional Human Rights: The IACtHR and the ECtHR  
  *Wayne Sandholtz*, University of Southern California
  
- The Judicialization of Peace in Colombia: Are International Courts Changing How Wars End?  
  *Alexandra Hunees*, University of Wisconsin  
  *Sandra Borda*, Universidad de Bogotá Jorge Tadeo Lozano  
  *Courtney Hillebrecht*, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
  
- Unpacking the impact of the Inter-American Court on Human Rights on the Supreme Court of Argentina  
  *Caroline de Lima Silva*, Northwestern University/University of Copenhagen

**Indigenous Legal Subjects in and beyond the Law**  
CRN: 34  
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Laura Spitz, Cornell University**

**Description:**  
Using case studies, historical analyses, qualitative and quantitative research methods, this exciting collection of papers examines the construction and destruction of Indigenous legal identities within and beyond the law.

**Primary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Pluralism, Mixed Legal Systems, Indigenous, and Non-State Law, Private Ordering

**Presentations:**  
- Bordering on Human: The Emergence of Legal Subjects in British Columbia and New Mexico  
  *Laura Spitz*, Cornell University
  
- Fixing Canada: Indigenous-State Commissions of Inquiry and the Restless Re-Ordering of Settler Colonial Administration  
  *Mayana C. Slobodian*, University of Toronto
  
  *Nicholas Bustamante*, Arizona State University  
  *Cristóbal Martínez*, Arizona State University

**Indigent Litigants, International Arbitration, and Human Rights**  
CRN: 10  
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza A (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s): Hironao Kaneko, Tokyo Institute of Technology**

**Disc.: Luigi Cominelli, University of Milan**

**Description:**  
Professor Annette Olesen presents findings from her qualitative study of trustworthy relationship development and case handling between indebted ex-prisoners seeking legal debt counselling and volunteer legal debt counsellors in Denmark and Norway. Professor Hironao Kaneko reports on the problems around claims against the defendant not afforded. Professor Ya-Fei Chang presents the study on the international arbitration and the post-award bargaining systems and distributions of power in post-award judicial reviews. Professor Bruno Takahashi and Professor Carlos Gustavo Moimaz Marques present on their case study of continuous assistance benefit lawsuits in Brazil. Professor João Pedroso discusses how the consequences of the 2008 economic and financial crisis challenged the principles of democratic legitimacy, politics and fundamental rights. Prof. Barzilai discusses on when and to what extent the legal intervention in communal practices is justified.

**Primary Keyword:** Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution  
**Secondary Keyword:** Disputes, Mediation, and Negotiation

**Presentations:**  
- Access to Justice: ‘Social coupleings’ in post-prison legal debt counselling Developing trustworthy relationships by matching ex-prisoners and volunteer counsellors  
  *Annette Olesen*, Aalborg University
Boundaries of Non-Ruling Communities, State’s Agents, and International Values: Multiculturalism, Liberalism and the Preservation of Non-Liberal Cultures  
**Gad Barzilai**, University of Haifa

Civil Suits against Defendant in Relative Poverty in Japan  
**Hironao Kaneko**, Tokyo Institute of Technology

It’s your choice: deciding continuous assistance benefit lawsuits in Brazil  
**Bruno Takahashi**, University of Sao Paulo (USP)/Federal Court of Brazil  
**Carlos Gustavo Moimaz Marques**, Mackenzie University

Justice in the European Union: an analysis between fundamental rights, austerity and exception  
**João Pedrosa**, Faculty of Economics of University of Coimbra and Centre for Social Studies University of Coimbra

**Innovation and Activism in Health Law**  
**CRN: 9**  
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Anna Kirkland**, University of Michigan

**Description:**  
Law can be used at many levels to promote health, and activists have become very creative in using municipal law to combat climate change, for example. Yet health-related laws may be overshadowed by national security interests and some populations may be excluded from the protections a law was supposed to confer. In addition, there may not be enough law governing innovations such as telemedicine, though there is demand for specialist services in rural areas. These papers grapple with the ways that law and health are at the center of contestation and innovation with varied results.

**Primary Keyword:**  
Health and Medicine

**Presentations:**  
A Doctor in Every Home: A Call for Mutual State Recognition of Medical Licenses and a Uniform Telemedicine Code  
**Michael Krebs**, Temple University Beasley School of Law

A Tale of Many Cities: The Role of Municipal Ordinances and Plans in Meeting Greenhouse Gas Targets to Address Climate Change  
**Catherine Rogers**, Thomas Jefferson School of Law

Good neighbors without fences? The Challenge of National Security Laws to the Researcher Response to Public Health Emergencies  
**Timothy Vines**, Australian National University

**International and Comparative Perspectives on Consumer Credit, Financial Regulation, and Overindebtedness**  
**CRN: 25**  
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Reforma B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Pamela Foohey**, Indiana University Maurer School of Law

**Description:**  
This session will present papers examining the issue of overindebtedness (financial distress) from a variety of international and comparative perspectives.

**Primary Keyword:**  
Household Finance

**Secondary Keyword:**  
Economy, Business and Society

**Presentations:**  
Over Commitment to Credit and Vulnerability: Regulatory Responses from a Trans-Atlantic Perspective  
**Sarah Brown**, University of Leeds

The Brazilian Overindebted Profile in a Scenario of Economic Crisis: A Comparative Assessment (2014-2016)  
**Patricia Sampaio**, FGV Law School in Rio de Janeiro  
**Rafaela Nogueira**, Fundação Getulio Vargas

**Law and the Creation of Narratives**  
**CRN: 16**  
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session  
**Room:** Clasico (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s): Margaret van Naerssen**, n/a

**Disc.: Janet Ainsworth**, Seattle University

**Description:**  
Legal actors depend heavily on the creation of narratives that are consistent with instrumental goals. This session provides illustrations of this phenomenon in action. Papers include discussion of legislative rhetoric presenting emotional narratives of the need for tougher criminal laws, reporting practices among forensic experts and the police, different treatment of drug users based on race, narratives of peace to mask the consequences of war, and competing narratives surrounding
the “sharing economy.” Taken together, they reinforce the conclusion that legal actors in all domains rely on fitting their positions into a larger story that appears attractive to either a public audience or an audience of those in power.

**Primary Keyword:** Language And Discourse

**Presentations:**

Marketing with Emotion: The Interplay Between Emotional Narratives and Political Marketing in Canadian Criminal Justice Legislation
*Grant Valentine,* University of Toronto

The Myth of the Sharing Economy and Its Implications for Regulating Innovation
*Abby Stemler,* Indiana University Kelley School of Business

The Politics of Legibility in Reparations Processes in Rural Colombia
*Alison Castel,* University of Colorado-Boulder

The Social Construction of the Drug Using Victim
*Sofia Laguna,* University of California, Irvine

The Voice Behind the Wall of a Non-Native Speaker’s’ Defendant Statement
*Margaret van Naerssen,* n/a

**Laws and Legal Regimes in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union**

**CRN:** 20
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Alexei Trochev, Nazarbayev University

**Disc.:** Jacqueline Gehring, University of California, Santa Cruz

**Description:** This panel focuses on international and local legal regimes in the region of Central and Eastern Europe and Eurasia, including papers that discuss laws on mental health, corruption, property and migration.

**Primary Keyword:** Central Eastern Europe, Balkans, Russia, And Eurasian Law and Society

**Presentations:**

Discrimination and Migration: The European Roma Experience in the EU and Beyond
*Loana Sendroui,* University of Toronto

Legitimacy of Deprivation of Liberty on the Grounds of Mental Disability in Azerbaijan
*Ravan Samadov,* University of Bristol

Local Contestation of the Global Regime: Explaining Differential Outcomes of Anti-Corruptionism in Russia, Georgia, and Ukraine
*Marina Zaloznaya,* University of Iowa

**Vicki Claypool,** The University of Iowa

**William Reisinger,** The University of Iowa

Reinventing or Rediscovering? Russian Approaches to International Law
*Marina Aksenova,* Academic

**LGBTQ Divorce**

**CRN:** 17
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Adam Romero, UCLA School of Law, Williams Institute

**Participant(s):**

*Michael Boucai,* SUNY Buffalo Law School

*Shannon Minter,* National Center for Lesbian Rights

*Kim Pearson,* Gonzaga University School of Law

*Adam Romero,* UCLA School of Law, Williams Institute

*Edward Stein,* Cardozo School of Law

**Description:** In 2015, same-sex couples gained the right to marry— and divorce—across the US. The national conversation has largely focused on the benefits of marriage, such as the role of legal protections in promoting family stability. The topic of divorce among same-sex couples—and LGBTQ people more broadly—has been less discussed and less studied. This roundtable will explore legal, sociological, and psychological research on divorce and relationship dissolution among LGBTQ people. We will consider, e.g., how the historically and currently gendered nature of marriage impacts divorcing same-sex couples; how rules regarding parentage and child custody are implemented with regards to LGBT parents; particular issues facing divorcing transgender people; and risk factors for relationship dissolution among LGBTQ people, such as minority stress. The panel draws from a forthcoming volume from Oxford University Press edited by Adam Romero (UCLA Law, Williams Inst.) and Abbie Goldberg (Clark Univ.).

**Primary Keyword:**

Family, Youth, and Children

**Secondary Keyword:**

Gender and Sexuality

**Localizing Criminal Justice**

Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion A (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** Veronica Horowitz, Univ. of Minnesota
Friday 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.

**Description:**
This session will feature a discussion about criminal justice and criminal justice reform in Miami, Minnesota, Colombia, Mexico, Canada, and the U.S.

**Primary Keyword:** Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

**Presentations:**
No Contact: The Origins and Trajectory of Domestic Abuse Law in Minnesota  
Veronica Horowitz, University of Minnesota - Minneapolis  
Allison Nobles, University of Minnesota  
Joshua Page, University of Minnesota  
Victoria Piehowski, University of Minnesota  
Ryan Larson, University of Minnesota

The Divergent Approaches to Hearsay in Canada and the United States: A New Argument Against the Testimonial Purpose Test  
Helene Love, University of Toronto

The Effect of Public Defenders on the Outcome of Criminal Cases: Evidence from Mexico, a Newly Accusatorial Jurisdiction  
Miguel Quintana-Navarrete, Harvard University  
Gustavo Fondevila, Center for Economic Research and Teaching (CIDE)

The Geography of Criminal (In)justice in Miami-Dade County: Neighborhood Context and Racial Inequality across the Life-Course of Criminal Cases  
Nick Petersen, University of Miami  
Marisa Omori, University of Miami

The Impact of an Adversarial Criminal Justice System in the Prison Population: Evidence from the Colombian Case  
Angela Zorro Medina, Yale Law School

**Making Immigrant Rights Real: Nonprofits and the Politics of Integration**

**CRN:** 2

Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)

**Author(s):** Els de Graauw, Baruch College -- CUNY

**Chair(s):** Shannon Gleeson, Cornell University

**Reader(s):**  
Xochitl Bada, University of Illinois at Chicago  
Shannon Gleeson, Cornell University  
Stephen Lee, UC Irvine School of Law  
Doris Marie Provine, Arizona State University

**Description:**
Making Immigrant Rights Real: Nonprofits and the Politics of Integration in San Francisco (Cornell University Press, 2016) examines how nonprofit organizations have influenced the local government adoption and implementation of immigrant rights and integration measures despite significant regulatory and resource constraints on the advocacy activities of these organizations. Based on case studies on six San Francisco policies around three different issues (language access, labor rights, and municipal ID cards), the book analyzes the tripartite model of advocacy strategies that nonprofits have used to enact and implement immigrant-friendly policies: (1) administrative advocacy, (2) cross-sectoral and cross-organizational collaborations, and (3) strategic issue framing. The book analyzes how important nonprofits have become as urban political actors, with a unique ability to advocate for immigrants and other disadvantaged city residents. The book also demonstrates the critical importance of nonprofits in making immigrant rights real by effectively narrowing the large gap between immigrant rights on the book and immigrant rights in practice.

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Pragmatic Regulation: Securing Compliance Through Varied Enforcement Practices**

**CRN:** 5

Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Susan Silbey, MIT

**Disc.:** Jodi Short, UC Hastings College of the Law

**Description:**
Pragmatic Regulation: Securing Compliance Through Varied Enforcement Practices

**Primary Keyword:** Regulation, Reform, and Governance

**Presentations:**
Building Effective, Resilient, and Trusted Police Organizations in Mexico  
Rodrigo Canales, Yale School of Management

Wise Constraints: How Regulation Can Enhance Business Performance  
Salo Coslovsky, New York University

“Trust but Verify:” Anomie Regulation through Confidential Evaluation Criteria  
Ben Rissing, Cornell University
Private Law, Public Interests
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

Facilitator(s):
Khurram Parvez Raja, Zayed University
Nafay Choudhury, King's College London
David Sandomierski, University of Toronto

Description:
This panel explores the interaction of - and boundary between - private and public law in and across national legal jurisdictions.

Primary Keyword: Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness,
Comparitive Legal Cultures
Secondary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

Presentations:
Analysing the Shari'ah Standard on Guarantees for Islamic Banks and Financial Institutions.
Khurram Parvez Raja, Zayed University

Redefining Boundaries: What can Afghan Taxi Drivers Tell Us about Contract Law and the Development Enterprise?
Nafay Choudhury, King's College London

Tension and Reconciliation in Canadian Contract Law Teaching
David Sandomierski, University of Toronto

CRN: 23
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Disc.: Matt Craven, SOAS

Chair/Disc.: Illan Wall, University of Warwick

Description:
"Revolution, Internationalism and the Politics of Solidarity" The meanings, construction, and effects of "walls, borders and bridges" can be explored through unfamiliar and dissimilar lenses: bulletins, manifestos, revolutions, films and humanity. This panel attempts explore these disparate lenses in order to flesh out themes undergirding the notions of walls, borders and bridges: revolution, solidarity and internationalism. Some of the subjects discussed in this panel include, inter alia: the cultural production of international solidarity in literature (Bernard); Fanon's call for the colonised to become human through disalienation (Cubukcu); the notions of history mobilised through a Fanon-Benjaminian conversation about manifestos (Nesiah); the role of "virtue" in deciding the legality of revolutions/revolutionaries in international law (Kumar); and finally, how an epic film,'The Battle of Algiers', can be understood in three legal/historical moments, as an aperture to Syria. (Sayed)

Primary Keyword: International Law, International Organizations, Regional Institutions, Non-state Actors, and International Politics
Secondary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:
Decolonizing the Future
Vasuki Nesiah, NYU Gallatin

International Solidarity and Culture: The Tricontinental Bulletin
Anna Bernard, King's College London

The Battle of Algiers Today: International Law and the Routinization of Liberation Struggles
Hani Sayed, American University in Cairo, Department of Law

The Righteous Revolutionary in International Law: Aretaic Legality?
Vidya Kumar, Leceister Law School, University of Leicester

States of Violence and Legal Activism in Latin America: Bridging Feminist, Intersectional, and Decolonial Research
CRN: 7
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio A (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Cecilia MacDowell Santos, University of San Francisco and University of Coimbra

Description:
This session addresses legal and political struggles for justice waged by different groups of women, indigenous, and LGBT communities facing multiple and intersected forms of violence and injustice in Latin America. The session aims to decenter global North-based research on violence, legal mobilization, and justice. The goal is to shed light on the theoretical and methodological contributions of research on legal activism and political struggles against multiple forms of injustice in Latin America from feminist, intersectional, and decolonial perspectives. The session will promote dialogues among activist researchers who have studied the politics and legal mobilization practices over the rights of various groups of women, indigenous, and LGBT communities to live free from violence in the contexts of legal pluralism, grassroots and transnational
feminist, intersectional, and indigenous struggles for justice. Feminism, intersectionality and decolonialism will serve as both theoretical and methodological frameworks to help cross the disciplinary and geographical borders in which research/ers and their subjects are located.

**Primary Keyword:** Latin America, Latin American Studies, Latin American Law and Society  
**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**

A Gender Alert for Michoacán (México): Why do we want it? A study from legal activism and feminist mobilization  
**Lucero Ibarra Rojas**, CIDE

Elena Alejandra Ibarra Rojas  
Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo  
**Mariana Anahi Manzo**, CONICET-CUIS

Activismo legal en la lucha contra la violencia de género: Las paradojas de la antropología jurídica feminista/ Legal Activism in the Struggle against Gender Violence The Paradoxes of Feminist Legal Anthropology  
**Rosalva Aida Hernandez Castillo**, CIESAS

Justicia Transicional y el Reto de los Derechos LGBT en América Latina  
**Pascha Bueno-Hansen**, University of Delaware

The case of the Ejido Tila: Indigenous Rights, between de facto implementation and legal recognition twenty years after the EZLN uprising in Chiapas, Mexico  
**Mariana Mora**, CIESAS

What Counts as ‘Women’s Human Rights’? Transnational Legal Activism, Brazilian NGOs, and Challenges to Mobilize Intersectionality  
**Cecilia MacDowell Santos**, University of San Francisco and University of Coimbra

---

**Talking Law, Politics, and Culture**  
**Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Renee Cramer, Drake University  
**Disc.:** Jeffrey Dudas, University of Connecticut

**Description:**  
This panel features presentations of new work by a group of mid-career and junior scholars, all of whom are interested in the confluence of contemporary trends in the domains of the legal, the political, and the cultural. The culture and politics of alternative birthing practices in the United States (Cramer); the ways that marginalized people might at once conceptualize and dispute asymmetrical configurations of power (Longazel); the operation of surprisingly parallel, but equally ineffective immigration practices in both the global north and south (Iverson); and hegemonic representations of crime, security, and masculinity in contemporary popular culture (Dudas): each of the scholars on this panel trace some of the many intersections of contemporary law, politics, and culture - even as they also excavate, to varying degrees, the deep-seated desires and fears of ordinary people that animate and orient these intersections.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Cultures  
**Secondary Keyword:** Rights and Identities

**Presentations:**

"It Only Makes You Stranger": Crime, Security, and the Crisis of American Masculinity  
**Jeffrey Dudas**, University of Connecticut

Fascism-lite in America (or the social ideal of Donald Trump)  
**Ewan McGaughey**, King's College, London

Legitimacy and Visibility as a Professional Project for Midwives  
**Renee Cramer**, Drake University

The Politics of Discouragement: A Comparative Analysis of Refugee Protection in Kenya and Immigration Controls in the United States  
**Erika Iverson**, The Graduate Center, CUNY

**CRN: 34**  
**Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.**  
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala De Consejo, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair(s):** Patricia Barkaskas, Peter A. Allard School of Law, University of British Columbia

**Disc.:** Sarah Hunt, University of British Columbia

**Description:**  
This panel brings together diverse Indigenous perspectives on legal violence, asking how the violence of law shapes the lives of Indigenous people as a key site of power in ongoing settler colonial relations. Complementing theorizations of legal violence which focus on judicial spaces and processes, panelists will discuss how legal violence is expressed in the contexts of everyday embodied, interpersonal, and intimate spaces and relations. Drawing from their diverse socio-legal scholarship and legal practice in the Canadian context, panelists will also discuss
the ways that interconnected legal and interpersonal violence is being resisted by Indigenous people and communities, such as through the resurgence of Indigenous legal practices and systems in dynamics of legal pluralism.

**Primary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples  
**Secondary Keyword:** Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

**Presentations:**
- Decolonizing Relations of Disappearance: Indigenous Women’s Theorizations of Legal Violence  
  **Sarah Hunt,** University of British Columbia
- Enacting Indigenous Jurisdiction(s) in the Settler Colonial Cityscape  
  **Michael Fabris,** University of British Columbia
- Resistance Pedagogy: Decolonizing Legal Education  
  **Patricia Barkaskas,** Peter A. Allard School of Law, University of British Columbia
- Shock and Awe: The Everyday Violence and (In)justice of trauma and law in the lives of Indigenous girls  
  **Natalie Clark,** Thompson Rivers University

**The Globalization of Legal Education: Critical Perspectives**
CRN: 36  
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Roundtable Session

**Room:** Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Greg Shaffer,** University of California Irvine School of Law

**Participant(s):**  
**Bryant Garth,** University of California Irvine  
**Ron Levi,** University of Toronto  
**Carole Silver,** Northwestern University Law School

**Description:**
The roundtable will initiate a critical discussion of the increasingly important, but largely understudied, globalization of legal education. It will address transnational flows of students and faculty, the development of global law schools and the diffusion and diversification of exchange and degree programs. It will examine these phenomena in relation to the construction of international and transnational law, institutions, and legal orders. The session will also look at the way the globalization of legal education affects the role of law in domestic as well as transnational settings, the development of transnational networks, and imperial and hegemonic relationships.

**The Political Economy of Racial Inequality: A Socio-Legal Inquiry**
**Primary Keyword:** Lawyers, and Other Professional Legal Actors  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Education, Legal Education Reform, and Law Students

Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Darren Hutchinson,** University of Florida Levin College of Law

**Disc.:** **Tanya Hernandez,** Fordham Law School

**Description:**
Racial inequality is reproduced by political institutions and policies that deprive persons of color color of important social resources, including education, housing, and healthcare. This panel will examine the politics and policies that sustain material deprivation among persons of color in a variety of settings, including policing, voting, housing, education, and civil rights enforcement. The papers will propose remedies that involve legislative and policy changes as well as judicial remedies to redress racial injustice. The papers will also analyze the limitations of law-based reform and discuss whether the political landscape will create opportunities for racial egalitarian agendas in the near future.

**The Transmission of Legal Knowledge**
**Primary Keyword:** Race and Ethnicity  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Movements, Social Issues, and Legal Mobilization

**IRC:** 41  
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Terraza (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Rafael Mafei R. Queiroz,** Univ. of São Paulo, Law School
Disc.: José Reinaldo de Lima Lopes, Faculty of Law, University of São Paulo

Description:
This session, sponsored by the International Research Collaborative on Comparative Legal History, will examine the transmission of legal knowledge from a comparative perspective.

Primary Keyword: Legal History
Secondary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism

Presentations:
- Bar associations and the circulation of legal knowledge: Argentina and Brazil, 1917-1943
  Mariana de Moraes Silveira, University of São Paulo

  Historia del Derecho y perspectiva comparada. Análisis desde la experiencia cubana.
  Fabrice Mule, U.N.A.M.

- The Need for a "True Chief": Losing Faith in Representative Assemblies in the Interwar Atlantic
  Noah Rosenblum, Yale Law School/ Columbia University

- The Transmission of Constitutional Law: Stockdale v. Hansard in the Colonies
  Lyndsay Campbell, University of Calgary

Transformations in Collective Bargaining, Worker Participation and Collective and Individual Labor Dispute Resolution in the Course of Transnationalization
CRN: 8
IRC: 43
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Embajadores (3rd Floor)
Chair(s): David Trubek, University of Wisconsin, Madison
Disc.: Cynthia Estlund, New York University

Description:
Labor relations are changing rapidly under pressure from transnationalization of domestic economies. International connections are intensifying among trade unions and worker advocates on one side, and among businesses, through transnational supply chains and within multinationals, on the other. This session will focus on collective bargaining and worker participation at enterprise and at transnational levels, mechanisms for individual and collective dispute resolution regarding terms and conditions of employment, and transnational support for union organizing. Two papers take divergent positions while addressing labor dispute resolution developments in China. Two Hungarian researchers present alternative views of collective labor law and the practical functioning of labor relations within the European Union (EU) and as applied in Hungary. A final paper addresses labor law changes in Mexico, a country economically intertwined with the U.S. and Canada under NAFTA and any future TPP.

Primary Keyword: Labor and Employment
Secondary Keyword: Economy, International Trade, Global Economy and Law

Presentations:
- Collective Dispute and Its Settlement in China
  Cheng Liu, Shanghai Normal University

- Crossborder Union Organization: Reasons, Interests and Difficulties The case of Cooperation between Ig Metall and Vasas (Hungarian Metallworkers Union).
  Toth Andras, MTA TK PTI

- Disputas por el Poder de Asociación de los Trabajadores en América Latina: Limitaciones y Posibilidades
  Daniel Cerdas-Sandi, FLACSO-México

- Labour Arbitration in Mainland China During 2007-2012: Its current state, characteristics, and tendencies
  Jianyong Li, Shanghai University

- New Conceptions of Freedom of Association in the Americas
  Ruben Garcia, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

- Works Council as the Mean of Voice of Employees at Transnational Corporations. The Need for Statutory Regulations for Transnational Employee Participation.
  Sara Hungler, ELTE Faculty of Law

CRN 23 Special Session: Anghie
CRN: 23
Friday Session 4, 10:00 a.m. - 11:45 a.m
Roundtable

Room: Caza C (Third Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Rose Parfitt, Melbourne Law School / Kent Law School
Luis Eslava, Kent Law School

Participant: Anthony Anghie, University of Utah

Description:
Join CRN 23 for a special session celebrating the work of Anthony Anghie.
“Changing” Legal Landscapes in East Asia – Development, Challenges and Prospects

CRN: 33
Friday Session 4, 2:45 p.m. - 4:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room:  Imperio C (2nd Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Kay-Wah Chan, Macquarie University

Description:
This is a session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explores the development, challenges and prospects in the legal landscapes in the two largest economies in East Asia: China and Japan. Issues discussed include China’s regulation of financial fraud in cyberspace, and administrative litigation in China. Regarding Japan, issues explored include: the change in the recognition of organizational forms after the Second World War, financial alternative dispute resolution centres, and the recent reform in relation to farmland re-distribution.

Primary Keyword: East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
Secondary Keyword: Regulation, Reform, and Governance

Presentations:
- A Study on the Recent Reforms of Farmland Re-Distribution Scheme in Japan
  Hideyo Kume, Chubu University
  Tomohiko Maeda, Meijo University
  Andrew Pardieck, Southern Illinois University
- New Challenges for the Chinese Criminal Law: Regulating Financial Fraud in Cyberspace
  Yuan Qiao, Shenzhen University Law School/ China University of Political Science and Law
- The Changing Scope of Administrative Litigation in China
  Yi Zhao, Grand Valley State University
- “Abuse” of the Corporate Form: Change of the Recognition of Organizational Forms in Japan after World War II
  Takashi Shimizu, The University of Tokyo

Friday June 23, Session 5
4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.

A Comparative View on Lay Participation
CRN: 4
IRC: 18
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room:  Colonia (2nd Floor)
Chair(s): Sanja Kutnjak Ivkovich, Michigan State University
Disc.: Mary Rose, University of Texas

Description:
This is a CRN-sponsored panel that includes six papers, which have undertaken (or are undertaking) empirical research on different forms of lay participation across the world. The paper by Abdulraheem-Mustapha explores the role of magistrates in Nigerian juvenile cases. The papers by Meladze and Kovalev discuss recent and/or proposed jury reforms in Georgia and Russia. Offit’s paper also focuses on the reform of the jury system in Norway and the potential move toward the introduction of the mixed court/tribunal. Bado’s paper, conducted before the proposed reform of the lay participation system, presents the results of an empirical analysis of the interaction between professional and lay judges in mixed tribunals. Vanoverbeke and Fukurai’s paper studies the operation of a recently established system of mixed tribunals in Japan. The panel will reveal the social and political complexities surrounding the existence of lay participation in legal decision-making.

Primary Keyword: Lay Participation, Juries and Other Forms of Lay Participation

Presentations:
- An empirical analysis of the Hungarian mixed tribunal system
  Attila Badó, University of Szeged
- Playing Rugby Without A Scrum-Half: Critical Analysis of Jury Reform in Georgia
  Giorgi Meladze, Ilia State University
  Nikolai Kovalev, Wilfrid Laurier University
- Putin’s Jury Reforms: Strengthening or Undermining the Institution in Russia
  Nikolai Kovalev, Wilfrid Laurier University
- Reasonable Doubts: Norway’s Transition from Juries to Mixed Courts
  Anna Offit, Princeton
The Role of Jury in the Administration of Juvenile Justice: The Need for Legal Framework in Nigeria  
Mariam Abdulraheem-Mustapha, Faculty of Law, University of Ilorin

Africa’s Legalized Politics of Homosexuality: Dynamics & Effects of Criminalization  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

Room:  Angel A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

Chair(s):  Siri Gloppen, University of Bergen/CMI - Centre on Law & Social Transformation

Disc.:  Daniel Brinks, University of Texas at Austin

Description: Sexual and reproductive rights are contested worldwide: in electoral politics, public debate - and in courts. In Africa the big issue is 'homosexuality'. Some countries have had liberal law and policy shifts, but in most African countries the anti-gay position is a valence issue with overwhelming popular support that no parties oppose. Pro-LGBT-rights voices are starting to be heard in the courts, fuelled by hostile political conditions, enforcement of sodomy laws, diffusion effects and international support. The anti-gay stance is interwoven with discourses around African-ness, masculinity, neo-colonialism and is closely tied to political power struggles, strategically used by regimes to silence opposition. These processes, where law and courts are becoming political battlegrounds is the focus of this panel. The papers seek to understand the driving forces behind the legalized politicisation of homosexuality, and assess the effects - materially; politically; on ideas and discourses.

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
"Xhi esse mundo djion, ja n están prestar" Progressive legislation in the context of generalized conservative public opinion - the case of LGBT Rights in Mozambique  
Carmeliza Rosario, UIB/CMI - Centre on Law and Social Transformation / COWI Mozambique

De-criminalisation litigation and its impact on access to health services for sexual minorities in Africa  
Nicholas Wasonga Orago, University of Nairobi, School of Law

LGBT rights in Zambia: Analysing politicization and contentious episodes  
Lise Rakner, University of Bergen  
Leo Arriola, UC Berkeley

Student protests and contestation over same sex relations in South Africa  
Ingvid Aagedal Skage, University of Bergen

Algorithms and Big Data: Reshaping Law in the Technological Society (2)  
IRC: 13  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

Room:  Caza B (3rd Floor)

Chair/Disc.:  David Restrepo Amariles, HEC Paris

Description: Algorithms and big data are more and more often used for legal, regulatory or compliance purposes. Whether it is for offender profiling, monitoring suspicious behaviours, auditing organizations or other algorithms, fostering e-government or enforcing automatically rules, policies or contracts, algorithms and big data are reshaping the regulatory landscape of our technological society, allegedly, for the sake of better regulation. The panel aims at discussing (1) how algorithmic governance disrupts the ways rules are adopted, interpreted, implemented and enforced; (2) how it changes the practices of lawyers, attorneys and judges; (3) how it contributes to a new global distribution of power, builds paths of exclusion and opens up new spaces for political and legal struggles; (4) whether algorithmic governance leads to a techno-managerial turn in law. Case-study and papers based on empirical research are especially welcomed.

Primary Keyword: Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law

Secondary Keyword: Regulation, Reform, and Governance

Presentations:
Algorithms and big data: transforming data protection law through the “risk-based approach”  
Raphael Gellert, Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Data+Algorithm=Security?: Governing War and Global Security with Algorithm and Data-mining  
Delphine Dogot, Sciences Po

How algorithms change the law: the case of high frequency trading market manipulation  
Tilen Cuk, Perelman Centre for legal philosophy (Université Libre de Bruxelles)

Leibniz Reloaded: How Big Data and Algorithms Are Reshaping the Law  
Gregory Lewkowicz, Perelman Centre - Université libre de Bruxelles
Breaking Carceral Walls, Bridging Movements: Forming a Coherent Anti-Punitive Agenda through Cross-Sectoral Collaborations
CRN: 7
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Roundtable Session

Room: Angel D, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Chandan Reddy, University of Washington, Seattle

Participant(s):
Alisa Bierria, Stanford University
Angelica Chazaro, Univ. of Washington School of Law
Mimi Kim, University of California, Berkeley
Soniya Munshi, CUNY BMCC
Emily Thuma, University of California, Irvine

Description:
This roundtable brings together scholar-activists whose different areas of research include crime and criminalization policy, immigration control, mass imprisonment, and feminist legal mobilization against gender-based violence in the U.S. in order to develop a more unified legal and critical account of state violence across these sectors. Each movement—anti-incarceration, immigrant rights, feminist community-based mobilization—has been transformed by the emerging oppositional consensus against the neoliberal era of “governing through crime.” Yet there is still little cross-sectoral analysis for linking the work emerging out of these individual movements. Doing so reveals how the strategies used to dislodge decades of criminalization within one sector, such as immigration, often reinforces more criminalization for another sector. This roundtable departs from other engagements by specifying the importance of alternative anti-racist feminist paradigms that militate against reforms that are predicated on the deepening of a criminalization paradigm for other groups, communities and their movements.

Primary Keyword: Race, Critical Race Research
Secondary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Constitutional Theory Development in Asia and in the Americas - Session 3
CRN: 1
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Reforma A, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Enzo Bello, Universidade Estácio de Sá

Description:
Societies in Asia and the Americas may seem to have nothing in common given their particularities; however, many countries in these two regions share similar historical and political experiences (e.g. dictatorships, revolutions, democratic mobilizations, civil rights or human rights problems, corruption etc.) and interact more and more pushed by economic and cultural globalization. Nevertheless these geographically diverse societies, although very different in their current legal and political cultures, may also share constitutional and democratic values. This session intends to bring together scholars engaged in studying the evolvement of constitutional features, either regarding constitutional law or constitutional theory, related to these regional foci.

Primary Keyword: Constitutional Law and Constitutionalism

Presentations:
Brazilian judicial audiences and reputation: challenges to build an institutional identity
Karina Mattos, UFRJ
Diego Vasconcelos, Universidade Federal de Rondônia
Facial Challenges and the Fourth Amendment
Alex Kreit, Thomas Jefferson School of Law
MAID in Canada? Debating the Constitutionality of Canada’s Medical Assistance in Dying Legislation
Thomas McMorrow, University of Ontario Institute of Technology

Constructing Inclusionary Practices through Law: Critical Reflections on Bonds, Bridges and Bandaid - II
CRN: 23
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Constitucion A (2nd Floor)
Chair/Disc.: Toni Williams, University of Kent

Description:
This session examines critically multiple ways in which legal and regulatory techniques are used to implement social and economic inclusion policies. Over the past 20 years, inclusion has been prescribed as a response to poverty, marginalisation, inadequate health care and housing, joblessness, the digital divide and radicalisation and more generally to counteract the economic, social and cultural effects of uneven development, austerity, and crisis.

While a substantial literature exists on some aspects of inclusion/exclusion there is a dearth of critical analyses of the roles played by law in the development and implementation of inclusionary policies. This session is one of two that aim to fill that gap through case studies from South America and Europe of the extent to which law performs as a bond, a bridge or a bandaid (or something else) in the implementation of inclusion.

Primary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights
**Presentations:**
Can we promote economic equality and human emancipation through Law?
**Marcelo Maciel Ramos,** Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG)

Legal exclusion, social law and the margins of the world of work: decoding Brazilian initiatives of inclusion for informal workers
**Pedro Nicoli,** UFMG

Spatial Justice and the Struggle for Housing Rights: the Vila Acaba Mundo case
**Maria Fernanda Salcedo Repolês,** UFMG

"Tommy this and Tommy that": the homeless veteran and inclusionary practices in the UK
**Helen Carr,** University of Kent

**Constructing Transnational Legal Orders**

**CRN:** 36  
**IRC:** 35  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza A (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Greg Shaffer,** UC Irvine School of Law

**Description:**
This panel assembles a set of contributions to the Transnational Legal Orders project, which focus on the processes, dynamics, and politics through which such orders are constructed and reproduced. The papers explore the role of a variety of agents - cause lawyers, judges, private commercial actors and associations - in the construction and change of transnational legal orders.

**Primary Keyword:** Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law

**Presentations:**
From the Court to the Classroom: Judicial Education as a Catalyst of Transnational Legal Orders
**Toby Goldbach,** Cornell Law School

Hybrid Sovereignty: Analyzing the English East India Company's Shifting Government Relations Strategy, 1650-1780
**Swati Srivastava,** Northwestern University

**Edward Cohen,** Westminster College

The Emergence of a Transnational Legal Order on Refugee Protection: The Constitutionalization of the Rights of Non-Citizens
**Stephen Meili,** University of Minnesota Law School

**Court and Dispute Resolution in China**

**CRN:** 33  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Angel B, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Meng Hou,** Peking University

**Description:**
The Chinese judicial system is under going substantive reforms. This session focuses on various aspects of courts and dispute resolution in China, including judicial protectionism, the relationship between the court and the prosecution, "haves" and have-nots" in employment disputes, the judicial talent drain, etc. All the participants are sociolegal scholars based in China and, taken together, their research offers a rare and valuable window for understanding the organization of China's courts and the process of dispute resolution.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society

**Secondary Keyword:** Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

**Presentations:**
China's Judicial Talent Drain: A Utility Function Perspective
**Xingxing Li,** Jinan University Law School

Does the “Haves” Fare Better than “Have-nots” in Employment Law Case In China?
**Zhenxing Ke,** Indiana University Bloomington, Maurer Law School

Evaluating the Relationship between the Court and the Prosecution in China — an Empirical Assessment of Prosecutorial Protests
**Xiaohong Yu,** Tsinghua University

Production and Control of Street Violence —Based on Empirical Data in Wuhan
**Chenglin Wei,** College of Humanities and Social Development, Northwest A&F Univ.

**Crisis, Disaster, and the Law**

Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 1

**Chair/Disc.: Chien-yu Liu,** Georgetown University Law Center
**Description:**
This panel explores the subject of large-scale disasters and crises from a range of socio-legal perspectives.

**Primary Keyword:** Disasters, Large-scale Accidents, Mass Atrocities

**Presentations:**
The Construction of Legal System for the Disaster Management of the Local Government in China  
**Weini Zhao,** Sichuan University

`'Perpetual Crisis' As a Growing Theme in Media Coverage of Crisis Situations: A Cross-National and Cross-Historical Study  
**John Esser,** Wagner College  
**Stephen Greenwald,** Wagner College  
**Dov Shinar,** Hadassah Academic College

**Culture, Professions and Professionalism in East Asia**  
*CRN: 33*
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Caza C (3rd Floor)

**Chair(s):** **Yoshitaka Wada,** Waseda Law School

**Disc.:** **Carole Silver,** Northwestern University Law School

**Description:**
This is a session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. The papers in the session explores culture, professionals (healthcare workers and lawyers [bengoshi]) and professionalism in Japan. In recent years, medical accidents/malpractice and claims related thereto have caught the public's attention in Japan. Professor Nakanishi and Professor Wada, in their co-authored paper, investigate the differences among different healthcare professionals regarding their perceptions on apology in incidences of medical accidents/malpractice. Japan has been known for its small number of bengoshi. But, since the implementation of the justice system reform, the number of bengoshi has substantially increased. Three papers in this session explores different aspects in relation to the increase in the number of bengoshi in Japan: the issue of the number of lawyers in a country, legal ethics, and in-house lawyers. Professor Wolff discusses the role of cute culture in law in Japan.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society  
**Secondary Keyword:** Legal Culture, Legal Consciousness, Comparative Legal Culture

**Presentations:**
Healthcare Workers’ Perceptions of Apology, Judicial Function, Dialogue with Patients, and Patient Safety/

Medical Quality in case of a Medical Adverse Event  
**Toshimi Momo Nakanishi,** Faculty of Medicine Yamagata University  
**Yoshitaka Wada,** Waseda Law School

How Cute is Japanese Law?  
**Leon Wolff,** Queensland University of Technology

Numerical development of German and Japanese Attorneys: What can the German experiences suggest to the Japanese discussion on the number of attorneys?  
**Hiroki Kawamura,** Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Faculty of Law

What Have They Done Wrong? An Analysis of Disciplinary Actions against Japanese Lawyers  
**Kay-Wah Chan,** Macquarie University

**Deterrence, Surveillance, Detention: Spaces of Oversight and Immobility**  
*CRN: 2*
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio B (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.:** **Juliet Stumpf,** Lewis and Clark Law School

**Description:**
Deterrence, Surveillance, Detention: Spaces of Oversight and Immobility

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
A formal analysis of the interaction between socio-legal support and anti-terror laws  
**Fahad Ahmad,** Carleton University

Extending the Boundaries of Foreignness: “U.S. Persons” and the Limits of Surveillance Law  
**Daria Vaisman,** John Jay College/Graduate Center, CUNY

Investigating the Relationship between Criminalization of Immigrants and Privatization in the United States  
**Wenjie Liao,** North Carolina State University  
**Kim Ebert,** North Carolina State University  
**Emily Estrada,** High Point University

The Denied, the Deterred, and the Disenchanted: The Variety of Prospective Immigrants Excluded by U.S. Immigration Law  
**Jacob Thomas,** UCLA
Dignity Takings & Dignity Restoration: The U.S. and Beyond
CRN: 49
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Imperio D (2nd Floor)

Chair(s): Bernadette Atuahene, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law/ American Bar Foundation

Disc.: Matthew Shaw, American Bar Foundation

Description:
There are some instances when property is taken from an individual or a group and the appropriate remedy is to give the thing back or something approximating the thing. In other instances, property is taken as part of a larger strategy of dehumanization or infantilization, and thus compensating people for things taken is not enough because they lost more than their property—they were also deprived of their dignity. In her book, "We Want What’s Ours: Learning from South Africa’s Land Restitution Program," (Oxford University Press, 2014) Atuahene calls this dual harm a dignity taking. She argues that the appropriate remedy for a dignity taking is something more than mere reparations (i.e. compensation for things taken). Instead, dignity restoration, which addresses deprivations of both property and dignity, is required. Through a series of panels, law and society scholars will empirically examine instances of dignity takings and dignity restoration in a wide range of contexts.

Primary Keyword: Housing, Land Use, Urban Studies, Law and Urbanism
Secondary Keyword: Crime, Criminal Justice, Criminal Procedure, and Victims' Studies

Presentations:
Dignity Takings & Dignity Restoration: Creating a New Theoretical Framework for Understanding Involuntary Property Loss and the Remedies Required
Bernadette Atuahene, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law/ American Bar Foundation

Dignity Takings and Sacramento, California’s Lost Japantown
Thomas Joo, University of California, Davis, School of Law

Fucking with Dignity: Public Sex, Queer Intimate Kinship and how the AIDS Epidemic Bathhouse Closures Constituted a Dignity Taking
Stephen Engel, Bates College and American Bar Foundation
Timothy Lyle, Iona College

Takings Beyond Compensation: The Anglo-American Enclosure Movement as a Case Study of Systemic Takings
John Acevedo, University of La Verne, College of Law

Gender Equality in Different Contexts
CRN: 3
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 2

Description:
This panel explores gender equality in a variety of ways.

Primary Keyword: Gender and Sexuality

Presentations:
Constitutional Jurisprudence, Gender Equality and Strategic Litigation: a global exploratory study
Jennie Abell, University of Ottawa

Political Constitutionalism in the Same-Sex Marriage Campaign
Nan Hunter, Georgetown Law

Governance, Regulation and Enforcement
CRN: 3
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 3

Chair/Disc.: Simanti Dasgupta, University of Dayton

Description:
With a view to illuminating active and emergent forms of governance, this panel features studies that investigate law in relation to issues of control and policy implementation. Together, they offer different perspectives on the relationship between legal regimes and the regulation of land rights and market access, flows of people and information.

Primary Keyword: Regulation, Reform, and Governance
Secondary Keyword: Ethnography

Presentations:
Uber, Mexican Political Mythologies, and the Obfuscation of Tourism Governance: An Examination of Uber’s Entrance into the Maya Riviera
Brandon Hunter, Princeton University

Who’s Seated at the Table?: Geographical Indication Protection of Artesan Foodstuffs as a Vehicle for Inclusion and Exclusion
Erica Farmer, Smithsonian Institution
**Immigration Detention and Removal: Historical Practices and Contemporary Legacies**
CRN: 2
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Clasico (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Jonathan Hafetz, Seton Hall Law School**

**Description:**
In recent decades, immigration control in the United States has grown into a massive enterprise. This session will situate the "walls, borders, and bridges" of that regime in historical context. Panelists will explore the origins of various aspects of immigration control and enforcement -- enforcement operations on the U.S.-Mexico border and in the interior, immigration custody practices, constitutional protections for detainees, the role of subfederal actors in immigration enforcement, and the relationship between immigration control and criminal justice -- and the continuities and discontinuities between contemporary norms and practices and their historical antecedents. Panelists will also consider lessons for today that arise from those historical experiences. Collectively, the presenters will offer a range of perspectives to help illuminate, in line with the conference theme, "what makes [the U.S. immigration control regime] tick, where it has been, and where it is going."

**Primary Keyword:** Citizenship, Migration, and Refugee Studies

**Presentations:**
- "The Humane Qualities of an Enlightened Civilization": The Fall of Immigration Detention in the 1950s
  Anil Kalhan, Drexel University
  Patricia Macias-Rojas, University of Illinois, Chicago
- Back to the Future?: Immigration Enforcement in the 1950s
  Jennifer Chacon, University of California, Irvine School of Law
- Making Law on the Border: The INS and Immigration Law and Policy in Modern America
  S. Deborah Kang, California State University, San Marcos
- Rights, Citizenship, and the Politics of Exclusion: Denying Constitutional Protections by Redefining Borders
  Jonathan Hafetz, Seton Hall Law School

**Income Inequality & Labor Law**
CRN: 8
IRC: 43
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Imperio C (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Jedidiah Kroncke, FGV Sao Paulo**

**Description:**
For some time, the dominant framework for analyzing labor law-and contending for labor law reform--has been employee "voice" or "workplace governance." This framework fit well with the original aspirations of the Wagner Act and with collective bargaining as it was practiced in the immediate post-war era. As the crisis in industrial relations began in the 1970s and 80s, and the decline in union membership accelerated, the policy response has largely been framed in similar terms. However, even greater challenges now face both the workplace and American society, including potentially disruptive technological change, growing income inequality, globalization, and persistent poverty and social exclusion. How does the nature and focus of labor law and its reform change in light of these accumulating challenges? What sorts of reforms should be privileged if income inequality or technological unemployment becomes the central concern in revising labor law?

**Primary Keyword:** Labor and Employment

**Secondary Keyword:** Class and Inequality

**Presentations:**
- A Comparative Perspective on the Right to Human Dignity: a Key to the Puzzle of the Exclusion of the Precariat from the Benefits of Minimal Working Conditions?
  Isabelle Martin, University of Montreal
- Maude Choko, University of Ottawa
- Income Inequality and Labor Law Reform
  Matthew Dimick, University at Buffalo School of Law
- Inequality, Globalization and the Wagner Model in Ontario: Saving or Replacing?
  Kevin Banks, Queen’s University
- What Should We Do After Work? Automation, Job Loss, and the Law of Work
  Cynthia Estlund, New York University

**Instantiating Democracy**
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

**Room:** Conquista (2nd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Diogo Coutinho, University of Sao Paulo - Faculty of Law**
Description: This session considers how countries move in and out of democracy, deepening, consolidating and retracting, even in the face of increased citizen demands.

Primary Keyword: Democracy, Governance and State Theory; Transitions to Democracy and Revolutions

Presentations:
Institutional innovation and participatory democracy: legislative evaluation of the Target Program Amendment
Murilo Gaspardo, São Paulo State University (UNESP)

Mobile Emergency Rule in Hybrid Political Regimes: Law and Authoritarian Consolidation in Contemporary Turkey
T. Deniz Erkmen, Ozyegin University
Mert Arslanalp, Bogazici University

Natalia Angel-Cabo, Osgoode Hall Law School
Jorge Gonzalez-Jácome, Universidad de los Andes

The Rebirth of a Political Victim: Case study on the Restitution of the Legal Status to the Unión Patriótica political party as a Collective Reparation Measure in Colombia
Tania Luna Blanco, Universidad de los Andes

Invisible Institutionalisms | What can we see when we are looking?
CRN: 36
IRC: 3
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Salon Session

Room: Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 4

Chair(s): Sara Dezalay, Cardiff School of Law and Politics
Swethaa S. Ballakrishnen, New York Univ. Abu Dhabi

Disc.: Jayanth Krishnan, Indiana University, Bloomington
Usha Natarajan, The American University in Cairo

Description: Our project’s intention is, broadly, twofold: Empirically, we are interested in sites that are in the periphery, sites which are often overlooked and methods which can revive these sites in ways that are not normally attempted. Theoretically, we see this inquiry as essential for building our communal understandings of modern institutional building – how do we analyze legal diffusion processes? Is there a yardstick for the unevenness of globalization, what do we think of as “failed” transplants? We see a tension between seeing transformation as a function of transplantation versus seeing it as a relational, dynamic process with existing local histories. Nowhere is this tension riper than in post-colonial sites where inquiry either looks at a-historic processes and receptor sites in isolation or takes into account the assumptions of the Global North as a constant. We ask - What happens when we remove this yardstick? How do institutions emerge? Rather, how do we inspect their emergence?

Primary Keyword: Transnational Legal Orders, Transnational Law
Secondary Keyword: South Asia, South Asian Studies, South Asian Law and Society

Presentations:
Invisible Institutionalisms in/and Behavioural Law & Economics
Suryapratim Roy, Trinity College Dublin

Rescripting Intellectual Property: A 3-D Approach
Shamnad Basheer, Nirma University

Law, Colonial Power and the State of Exception
IRC: 2
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Duque (2nd Floor)

Chair/Disc.: David Whyte, University of Liverpool

Description: This paper session addresses the role of law and the state of exception in diverse colonial and postcolonial contexts. This session aims to establish a dialogue among scholars that have been studying the uses of the state of exception and exceptional laws as strategies for the legitimation and administration of colonial and postcolonial contexts. It aspires to explore the similarities and divergences among the colonial states in their uses of exceptionality, as well as the effect that the colonial state of exception has had in colonized countries. Issues, such as the role of law in the history of colonial domination, race and colonialism, economic underdevelopment, environmental pollution, criminalization of anticolonial movements, the role of corporations in colonization, and the link between neoliberalism and colonialism will be addressed in this session.

Primary Keyword: Colonialism and Post-Colonialism
Secondary Keyword: Economic and Social Rights

Presentations:
Jose Atiles, University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez
Territorialization of the Exception in Colombia: Law and Development in the Implementation of Neoliberal Projects at the Pacific Region of Colombia.

Viviana Tacha Gutierrez, Centro de Estudios para la Justicia Social Tierra Digna

The ‘Forgetfulness of Coloniality’ and the Understanding of Racism in European Anti-discrimination Legal Frameworks

Silvia Maeso, Centre for Social Studies, University of Coimbra

Understanding environmental harm and justice claims in the Global South: Crimes of the powerful and peoples’ resistance

Gustavo Rojas Páez, Universidad Libre

‘Puerto Rico in Never-Never Land’: On the Place of Law and Sovereignty in the State of Exception

Mónica Jiménez, The University of Illinois at Chicago

**Law, Medicine, and Reproductive Rights: Examining Birth, Death, Disease, and Family in a Socio-Legal Context**

**CRN:** 9
**Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.**
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 455, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Louise Roth, University of Arizona**

**Description:**
This panel examines complex relationships between law, medicine, and reproductive rights. Addressing a wide array of empirical cases—cesarean sections, Zika, perinatal hospice, paternity suits, and assisted reproductive technologies—papers will facilitate discussion across common themes. Authors address how healthcare professionals are situated vis-à-vis patients by demonstrating how providers act as patient advocates in fighting for less restrictive abortion laws in response to the Zika epidemic, how they act as patient adversaries by deploying organizational resources to force or coerce pregnant women into having cesarean sections, how increased professional training could help providers assist families faced with fetal anomalies, and how physicians act as gatekeepers to sperm donation to lesbian couples. Authors will also address how timing and uncertainty shape legal intervention into professional-patient relationships and how law and medicine shape family formation.

**Primary Keyword:** Health and Medicine
**Secondary Keyword:** Gender and Sexuality

**Presentations:**
- Forced and Coerced Cesarean Sections in the United States
  - Joan H. Robinson, Columbia University
  - Theresa Morris, Texas A&M University
- Paternity Suits and the “Lesbian Baby Boom” in 1980s California
  - Sara Matthiesen, Brown University
- “Back to basics: the autonomy of the medical profession as a determinant of reproductive rights - lessons from the Zika outbreak.”
  - Atina Krajewksa, University of Sheffield

**Law, Politics, Economy, and Courts in East Asia**

**CRN:** 33
**Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.**
**Paper Session**

**Room:** Embajadores (3rd Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Denis De Castro Halis, Faculty of Law / University of Macau**

**Description:**
This is a session organised by CRN33 East Asian Law and Society. Its papers explore the issues of law, politics, economy, and courts in East Asia. Yuqing Feng’s paper studies how politics affects litigants’ strategies in China. Chien-Chih Lin studies and compares the judicialization of politics in Hong Kong, Singapore, South Korea and Taiwan. Anya Bernstein explores how government actors in Taiwan, administrators and judges, address the “central dilemmas of democracy” when they implement the Administrative Procedure Act. Kwai Ng (and his non-presenting co-author Xin He) investigate "the interactions between local economies and the financing of grassroots courts in China". Based on a dataset of individual opinions with known authorship, Shao-Man Lee tries to identify "common function words" to predict the authorship of per curiam opinions of the Constitutional Court of Taiwan. The paper also identifies a "downward variability trend", making prediction increasingly difficult.

**Primary Keyword:** East Asia, East Asian Studies, East Asian Law and Society
**Secondary Keyword:** Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

**Presentations:**
- Economic Variations in Chinese Courts
  - Kwai Ng, UCSD
  - Xin He, City University of Hong Kong
- Sociolegal Identity in a New Democracy: Taiwan's Administrative Law in Practice
  - Anya Bernstein, SUNY Buffalo Law School
- Solving Author Attribution Algorithmically? The Case of the Constitutional Court of Taiwan
  - Shao-Man Lee, UC Berkeley/ National Taiwan Univ.
The Judicialization of Politics in the Four Little Dragons in East Asia  
Chien-Chih Lin, Institutum Iurisprudentiae, Academia Sinica

"With the Politics": Petitioners’ Framing of Disputes in Chinese Courts  
Yuqing Feng, Southeast Univ. Law School, Nanjing, China

**Legal Obstacles to Worker Solidarity**  
**CRN:** 8  
**IRC:** 43  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 454, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s):** James Pope, Rutgers Law School - Newark

**Disc.:** Charlotte Garden, Seattle Univ. School of Law

**Description:**  
This panel focuses on how collective bargaining rights are shaped, and how workers' collective action is accordingly advanced or limited. Two papers are focused on the United States; one discusses and critiques the role of the doctrine of constitutional avoidance in constraining US labor law, and the other offers an economic analysis of the distinction between primary and secondary conduct. The two other papers look north and south, respectively: One argues that Latin American work law offers a promising alternative to more neoliberal approaches that have taken hold elsewhere; and the other explores the Supreme Court of Canada's approach to free association.

**Primary Keyword:** Labor and Employment  
**Secondary Keyword:** Social Movements, Social Issues, and Legal Mobilization

**Presentations:**
- Can Latin America Contribute to Global Work Law?  
  Cesar Rosado, IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law
- Sergio Gamonal, Adolfo Ibáñez University
- Economic Analysis of the Primary-Secondary Distinction in Labor Law  
  Hiba Hafiz, University of Chicago Law School
- Freedom of Association, Good Faith Bargaining, and Government As Employer: An Examination of the BCTF Case in Canada's Supreme Court  
  Fiona McQuarrie, University of the Fraser Valley
- How Constitutional Avoidance Warped the NLRA  
  Charlotte Garden, Seattle University School of Law

**CRN:** 44  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Author Meets Reader (AMR) Session

**Room:** Angel C, Reforma Tower (19th Floor)

**Author(s):** Michelle McKinley, University of Oregon

**Chair(s):** Kim Welch, Vanderbilt

**Reader(s):**  
Laura Gomez, UCLA  
Christopher Tomlins, University of California, Berkeley  
Kim Welch, Vanderbilt

**Description:**  
Fractional Freedoms: Slavery, Intimacy, and Legal Mobilization in Colonial Lima, 1600-1700 (Cambridge University Press, October 2016) explores how thousands of slaves in colonial Peru were able to secure their freedom, keep their families intact, negotiate lower self-purchase prices, and arrange transfers of ownership by filing legal claims. Through extensive archival research, Michelle McKinley excavates the experiences of enslaved women whose historical footprint is barely visible in the official record. She complicates the way we think about life under slavery and demonstrates the degree to which slaves were able to exercise their own agency, despite being caught up in the Atlantic slave trade. Enslaved women are situated as legal actors who had overlapping identities as wives, mothers, mistresses, wet-nurses and day-wage domestics, and these experiences within the urban working environment are shown to condition their identities as slaves. Although the outcomes of their lawsuits varied, Fractional Freedoms demonstrates how enslaved women used channels of affection and intimacy to press for liberty and prevent the generational transmission of enslavement to their children. This panel engages Fractional Freedoms from a cross-disciplinary perspective and includes historians of slavery in the broader Atlantic World and scholars of race and gender.

**Primary Keyword:** Legal History

**Policing and Politics in a Perspective of Comparative Law**  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion C (2nd Floor)

**Chair(s):** Mario Barnes, University of California-Irvine

**Description:**  
The studies on street level bureaucracy, especially on police are important for social ordering. We want to compare the
mechanisms of policing and politics in the shadow of law and principles, and the different attitude toward to the right to dissent and protest.

*Primary Keyword:* Policing, Law Enforcement

**Presentations:**

- A Check on Gubernatorial Emergency Powers During Protest
  - Karen Pita Loor, Boston University Law School

- Eating Your Own: The Law and Politics of Police Intervention in Police Officer Misconduct
  - Anjuli Verma, University of California, Berkeley
  - Peter Hanink, University of California, Irvine
  - Geoff Ward, UC Irvine

- Hierarchy, Career and Professional Acknowledgement: a critical analysis from the Civil Police of Amazonas
  - Vicente Riccio, Federal University of Juiz de Fora
  - Mario Aufiero, Civil Police of Amazonas
  - Janaina Lawall, Federal University of Juiz de Fora
  - André Nunes Zogahib, State University of Amazonas

- The Political Consequences of Stop and Frisk in New York City
  - Ayobami Laniyonu, UCLA; American Bar Foundation

**Policing Internet Content and its Creators**

*CRN: 37*

**Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 460, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair/Disc.: Lisa Lucile Owens, Columbia University**

**Description:**

While free speech and an "open" Internet remain a cornerstone of the policy debate, questions remain about how to police things like hate speech, trolling, and offensive humor—and whether we should also be surveilling the content’s creators. These papers examine the policy pitfalls located alongside the boundless promise of the Internet.

**Primary Keyword:** Technology, Technological Innovation, Robot Law

**Presentations:**

- Antisocial Media
  - Olivier Sylvain, Fordham Law School

- Fulfilling human rights online: the problem of access-based jurisdiction in Internet-related cases
  - Sara Solmone, University of East London

- Gender and the Internet: Don’t Feed the Trolls!
  - Kim Barker, University of Stirling

- Judicial censorship to humor: an analysis on brazilian courts’ position regarding freedom of speech on the Internet
  - Thiago Dias Oliva, InternetLab
  - Dennys Antonioalli, InternetLab

- Regulation of Freedom of Expression in a Digital Age: Hate Speech Online and Social Media
  - Eliška Pírková, Faculty of Law, University of Helsinki

- The Challenge of Being ’Smart’: Internet Governance and Urban Development in Rio de Janeiro
  - Jeffrey Omari, UC Santa Cruz/American Bar Foundation

**Regulating Financial Markets: Institutions, Investors, Consumers and Other Stakeholders**

*CRN: 46*

**Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.**

**Paper Session**

**Room:** Sala 453, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

**Chair(s): Darren Rosenblum, Pace Law School**

**Disc.: Afra Afsharipour, UC Davis School of Law**

**Description:**

This panel focuses on regulation and enforcement activities in the financial markets and proposals for making the markets safer for those who transact in them.

**Primary Keyword:** Corporate Law, Securities and Transactions

**Presentations:**

- Person Prospectus
  - Benjamin Edwards, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
  - William S. Boyd School of Law

- Rethinking Information Intermediaries
  - Gina-Gail Fletcher, Indiana University Maurer School of Law

- The Impact of Omnicare on Issuers’ Disclosure Decisions
  - Wendy Couture, University of Idaho College of Law
Research on the Justice System
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Terraza (3rd Floor)

Description: This panel explores differing areas of research in the justice system, including the use of performance statistics, researching inequality, judicial independence and problems of expert witnesses.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
Epistemic Institutions: Operationalizing Social Epistemology in the Institutional Design of Courts
James Dillon, Columbia Law School

Mind the Gap: Inequality, Judicial Independence and Judicial Confidence in Latin America
Lisa Hilbink, University of Minnesota
Katrina Heimark, University of Minnesota
Paula Armendariz, University of Minnesota

Playing with Numbers: Recent Lessons on the Difficulties of Developing and Using Performance Statistics in Justice Sector Institutions
Linn Hammergren

The Unintended Consequences of Case-by-Case Rescue: An Empirical Study of Indian Supreme Court Cases from 2010 to 2014
Sital Kalantry, Cornell Law School

What Do We Really Know About the Civil Justice System and What Do We Need to Find Out? An Agenda for Research
Anne Bloom, University of California Irvine School of Law

Sex Work: Navigating Discursive Borders
CRN: 6
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 452, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair/Disc.: Megan Rivers-Moore, Carleton University

Description: Tensions around and between policy making, activism, criminal justice, and human rights are examined in this panel.

Primary Keyword: Sex Work
Secondary Keyword: Rights and Identities

Presentations:
Are Civil Lawsuits a Useful Tool for Changing School Policies and Practices to Prevent Educator Sexual Misconduct
Charol Shakeshaft

Challenging Eurolegalism? The Impact of the Mediation Directive in the European Union
Jacqueline Gehring, University of California, Santa Cruz

Designing Systems to avoid and process legal disputes: A Public health perspective on Law
Nadav Davidovitch, Ben Gurion Univ. of the Negev
Michal Alberstein, Bar Ilan University

Social Functions of Civil Justice Systems: Asymmetries, in Civil Litigation, ADR and Dispute System Design, Eurolegalism and Litigiousness, Commoditization of Legal Service, and Impacts of Civil Litigation on Student Abuse
CRN: 10
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 459, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair(s): Takayuki Li, Senshu University

Disc.: Rob Leflar, University of Arkansas School of Law

Description: This session of CRN10 is on the social functions of civil justice systems.

Primary Keyword: Civil Justice, Adjudication, and Dispute Resolution
Secondary Keyword: Courts, Trials, Litigation, and Civil Procedure

Presentations:
Making Space for Sex Workers: The Legal Geography of Sex Work in Canada
Meg Lonergan, Carleton University

The Bureaucracy and Making of Rights in Human Rights NGOs: A Case Study of Amnesty International’s Sex Work Policy
Linda Veazey, Midwestern State University
Roni Kay O’Dell, Seton Hill University

Trata y Exploitacion Sexual: Fronteras del Delito
Aldana Romano Bordagaray, Instituto de Estudios Comparados en Ciencias Penales y Sociales (INECIP)
Sidonie Porterie, Instituto de Estudios Comparados en Ciencias Penales y Sociales (INECIP)
The Comoditization of Law: A Personal Injury Perspective  
**Annette Morris**, Cardiff University

**Sociological and Legal Perspectives about the Access to Justice: Qualitative Analysis of Conflicts.**  
**IRC: 21**  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Constitucion B (2nd Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.: Camilo Umana**, Universidad Externado de Colombia

**Description:**  
Social conflict is and has been one of the objects of study in the Social Sciences and Law in particularly. It’s since the second half of the Twentieth Century that the positive perspective of the social conflict it’s more strongly assumed by the academic community, understanding it as a motor of the social changes and the creative thinking, aspects who promote the human development COSER (1956).

The study of conflicts from a socio-legal perspective would allow the visualization of the transformations that happens in the collective structures. As multidisciplinary group we are going to analyze social conflicts who involve diverse actors searching the sense they give to their actions. scenarios.

**Primary Keyword:** Access to Justice

**Presentations:**  
- Conflictos socio jurídicos en torno a la infancia en Argentina  
  **Laura N. Lora**, Universidad de Buenos Aires
  - El pueblo indígena, su territorio y el Estado/Indigenous Peoples, their lands and the State  
  **Alejandro Santamaria Ortiz**, Constitutional Law Department of the Externado de Colombia University
  - Experience on Alternative Justice in México  
  **Angélica Cuéllar**, UNAM
  - The Participation of Children and Adolescents in the Mediation Process  
  **Marcela Moreno Buján**, University of Costa Rica (UCR)

**State Sovereignty and Self-Government for Indigenous Peoples in Northern North America**  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Paper Session

**Room:** Sala 456, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)  
**Chair/Disc.: Brenda Gunn**, University of Manitoba

**Description:**  
This paper explores contemporary questions associated with indigenous people and jurisdiction in Canada.

**Primary Keyword:** Indigenous, Aboriginal, and First Peoples  
**Secondary Keyword:** Canadian Law and Society

**Presentations:**  
- Arctic without Borders: International Legal Possibilities  
  **Zhannah Voukitchevitch**, University of Ottawa
  - Bearing Witness: Social Justice, Indigenous Peoples and Non-Indigenous Peoples in Canada  
  **Rachel Ariss**, University of Ontario Institute of Technology
  - Recent Judicial Approaches to Balancing Indigenous Land Claims Against State Sovereignty: The Juridification and Legitimation of Settler State Sovereignty  
  **Ryan Beaton**, University of Victoria, Canada
  - Self-Determination Discover Once Again? The Example of the Canadian Northwest Territory  
  **Magdalena Butrymowicz**, Pontifical University of John Paul II Krakow

**The New Politics of Inter-American Human Rights Law**  
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.  
Salon Session

**Room:** Independencia (3rd Floor) - Table 5  
**Chair(s):** Par Engstrom, University College London  
**Disc.:** Oscar Parra-Vera, National University of Colombia

**Description:**  
Over the past decades, the inter-American human rights system has established itself as the ultimate legal authority in the Americas. Recently, however, the system has faced major obstacles: states have denounced, or threatened to denounce, the American Convention on Human Rights; the system’s financial crisis has become a grave regional concern, and human rights issues in the region only grow in both size and complexity. By looking at some of the current procedural and substantive problems that the system faces on matters such as social justice, reproductive rights, subsidiarity and judicial independence, this panel offers different perspectives on both the evolution and the future of the inter-American human rights regime.

**Primary Keyword:** Human Rights, International Human Rights
Presentations:
Measuring Judicial Independence in Latin America: How Global Indicators Fail to Capture the Reality in the Americas
Stefanie Lemke, Netherlands Helsinki Committee (NHC)

On the Velasquez Rodriguez ethos: the Inter-American Court’s politics of institutional change
Jorge Contesse, Rutgers Law School

Transnational Legal Issues
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 457, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Description: This panel explores a variety of transnational legal issues, including parental child abduction, international rulings, transnational networks, and human rights.

Primary Keyword: Access to Justice

Presentations:
International Parental Child Abduction and
International Family Mediation: Mexico and the United States of America cases
Nuria Gonzalez Martin, Instituto de Investigaciones Jurídicas, UNAM

When Human Rights Law Fails to Generate Social Norms: The Case of the Right to Food
Michelle Jurkovich, University of Massachusetts Boston

Working Group on Human Rights II
RCSL Working Group: Human Rights
Friday Session 5, 4:45 p.m. - 6:30 p.m.
Paper Session

Room: Sala 458, Danubio Tower (4th Floor)

Chair(s): Dani Rudnicki, UniRitter

Description: In contemporary times, the three generations (or dimensions) of Human Rights, namely freedom rights, social rights and peoples rights ensure the possibility of reflecting on the major subjects relevant to human life by thinking about those rights. However, the most important issue is the struggle to make them effective. In political, academic, trade union, student and everyday life, one also needs to ensure that human rights are not a utopia, but a reality. The fact that a great part of the twenty-first century population lives in States that respect human rights does not mean that we may forget that, in many other States, human rights are still an utopia. Moreover, one must not forget that new claims, referring to newly arising situations, are added to the needs of human beings. Discussing them and thinking of them is a way, albeit incipient, to seek solutions to begin to recover the rights of all human beings, and this is also a task for the homo academicus.

Primary Keyword: Human Rights, International Human Rights
Secondary Keyword: Culture, and Cultural Rights

Presentations:
Challenging the Conflation between Right to Property and Property Rights: A Vision of Inclusive Human Rights
Laura Dehaibi, McGill University

From Human Rights to the Rule of Law? The European Court of Human Rights and the Independence of the Judiciary
Valentina Volpe, Lille Catholic University

Jose Toro, Universidad EAFIT
Esteban Hoyos, Universidad EAFIT
Tatiana Alfonso Sierra, University of Wisconsin-Madison/Universidad de Los Andes
Laura Blanco-Rengifo, Universidad EAFIT

The Human Right to Life: Time to Take It Seriously
Alessandro Palmieri, University of Siena

Transitional Justice in Latin America and Africa: A Transregional Comparison
Ernesto Fabian Mieles Gonzalez, Free University of Berlin
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